

Pain Assessment Tools in Infants, Children, and Adolescents with Cancer: A Scoping Review Protocol

Mika Hirata, Noyuri Yamaji, Shotaro Iwamoto, Ayaka Hasegawa, Mitsuru Miyachi,
Takashi Yamaguchi, Daisuke Hasegawa, Erika Ota, Nobuyuki Yotani

Submitted to: JMIR Research Protocols
on: October 01, 2024

Disclaimer: © The authors. All rights reserved. This is a privileged document currently under peer-review/community review. Authors have provided JMIR Publications with an exclusive license to publish this preprint on its website for review purposes only. While the final peer-reviewed paper may be licensed under a CC BY license on publication, at this stage authors and publisher expressly prohibit redistribution of this draft paper other than for review purposes.

Table of Contents

Original Manuscript.....	5
Supplementary Files.....	15
Figures	16
Figure 1.....	17
Multimedia Appendixes	18
Multimedia Appendix 1.....	19
TOC/Feature image for homepages	20
TOC/Feature image for homepage 0.....	21

Pain Assessment Tools in Infants, Children, and Adolescents with Cancer: A Scoping Review Protocol

Mika Hirata¹ PhD; Noyuri Yamaji^{2, 3, 4} PhD; Shotaro Iwamoto⁵ PhD; Ayaka Hasegawa⁶ PhD; Mitsuru Miyachi⁷ PhD; Takashi Yamaguchi⁸ PhD; Daisuke Hasegawa⁹ PhD; Erika Ota³ PhD; Nobuyuki Yotani¹⁰ PhD

¹ Department of Child Health Nursing, Graduate School of Health Care and Nursing Juntendo University Chiba JP

² Institute of Clinical Epidemiology Showa Medical University Tokyo JP

³ Global Health Nursing Graduate School of Nursing St. Luke's International University Tokyo JP

⁴ Department of Family Nursing Graduate School of Medicine The University of Tokyo Tokyo JP

⁵ Mie Kids ? Family Home Care Clinic Mie JP

⁶ Pharmaceutical Department National Center for Child Health and Development Tokyo JP

⁷ Department of Pediatrics, Graduate School of Medical Science Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine Kyoto JP

⁸ Department of Palliative Medicine Kobe University Hyogo JP

⁹ Department of Pediatrics St. Luke's International Hospital Tokyo JP

¹⁰ Division of Palliative Medicine, National Center for Child Health and Development Tokyo JP

Corresponding Author:

Mika Hirata PhD

Department of Child Health Nursing, Graduate School of Health Care and Nursing
Juntendo University
2 Chome-5-1 Takasu, Urayasu City
Chiba
JP

Abstract

Background: Pain management in children with cancer is inadequate due to poor pain assessment, and evaluation using suitable tools is necessary. Despite the availability of many pain assessment scales, few studies have summarized the existing assessment tools, making it challenging to select a suitable scale.

Objective: This scoping review aims to map existing pain assessment tools for children with cancer and provide a comprehensive overview of pediatric cancer-related pain screening and assessment tools.

Methods: The scoping review will be conducted according to the guidelines by the Joanna Briggs Institute and reported following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses for Scoping Reviews framework. Electronic databases, including PubMed, CINAHL (Cumulative Index to Nursing & Allied Health Literature), CENTRAL (Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials), ICHUSHI (Japan Medical Abstracts Society), and Embase, were searched to identify eligible studies on November 20, 2023, without date or language restrictions. Studies that focused on assessment tools for evaluating pain in children (0-18 years) with cancer in a hospital or at home will be included. Two or more reviewers will select studies and extract data, and disagreements will be resolved through discussion. Pain assessment tools will be summarized in tabular format and described in a narrative synthesis.

Results: Through electronic database searches, we identified 3748 articles. This review will provide a comprehensive overview of pain assessment tools.

