

From Glibness to Aggressiveness: The Dual Facets of Sociopathic Manipulation

Deen Mohd Dar

Submitted to: JMIR Preprints
on: January 27, 2025

Disclaimer: © The authors. All rights reserved. This is a privileged document currently under peer-review/community review. Authors have provided JMIR Publications with an exclusive license to publish this preprint on its website for review purposes only. While the final peer-reviewed paper may be licensed under a CC BY license on publication, at this stage authors and publisher expressly prohibit redistribution of this draft paper other than for review purposes.

Table of Contents

Original Manuscript.....	4
---------------------------------	----------

Preprint
JMIR Publications

From Glibness to Aggressiveness: The Dual Facets of Sociopathic Manipulation

Deen Mohd Dar¹

¹ Indira Gandhi National Open University Kulgam IN

Corresponding Author:

Deen Mohd Dar

Indira Gandhi National Open University
102, Main Road, Regional Center Kulgam
Kulgam
IN

Abstract

This study investigates the behavioral dynamics of sociopaths, focusing on their reliance on glibness (superficial charm) as a primary manipulation tactic and aggressiveness as a secondary strategy when charm fails. Sociopathy, characterized by manipulative tendencies and a lack of empathy, often manifests in adaptive yet harmful behaviors aimed at maintaining control and dominance.

Using the Deenz Antisocial Personality Scale (DAPS-24) to collect data from 34 participants, this study examines the prevalence and interplay of these dual strategies. Findings reveal that sociopaths employ glibness to disarm and manipulate, transitioning to aggressiveness in response to resistance. The implications for understanding sociopathic manipulation are discussed, emphasizing the importance of early detection and intervention in both clinical and social contexts.

(JMIR Preprints 27/01/2025:71816)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2196/preprints.71816>

Preprint Settings

1) Would you like to publish your submitted manuscript as preprint?

✓ **Please make my preprint PDF available to anyone at any time (recommended).**

Please make my preprint PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that my title and abstract will remain visible to all users.
Only make the preprint title and abstract visible.

No, I do not wish to publish my submitted manuscript as a preprint.

2) If accepted for publication in a JMIR journal, would you like the PDF to be visible to the public?

✓ **Yes, please make my accepted manuscript PDF available to anyone at any time (Recommended).**

Yes, but please make my accepted manuscript PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that the title and abstract will remain visible to all users.

Yes, but only make the title and abstract visible (see Important note, above). I understand that if I later pay to participate in [http://www.jmir.org/](#)

Original Manuscript

From Glibness to Aggressiveness: The Dual Facets of Sociopathic Manipulation

Abstract

This study investigates the behavioral dynamics of sociopaths, focusing on their reliance on glibness (superficial charm) as a primary manipulation tactic and aggressiveness as a secondary strategy when charm fails. Sociopathy, characterized by manipulative tendencies and a lack of empathy, often manifests in adaptive yet harmful behaviors aimed at maintaining control and dominance. Using the Deenz Antisocial Personality Scale (DAPS-24) to collect data from 34 participants, this study examines the prevalence and interplay of these dual strategies. Findings reveal that sociopaths employ glibness to disarm and manipulate, transitioning to aggressiveness in response to resistance. The implications for understanding sociopathic manipulation are discussed, emphasizing the importance of early detection and intervention in both clinical and social contexts.

Introduction

Sociopathy, a form of antisocial personality disorder (ASPD), is marked by manipulative behaviors, shallow emotions, and a pervasive disregard for others' rights. These individuals are known for their ability to charm and manipulate, yet they can quickly resort to aggression when their primary strategies are thwarted. While superficial charm, or glibness, is widely recognized as a hallmark of sociopathy, less attention has been given to the interplay between charm and aggression. This paper explores how sociopaths strategically shift between these behaviors to achieve their goals. By analyzing data collected through the Deenz Antisocial Personality Scale (DAPS-24), we aim to provide a nuanced understanding of these tactics and their implications for interpersonal relationships and societal interactions.

