

Effect of Immersive Virtual Reality Teamwork Training on Safety Behaviors During Surgical Cases: Non-randomized Intervention vs. Control Pilot Study

Lukasz Mazur, Logan Butler, Cody Mitchell, Shaian Lashani, Shawna Buchanan, Christi Fenison, Karthik Adapa, Xianming Tan, Selina An, Jin Ra

Submitted to: JMIR Medical Education
on: September 05, 2024

Disclaimer: © The authors. All rights reserved. This is a privileged document currently under peer-review/community review. Authors have provided JMIR Publications with an exclusive license to publish this preprint on its website for review purposes only. While the final peer-reviewed paper may be licensed under a CC BY license on publication, at this stage authors and publisher expressly prohibit redistribution of this draft paper other than for review purposes.

Table of Contents

Original Manuscript..... 5

Supplementary Files..... 17

..... 17

Multimedia Appendixes 18

 Multimedia Appendix 1..... 18



Effect of Immersive Virtual Reality Teamwork Training on Safety Behaviors During Surgical Cases: Non-randomized Intervention vs. Control Pilot Study

Lukasz Mazur^{1, 2} PhD; Logan Butler¹ MD; Cody Mitchell¹ BS; Shaian Lashani¹ BS; Shawna Buchanan¹ BSN; Christi Fenison¹ MA; Karthik Adapa¹ PhD, MD; Xianming Tan³ PhD; Selina An⁴ MD; Jin Ra⁴ MD

¹ University of North Carolina, School of Medicine, Department of Radiation Oncology, Division of Healthcare Engineering Chapel Hill US

² University of North Carolina, School of Information and Library Science Chapel Hill US

³ University of North Carolina, Biostatistics, Gillings School of Global Public Health Chapel Hill US

⁴ University of North Carolina, School of Medicine, Department of Surgery Chapel Hill US

Corresponding Author:

Lukasz Mazur PhD

University of North Carolina, School of Medicine, Department of Radiation Oncology, Division of Healthcare Engineering
Campus Box 7512
Chapel Hill
US

Abstract

Background: Approximately 4000 preventable surgical errors occur per year in the US operating rooms (ORs), many due to suboptimal teamwork and safety behaviors.

Objective: There is a need to develop and quantify the effect of innovative and immersive training intervention on safety behaviors of surgeons in the operating rooms (ORs).

Methods: This pilot study was conducted in a large academic medical center with 55 ORs. Safety behaviors were observed and quantified using validated Teamwork Evaluation of Non-Technical Skills (TENTS) instrument during surgical cases at baseline (101 observations; n=83 physicians) and post immersive virtual reality (VR) based intervention (post intervention: 24 observations within each group: intervention group (with VR training; n=10 physicians) and control (no VR training; n=10 physicians)). VR intervention included a 45-minute immersive VR-based training incorporating a pre- and post-debriefing based on Team Strategies and Tools to Enhance Performance and Patient Safety (TeamSTEPPS) principles to improve safety behaviors. A two-tailed, two-sample t-test with adjustments for multiplicity of the tests was used to test for significance in observable safety behaviors between the groupings.

Results: Pre-intervention, all safety behaviors averaged slightly above 'acceptable' scores, with an overall average of 2.2 (range 2.0-2.3; 0-3 scale). The ten physicians that underwent our intervention showed statistically significant improvements in 90% (18/20) of safety behaviors when compared to the ten physicians that did not receive the intervention (overall average [range]: 2.5 [2.3-2.7] vs. 2.1 [1.9-2.2]).

Conclusions: VR-based immersive training intervention focused on TeamSTEPPS principles seems effective in improving safety behaviors in the ORs as quantified via observations using the TENTS instrument. Clinical Trial: None

(JMIR Preprints 05/09/2024:66186)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2196/preprints.66186>

Preprint Settings

1) Would you like to publish your submitted manuscript as preprint?

✓ **Please make my preprint PDF available to anyone at any time (recommended).**

Please make my preprint PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that my title and abstract will remain visible to all users.

Only make the preprint title and abstract visible.

No, I do not wish to publish my submitted manuscript as a preprint.

2) If accepted for publication in a JMIR journal, would you like the PDF to be visible to the public?

✓ **Yes, please make my accepted manuscript PDF available to anyone at any time (Recommended).**

Yes, but please make my accepted manuscript PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that the title and abstract will remain visible to the public.

Yes, but only make the title and abstract visible (see Important note, above). I understand that if I later pay to participate in <http://www.jmir.org/>, I will be able to make my accepted manuscript PDF available to anyone.

No. Please do not make my accepted manuscript PDF available to anyone.