Conclusions: This scoping review is the first comprehensive effort to map existing tools for assessing pediatric cancer pain according to developmental stages. The findings are expected to enhance pain management practices in children with cancer and inform healthcare providers, policymakers, and other stakeholders. Clinical Trial: As this is a scoping review, registration in the trial registry is not mandatory. Therefore, this study provides preliminary planning through the publication of this protocol.

(JMIR Preprints 01/10/2024:66614)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2196/preprints.66614>

Preprint Settings

1) Would you like to publish your submitted manuscript as preprint?

Please make my preprint PDF available to anyone at any time (recommended).

Please make my preprint PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that my title and abstract will remain visible to all users.

Only make the preprint title and abstract visible.

✓ **No, I do not wish to publish my submitted manuscript as a preprint.**

2) If accepted for publication in a JMIR journal, would you like the PDF to be visible to the public?

✓ **Yes, please make my accepted manuscript PDF available to anyone at any time (Recommended).**

Yes, but please make my accepted manuscript PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that the title and abstract will remain visible.

Yes, but only make the title and abstract visible (see Important note, above). I understand that if I later pay to participate in [http://www.jmir.org/](#)

No. Please do not make my accepted manuscript PDF available to anyone.

Original Manuscript

Pain Assessment Tools in Infants, Children, and Adolescents with Cancer: A Scoping Review Protocol

Mika Hirata¹, Noyuri Yamaji,^{2,3,4} Shotaro Iwamoto⁵, Ayaka Hasegawa⁶, Mitsuru Miyachi⁷, Takashi Yamaguchi⁸, Daisuke Hasegawa⁹, Erika Ota³, and Nobuyuki Yotani¹⁰

¹ Department of Child Health Nursing, Graduate School of Health Care and Nursing, Juntendo University, Chiba, Japan

² Institute of Clinical Epidemiology, Showa Medical University, Tokyo, Japan

³ Global Health Nursing, Graduate School of Nursing Science, St. Luke's International University, Tokyo, Japan

⁴ Family Nursing, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

⁵ Mie Kids & Family Home Care Clinic, Mie, Japan

⁶ Pharmaceutical Department, National Center for Child Health and Development, Tokyo, Japan

⁷ Department of Pediatrics, Graduate School of Medical Science, Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine, Kyoto, Japan.

⁸ Department of Palliative Medicine, Kobe University, Hyogo, Japan

⁹ Department of Pediatrics, St. Luke's International Hospital, Tokyo, Japan

¹⁰ Division of Palliative Medicine, National Center for Child Health and Development

Word counts:

Abstract: 431

Main text: 1962 words

Keywords:

Oncology, Pediatrics, Pain assessment, Scoping review

Corresponding author:

Mika Hirata

Department of Child Health Nursing, Graduate School of Health Care and Nursing Juntendo University, Chiba, Japan

2 Chome-5-1 Takasu, Urayasu City, Chiba 279-0023

Phone: ☎81-47-35-4006

FAX: ☎81-47-35-0654

Email: m.hirata.gg@juntendo.ac.jp

Author's contribution

MH led the conceptualization, and other authors (NY, SI, AH, MM, TY, DH, EO, and NY) designed this work. MH and NY drafted the protocol, and SI, AH, MM, TY, DH, EO, and NY each made substantial contributions to critical revision of the work. All the named authors approved the final manuscript.

Abstract

Background: Pain management in children with cancer may be inadequate due to poor pain assessment, and evaluation using suitable tools is necessary. Despite the availability of many pain assessment scales, few studies have summarized the existing assessment tools, making it challenging to select a suitable scale.

Objectives: This scoping review aims to map existing pain assessment tools for children with cancer and provide a comprehensive overview of pediatric cancer-related pain screening and

assessment tools.

