

Perspectives of patients with early psychosis on the use of an app in Acceptance and Commitment Therapy: a qualitative study.

Jara Bouws, Lotte Uyttebroek, Joanne R Beames, Mariken de Koning, Frederike Schirmbeck, An Henrard, Ulrich Reininghaus, Lieuwe de Haan, Inez Myin-Germeys

Submitted to: JMIR Mental Health on: November 17, 2024

Disclaimer: © **The authors. All rights reserved.** This is a privileged document currently under peer-review/community review. Authors have provided JMIR Publications with an exclusive license to publish this preprint on it's website for review purposes only. While the final peer-reviewed paper may be licensed under a CC BY license on publication, at this stage authors and publisher expressively prohibit redistribution of this draft paper other than for review purposes.

Table of Contents

Original Manuscript.......5

Perspectives of patients with early psychosis on the use of an app in Acceptance and Commitment Therapy: a qualitative study.

Jara Bouws^{1, 2} MSc; Lotte Uyttebroek³ MSc; Joanne R Beames³ PhD; Mariken de Koning^{1, 2} PhD; Frederike Schirmbeck⁴ PhD; An Henrard⁵ MSc; Ulrich Reininghaus⁶ Prof Dr; Lieuwe de Haan^{1, 2} Prof Dr Med; Inez Myin-Germeys³ Prof Dr

Corresponding Author:

Jara Bouws MSc Centre for Mental Health Care Arkin Klaprozenweg 111 Amsterdam NL

Abstract

Background: Ecological Momentary Assessments (EMA) and Interventions (EMI), commonly delivered via smartphones, hold the potential to bridge the gap between therapy sessions and the daily life of individuals experiencing early psychotic symptoms. Acceptance and Commitment Therapy in Daily Life (ACT-DL) combines 8 face-to-face ACT sessions with the use of an app in everyday life. The app randomly prompts individuals multiple times a day in between sessions, with questionnaires (EMA) and metaphors or exercises (EMI). Previous quantitative findings showed promising results in terms of ACT-DL on transferring therapy skills to daily life and treating momentary psychotic distress and negative symptoms. However, participants reported a perceived burden related to length and frequency of notifications.

Objective: This study qualitatively explores the perspectives of individuals with Clinical High Risk for Psychosis (CHR-P) or with First Episode Psychosis (FEP) on the use of the ACT-DL app, and perceived areas for improvement.

Methods: User experiences with the ACT-DL app were explored in 17 semi-structured interviews within six months after the intervention and analyzed using template thematic analysis.

Results: Three themes were formed: 1. App functionalities and usability; consisting mainly of perceived practical obstacles. 2. Additional value of the app; on how the EMAs positively raised levels of awareness for feelings, thoughts, and behavior, and on how receiving EMIs increased transferability of sessions to daily life. 3. Improving applicability and effect of the ACT-DL app; with practical feedback from participants.

Conclusions: Although participants struggled with the applicability of the ACT-DL app during work and social activities, many were positive about using the ACT-DL app. Positive effects were often attributed to increased awareness related to EMAs and to the ACT exercises on the ACT-DL app. Clinical Trial: This study is part of the INTERACT trial - A real-time and real-world intervention focusing on stress and reward. This trial was prospectively registered in the Dutch Trial Register (ID: NTR4252).

(JMIR Preprints 17/11/2024:68901)

DOI: https://doi.org/10.2196/preprints.68901

Preprint Settings

1) Would you like to publish your submitted manuscript as preprint?

✓ Please make my preprint PDF available to anyone at any time (recommended).

¹Centre for Mental Health Care Arkin Amsterdam NL

²Department of Psychiatry Amsterdam University Medical Centers University of Amsterdam Amsterdam NL

³Center for Contextual Psychiatry Department of Neuroscience KU Leuven Leuven BE

⁴Department of Public Mental Health Medical Faculty Mannheim University Hospital Heidelberg Heidelberg DE

⁵Z.ORG KUL Academic Psychiatric Center KU Leuven Leuven BE

⁶Department of Public Mental Health Medical Faculty Mannheim University Hospital Heidelberg Mannheim DE

Please make my preprint PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that my title and abstract will remain visible to all users. Only make the preprint title and abstract visible.

- No, I do not wish to publish my submitted manuscript as a preprint.
- 2) If accepted for publication in a JMIR journal, would you like the PDF to be visible to the public?
- ✓ Yes, please make my accepted manuscript PDF available to anyone at any time (Recommended).

Yes, but please make my accepted manuscript PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that the title and abstract will remain ves, but only make the title and abstract visible (see Important note, above). I understand that if I later pay to participate in <a href="https://example.com/above/linear-note, above]. I understand that if I later pay to participate in https://example.com/above/linear-note, above]. I understand that if I later pay to participate in https://example.com/above]. I understand that if I later pay to participate in <a href="https://example.com/above]. I understand that if I later pay to participate in <a href="https://example.com/above]. I understand that if I later pay to participate in <a href="https://example.com/above]. I understand that if I later pay to participate in <a href="https://example.com/above]. I understand that if I later pay to participate in <a href="https://example.com/above]. I understand that if I later pay to participate in <a href="https://example.com/above]. I understand that if I later pay to participate in <a href="https://example.com/above]. I understand that if I later pay to participate in <a href="https://example.com/above]. I understand that if I later pay to participate in <a href="https://example.com/above]. I understand that if I later pay to participate in <a href="https://example.com/above]. I understand that it is the later pay to pay to pay the later pay the later pay the later pay to pay the later pay the later

Original Manuscript

Original paper

Perspectives of patients with early psychosis on the use of an app in Acceptance and Commitment Therapy: a qualitative study.

Jara Bouws^{1,3}, Lotte Uyttebroek², Joanne R. Beames², Mariken de Koning^{1,3}, Frederike Schirmbeck⁴, An Henrard⁵, Ulrich Reininghaus⁴, Lieuwe de Haan^{1,3}, Inez Myin-Germeys²

¹Arkin, Centre for Mental Health Care, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

² Department of Neurosciences, Psychiatry Research Group, Centre for Contextual Psychiatry, KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

³ Department of Psychiatry, Amsterdam UMC, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

⁴ Department of Public Mental Health, Central Institute of Mental Health, Medical Faculty Mannheim, Heidelberg University, Mannheim, Germany

⁵ Z.ORG KUL, Academic Psychiatric Centre KULeuven, Kortenberg, Belgium

Corresponding Author:

Jara Bouws, MSc, psychiatrist

Arkin, Centre for Mental Health Care, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

Klaprozenweg 111

1033 NN Amsterdam

The Netherlands

Phone: 0031-652341574

Email: jara.bouws@mentrum.nl

Abstract

Background: Ecological Momentary Assessments (EMA) and Interventions (EMI), commonly delivered via smartphones, hold the potential to bridge the gap between therapy sessions and the daily life of individuals experiencing early psychotic symptoms. Acceptance and Commitment Therapy in Daily Life (ACT-DL) combines 8 face-to-face ACT sessions with the use of an app in everyday life. The app randomly prompts individuals multiple times a day in between sessions, with questionnaires (EMA) and metaphors or exercises (EMI). Previous quantitative findings showed promising results in terms of ACT-DL on transferring therapy skills to daily life and treating momentary psychotic distress and negative symptoms. However, participants reported a perceived burden related to length and frequency of notifications.