Original Manuscript

Introduction

High-quality health care necessitates ongoing efforts to reduce the occurrence of medical errors.[1] Surgical patients face heightened risks of adverse outcomes related to errors due to the invasive nature of surgical procedures.[2] It is estimated that more than 4,000 preventable surgical errors occur annually on a national scale.[1], [2] Such errors can result in temporary or permanent harm to patients, including physical injury, emotional distress, or even death, and can also adversely affect care providers, often referred to as the "second victim." A notable example is the unintended retention of foreign objects, which is believed to happen at least once in every 5,500 surgeries.[3] This can lead to the need for reoperation, extended hospital stays, and complications such as sepsis. Furthermore, the average additional cost associated with each incident of unintended retention is estimated to exceed \$200,000.[4] Common underlying causes of surgical errors identified by the Joint Commission include the lack of established policies and procedures, issues related to hierarchy and intimidation, ineffective communication among care team, and the failure of staff to relay pertinent patient information.[5] Additionally, factors such as excessive workload, time constraints, and burnout are linked to increased error rates (ref). Addressing these root causes has proven challenging, as complex healthcare delivery systems tend to evolve over time, leading to the emergence of new failure sources and pathways. Teamwork skills are often essential for preventing such errors that could lead to patient harm.[6], [7] To address these issues, the Team Strategies and Tools to Enhance Performance and Patient Safety (TeamSTEPPS) framework was specifically designed as a resource to help healthcare providers improve patient safety behaviors through effective communication, leadership, situation monitoring, and mutual support.[8], [9], [10] By utilizing the TeamSTEPPS framework it is possible to assess the utilization and quality of patient safety education and behaviors among operating room (OR) staff and establish a baseline for improvement.

Virtual reality (VR) is a digital technology that enables a virtual manifestation of the real world.[11] VR provides a more interactive and captivating experience compared to viewing a conventional video, as it fully envelopes the viewer within the narrative. [12] In VR, the audience becomes an integral part of the story rather than merely an onlooker. The advantages of immersive VR primarily include: i) viewers are placed within a 360-degree environment, where each movement of the head unveils new dimensions of the scene. Conversely, traditional video confines viewers to a fixed perspective on a flat screen, ii) participants in VR actively engage with the narrative, rather than remaining passive spectators, and iii) a profound experiential learning opportunity, allowing participants to engage with the contextual realities of a surgical error in surgical environment.

While live mock simulations with standardized patients are employed for certain healthcare scenarios, the logistical demands of accurately recreating a surgical environment are substantial. These include the coordination of a full surgical team, time spent in an operating room, and the creation of a realistic setting, along with patient representation and various special effects that must align with the error, necessitating cleanup and reset after each simulation. The extensive resources required make this approach neither cost-effective nor scalable for providing multiple realistic experiences for individual learners. Furthermore, a live mock simulation often fails to address the comprehensive needs of learners, as it does not provide insights into the broader contributing factors and repercussions that extend beyond the confines of the operating room. While this disconnect may not significantly affect certain types of learning, such as factual recall, the deeper cognitive processing of theoretical scenarios—particularly in the context of complex situations—could be enhanced through more experiential learning methods like VR.[13] Experiential learning fosters a personalized and cognitive interaction with educational content, highlighting the relationship between learning and its practical application in the real world. If healthcare workers are to engage in sensemaking regarding the intricate realities associated with adverse events, VR may provide distinct

advantages over 2D content and live mock simulations by offering an immersive perspective of events as they would unfold in real life.

In recent years, VR has seen increasing use for safety training across industries.[14]-[17] VR safety training has great potential, as it allows trainees to experience complex, challenging situations that are difficult to replicate in the real world due to ethical, cost, and time constraints. However, there is a lack of research examining the efficacy of immersive VR-based focused on safety education and behaviors as proposed by TeamSTEPPS framework. Thus, given the persistence of adverse events in the ORs, the objective of this pilot study was to quantify the effect of an innovative and immersive VR-based educational intervention focus on TeamSTEPPS on i) safety behaviors of surgeons in the operating rooms (ORs) and ii) sensemaking regarding the overall training experience and contributing factors associated with the surgical error.