Methods: The scoping review will be conducted according to the guidelines by the Joanna Briggs Institute and reported following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses for Scoping Reviews framework. Electronic databases, including PubMed, CINAHL (Cumulative Index to Nursing & Allied Health Literature), CENTRAL (Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials), ICHUSHI (Japan Medical Abstracts Society), and Embase, will be searched to identify eligible studies, without date or language restrictions. We defined the eligibility criteria based on the population, concept, and context (PCC) format. Studies that focused on assessment tools for evaluating pain in children (0–18 years) with cancer in a hospital or at home will be included. Although there are no restrictions on study design, protocols and conference abstracts will be excluded. Two or more reviewers will select studies by reviewing the full text of relevant articles identified by titles and abstracts, and disagreements will be resolved through discussion. Two or more reviewers will extract predefined data items, including characteristics of included studies (e.g., author name, title of publication, year of publication, purpose of study, study setting, study population, outline of the assessment tool, study design, and findings) and the characteristics of assessment tools (e.g., types of tools, target population, assessor, validity, instructions, precautions, and advantages and disadvantages of the tools). Pain assessment tools will be summarized in tabular format and described in a narrative synthesis.

Results: Through electronic database searches on November 20, 2023, we identified 3748 articles. This review will provide a comprehensive overview of pain assessment tools.

Conclusions: This scoping review is the first comprehensive effort to map existing tools on pediatric cancer-related pain assessment tools for infants, children, and adolescents aged < 18 years, according to developmental stages. Based on the findings of this study, we will discuss future clinical and research implications for pain assessment and management in children with cancer. The findings are expected to enhance pain management practices in children with cancer and inform healthcare providers, policymakers, and other stakeholders.

Trial Registration: This is a scoping review, registration in the trial registry is not mandatory. This study provides a preliminary plan through the publication of this protocol.

Keywords: pediatric; infants; children; adolescents; pediatric cancer; developmental stage; pain assessment tool; scoping review

Introduction

Annually, an estimated 400,000 children and adolescents aged 0–19 years are diagnosed with all types of cancer [1, 2]. Due to advances in medical care, > 80% of these children will become long-term survivors in high-income countries, while < 30% are cured in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) [1, 3]. In Japan, 2000 diagnoses of childhood cancer are issued annually, and the five-year survival rate is reported to be 80% [4].

Palliative care for children refers to the active total care of the child's body, mind, and spirit, and involves providing support to the family. It begins when illness is diagnosed and continues regardless of whether a child receives treatment directed at the disease [5]. Children with cancer experience pain resulting from the disease itself, medical treatments (e.g., chemotherapy and radiation therapy), and procedures (e.g., lumbar punctures and bone-marrow punctures), from diagnosis to end-of-life or post-treatment [6]. Pain is one of the most distressing experiences for children during treatment [7]. Studies have shown that 39% of children undergoing cancer treatment and 58% of terminally ill children experience severe pain-related distress [8]. Schulte et al. suggest that ineffective pain management during treatment, combined with lifestyle factors, late effects, and psychological stress, may lead to long-term chronic pain [9]. Moreover, the child's experience of pain affects family members and is one of the factors that increase family emotional distress during treatment [10]. This distress, in turn, is transmitted to the child, creating a vicious cycle that exacerbates the patient's pain [11].