Objective: This study qualitatively explores the perspectives of individuals with Clinical High Risk for Psychosis (CHR-P) or with First Episode Psychosis (FEP) on the use of the ACT-DL app, and perceived areas for improvement.

Methods: User experiences with the ACT-DL app were explored in 17 semi-structured interviews within six months after the intervention and analyzed using template thematic analysis.

Results: Three themes were formed: 1. App functionalities and usability; consisting mainly of perceived practical obstacles. 2. Additional value of the app; on how the EMAs positively raised levels of awareness for feelings, thoughts, and behavior, and on how receiving EMIs increased transferability of sessions to daily life. 3. Improving applicability and effect of the ACT-DL app; with practical feedback from participants.

Conclusions: Although participants struggled with the applicability of the ACT-DL app during work and social activities, many were positive about using the ACT-DL app. Positive effects were often attributed to increased awareness related to EMAs and to the ACT exercises on the ACT-DL app.

Trial registration: This study is part of the INTERACT trial - A real-time and real-world intervention focusing on stress and reward. This trial was prospectively registered in the Dutch Trial Register (ID: NTR4252).

Keywords:

acceptance and commitment therapy; early psychosis; mental health; ecological momentary assessment; ecological momentary intervention; e-health; mobile phone; qualitative methods; blended therapy



Introduction

The rapid growth of digital technology offers new opportunities for mental health care. Ecological Momentary Assessment (EMA) [1,2], also known as the Experience Sampling Method (ESM) [3,4], is a research methodology involving recurrent real-time self-monitoring of emotions, thoughts, and behaviors in real-world contexts, commonly delivered through smartphone apps. EMA offers valuable information for clinical treatment and research by providing insights into an individual's daily inner world, enabling the identification of patterns in emotional experiences, symptoms, and their determinants across diverse contexts [5-8]. Utilizing EMA in therapy minimizes retrospective biases by assessing individuals' current feelings instead of relying on recollections about the past period [9]. Ecological Momentary Intervention (EMI) refers to therapeutic strategies integrated into daily life, often utilizing EMA, to promote adaptive behavior and coping mechanisms. EMI involves prompts with reminders, psychoeducation, or psychotherapeutic exercises [10,11]. Individuals with early psychosis, including individuals at Clinical High Risk for Psychosis (CHR-P) or with First Episode Psychosis (FEP), commonly experience not only (attenuated) psychotic symptoms but also impaired cognitive and global functioning, comorbid mental disorders, and lower quality of life [12-15]. Tackling these additional issues that affect everyday life is challenging [16]. Combining EMA and EMI (EMA/I) with face-to-face psychotherapy for early psychosis holds promise in this respect, as it could facilitate the application and integration of acquired skills from therapy into daily routines [17,18]. A systematic review [19] identified a limited number of EMA/I interventions in psychosis treatment and indicated overall feasibility, acceptability, and positive clinical outcomes. Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) in Daily Life (ACT-DL) is an innovative blended care intervention that builds upon existing ACT principles [20]. ACT is a transdiagnostic therapy [21] that aims to enhance psychological flexibility: being aware and accepting of unpleasant thoughts and feelings, while focusing on the present moment and engaging in value-based actions [20]. ACT has been proven to be feasible and acceptable for individuals with (early) psychosis [22-25]. The ACT-DL intervention [26] combines eight face-to-face ACT sessions with the ACT-DL app, a smartphonebased EMA/I that encourages the application of ACT principles in the real-world and has proven to yield significant improvements in momentary distress, global functioning, and negative symptoms [27]. While ACT-DL demonstrates feasibility in terms of treatment adherence, usefulness, and acceptability, it is to some extent burdensome, particularly regarding the length and frequency of the EMA [28,29]. Existing feasibility data on EMA/I for early psychosis, including both ACT-DL [30]

and EMA/I in general [12], primarily rely on quantitative evidence and lack detailed insights into patients' experiences with EMA/I alongside face-to-face therapy. This qualitative study aims to explore patients' perspectives on the ACT-DL app within the INTERACT trial, identifying potential areas for improvement. These findings could contribute to refining the ACT-DL and enhancing other blended care interventions incorporating EMA/I for individuals in the early stages of psychosis.

Methods

Design and participants

Semi-structured interviews were conducted with participants of the INTERACT trial who were randomized into the ACT-DL condition. The participants were recruited in Belgium and the Netherlands based on the following inclusion criteria: age 15-65, meeting the criteria for CHR-P or FEP (onset within three years), sufficiently speaking the Dutch language and having the ability to give written informed consent. Participants randomized into the experimental condition received eight weeks of ACT-DL intervention in addition to treatment as usual at their mental health care facility. The trial protocol and main results are documented elsewhere [26-28,30].

Recruitment for the qualitative study took place between June 2018 and September 2019, using convenience sampling. A research coordinator approached all ACT-DL arm participants, regardless of intervention completion, who were within six months post ACT-DL treatment (n=30) in the recruitment period, inviting them for a semi-structured interview, as part of the INTERACT trial.

Intervention

The Acceptance and Commitment Therapy in Daily Life (ACT-DL) intervention consisted of eight face-to-face ACT therapy sessions with a trained ACT clinician, combined with the use of the ACT-DL app: an ACT-based EMA/I administered through a smartphone app (PsyMate™, www.psymate.eu), provided on a study mobile phone. The first session provided psychoeducation on early psychosis; the following six sessions focused on the main components of ACT: creative hopelessness, acceptance, defusion, the self and mindfulness, values, and commitment [20,26]. The final session aimed to discuss and integrate what was previously learned. Further details regarding the content of the sessions have been reported elsewhere [30]. The ACT-DL app was used for three consecutive days after each therapy session to stimulate the transfer of ACT skills in daily life and to enhance mindfulness. Participants were randomly prompted eight times daily to complete an EMA

questionnaire ("beeps") comprising 17 questions on mood, symptoms, company, physical well-being, current activity, and substance use. Furthermore, participants received a morning and evening EMA questionnaire, focusing respectively on sleep quality and on daily reflections. Responding to each beep was time-limited to 15 minutes. The EMI component, following each questionnaire, presented either a written ACT exercise or an ACT metaphor through the ACT-DL app (ratio 50:50). When an ACT exercise was presented, participants were given the option to choose between an exercise related to the ACT principle covered in the previous week's face-to-face session or a mindfulness exercise. Additionally, participants had the option to independently listen to MP3 audio files containing ACT mindfulness exercises via the media player on the study phone.