Methods

This study obtained an ethics approval via the institutional review board at the participating institution. Patients or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, reporting, or dissemination plans of our research. The protocol for the full mixed-methods pre- versus post-intervention study design was published in JMIR Research Protocols.[18]

Recruitment

A scripted email with a flyer was sent through a Surgery departmental listserv to inform prospective participants about the study. Our research team was on standby to answer any questions from prospective participants, and the principal investigators' contact information was available on the flyer. First, for the baseline measurement, a volunteer sample of 83 general surgeons (35 attendings, 41 residents, and 7 fellows; 36 female and 47 male) were enrolled and participated. Physicians volunteered by providing a verbal consent to be observed and scored for safety behaviors before each surgical case. One physician refused to participate (no reasons stated). For the pilot study, 10 out of 83 (12%) physicians (7 attendings and 3 residents; 5 female and 5 male) volunteered to undergo the immersive VR-based educational intervention and to undergo the follow-up observations and scoring. Additional 10 out of 83 (12%) physicians (10 attendings and 0 residents; 3 female and 7 male) volunteered to undergo follow-up observation and scoring without being exposed to the intervention.

Study Design

This mixed methods pre- vs. post-intervention study with a baseline and intervention/control groups was conducted at a large academic medical center with 55 ORs. For the baseline measurement, safety behaviors were quantified while observing 101 surgical cases from 10/31/2022 to 02/21/2023 with 83 general surgeons. For the pilot study, 24 observations within each group were conducted from 4/17/23 to 11/2/2023 with 10 general surgeons in the intervention and control group respectively. Data was collected using TENTS instrument. We opted for the TENTS instrument instead of alternatives such as the TeamSTEPPS Team Performance Observation Tool due to our requirement for a more focused evaluation of non-technical teamwork aspects. TENTS is specifically designed to assess non-technical dimensions of teamwork, encompassing team member interactions, task delegation, and information management, which makes it particularly relevant in situations where technical skills are not the primary concern. Additionally, TENTS provides a more detailed observational framework, allowing for a comprehensive evaluation of specific teamwork behaviors, in contrast to the broader categories covered by TeamSTEPPS Team Performance Observation Tool. In our study, we utilized TENTS items 1A to 4D to assess individual behaviors of physicians in independent settings (except for the 3 residents who were part of the intervention group), while items 5 and 6 were employed to evaluate the overall team functioning and leadership. To train the

observers for scoring items 5 and 6, we assembled a team of 17 medical student volunteers who were tasked with conducting observations using the TENTS instrument. They utilized a simplified scoring scale ranging from zero to three, where 0 indicates expected behavior not observed, 1 signifies observed behavior that was poorly executed or counterproductive, 2 denotes acceptable performance, and 3 represents excellent performance. The students received 1.5 hours of instruction on TeamSTEPPS patient safety behaviors and were trained to apply the validated TENTS instrument consistently. Following this, they participated in facility tours and were guided through the observation protocol, which included a practical demonstration of the TENTS scoring process. The students were assigned to surgical cases by aligning their weekly schedules with the relevant cases, while being blinded to the treatment status of the physicians during post-intervention observations. TENTS scores were recorded during real-time observations of surgical procedures using paper forms, and students were required to provide written justifications for behaviors rated as one (poor) or three (excellent) to offer context for their evaluations.

Interventions/Exposures: We utilized state-of-the-art filming equipment to capture a 360° view of the event and the perspective of those involved in the error event and contributing events. We built the scripts for the scenes, recruited actors (attendings, residents, students, administrators) with lived experiences in health care to help with the filming, identified filming locations, rehearsed all the scenes, and filmed our scenes. This training was delivered to the participants using a VR head-mounted display to ensure an immersive environment (see Multimedia Appendix 1 for a 1-minute summary clip of the training). Specifically, we used Pico Neo 3 Pro Eye headset with 6DoF VR hardware/software to administer the training.

Participants were exposed to a 45-minute immersive VR-based training based on TeamSTEPPS principles to improve safety behaviors. Overall, our overarching training story is focused on a human error that occurs at the operating table but is caused by many factors as explained by the James Reason's Swiss Cheese Model[19] and Human Factors Analysis and Classification System (HFACS). [20] Training involved a standardized pre- and post-briefing aimed at comprehending and identifying potential behavioral enhancements within the training narrative, grounded in TeamSTEPPS principles. Specifically, we sought to collect participants' perspectives on the overall training experience and their interpretation of the TENTS and HFACS-related factors that contributed to the patient safety incident illustrated in the VR training. The debriefing data underwent analysis through the phenomenological analysis method [21] to gain insights into how participants interpreted the training and the patient safety incidents they encountered, thereby refining their understanding, behavior, and commitment to TeamSTEPPS practices. This qualitative analysis was performed by two researchers, an experienced surgical attending and a fourth-year surgical resident, utilizing the data frame theory of sensemaking.[22] The primary objective of this qualitative effort was to enhance our understanding of the main outcomes and measures outlined below.