Underlying the inadequate pediatric cancer pain management is the lack of proper pain assessment, which is key in initiating pharmacologic or nonpharmacologic therapy to assess and modify the effectiveness of treatment and care. Self-report is the gold standard for pain assessment [12], and a 2015 report by Wolfe-Christensen et al. [13] found that 99% of children over the age of 13 and 96% of children aged 7–12 years can reliably self-report pain. Conversely, children express pain differently depending on their developmental stage and cognitive abilities, requiring the use of differing tools for pain assessment. Therefore, observation of developmental stage-specific expressions, selection of suitable pain assessment tools, and appropriate interventions are necessary. In addition, studies suggest that parents often detect their child's pain more quickly than healthcare providers do [14]. In a 2019 report, Madden et al. indicated that both children and caregivers rated pain and lack of appetite as the most distressing symptoms, with a strong correlation between children's and parents' report of pain ($r_s > 0.8$) [15]. Therefore, parental involvement must also be considered in the assessment of pain in children. Although many tools for pain assessment exist [16], few studies have mapped availability based on children's developmental stages. This study aims to map existing studies on the assessment of cancer-related pain in pediatric patients. Moreover, it summarizes the characteristics of children's expression of cancer pain across developmental stage, as well as tools, instruments, and indicators used for pain assessment and their associated outcomes. This study is also expected to summarize parental involvement and outcomes of cancer-related pain assessment. Based on the findings, the need for future research on pain management in pediatric cancer will be discussed. Thus, our primary goal is to synthesize existing literature on cancer-related pain assessment tools for infants, children, and adolescents aged < 18 years, ultimately contributing to more accurate pain assessments essential for effective pain management.

Methods

Study Design

We will conduct a scoping review following the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) Manual for Evidence Synthesis [17]. Research team members discussed and revised the drafted protocol. The final report will be presented following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR) [18]. This study will address the question, “what pain assessment tools are available to measure pediatric cancer-related pain according to the child’s developmental stages?”

Eligibility Criteria

The eligibility criteria will be decided based on the population, concept, context (PCC) format and type of study [17].

Population

We will include studies that have targeted infants, children, and adolescents aged between 0 and 18 years [19] with any type (e.g., leukemia, tumor, or sarcoma) and any phase (e.g., pre-diagnosis, during treatment, and post-treatment) of cancer. If the studies have focused on a mixed population, including adults and those with other diseases, we will include the studies in which over 80% of the population meet our eligibility criteria.

Concept

We will include studies that have investigated, described, and validated assessment tools, including scales, instruments, questionnaires, charts, worksheets, and measurements, to assess cancer-related pain. Cancer-related pain was defined as pain caused by the cancer itself and cancer treatments (e.g., chemotherapy, stem cell transplantation, immunotherapy, hormone therapy, or radiation). We will include studies that have investigated comprehensive symptom assessment tools if they also include pain assessment as an item. However, we will exclude studies if these tools assess other concepts, such as quality of life. Self-assessment by children with cancer and proxy pain assessment by parents, caregivers, and healthcare professionals will also be included. While cancer pain is often chronic and multifaceted, procedural and postoperative pain is typically acute and directly related to specific interventions and time limitations [20, 21]. Thus, to focus more on cancer-related pain, studies that have focused on procedural or postoperative pain will be excluded. Studies that utilize measurement tools without providing details about them, such as those evaluating the effectiveness of an intervention, will also be excluded.

Context

We will include studies conducted in any healthcare (e.g., hospitals, hospices, and clinics) and home settings to assess pain in children with cancer.

Types of Studies

We will include both quantitative and qualitative studies, as well as systematic reviews published in English and Japanese. In particular, we will include the following studies: experimental and quasi-experimental (e.g., randomized controlled trials, non-randomized controlled trials, before and after studies), observational (e.g., prospective and retrospective cohort, case-control, and cross-sectional studies), descriptive observational (e.g., case series, individual case reports, and descriptive cross-sectional), exploratory (e.g., in-depth interviews

and focus group) studies. To map detailed information regarding pain assessment tools, we will exclude protocols and abstracts. Moreover, to ensure the quality of the studies, we will exclude grey literatures.

Search Strategies and Information Sources

We will search electronic bibliographic databases, including PubMed, Cumulative Index to Nursing & Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), Japan Medical Abstracts Society (ICHUSHI), and Embase to identify relevant studies using text words and index terms at a facility. Search strategies will be developed with a health librarian for each database. We will use keywords based on the PCC format, including “children,” “cancer,” “assessment tool,” and “pain.” Our search strategy for PubMed is shown in Appendix 1. Moreover, we will search the identified relevant studies by checking the reference lists of included studies and textbooks. We will not set any limits on the search period and language.