Interviews

A semi-structured interview guide was developed by JB and AH, a licensed psychiatrist and psychologist, both experienced in treating patients on the psychosis continuum, and not involved in the INTERACT quantitative trial. The interviews explored participants' experiences with Acceptance and Commitment Therapy and the associated ACT-DL app received in the INTERACT trial. The interview guide is available on Open Science Framework (https://osf.io/5wndk/files/osfstorage/632f58bb18f4581022428b2a). Findings on experiences with the different ACT components are described elsewhere [24].

The interviews started with collecting demographic information and explored three main topics regarding the ACT-DL app: 1) participants' experiences with the app, 2) the potential added value of the ACT-DL app in treatment and integration of ACT into daily life, and 3) suggestions for improvement or alterations of the app. Questions were open-ended and participants were encouraged to elaborate on aspects they considered significant or valuable. Interviews were conducted by JB and AH, ranging from 34 to 84 minutes (average duration 48 minutes) and were audio recorded.

Analysis

Sample characteristics were reported using descriptive summary statistics. Interviews were transcribed verbatim by JB and AH and imported into NVivo for coding. Template analysis [31,32], a form of codebook thematic analysis [33], was employed for qualitative data analysis. JB and LU created a set of general a priori themes. After independently coding three interviews, JB and LU

discussed the results to create the initial coding template. JB coded the rest of the interviews top-down using this coding template. Bi-weekly meetings between JB and LU were held to interpret the data and adjust the coding template.

Regarding trustworthiness criteria for qualitative research [34,35]; JB and LU worked extensively and thoroughly on this research. Furthermore, they discussed and kept records of research steps, personal assumptions and reflections. They asked JRB and MdK several times for peer debriefing to discuss theme developments and to mitigate researcher bias.

Ethics Approval

The qualitative study was an amendment to the INTERACT trial and was granted ethical approval by the Medical Ethical Committees of Maastricht and Leuven (NL46439.068.16; s59127). All participants provided written informed consent before the interview.

Results

Sample

A total of 19 participants were interviewed; 2 interviews were lost due to technical issues. Sample characteristics are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Participant demographic characteristics.

Characteristics	Participants, n (%)
Total	17 (100)
Age	
21-30	10 (59)
31-40	7 (41)
Sex	
Female	12 (71)
Male	5 (29)
Country	
The Netherlands	12 (71)
Belgium	5 (29)
Diagnosis	
CHR-P	6 (35)
FEP	11 (65)
Employement status	
None	4 (23)
On sick leave	3 (18)
Working	7 (41)
Studying	2 (12)

Working and studying	1 (6)
----------------------	-------

Analytic process

The interviews produced rich, in-depth, and often lengthy descriptions of participants' experiences. Themes were a priori expected to show similarity with questions from the semi-structured interviews about the app and consisted of barriers/negative experiences with the ACT-DL app, facilitators/positive experiences with the ACT-DL app, and suggestions for improvement. Coding and discussion of the initial three interviews revealed challenges in capturing the ambivalence and contradictory nature of experiences and opinions within these a prior themes. Subsequently, an adjustment was made to the initial coding template, resulting in five themes for the initial coding template: app design and protocol, applicability of ACT-DL in life, integration of ACT-DL app and therapy, perceived effectiveness of the app, and suggestions for improvement. During the coding process, themes that had substantive overlap were merged, and theme names were made more specific, resulting in the following three themes: App Functionalities and Usability, Additional Value of the App and Improving Applicability and Effect.

Counting and reporting the number of codes that had similar meanings aimed to identify patterns and facilitate future adjustments to the app [35].

Theme 1: App Functionalities and Usability

The theme **app functionalities and usability** consists of participants' reflections on their user interactions with the ACT-DL app, in which respect they particularly focused on the EMA (questionnaires) component. We defined two sub-themes: 1) <u>number and repetition of beeps and questions</u> and 2) <u>interference with work and social life</u>. Illustrative quotes on the sub-themes are presented in Table 2.

Number and repetition of beeps and questions

Participants had diverse opinions on the number of beeps and the length of the questionnaires in the ESM protocol. Three participants considered the number of beeps appropriate, four deemed it excessive, and five expressed mixed feelings. Regarding the questions per beep, five participants found the quantity satisfactory, while four deemed it too many. Nine participants expressed discomfort with the repetition and fixed order of questions at each beep, stating that it negatively

influenced the validity of their responses: some participants reported reduced focus on questions or providing answers without thoughtful consideration. One participant even mentioned changing a negative response about hallucinations to a positive one due to the repetitive nature of the question, fearing she may have answered incorrectly initially.

Interference with work and social life

Thirteen participants reported that integrating the ACT-DL app into their daily routines was challenging. Eight participants indicated that complying with the ACT-DL app at work or college had been or would be impossible, another two participants refrained from bringing it to these settings because they anticipated being unable to comply. The reasons cited include beep frequency, time required to complete questionnaires, and inconvenient timing of the prompts. Six participants encountered challenges using the ACT-DL app in social situations, such as dining out or during family holidays, citing feelings of intrusiveness or embarrassment.

Table 2. Illustrative quotes from theme 1. App functionalities and usability.

Theme	Participant	Quote ^a
Number and repetition of beeps and questions	1	But évery hour, this annoying BEEP [] Every hour, ten hours in a row.
	6	It really depended on what I did on a day. Some days I found it [amount of beeps] really annoying. But on other moments, when I had a hard time in my head, and could not stop thinking, I mean when I was in conflict with myself, the app could really pull me out of it. So sometimes it was really nice that it beeped. It depended, but mostly I benefited from it.
	14	There were a lot of questions for me. And also, too many beeps. [] And, after a while, all the time the same questions, this was also unpleasant.
	2	The same questions come every time in the same order, so at a certain point you have a standard answer, and you don't read the question anymore.
	4	Some questions about symptoms that I did not recognize were repeated so often that after a couple of weeks I filled in that I did recognize it. I thought that maybe I was crazy that I did not notice I had this symptom.
Interference with work and social life	10	When I had the phone [ACT-DL app] the first week, I took it to work and told colleagues that it was a little test. This was fine. But later, in between the sessions, I could not use the phone

	[ACT-DL app] at work, it distracted me. It also would have raised questions at work if this "test" took 2 months.
8	At the time ACT started, I was just starting up with a few customers at home [hairdressing], which was really important for me []. But I couldn't tell a customer: sorry, I have to answer some beeps for my psychosis [] And I felt really bad, a failure, and thought the therapists and research group would think I did not take it seriously.
13	Because I was not working, it was easy and quite nice to do it [the beeps], but if I would have had a job at the time, it would have been hard and annoying.
17	I put it [ACT-DL app] on mute during class, so I missed many beeps, but I also did not dare answering the questions in class.
1	Yes, I did everything, but I did tell them: look, when I go out for dinner, I won't bring this thing [ACT-DL app], or when I am playing a game with my son.