Main Outcomes and Measures: The primary outcome measures were the pooled average and 95% confidence interval (CI) of observed TeamSTEPPS related behaviors quantified using the validated TENTS instrument (including 20 types of safety behaviors across 4 domains [communication, leadership, situation monitoring, and mutual support] scored from 0 = expected but not observed, 1 = observed but poorly performed or counterproductive, 2 = observed and acceptable, and 3 = observed and excellent).

Statistical Analysis

A two-tailed, analysis of variance was used to test for significance between the groupings. For given comparators (e.g. (1) vs (2) or (2) vs (3)), we used a false discovery rate (FDR) control (the Benjamini-Hochberg procedure) to adjust the resulting p-values (from the two-sample t-tests) to

account for the multiplicity of the tests. We claimed a result to be statistically significant if the adjusted P -value is less than 0.05. All analyses were performed with R statistical software. Data analysis was conducted from 8/10/2023 to 12/1/2023.

Results

Pre-intervention, all safety behaviors averaged slightly above 2 ('acceptable'), with an overall average of 2.2 (range 2.0-2.3). There was no significant difference between the intervention and the control group at the pre-intervention stage. Results indicated that the ten physicians that underwent our intervention showed statistically significant ($P < 0.05$) improvements in 90% (18/20) of safety behaviors when compared to the ten physicians that did not receive the intervention (overall average [range]: 2.5 [2.3-2.7] vs. 2.1 [1.9-2.2]; see Table 1). Our qualitative analysis revealed 492 individual quotes. Results suggest that the observed behavioral changes are a result of a sensemaking emerging from five specific themes as discussed below.

Discussion

Principal Results

The results show that participants exposed to our intervention displayed improved levels of safety behaviors, as quantified by the TENTS instrument, in 90% of the safety behaviors measured. Specifically, quantitative data suggests that surgical teams were more effective in developing and maintaining a dynamic awareness of the situation in the operating room. This was achieved by assembling and understanding data from various sources (e.g., patient, team, time, displays, equipment), and using strong communication and leadership skills to think ahead and provide clear direction while being considerate of individual team members' needs. Importantly, these improvements were observed not only at the individual level, as shown by the TENTS instrument, but also in the overall team functioning and leadership, as indicated by aggregate measures of 'how well the team functioned as a whole' and 'how well the leaders functioned', and 'how the team responded'.

The two behaviors that did not reach statistical significance, despite trending positively, were 1D: communicating and receiving information with patients, and 4B: willingness to support others across different roles (see Table 1). For the communication behavior, very few such interactions were observed during the surgical cases, limiting our assessment of this behavior. Regarding the willingness to support others across roles, this was the highest scoring behavior at baseline, suggesting it may have been challenging to improve further. This implies that in the dynamic and complex surgical environment, team members may struggle to step outside their designated roles to provide support to one another, as they focus intently on delivering excellent patient care and ensuring safety within their specific responsibilities.

Our qualitative analysis suggests that these behavioral improvements materialized from enhanced understanding of skills needed in the OR by reinforcing critical behaviors related to sensemaking of themes presented below.

Need for Effective Teamwork and Communication

The VR training modeled effective vs ineffective team communication, demonstrating how dismissing/ignoring concerns can lead to errors and decrease team trust. Participants may have practiced assertive communication, such as how a resident can escalate a concern when an anesthesiologist is distracted or how a surgical tech can improve instrument handling. VR also emphasized calling out errors in a constructive way, rather than scolding/ignoring them.

The most consistent topics addressed by the subjects during the post-study interview were teamwork and communication and how these traits are essential for a well-functioning OR. Participants noted how the VR training vividly illustrated both the consequences of poor communication and the benefits of a cohesive team. The training emphasized that errors often arise not solely from technical mistakes but from an inability to effectively relay and escalate concerns. The lack of teamwork and communication in the ineffective VR scenario was particularly alarming to participants. One individual highlighted the disconnect among team members: *"There was clearly not a deep relationship between the surgeon, the resident, the scrub, the circulator, and the anesthesiologist; they just seemed completely disconnected [and] in their own worlds."* Another key issue was the absence of assertive communication when concerns arose. One participant recalled: *"The resident did pick up on the change in the heart rate tone, questioned the anesthesiologist about it, who blew her off."* The absence of closed-loop communication was another prevalent theme. Participants remarked on how essential feedback loops were often missing in the ineffective scenarios, which contributed to preventable errors: *"No closed-loop communication. Just a lot of people suggesting things but the other person either wasn't listening or just kind of ignored it and moved along. Even when it was something that could have prevented safety issues."* Overall, the post-study interviews reinforced that teamwork and communication are foundational to OR effectiveness. The VR training provided a powerful demonstration of how dismissing concerns, failing to engage in open dialogue, and neglecting structured communication can significantly hinder patient safety. By recognizing these pitfalls and emphasizing assertive, closed-loop communication, participants reported a newfound appreciation for fostering a more cohesive and communicative OR team.