Study Selection

In this study, we will organize a research team. We will screen using Rayyan [22] and report the relevant studies following the PRISMA flow diagram (Figure 1). All search results will be retrieved and imported to a reference management software (EndNote) and duplicate entries will be removed by checking them individually. First, two or more reviewers will independently read the title and abstract and assess the studies that meet our eligibility criteria. Second, two or more reviewers will independently read the full text and assess the eligible studies. Disagreements will be resolved by discussion, and a third author will be included if needed.

Data Extraction

The research team collaboratively developed a data chart in Microsoft Excel to extract and record data based on the research question. Initially, we intend to employ this format for one or two studies and refine it accordingly, if necessary. Two or more reviewers will extract the relevant data from the included studies.

Data Items

We will collect data pertaining to the characteristics of the included studies and assessment tools.

The following are characteristics of included studies: author name, title of publication, year of publication, purpose of study, study setting, study population, outline of the assessment tool, study design, and findings (Table 1).

The characteristics of assessment tools will include types of tools (e.g., scale, instrument, or measurement), target population, assessor (e.g., self, parents, caregivers, healthcare professionals), validity (including for Japanese children with cancer), instructions, precautions, and advantages and disadvantages of the tools (Table 2).

Table 1. Dummy Table of Characteristics of Included Studies

Study ID	Author, publication year	Title	Purpose of studies	Study setting	Study population	Assessment tools	Study design	Findings
1								
2								
3								

Table 2. Dummy Table of Characteristics of Assessment Tools

Study ID	Author, publication year	Types of tools	Target population	Assessors	Validity and reliability	Instruction	Precautions	Advantages and disadvantages
1								
2								
3								

Critical Appraisal of Individual Sources of Evidence

We have no plans to assess the risk of bias in individual studies, as the review aims to map the current evidence rather than synthesize study findings.

Synthesis of Results

The characteristics of the included studies and of assessment tools utilized to assess cancer-related pain in pediatric patients will be summarized in a tabular format and narratively described. In this synthesis, we will stratify self- and peer-administered assessment tools and present detailed information (e.g., appropriate age range, types of pain, and instructions). Subsequently, we will discuss the selection of assessment tools for more accurate pain assessment and the identified research gaps.

Ethics and Dissemination

Formal ethical approval is not required, as primary data will not be collected in this study. The findings will be disseminated through a presentation at a conference and publication in a peer-reviewed journal.

Results

We conducted a database search on 20 November 2023 and identified 3748 articles related to pain assessment tools for children with cancer. This study will map these tools based on the existing evidence, providing a comprehensive overview of pediatric cancer-related pain screening and assessment tools. The final report is planned for submission to a peer-reviewed journal in 2025.

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first comprehensive scoping review aimed at investigating pediatric cancer-related pain screening and assessment tools and at providing detailed information, such as target population, assessors, instructions, and validation. Although many pain assessment tools exist [16], few studies have mapped the available pain assessment tools and their instructions according to pediatric developmental stages.

The findings from this comprehensive scoping review would inform pain management practices in pediatric oncology. Healthcare professionals can be better equipped to select the most appropriate assessment tools by mapping available tools considering developmental stages, assessors, and instructions for various tools. This tailored approach will lead to more accurate pain assessments, which is crucial for effective pain management. Moreover, a clearer understanding of available tools and their applications could enhance communication among healthcare professionals, children, and families regarding pain assessment. Respecting children's voices will foster more child- and family-centered care approaches. Ultimately, this

may improve pain management outcomes and the quality of life for children with cancer-related pain. These findings may also serve as a valuable resource for healthcare professionals, policymakers, and other stakeholders in alleviating pain for children with cancer and their families.

The findings of this review will highlight areas where further research is needed, particularly in developing or validating tools for specific age groups. This can guide future research efforts to fill these gaps.