^a quotes were translated from Dutch/Flemish and slightly adjusted to enhance readability.

Theme 2: Additional Value of the App

The theme **additional value of the app** describes the perceived psychological benefits of the ACT-DL app as well as its potential role in facilitating the integration of ACT skills into daily life. We categorized three sub-themes: 1) <u>EMA-raised awareness</u>, 2) <u>exercises and metaphors</u>, and 3) <u>no additional value of the app</u>. Illustrative quotes are in Table 3.

EMA raised awareness

The EMA prompts with questionnaires created a higher level of awareness, according to eight participants. They reported being more aware of how they felt during or after filling out the questionnaires. They also noticed being more aware of how their day was going or what activities they had (not) done up to that point, which could work as an incentive to become more active or result in a more positive reflection on their activities up to that point. One participant mentioned that this awareness, caused by the EMA prompts, grounded her, and prevented her from getting lost in her symptoms. Another participant said that the EMA questionnaires raised awareness without being judgmental, which was a drawback of other available apps such as mindfulness apps.

Exercises and metaphors

Nine participants appreciated the link between the sessions and the exercises (EMI part) in the ACT-DL app. In contrast, metaphors, the other EMI part of the ACT-DL app, were not often mentioned. One participant expressed a preference for the end phase of the treatment period, noting that all exercises and metaphors were available in the app at that stage. Three participants explicitly mentioned that the exercises separately provided via the media player on the study phone were more helpful than those provided via the ACT-DL app. The perceived advantages of the media player were that these were audio files instead of text and that all exercises were available at once, meaning that there was a greater selection to choose from (as opposed to being added each week). One participant experienced a weak connection between the sessions and the exercises, and when prompted with metaphors from the last session, she had forgotten how to interpret them.

No additional value of the app

Three participants reported that they had experienced no or negative therapeutic effects of the ACT-DL app. For one participant, the intervention would have worked better without the ACT-DL app because the questionnaires in the app forced her to think a lot, instead of helping her to be in the moment and let go of thoughts, as ACT tries to teach. One participant thought the questionnaires were for the therapist to monitor the participant and had no purpose for the participant. Another participant did not see an added value of the ACT-DL app and got annoyed with filling in numbers; it felt like a waste of time.

Table 3. Illustrative quotes from theme 2. Additional value of the app.

Theme	Participant	Quote ^a
EMI raised awareness	16	Every beep I had a moment to realize: what am I doing, how do I feel? That worked, because usually I am so busy I am not aware of these things. Things like: "I am actually very tired", or "I really did not like that", or "I had a good time".
	4	It worked as a check-in a couple of times per day ("what are you doing?", "who are you with?"). Sometimes I realized I answered the same every last 3 beeps and thought "maybe it is time to get up and do something" or "maybe it is time to take a break". [] It also raised a positive awareness, or a feeling of gratitude, when I was having a good day.
	6	Every time I had to answer those questions, I felt like I was getting more aware, and I was really doing something. And when I did

		not have the phone [ACT-DL] anymore I did not completely fall back, but symptoms did emerge again. The app grounded me.
	8	And I, mainly because of the phone [ACT-DL app], became more aware about what influenced my mood, positive and negative.
Exercises and metaphors	13	It worked really well, when we were able to choose exercises in the app, and the app reminded you that they were available. Without the phone [ACT-DL app] you are much less actively involved with it.
	3	Yes, the app connected with sessions, because the metaphors reappeared in the app, to check if I got it. [] So I got questions and then a metaphor, just like the one in my workbook and then I could practice it.
	15	After each session, the content of the app changed, it focused on what we talked about in the session. I cannot recall all of them, but for example, it was about how you could see your thoughts as clouds and you could get more control over them.
No additional value of the app	14	For me the app did not help integrating therapy, it had an opposite effect. I got confronted with my mind again, and maybe also with my illness. I think living in the now is more interesting, to train that, like mindfulness, and not all those questions.
	7	The purpose of the [ACT-DL] app is for them to monitor how someone is doing in real-life; this is more reliable than a retrospective questionnaire. []. I saw it like tests, like they wanted to constantly check your mood.

^a quotes were translated from Dutch/Flemish and slightly adjusted to enhance readability.

Theme 3: Improving applicability and effect

This final theme covers elements that, according to participants, could be improved in the ACT-DL app. Suggestions were practical, mostly protocol-related and revolved around two sub-themes: 1) improving applicability in daily routines and 2) improving therapeutic effect. The second sub-theme was divided into three lower sub-themes: a) longer period of use, b) deliverance of metaphors and emphasis on exercises, and c) feedback on EMA. See Table 4 for illustrative quotes on theme 3.

Improving applicability in daily routines

Five participants suggested making the ACT-DL app available for download on participants' own mobile device. The perceived advantages of having the ACT-DL on personal devices included increased accessibility, compliance, and unobtrusiveness (i.e., less attention or questions from other people about the app).

Five participants suggested improving the applicability in daily routines by lengthening or removing the time interval in which participants could respond to a beep, which was expected to increase the amount of completed questionnaires. Three participants suggested reducing the beep frequency, one participant suggested reducing the number of questions, and one participant suggested creating an option for users to choose how many beeps to complete each day.

Improving therapeutic effect

Longer period of use.

Eight participants suggested changing the period during which the ACT-DL app was allowed or intended to be used. Seven of these participants advocated for the lasting availability of the ACT-DL app after ACT treatment had ended, to prevent the loss of recently acquired skills and to promote a longer-lasting effect. One of these participants specifically mentioned a desire to continue receiving EMA questionnaires (albeit once a day), while four participants expressed a wish for continued access to specifically the ACT- and mindfulness exercises after completing ACT therapy. Additionally, one participant recommended making the ACT-DL app available to participants immediately after intake, as it could provide assistance while waiting for therapy.

Deliverance of metaphors and emphasis on exercises.

Four participants recommended placing more emphasis on doing ACT exercises in the ACT-DL app, as they found them helpful and enjoyable to do at home. Two of these participants suggested incorporating the ACT audio exercises from the media player on the study phone into the ACT-DL app. Additionally, three participants advised making all exercises available anytime instead of adding a couple after each session. Two participants mentioned difficulty in remembering the exact meaning of a metaphor when prompted in the ACT-DL app, as they were only explained in text; they suggested adding corresponding metaphor pictures to the ACT-DL app, as they had seen in the sessions.

Feedback on EMA.

Six participants suggested a form of feedback or integration of their responses to EMA questions in ACT therapy sessions or within the ACT-DL app itself. They expressed a desire to discuss their EMA answers in ACT sessions or to be provided with a visual overview of their answers in the ACT-DL app or during face-to-face sessions. The advantage, suggested by participants, of providing feedback on the course of personal daily-life experiences was that it could enhance the therapeutic effectiveness of the ACT-DL app.