Emphasis of Empathetic Workplace Culture and Psychological Safety

VR heightened participants' awareness of how fatigue, dismissive communication, and team dynamics impact psychological safety in the OR. By simulating real-world scenarios, it demonstrated how exhaustion and distractions compromise decision-making, how seemingly minor quips or sarcasm can erode team cohesion, and how validating trainee concerns fosters a culture of safety and respect. This reinforced the importance of clear, professional communication and proactive leadership in creating a supportive and effective surgical intervention.

The VR training underscored how the psychological safe environment of the OR directly impacts team effectiveness, patient safety, and overall workplace culture. Participants became more empathetic and attuned to how fatigue, dismissive behavior, and team dynamics can create a toxic vs supportive surgical setting. By immersing participants in scenarios where exhaustion led to oversight, sarcasm eroded trust, and concerns were either validated or dismissed, the training highlighted the importance of maintaining a psychologically safe and healthy work environment. One of the most striking realizations was how fatigue and distraction—often seen as inevitable in surgical practice—could significantly impair decision-making and communication. As one participant noted, *"Exhaustion, lack of sleep, and lack of focus on the attending's part... distraction from the anesthesiologist... these are indicators that their wellness score is probably not stellar."* Additionally, the training revealed how subtle, seemingly harmless behaviors can undermine psychological safety. Participants observed how sarcastic remarks or casual quips, even when meant humorously, created an environment where individuals felt less comfortable speaking up. *"There were a lot of quips...I don't think they contributed too much. And they can be detrimental."* Another crucial takeaway was the need to legitimize concerns when raised, rather than allowing the pressures of a high case load to override safety. One participant reflected, *"I think we can always do better legitimizing people raising concerns...the pressure to feel rushed and move quickly ... can obviously be counterproductive."* Perhaps most telling was the recognition that team dynamics set the tone for the entire OR. When interpersonal relationships are strained, it affects everyone, from the attending

surgeon to the anesthesia tech. One participant encapsulated this sentiment: *"Because I think we've all been in rooms where [if] the staff doesn't get along ...it makes it miserable for everyone."* The VR training effectively demonstrated how the psychological environment of the OR shapes both patient safety and team dynamics, making participants more aware of the subtle but powerful ways fatigue, communication styles, and validation of concerns impact surgical outcomes. By immersing participants in realistic scenarios, the training seemed to inspire an appreciation for ORs where they do have a psychologically healthy environment.

Need for Leadership with Personal Responsibility and Accountability

VR simulation highlighted the ripple effect of individual actions -- how fatigue, inattention, or lack of engagement from a team member impacts the whole OR. It also reinforced that leaders (attending, residents, nurses) set the tone for safety culture, whether by ensuring protocols are followed or by fostering an environment where concerns can be raised. The VR training may have helped participants recognize their personal accountability in maintaining OR safety and identifying behaviors that contribute to or undermine team effectiveness.

The training reinforced the critical role that leadership plays in shaping OR culture, particularly in fostering accountability and ensuring adherence to safety protocols. Participants observed how leadership—or the lack thereof—had a cascading effect on communication, decision-making, and overall team cohesion. By placing participants in scenarios where leadership failures led to errors or unsafe practices, the training emphasized the responsibility of every OR member to contribute to a culture of accountability. A key takeaway was the attending surgeon's responsibility in setting the tone for the OR environment. As one participant remarked, *"The attending surgeon sort of sets the tone in many ways, and by not looking into concerns...[and] cutting corners in order to be able to increase throughput...—that's just not good leadership."* Beyond the attending, participants recognized how personal accountability extends to every team member. The training exposed moments where concerns were voiced quietly but never formally escalated, leading to missed opportunities to address potential safety issues. One participant reflected, *"...everyone was making little comments, but no one was, again, like really saying them. They were all kind of talking to themselves...."* The VR also made participants more aware of how the pressure to move quickly can lead to cutting corners, potentially compromising patient outcomes. One participant acknowledged, *"We all get caught up in rush, rush, rush...and I've done that before, where you look through the labs, like, it's probably fine. It usually is. But what if it's not?"* Ultimately, the training reinforced the idea that each case demands responsibility and accountability. The VR experience demonstrated how a disengaged or inattentive leader could undermine these traits by dismissing concerns or prioritizing efficiency over protocol.