Strength and Limitation

We will conduct a scoping review following the JBI Manual for Evidence Synthesis to map rapidly the existing evidence related to pain assessment tools for children with cancer. By conducting searches and screening based on rigorous methods, it is possible to comprehensively collect and describe existing evidence. The review will be reported according to the PRISM-ScR, ensuring the provision of necessary information. However, potential limitations exist. Although a scoping review depends on the quality of the included studies, this study will not assess the risk of bias as the aim of this study is to map existing evidence. Because we plan to conduct a bibliographic database-based search, it will be challenging to identify textbooks, books, and other publications not included in the databases. Consequently, some relevant literature on pain assessment tools may be missed.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the Japanese Society for Palliative Medicine.

We would like to thank Editage (<https://www.editage.com/>) for the English language editing. We acknowledge the use of perplexity, a generative AI tool, in preparing this manuscript. Unfortunately, the original transcripts of our conversations were not retained. However, perplexity was utilized for language editing and proofreading purposes.

Data Availability

All data produced during this study will be included in the comprehensive review article and its supplementary information files.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

References

1. World Health Organization. CureAll framework: WHO global initiative for childhood cancer: increasing access, advancing quality, saving lives. World Health Organization. 2121. URL: <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/347370> [accessed 2024-07-17]
2. Steliarova-Foucher E, Colombet M, Ries LAG, Moreno F, Dolya A, Bray F, et al. International incidence of childhood cancer, 2001-10: a population-based registry study. *Lancet Oncol*. Jun 2017;18(6):719-31. PMID: 28410997. doi: 10.1016/s1470-2045(17)30186-9.
3. Lam CG, Howard SC, Bouffet E, Pritchard-Jones K. Science and health for all children with cancer. *Science*. Mar 15 2019;363(6432):1182-6. PMID: 30872518. doi: 10.1126/science.aaw4892.
4. National Cancer Center. Number of children with childhood cancer (cancer statistics). 2023