Table 4. Illustrative quotes from theme 3. Improving applicability and effect.

Sub-theme	Subtheme	Partic ipant	Quote ^a
Improving applicability in daily routines		11	I often forgot to bring the [ACT-DL app] phone on social occasions. And -if I did not forget it- I did not always answer the beeps. It felt strange to get this thing, I mean, it depends on the other person you are with, if you want to explain it []. Also at work the use of a second phone would have raised questions, so it would be easier if the beeps were on your own phone, this would make it more private.
		7	It would help to have it on your own phone, so you wouldn't have to bring two devices all the time.
		9	Many times, because I worked an evening shift, I could not do the evening questionnaire. It would be better if I could do the evening questionnaire at the moment I actually go to bed.
		8	It would have worked better for me if questionnaires would be available all day. You tell me to complete 5 questionnaires a day and I choose my own moments.
		1	Instead of 10 times a day, a better frequency would be about 4 or 5 beeps, plus morning- and evening questionnaire.
		10	I mean, it takes about 5 to 6 minutes per beep, this is long when you are at work. I think the frequency would be doable -about 5 times during a workday- if the questionnaires would have been shorter.
Improving therapeutic effect	Longer period of use	12	I asked if I could use the app after ACT treatment, in a period I was not doing well, but this was impossible. [] I would have liked to be able to keep doing the exercises on the app after treatment.
		13	I would have put the app on my own phone after therapy, so you could keep working on it. It would be a pity if what you learned would get lost over time. [] If you would still have the app, with all exercises available, you would keep using it. [] Not with the beeps, but the exercises available when you want and maybe sometimes a reminder.
		8	Now, after 8 weeks of working on it, it suddenly finished. And look, if I do something like this, I do it to really learn something that could stay with me for a long time. [] Longer use of the app could help. Maybe just once at the end of the day, some questions like "How was your day?", "What made you (un) happy?". Or just once a week, a couple of questions, for example "Did you do something for you this week?" or a reminder of a metaphor.
	Emphasis on exercises	12	The exercises on the app, maybe there could have been more. I liked the fact that you could do an exercise from the last session,

	and deliverance of metaphors		and you could choose from an increasing amount of exercises in the app.
		10	I preferred the MP3 files that we got, with audible meditation sessions, over the app with the exercises only in text. [] I found meditation exercises online, but I keep wondering if these are as good as the [ACT-DL] app. I asked to keep using the MP3 exercises after therapy but that was impossible. [] It's like, you make an app, put it in Play Store, let people pay for it, but make it free for the people who had sessions in mental health care settings. [] Also, it was advised to do the exercises more than twice a week [during treatment], nonsense, the advice should be to do them every day! Would be so nice, every evening before you go to sleep.
		15	I think the focus of the app was on the questions and less on the exercises, maybe this should have been the other way around.
		16	When the metaphors were prompted in the app, I sometimes did not remember what they meant. It might be better to add the picture of the metaphor in the app [] Now it only says: think about "man with the stick", for example.
	Feedback on EMA	11	In the beginning it felt nice because the app felt like someone was keeping an eye on me, so I thought when I felt really bad, that if someone else would know it, I would not be so alone with it. But when nobody gets back to you about it, you feel like nobody received your data.
4		12	It would be interesting when the app answers would be sent to your therapist and you can talk in session about your different moods of last week. [] Maybe it would be possible to show graphics on how you evolved on the different questions, maybe with tips and feedback, for example "this is a difficult thing for you, you can work on it like this."
		2	The long questionnaires created a little more awareness on how you felt, but it would be great if you could see results afterwards. It would have created more awareness about what is right and wrong for me. [] Because now it disappeared, such long questionnaires, so many times a day, and it is not of much use to yourself. [] I would have been more motivated to answer the questionnaires.
		9	For example, you had to answer how enthusiastic, happy or down you felt. It is possible that in the session I think I had a good week, but on the app I expressed many negative emotions. This is very informative for the therapy. I often don't realize how I feel or felt, the app could have added to my awareness.

^a quotes were translated from Dutch/Flemish and slightly adjusted to enhance readability.

Discussion

Principal Findings

This qualitative study aimed to explore the experiences of participants with CHR-P or FEP with the ACT in Daily Life (ACT-DL) app, a smartphone-delivered EMA/I. Participants utilized the ACT-DL app alongside eight face-to-face ACT sessions within the INTERACT study, a randomized controlled trial [27]. Our key findings from template analysis [31,32] of 17 semi-structured interviews revealed that using the ACT-DL app heightened awareness across various aspects of participants' lives and facilitated the integration of ACT therapy into daily routines. However, challenges were noted regarding the applicability of the ACT-DL app within participants' social, study, or work-related schedules, and some reported adverse effects associated with EMA.

Comparison With Previous Work

Other qualitative studies on the implementation of EMA in the daily life of different patient populations reported congruent outcomes concerning increased (self-)awareness. Findings from a feasibility and acceptability study focusing on EMA for recently discharged patients with psychotic-spectrum disorders [37], which included a small qualitative component, indicated that EMA was beneficial for certain participants by enhancing their awareness of symptoms and (un)productive activities. Another qualitative study on ESM, adding long-term EMA monitoring, episode alerts and personalized feedback to clinical care for patients with bipolar disorder [38], revealed that almost all patients reported increased awareness of (factors influencing their) mood, symptoms and behavior. A qualitative study on EMA for veterans with non-suicidal self-injury (NSSI) reported that numerous participants mentioned the acquisition of self-knowledge, specifically awareness and insight into their internal experiences [39].

Interestingly, a substantial proportion of participants in other qualitative studies [38,39] experienced the EMA as "reminders" of their symptoms or disease and described this as confrontational and sometimes worsening or triggering symptoms. In our study, this aspect was mentioned by only one of seventeen participants. The mainly positive value of increased self-awareness in our study might be attributed to the hybrid design, where EMA was integrated into the context of ACT face-to-face sessions. Blending the use of apps with evidence-based, i.e. face-to-face, therapy has been mentioned as a field worthy of investigation in a meta-analysis that found insignificant or small pooled effects of stand-alone smart-phone-based interventions for mental health issues [40]. Furthermore, ACT itself may have equipped participants with tools to

acknowledge and accept thoughts, feelings, and symptoms, rather than discard them as unwanted [20], thereby inherently aligning well with the heightened awareness fostered by EMA. This synergy between the two interventions has the potential to amplify their impact, as they complement each other in promoting self-awareness and acceptance.