Need for Stability

The VR training elicited participants' desires and personal experiences to have surgical teams that are consistent and connected. It is understandable that those who are working in a high-stakes, high-stress environment would want to mitigate other factors that could lead to negative patient outcomes and/or contribute to workplace burnout and distress. The VR training showed participants what can happen with a more discordant or unstable working environment which can mimic reality, and many participants were quick to point out how deleterious that can be to the dynamics of an operating room.

The training highlighted the critical role that stability plays in fostering an effective and supportive surgical environment. Participants emphasized the need for consistency in team composition,

resource availability, and leadership presence—elements that are often taken for granted but can significantly impact patient safety and staff well-being. In the high-stakes, high-stress environment of the OR, an unstable or discordant team structure can lead to inefficiencies, miscommunication, and increased burnout, all of which were vividly demonstrated in the VR scenarios. Many participants noted how instability—whether due to staffing shortages, systemic pressures, or administrative constraints—can disrupt OR dynamics. One participant reflected on the broader hospital structure, stating, *"It didn't seem like there was support from a majority of people...No one person can do it all."* A major recurring theme was the strain placed on attendings who were expected to be in multiple places at once, highlighting the impact of systemic pressures on OR stability. As one participant observed, *"I mean, there were clearly systemic pressures for the attending to be in multiple places at one time, I would say primarily pressure for throughput, short staffing."* This speaks to the broader challenges of balancing efficiency with quality care. This particularly resonated with our interviewees as it is something that a vast majority of healthcare workers can relate to. By experiencing the challenges of an unstable OR environment with the constant, relatable pressure to do more, participants gained a deeper appreciation for the structures and policies needed to foster a more reliable and effective surgical setting.

Emphasis on Outcome and Attention to Detail

This VR module showed participants what can happen when means don't at all justify ends. In a system where outcomes, whether it be number of cases completed or the speed of the operation, are prioritized over how those endpoints are achieved can lead to consequential errors. While operating rooms need to maintain a high level of efficiency, the consequences of doing so can come at the expense of the patient. It can be challenging for surgeons to balance their commitment to good patient care with intense pressures for increased efficiency and decreased case turnover time.

The VR training underscored the risks of prioritizing efficiency and case volume over patient safety and procedural integrity. Participants recognized how a results-driven culture—where speed and throughput are emphasized over safe surgical practice—can lead to critical errors and compromise team effectiveness. While efficiency is a necessary component of modern surgical workflows, the VR scenarios illustrated the consequences of allowing productivity pressures to override fundamental principles of patient care. One participant stated, *"many other factors—fatigue [and] the pressure from hospital administration for revenue and productivity,"* when reflecting on the systemic pressures driving an outcome-based mindset. The VR module demonstrated how this tunnel vision manifests at different levels of the OR team. One participant observed, *"The resident's main mission was 'I gotta close so I can go to the other room.' The surgeon was like 'I gotta get these 12 cases done because that's what administration says.' The circulator was like 'We gotta get these cases done so we can all go home.'"* Participants also reflected on the human cost of this approach—not just for the patients but for the surgical teams themselves. One particularly striking insight was, *"...it's fine to be efficient. It's not fine to be in a hurry. And I think that it's really, really important for all of us to think about...."* The training allowed participants to experience the tension between efficiency and quality care, a concept that is ubiquitous among healthcare settings. By highlighting the potential dangers of an outcome-driven approach, the VR module reinforced the need for deliberate, methodical teamwork, where safety is never sacrificed in the name of speed or the bottom line.

The VR training also reinforced the critical importance of attention to detail in the operating room, highlighting how even small lapses in accuracy can lead to significant consequences. By immersing participants in real-world scenarios, the module demonstrated how factors such as fatigue, communication breakdowns, and time pressures can contribute to oversights. It emphasized that

attention to detail is not just an individual responsibility but a collective effort, where every team member plays a role in maintaining surgical precision.

The training reinforced the critical role of accuracy in the operating room, emphasizing how seemingly minor lapses in attention to detail can have significant consequences for patient outcomes. Participants recognized that surgical safety is not solely dependent on technical skill but also on the thoroughness of preoperative preparation, intraoperative vigilance, and collective situational awareness. Several interviewees pointed out the dangers of neglecting critical details in the OR. For example, many participants highlighted that the failure to properly assess a patient's anticoagulation status before surgery leads to cancellation of cases and delays in care. "Another key theme was the lack of a shared mental model among the surgical team, leading to fragmented awareness of the patient's condition. As one participant described, *"I'm not sure that there was a complete understanding of the entire situation... that global shared mental model of where everybody was, the implications of the decisions, and how things were happening was kind of missing."* The scenarios also illustrated that once the ball was set in motion, no one had the care or will to change it. One participant noted, *"It seemed like both the surgeon and the resident didn't have a good sense of who the patient was, what the case was, if they were on anticoagulation. And then even when the resident realized and brought it up to the attending, the attending was like, 'It's fine, it's too late, moving on.'"* Ultimately, the VR experience reinforced the necessity of meticulous preparation, comprehensive team awareness, and an environment where concerns are acknowledged rather than dismissed. It demonstrated that attention to detail is not merely an individual responsibility but a collective effort, where every member of the surgical team plays a vital role in ensuring safe and effective patient care.