- [cited 2025 02/03]; Available from: https://ganjoho.jp/public/life_stage/child/patients.html.
5. World Health Organization. Palliative care for children. 2023. URL: <https://www.who.int/europe/news-room/fact-sheets/item/palliative-care-for-children> [accessed 2024-07-17]
 6. Tutelman PR, Chambers CT, Stinson JN, Parker JA, Fernandez CV, Witteman HO, et al. Pain in Children With Cancer: Prevalence, Characteristics, and Parent Management. *Clin J Pain*. Mar 34 2018;(3):198-206. PMID: 28678061. doi: 10.1097/ajp.0000000000000531.
 7. Baggott C, Dodd M, Kennedy C, Marina N, Miaskowski C. Multiple symptoms in pediatric oncology patients: a systematic review. *J Pediatr Oncol Nurs*. Nov-Dec 2009;26(6):325-39. PMID: 19687466. doi: 10.1177/1043454209340324.
 8. Wolfe J, Orellana L, Ullrich C, Cook EF, Kang TI, Rosenberg A, et al. Symptoms and Distress in Children With Advanced Cancer: Prospective Patient-Reported Outcomes From the PediQUEST Study. *J Clin Oncol*. Jun 10 2015;33(17):1928-35. PMID: 25918277. doi: 10.1200/jco.2014.59.1222.
 9. Schulte FSM, Patton M, Alberts NM, Kunin-Batson A, Olson-Bullis BA, Forbes C, et al. Pain in long-term survivors of childhood cancer: A systematic review of the current state of knowledge and a call to action from the Children's Oncology Group. *Cancer*. Jan 1 2021;127(1):35-44. PMID: 33112416. doi: 10.1002/cncr.33289.
 10. Borrescio-Higa F, Valdés N. The Psychosocial Burden of Families with Childhood Blood Cancer. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2022;19(1):599. PMID: doi:10.3390/ijerph19010599.
 11. Link CJ, Fortier MA. The Relationship Between Parent Trait Anxiety and Parent-reported Pain, Solicitous Behaviors, and Quality of Life Impairment in Children With Cancer. *J Pediatr Hematol Oncol*. Jan 2016;38(1):58-62. PMID: 26056789. doi: 10.1097/mp.0000000000000376.
 12. Twycross A, Voepel-Lewis T, Vincent C, Franck LS, von Baeyer CL. A Debate on the Proposition that Self-report is the Gold Standard in Assessment of Pediatric Pain Intensity. *Clin J Pain*. 2015;31(8):707-12. PMID: 00002508-201508000-00005. doi: 10.1097/ajp.0000000000000165.
 13. Wolfe-Christensen C, Guy WC, Mancini M, Kovacevic LG, Lakshmanan Y. Evidence of Need to Use Self-Report Measures of Psychosocial Functioning in Older Children and Adolescents with Voiding Dysfunction. *J Urol*. 2016 May;195(5):1570-4. PMID: 26626220. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2015.11.045.
 14. Wolfe J, Grier HE, Klar N, Levin SB, Ellenbogen JM, Salem-Schatz S, et al. Symptoms and suffering at the end of life in children with cancer. *N Engl J Med*. Feb 3 2000;342(5):326-33. PMID: 10655532. doi: 10.1056/nejm200002033420506.
 15. Madden K, Magno Charone M, Mills S, Dibaj S, Williams JL, Liu D, et al. Systematic Symptom Reporting by Pediatric Palliative Care Patients with Cancer: A Preliminary Report. *J Palliat Med*. Aug 2019;22(8):894-901. PMID: 30759031. doi: 10.1089/jpm.2018.0545.
 16. Duffy EA, Dias N, Hendricks-Ferguson V, Hellsten M, Skeens-Borland M, Thornton C, et al. Perspectives on Cancer Pain Assessment and Management in Children. *Semin Oncol Nurs*. Jun 2019;35(3):261-73. PMID: 31078340. doi: 10.1016/j.soncn.2019.04.007.
 17. Peters MDJ GC, McInerney P, Munn Z, Tricco AC, Khalil, H. Chapter 11: Scoping Reviews (2020 version). In: Aromataris E, Munn Z (Editors). *JBIM Manual for Evidence Synthesis 2020*. URL: <https://synthesismanual.jbi.global> [accessed 2024-07-17]
 18. Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. *Ann Intern Med*. Oct 2 2018;169(7):467-73. PMID: 30178033. doi: 10.7326/m18-0850.

19. McGoldrick D. The United Nations convention on the rights of the child. *International Journal of Law, Policy and the Family*. 1991;5(2):132-69.
20. Caraceni A, Shkodra M. Cancer Pain Assessment and Classification. *Cancers (Basel)*. Apr 10 2019;11(4). PMID: 30974857. doi: 10.3390/cancers11040510.
21. National Cancer Institute. Cancer Pain (PDQ®)–Health Professional Version. 2024 [cited 2025 0205]; Available from: <https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/treatment/side-effects/pain/pain-hp-pdq>.
22. Ouzzani M, Hammady H, Fedorowicz Z, Elmagarmid A. Rayyan — a web and mobile app for systematic reviews. *Systematic Reviews*. 2016;5:210. doi: 10.1186/s13643-016-0384-4.

Abbreviations

CENTRAL: Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials

CINAHL: Cumulative Index to Nursing & Allied Health Literature

ICHUSHI: Japan Medical Abstracts Society

JBI: Joanna Briggs Institute

LMIC: low- and middle-income countries


PCC: population, concept, context

PRISMA-ScR: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Extension for Scoping Reviews

Supplementary Files

Figures

PRISMA Flow Diagram.



Multimedia Appendixes

Search strategy for PubMed.

URL: <http://asset.jmir.pub/assets/da9b400cbdbc6929cc7e94a9eed65d56.pdf>



TOC/Feature image for homepages

Untitled.