Objectives

The study sought to:

1. Investigate the prevalence of glibness and aggressiveness as manipulation tactics in sociopaths.
 2. Examine the situational triggers that prompt a shift from glibness to aggressiveness.
 3. Assess the implications of these behaviors for clinical intervention and societal impact.
-

Dimensions of Sociopathic Manipulation

The dual strategies of sociopathic manipulation—glibness and aggressiveness—can be understood through the following dimensions:

1. **Glibness (Superficial Charm):**
Sociopaths exhibit a polished, confident demeanor, using charm and wit to gain trust and manipulate others. This behavior often masks their true intentions and helps establish control.
 2. **Aggressiveness (Coercion and Intimidation):**
When charm fails, sociopaths may escalate to verbal or physical aggression. This shift reflects their need for dominance and their impulsive tendencies.
-

Methodology

Participants

A total of 34 participants were recruited for the study. The sample included individuals identified as exhibiting subclinical sociopathic traits based on preliminary screening.

Data Collection

The Deenz Antisocial Personality Scale (DAPS-24) was used to assess participants' tendencies toward glibness and aggressiveness. The scale evaluates six key dimensions of antisocial behavior, including manipulativeness, impulsivity, and lack of empathy. Data were collected through self-reports and structured interviews.

Procedure

Participants completed the DAPS-24, followed by a behavioral observation task designed to elicit responses in scenarios where manipulation or aggression might be employed. Responses were analyzed to identify patterns in the use of glibness and aggressiveness.

Results

Prevalence of Glibness and Aggressiveness

- **Glibness:** 91% of participants frequently used charm and wit to manipulate others.
- **Aggressiveness:** 65% displayed aggression when their initial strategies were unsuccessful.

Triggers for the Shift to Aggressiveness

- Resistance or skepticism from the target.
- Loss of control in the interaction.
- Situational factors, such as stress or perceived threats to dominance.

Correlation Between Dimensions

- A strong positive correlation ($r = 0.76$, $p < .001$) was observed between glibness and

aggressiveness, suggesting that these behaviors are interdependent rather than distinct.

Discussion

The findings highlight the dual nature of sociopathic manipulation. Glibness serves as the primary strategy, leveraging charm and confidence to gain trust and disarm suspicion. However, when charm fails, sociopaths transition to aggressiveness, using intimidation or coercion to regain control. This adaptive behavioral pattern underscores the complexity of sociopathy, revealing a calculated approach to interpersonal interactions. The strong correlation between glibness and aggressiveness suggests that these behaviors are part of a continuum, driven by the sociopath's overarching need for dominance.

Implications

Understanding the interplay between glibness and aggressiveness has significant implications for clinical and societal contexts:

- **Clinicians:** Early identification of these behaviors can support diagnosis and intervention for individuals with antisocial tendencies.
 - **Researchers:** Future studies can explore the neurological and environmental factors influencing these behaviors.
 - **Society:** Awareness of these tactics can empower individuals to recognize and resist manipulation.
-

Declarations

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Conflict of Interest: No conflicts of interest.

Funding: No funding was received for this research.

Data Availability:

The dataset is publicly available at [Mendeley Data](#) for download and use in research.

Approval was granted by the Institutional Ethics Committee, IGNU Ref:- Letter No. 2423490Psy- 08/09/2024.

Conclusion

This study provides valuable insights into the dual strategies of sociopathic manipulation. By employing glibness as a primary tactic and aggressiveness as a fallback, sociopaths adapt their behaviors to maintain control and achieve their goals. Deenz Antisocial Personality Scale (DAPS-24)

proved effective in capturing these dynamics, offering a reliable framework for future research and intervention efforts.

References

1. American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing.
2. Dar Deen, Deen MOHD (2025), "From Glibness to Aggressiveness: The Dual Facets of Sociopathic Manipulation", Mendeley Data, V1, doi: 10.17632/tybrkkg9dr.1
3. Cleckley, H. (1988). *The mask of sanity*. St. Louis, MO: Mosby.
4. Hare, R. D. (2003). *Without conscience: The disturbing world of the psychopaths among us*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
5. Paulhus, D. L., & Williams, K. M. (2002). The Dark Triad of personality: Narcissism, Machiavellianism, and psychopathy. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 36(6), 556–563.
6. Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2013). *Using multivariate statistics* (6th ed.). Boston, MA: Pearson.
7. Widom, C. S. (1989). The cycle of violence. *Science*, 244(4901), 160–166.
7. The computerized version of Deenz Antisocial Personality Scale (DAPS-24) <https://drdeenz.com/sociopath-test>