Comparison with Prior Work

This pilot study is the first to quantify the effects of an immersive VR-based educational intervention focused on improving TeamSTEPPS-related behaviors among surgeons in the operating room. Overall, the findings align with previous non VR-based research highlighting the importance of teamwork training for enhancing soft skills critical to patient safety.[23]-[27]. Our findings also align with the conclusions of the work by Abelson and colleagues [11] that supports the notion that VR is a feasible solution for team-based training, and Gasteiger and colleagues [12] that postulate that technical and nontechnical skills training programs using VR for health care staff may trigger perceptions of realism and deep immersion and enable easier visualization, interactivity, enhanced skills, and repeated practice in a safe environment, which in turn may improve skills and increase learning, knowledge, and learner satisfaction. Notably, prior work using VR to teach TeamSTEPPS for cesarean section surgery showed that the VR-based content improved teamwork competencies in interprofessional surgical teams.[28] By addressing the need for teamwork training, while utilizing the TeamSTEPPS framework, and incorporating innovative educational technologies like VR, this study demonstrated how collaboration among surgical team members can be enhanced.[28] Finally, in a randomized trial, Liaw and colleagues showed that learning outcomes did not show an inferiority of team training using VR when compared with live simulations, which supports the potential use of VR to substitute conventional simulations for communication team training.[13]

However, many of these initiatives concentrate on skills pertinent to the immediate context of errors, such as communication and teamwork in the operating room, as well as technical competencies, while often neglecting training related to systemic flaws, the culture of patient safety, and the unreliable thought processes and behaviors that can lead to mistakes or hinder their prevention. We propose that trainees' comprehension of the factors leading to patient safety incidents as highlighted by the HFACS framework, their sensemaking of safety behaviors as outlined by TENTS tool, and their understanding of TeamSTEPPS principles in the context of the surgical error can lead to

improvements in patient safety.

Limitations

While the findings of this study offer valuable insights, there are several important limitations to consider. First, the results are based on a single experiment with a relatively small sample size from one academic medical center. To address the small sample size, we used a two-tailed, analysis of variance for significance between the groupings using the FDR to adjust the resulting p-values (from the two-sample t-tests) to account for the multiplicity of the tests. For a two-tailed, analysis of variance for significance between the groupings, without the FDR control the analysis would require approximately 68 participants to obtain a medium and to large effect size, power level of 0.8, and an alpha of 0.05. Larger-scale studies could also take into account various confounding factors, such as training levels, gender, and race. Additionally, the possibility that more motivated individuals enrolled in the intervention group may have skewed the results. Second, the participants' awareness of being observed may have influenced their performance, potentially biasing the results toward better patient safety practices. To mitigate this effect, all participants were afforded the opportunity to withdraw from the study at any time and were assured that their individual results would remain confidential. Third, the TENTS instrument and scoring could be imperfect. We address this by conducting robust training and practice with the TENTS tool and by blinding students to the treatment status of the physicians during post-intervention observations. Despite the limitations associated with this approach, the involvement of medical student volunteers was essential for executing this extensive observational study without incurring financial costs. Future iterations of this research could leverage such a program, benefiting both the institution through enhanced understanding of behaviors in operating rooms and the students through valuable operating room exposure and hospital experience. In summary, while the findings offer valuable insights, the limitations of this single-site study with a small sample size and potential participant bias must be considered. Larger, more robust studies will be needed to validate and expand upon these preliminary results. There is also a need for longitudinal studies to assess effects over time.

Conclusions

A VR-based immersive training program focused on TeamSTEPPS principles appears effective at improving safety behaviors, as measured by the TENTS tool. Our qualitative analysis based on 492 quotes from participants, suggest that the observed behavioral changes are a result of an immersive experience and sensemaking of key training concepts where participants could see the consequences of suboptimal teamwork (e.g., poor leadership and communication, lack of attention to detail, failure to take responsibility, low psychological safety to speak up, etc.). Given the persistent patient safety issues in operating rooms nationwide, such innovative and immersive patient safety education programs could provide a scalable intervention to help reduce patient harm in the long run. However, further research with larger, more diverse sample sizes is needed to confirm the generalizability of these findings.