The EMI segment, particularly the ACT- and mindfulness exercises on the ACT-DL app and/or media player on the study phone, emerged as an important positive factor for our participants. The exercises were perceived as useful and as promoting the integration of ACT principles into daily life. Furthermore, participants suggested placing more emphasis on, and expanding the availability of, the exercises during ACT-DL. Qualitative literature on ACT- or mindfulness exercises for (early) psychosis is, to our knowledge, scarce. However, in one qualitative study on a lifestyle program including mindfulness- for FEP, participants reported still using mindfulness 6 weeks post-treatment to stay grounded and to assist in making healthy lifestyle changes [41]. Quantitative literature on mindfulness for FEP shows general feasibility and acceptability [42,43] and reduced negative symptoms in the short term [44]. A mindfulness-based online social therapy for people with CHR-P showed promising results in terms of improving social functioning [45].

Our participants suggested that the therapeutic effect of the ACT-DL app could be enhanced by incorporating feedback to encourage reflection on their EMA responses. This finding resonates with a qualitative study on EMA for veterans with Non-Suicidal Self-Injury (NSSI), which revealed interest among participants in reviewing their responses to monitor changes and behavioral patterns [39]. Furthermore, a qualitative study on EMA, which incorporated feedback, reported generally positive comments regarding this aspect [38]. In summary, participants in qualitative studies on EMA for psychiatric symptoms, find the addition of feedback to their responses helpful.

Extending the period of use of the ACT-DL app, beyond the ACT sessions, was suggested by our participants to prolong the effectiveness of ACT and prevent loss of recently acquired skills. Building upon this idea, ACT-DL could assist in prolonging and reinforcing the treatment and might serve as secondary prevention by reintroducing its use when (early) symptoms occur. Quickly re-deploying previously learned skills, like self-awareness, acceptance, practicing mindfulness and committing to one's personal values has the potential to reduce the burden of symptoms and symptom-related stress. Access to the ACT-DL app after the study period could not be granted to participants of INTERACT, as the app is awaiting approval as a medical device.

In terms of applicability in daily social-, study-, and work-related routines, participants had doubts

about the current design and protocol of the ACT-DL app. They had various concerns, including lengthy questionnaires, frequent beeps, the repetitive order of questions, inconvenient timing, and a short timeframe for completing questionnaires. Some participants found having to use the app on a study phone rather than on their own phone inconvenient or shameful, echoing concerns in other qualitative studies that employed separate devices for EMA [37,39]. Furthermore, the repetitive nature of questions in EMA was mentioned by many participants as negatively influencing the validity of their answers. In other qualitative studies on EMA [37,39] a few participants expressed the repetitive nature of questions as negative, but the link with reduced validity of answers has not been made (or not been described). Presentation of the questions in random order or using a planned missing-data design [46], where a selection of the items is presented at each beep, may provide a solution. Our participants proposed an app design that allows customization, enabling choices such as selecting the number of daily beeps or adjusting response timeframes, or set the beeps to a lower frequency during work, study, or social situations. In other qualitative EMA studies, similar recommendations were made by participants: to personalize the questionnaires' content, frequency, and duration to better-fit participants' situation and treatment goal [38] or to allow participants to take a survey at their convenience rather than waiting for a scheduled beep [37]. It has been shown that particularly the length of the questionnaire may contribute to a higher burden and more careless responding [47], thus advocating for using shorter questionnaires.

A qualitative study that conducted focus groups with nine individuals who had experienced psychosis, exploring their ideas regarding the potential use of ESM (with EMA and EMI options) for individuals with psychosis [48], revealed that participants expressed concerns about frequent assessments potentially being burdensome. Additionally, they advised personalizing content to increase the potential usefulness of ESM. Thus, even without ever having used ESM, these participants expressed similar ideas on how to make these digital interventions useful and usable for people with (early) psychosis, to our participants. This emphasizes the importance of involving the individuals for whom treatments are intended in the earliest stages of development.

Limitations

The fact that the INTERACT study was an RCT limited the ACT-DL protocol in terms of flexibility and customization. Additionally, as part of the RCT, there was a week of ESM measurements pre- and post-ACT intervention, wherein participants received prompts with 58 questions, ten times a day

[26]. However, during the intervention period they received prompts with 17 questions, ten times a day for three consecutive days after each session. The study-related pre- and post-measurements, may have significantly biased the perceived overall burden of the ACT-DL app.

Considering the trustworthiness criteria [34,35], it is valid to question the level of credibility in our study, as not all strategies of this criterion were employed. For instance, we only utilized one method of data collection (semi-structured individual interviews), limiting our method triangulation. Additionally, we did not implement member checks, which involve seeking feedback on data and analysis from participants. Despite these limitations, credibility is reinforced by other strategies such as prolonged engagement, persistent observation, investigator triangulation, and partial data triangulation. Another potential limitation of our study is the use of convenience sampling, which may have introduced biases. Although our sample was largely heterogeneous and provided a diverse array of perspectives, it exhibited a skewed distribution towards more FEP participants than those classified as CHR-P. Furthermore, there was a higher proportion of female participants compared to male participants. Finally, a greater number of participants were either employed or engaged in studies, rather than being unemployed or on sick leave, resulting in a sample that may be relatively high-functioning. However, this is a characteristic often observed in the early stages of the psychotic spectrum.

Conclusions

Our findings indicate that the utilization of the ACT-DL app facilitates the integration of ACT into the daily routines of individuals with early psychosis. The majority of our participants attributed this to the increased awareness generated by EMA through questionnaires, as well as to the connection between ACT sessions and EMI, particularly the ACT- and mindfulness exercises. A minority or the participants reported that they had experienced no or negative effects of the ACT-DL app.

To further enhance the effects of the ACT-DL app, participants suggest incorporating feedback on provided answers and placing greater emphasis on the ACT- and mindfulness exercises. Certain participants experienced either no discernable impact, irritation, or unintended effects (increased contemplation) associated with the ACT-DL app. Furthermore, challenges arose with the applicability of the ACT-DL app within the social, academic, or occupational routines of participants. The specific reasons for these challenges were individual and diverse, and no apparent link was found with their early psychotic state. These findings underscore the necessity to develop EMIs that

respect individuals' daily activities, privacy preferences, and capabilities. As per the insights from our participants, this entails creating apps that are delivered on personal devices, with the flexibility to adjust parameters, for example, the frequency of notifications, timeframe after a beep, and overall duration of use.

Acknowledgements

We extend our sincere gratitude to all the participants who generously invested their time and energy in these interviews, providing us with incredibly valuable input for this article. We also express our deep appreciation to the INTERACT, IMPACT, and Odysseus investigator groups for their unwavering enthusiasm and guidance throughout the qualitative aspect of the INTERACT study, giving us essential support and information along the way.

The INTERACT and qualitative study on INTERACT were supported by an ERC Consolidator Grant (ERC – 2012 – StG, project 309767 – INTERACT), an ERC Proof-of-Concept Grant (842501) and an Odysseus grant (IMMERSE H2020 945263) to IMG as well as a NWO VENI Grant (no. 451 – 13 – 022) and DFG Heisenberg professorship (no. 389624707) to UR. JRB was supported by a Marie Skłodowska-Curie fellowship (101063326).

