2018 Budget Talks Summary Nov. 28, 2017



Why consult on the budget?

- Provide opportunities for discussion leading to greater understanding
- Increase awareness and support a meaningful and trusted process
- Requirement of the Community Charter (Section 166) and the Local Government Act (Section 816)





Level of Engagement

IAP2				
Inform	Consult	Involve	Collaborate	Empower
Provide with balanced	Obtain feedback on	Work directly with the	Partner with the	To place final
and objective	the analysis,	public throughout the	public in each aspect	decision making in
information to assist	alternatives and / or	process to ensure	of the decision	the hands of the
them in understanding	decisions.	their interests are	including the	public.
the problem,		consistently	development of	
alternatives or solutions.		understood and	alternatives and the	
		considered.	identification of the	
			preferred solution.	



Overview

2017 Budget

- Public Comment at start of each day
- Questions gathered and answered through shapeyourcity

Budget Talks Nov. 15-30

2018 Budget

- Budget Primer at Council
- Shapeyourcity page created
- Draft plan released two weeks before presentations
- Three open houses with three presentations
- News media presentation
- Stakeholder focus group
- Online poll



At-a-glance

140+

Conversations at Open Houses

400+

Visits to Shape Your City 210+

Document Downloads

120

Online Poll and Feedback Form

10+

Articles print and online

8

Newspaper advertisements

2,250

Received direct emails

12+

Social media posts



What did we hear




Do you agree with the Council Priorities?

- Comments very supportive of Council Priorities
- Concern expressed that there may be too many, difficult to achieve








What you said...

- Clean up the downtown core
- Add two bylaw officers instead of one (fund with fines)
- Downtown can be scary to walk day or night
- Need more police, bylaw officers and outreach workers





2. Growth and Development




What you said...

- Agree with development but need adequate parking
- Create a community advocacy position for development
- What is being done for businesses?
- Don't want to see approval process weakened








What you said...

- Put more focus on upgrading infrastructure than on beautification
- Developers should pay more for infrastructure costs if they increase density







What you said about Capital Projects...

- Are we spending enough to address the infrastructure deficit?
- Do we need a marina on Skaha?
 What about the impact to fish?
- What is the value/benefit of 300 Block to citizens?
- No concern about the plans to fund (i.e. financing and land sales)
- More detail description needed





What you said about Stormwater / Utilities...

- Strong support for funding infrastructure
- Too many separate fees and charges
- Mixed views on flat fee vs. tiered rates
- Phasing is a good idea
- More info on how electric bills, admin costs and dividends compare in BC as part of rate review





Was anything missing?

- Expansion and protection of parks
- Plans for arenas and other recreation facilities
- Long range plans for waterfronts
- Affordable housing and social issues
- Support for the arts







Conclusions

- Agreement with Council Priorities and Budget Priorities
- Strong support for providing funds for asset management
- Desire to see more for parks and recreation
- Interest in greater understanding of specific recommendations (i.e. Skaha Marina, Utility Rate Review, Stormwater Utility and Financing Plans)





Improvements for next year

- Feedback on Open Houses and early involvement positive
- Fewer sessions needed
- Interest in seeing more comparability with other communities and prior years
- More involvement before setting budget and capital priorities