Author's Contributions

JB and AH designed this study and did the data collection (interviews and transcriptions). JB and LU created the initial coding template after coding 3 interviews, JB coded and analyzed the rest of the data. JB and LU interpreted the results, and were peer debriefed by JRB and MdK. J Bouws and LU wrote the manuscript. IMG and LdH supervised the entire project. All the authors have reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

References

1. Stone AA, Shifmann, S. Ecological momentary assessment in behavioral medicine. Ann Behav Med. 1994;16:199-202. doi: 10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.3.022806.091415

2. Shiffman S, Stone AA, Hufford MR. Ecological momentary assessment. Annu Rev Clin Psychol. 2008;4:1-32. doi:10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.3.022806.091415

- 3. Csikszentmihalyi M, Larson R. Validity and reliability of the Experience-Sampling Method. J Nerv Ment Dis. 1987;175(9):526-536. doi:10.1097/00005053-198709000-00004
- 4. Myin-Germeys I, Kuppens P, eds. The open handbook of experience sampling methodology:
 A step-by-step guide to designing, conducting, and analyzing ESM studies. 2nd ed. Leuven:
 Center for Research on Experience Sampling and Ambulatory Methods Leuven; 2022.
- 5. Trull TJ, Ebner-Priemer UW. Using experience sampling methods/ecological momentary assessment (ESM/EMA) in clinical assessment and clinical research: introduction to the special section. Psychol Assess. 2009;21(4):457-462. doi:10.1037/a0017653
- 6. Myin-Germeys I, Oorschot M, Collip D, Lataster J, Delespaul P, van Os J. Experience sampling research in psychopathology: opening the black box of daily life. Psychol Med. 2009;39(9):1533-1547. doi:10.1017/S0033291708004947
- 7. van Os J, Verhagen S, Marsman A, et al. The experience sampling method as an mHealth tool to support self-monitoring, self-insight, and personalized health care in clinical practice. Depress Anxiety. 2017;34(6):481-493. doi:10.1002/da.22647
- 8. Myin-Germeys I, Kasanova Z, Vaessen T, et al. Experience sampling methodology in mental health research: new insights and technical developments. World Psychiatry. 2018;17(2):123-132. doi:10.1002/wps.20513
- 9. Solhan MB, Trull TJ, Jahng S, Wood PK. Clinical assessment of affective instability: comparing EMA indices, questionnaire reports, and retrospective recall. Psychol Assess. 2009;21(3):425-436. doi:10.1037/a0016869
- 10. Heron KE, Smyth JM. Ecological momentary interventions: incorporating mobile technology into psychosocial and health behaviour treatments. Br J Health Psychol. 2010;15(Pt 1):1-39. doi:10.1348/135910709X466063
- 11. Myin-Germeys I, Klippel A, Steinhart H, Reininghaus U. Ecological momentary interventions in psychiatry. Curr Opin Psychiatry. 2016;29(4):258-263. doi:10.1097/YCO.000000000000055
- 12. Yung AR, Yuen HP, McGorry PD, et al. Mapping the onset of psychosis: the Comprehensive Assessment of At-Risk Mental States. Aust N Z J Psychiatry. 2005;39(11-12):964-971. doi:10.1080/j.1440-1614.2005.01714.x

13. McGorry PD, Hickie IB, Yung AR, Pantelis C, Jackson HJ. Clinical staging of psychiatric disorders: a heuristic framework for choosing earlier, safer and more effective interventions. Aust N Z J Psychiatry. 2006;40(8):616-622. doi:10.1080/j.1440-1614.2006.01860.x

- 14. Fusar-Poli P, McGorry PD, Kane JM. Improving outcomes of first-episode psychosis: an overview. World Psychiatry. 2017;16(3):251-265. doi:10.1002/wps.20446
- 15. Fusar-Poli P, Salazar de Pablo G, Correll CU, et al. Prevention of Psychosis: Advances in Detection, Prognosis, and Intervention. JAMA Psychiatry. 2020;77(7):755-765. doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2019.4779
- 16. Mei C, van der Gaag M, Nelson B, et al. Preventive interventions for individuals at ultra high risk for psychosis: An updated and extended meta-analysis. Clin Psychol Rev. 2021;86:102005. doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2021.102005
- 17. Bell IH, Alvarez-Jimenez M. (2019). Digital Technology to Enhance Clinical Care of Early Psychosis. Curr Treat Options Psych. 2019;6:256–270. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40501-019-00182-y
- 18. Valentine L, McEnery C, Bell I, et al. Blended Digital and Face-to-Face Care for First-Episode Psychosis Treatment in Young People: Qualitative Study. JMIR Ment Health. 2020;7(7):e18990. Published 2020 Jul 28. doi:10.2196/18990
- 19. Bell IH, Lim MH, Rossell SL, Thomas N. Ecological Momentary Assessment and Intervention in the Treatment of Psychotic Disorders: A Systematic Review. Psychiatr Serv. 2017;68(11):1172-1181. doi:10.1176/appi.ps.201600523
- 20. Hayes SC, Luoma JB, Bond FW, Masuda A, Lillis J. Acceptance and commitment therapy: model, processes and outcomes. Behav Res Ther. 2006;44(1):1-25. doi:10.1016/j.brat.2005.06.006
- 21. A-Tjak JG, Davis ML, Morina N, Powers MB, Smits JA, Emmelkamp PM. A meta-analysis of the efficacy of acceptance and commitment therapy for clinically relevant mental and physical health problems. Psychother Psychosom. 2015;84(1):30-36. doi:10.1159/000365764
- 22. Gaudiano BA, Herbert JD. Acute treatment of inpatients with psychotic symptoms using Acceptance and Commitment Therapy: pilot results [published correction appears in Behav Res Ther. 2020 Jan;124:103534]. Behav Res Ther. 2006;44(3):415-437. doi:10.1016/j.brat.2005.02.007

23. Johns LC, Oliver JE, Khondoker M, et al. The feasibility and acceptability of a brief Acceptance and Commitment Therapy (ACT) group intervention for people with psychosis: The 'ACT for life' study. J Behav Ther Exp Psychiatry. 2016;50:257-263. doi:10.1016/j.jbtep.2015.10.001

- 24. Bouws J, Henrard A, de Koning M, et al. Acceptance and Commitment Therapy for individuals at risk for psychosis or with a first psychotic episode: A qualitative study on patients' perspectives. Early Interv Psychiatry. 2024;18(2):122-131. doi:10.1111/eip.13442
- 25. Morris EMJ, Johns LC, Gaudiano BA. Acceptance and commitment therapy for psychosis: Current status, lingering questions and future directions. Psychol Psychother. 2024;97(1):41-58. doi:10.1111/papt.12479
- 26. Reininghaus U, Klippel A, Steinhart H, et al. Efficacy of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy in Daily Life (ACT-DL) in early psychosis: study protocol for a multi-centre randomized controlled trial [published correction appears in Trials. 2021 Aug 16;22(1):538]. Trials. 2019;20(1):769. Published 2019 Dec 26. doi:10.1186/s13063-019-3912-4
- 27. Myin-Germeys I, van Aubel E, Vaessen T, et al. Efficacy of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy in Daily Life in Early Psychosis: Results from the Multi-Center INTERACT Randomized Controlled Trial. Psychother Psychosom. 2022;91(6):411-423. doi:10.1159/000522274
- 28. van Aubel E, Bakker JM, Batink T, et al. Blended care in the treatment of subthreshold symptoms of depression and psychosis in emerging adults: A randomised controlled trial of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy in Daily-Life (ACT-DL). Behav Res Ther. 2020;128:103592. doi:10.1016/j.brat.2020.103592
- 29. van Aubel E, Vaessen T, Uyttebroek L, et al. Acceptance and Commitment Therapy in Daily Life (ACT-DL) in early psychosis: findings on treatment engagement and acceptability from the multi-center INTERACT randomized controlled trial. JMIR Preprints. 10/02/2024:57109. doi:10.2196/preprints.57109
- 30. Vaessen T, Steinhart H, Batink T, et al. ACT in Daily Life in early psychosis: an ecological momentary intervention approach. Psychosis. 2019;11(2);93-104. https://doi.org/10.1080/17522436.2019.1578401
- 31. Brooks J, McCluskey S, Turley E, King N. The Utility of Template Analysis in Qualitative Psychology Research. Qual Res Psychol. 2015;12(2):202-222. doi:10.1080/14780887.2014.955224
- 32. King N. Template analysis. University of Huddersfield. https://research.hud.ac.uk/research-

- subjects/human-health/ template-analysis/. Accessed March 7, 2023.
- 33. Braun V, Clarke V, eds. Thematic analysis, a practical guide. London: SAGE; 2022.
- 34. Korstjens I, Moser A. Series: Practical guidance to qualitative research. Part 4: Trustworthiness and publishing. Eur J Gen Pract. 2018;24(1):120-124. doi:10.1080/13814788.2017.1375092
- 35. Nowell LS, Norris JM, White DE, Moules NJ. Thematic Analysis: Striving to Meet the Trustworthiness Criteria. Int J Qual Methods. 2017;16(1). https://doi.org/10.1177/1609406917733847
- 36. Sandelowski M. Real qualitative researchers do not count: the use of numbers in qualitative research. Res Nurs Health. 2001;24(3):230-240. doi:10.1002/nur.1025
- 37. Moitra E, Gaudiano BA, Davis CH, Ben-Zeev D. Feasibility and acceptability of post-hospitalization ecological momentary assessment in patients with psychotic-spectrum disorders. Compr Psychiatry. 2017;74:204-213. doi:10.1016/j.comppsych.2017.01.018
- 38. Bos FM, Snippe E, Bruggeman R, Doornbos B, Wichers M, van der Krieke L. Recommendations for the use of long-term experience sampling in bipolar disorder care: a qualitative study of patient and clinician experiences. Int J Bipolar Disord. 2020;8(1):38. Published 2020 Dec 1. doi:10.1186/s40345-020-00201-5
- 39. Gromatsky M, Patel TA, Wilson SM, et al. Qualitative analysis of participant experiences during an ecological momentary assessment study of nonsuicidal self-injury among veterans. Psychiatry Res. 2022;310:114437. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2022.114437
- 40. Weisel KK, Fuhrmann LM, Berking M, Baumeister H, Cuijpers P, Ebert DD. Standalone smartphone apps for mental health-a systematic review and meta-analysis. *NPJ Digit Med*. 2019:2:118. Published 2019 Dec 2. doi:10.1038/s41746-019-0188-8
- 41. Thompson A, Senders A, Seibel C, et al. Qualitative analysis of the Meals, Mindfulness, & Moving Forward (M³) lifestyle programme: Cultivating a 'safe space' to start on a 'new path' for youth with early episode psychosis. *Early Interv Psychiatry*. 2021;15(1):87-95. doi:10.1111/eip.12913 von Hardenberg L, Leopold K, Stenzel N, et al. Feasibility and efficacy of an acceptance and mindfulness-based group intervention for young people with early psychosis (Feel-Good group). Front Psychiatry. 2022;13:943488. Published 2022 Sep 16. doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2022.943488
- 42. Li Y, Coster S, Norman I, et al. Feasibility, acceptability, and preliminary effectiveness of

mindfulness-based interventions for people with recent-onset psychosis: A systematic review. Early Interv Psychiatry. 2021;15(1):3-15. doi:10.1111/eip.12929

- 43. von Hardenberg L, Leopold K, Stenzel N, et al. Feasibility and efficacy of an acceptance and mindfulness-based group intervention for young people with early psychosis (Feel-Good group). Front Psychiatry. 2022;13:943488. Published 2022 Sep 16. doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2022.943488
- 44. MacDougall AG, Wiener JC, Puka K, et al. Effectiveness of a mindfulness-based intervention for persons with early psychosis: A multi-site randomized controlled trial. Schizophr Res. 2024;264:502-510. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2024.01.014
- 45. Alvarez-Jimenez M, Gleeson JF, Bendall S, et al. Enhancing social functioning in young people at Ultra High Risk (UHR) for psychosis: A pilot study of a novel strengths and mindfulness-based online social therapy. Schizophr Res. 2018;202:369-377. doi:10.1016/j.schres.2018.07.022
- 46. Silvia PJ, Kwapil TR, Walsh MA, Myin-Germeys I. Planned missing-data designs in experience-sampling research: Monte Carlo simulations of efficient designs for assessing within-person constructs. Behav Res Methods. 2014;46(1):41-54. doi:10.3758/s13428-013-0353-y
- 47. Eisele G, Vachon H, Lafit G, et al. The Effects of Sampling Frequency and Questionnaire Length on Perceived Burden, Compliance, and Careless Responding in Experience Sampling Data in a Student Population. Assessment. 2022;29(2):136-151. doi:10.1177/1073191120957102
- 48. de Thurah L, Kiekens G, Sips R, Teixeira A, Kasanova Z, Myin-Germeys I. Using Experience Sampling Methods to support clinical management of psychosis: The perspective of people with lived experience. Psychiatry Res. 2023;324:115207. doi:10.1016/j.psychres.2023.115207

Abbreviations

ACT: acceptance and commitment therapy

ACT-DL: acceptance and commitment therapy in daily life

CHR-P: clinical high risk for psychosis

EMA: Ecological Momentary Assessment

EMI: Ecological Momentary Intervention

EMA/I: Ecological Momentary Assessment and Intervention

ESM: Experience Sampling Method

FEP: first epsiode psychosis