References

1. Rodziewicz TL, Houseman B, Vaqar S, et al. Medical error reduction and prevention. In: *StatPearls* [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2024 Feb 12 [updated 2024 Feb 12]. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK499956/>
2. Panagioti M, Khan K, Keers RN, et al. Prevalence, severity, and nature of preventable patient harm across medical care settings: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ*. 2019;366:l4185. doi:10.1136/bmj.l4185
3. Cima RR, Kollengode A, Garnatz J, Storsveen AS, Weisbrod CA, Deschamps C. Incidence

- and characteristics of potential and actual retained foreign object events in surgical patients. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2008;207(1):80-87. doi:10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2007.12.047
4. Williams T, Tung DK, Steelman VM, Chang PK. Retained surgical sponges: findings from incident reports and a cost-benefit analysis of radiofrequency technology. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2014;219(3):354-364. doi:10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2014.03.052
 5. The Joint Commission. Sentinel event alert 51: preventing unintended retained foreign objects. Published May 1, 2022. Accessed May 1, 2022. Available from: <https://tinyurl.com/3k8tn89r>
 6. Keebler JR, Dietz AS, Lazzara EH, et al. Validation of a teamwork perceptions measure to increase patient safety. *BMJ Qual Saf*. 2014;23(9):718-726. doi:10.1136/bmjqs-2013-001942
 7. Costar DM, Hall KK. Improving team performance and patient safety on the job through team training and performance support tools: a systematic review. *J Patient Saf*. 2020;16(3S Suppl 1):S48-S56. doi:10.1097/PTS.0000000000000746
 8. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. TeamSTEPPS 3.0. Accessed September 6, 2024. Available from: <https://www.ahrq.gov/teamstepps-program/index.html>
 9. Skaret MM, Weaver TD, Humes RJ, Carbone TV, Grasso IA, Kumar H. Automation of the I-PASS tool to improve transitions of care. *J Healthc Qual*. 2019;41(5):274-280. doi:10.1097/JHQ.0000000000000174
 10. Lin WT, Mayer C, Lee BO. Validity and reliability of the Teamwork Evaluation of Non-Technical Skills tool. *Aust J Adv Nurs*. 2019;36(3):67-74. doi:10.37464/2019.363.1460
 11. Abelson JS, Symer MM, Peters A, et al. Virtual operating room for team training in surgery. *Am J Surg*. 2015;210(3):585-590. doi:10.1016/j.amjsurg.2015.01.024
 12. Gasteiger N, van der Veer SN, Wilson P, Dowding D. How, for whom, and in which contexts or conditions augmented and virtual reality training works in upskilling health care workers: realist synthesis. *JMIR Serious Games*. 2022;10(1):e31644. doi:10.2196/31644
 13. Liaw SY. Nurse-physician communication team training in virtual reality versus live simulations: randomized controlled trial on team communication and teamwork attitudes. *J Med Internet Res*. 2020;22(4):e17279. doi:10.2196/17279
 14. Zhao J, Xu X, Jiang H, Ding Y. The effectiveness of virtual reality-based technology on anatomy teaching: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies. *BMC Med Educ*. 2020;20(1):127. doi:10.1186/s12909-020-1994-z
 15. Kyaw BM, Saxena N, Posadzki P, et al. Virtual reality for health professions education: systematic review and meta-analysis by the Digital Health Education Collaboration. *J Med Internet Res*. 2019;21(1):e12959. doi:10.2196/12959
 16. Wang P, Wu P, Wang J, Chi HL, Wang X. A critical review of the use of virtual reality in construction engineering education and training. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2018;15(6):1267. doi:10.3390/ijerph15061267

17. Makransky G, Klingenberg S. Virtual reality enhances safety training in the maritime industry: an organizational training experiment with a non-WEIRD sample. *J Comput Assist Learn*. 2022. doi:10.1111/jcal.12670
18. Mazur LM, Mosaly PR, Moore C, et al. A novel theory-based virtual reality training to improve patient safety culture in the department of surgery of a large academic medical center: protocol for a mixed methods study. *JMIR Res Protoc*. 2022;11(8):e40445. doi:10.2196/40445
19. Reason J. Human error: models and management. *BMJ*. 2000;320(7237):768-770. doi:10.1136/bmj.320.7237.768
20. Wiegmann DA, Shappell SA. *A Human Error Approach to Aviation Accident Analysis: The Human Factors Analysis and Classification System*. 1st ed. Routledge; 2017:45-71. doi:10.4324/9781315263878
21. Alase A. The interpretative phenomenological analysis (IPA): a guide to a good qualitative research approach. *Int J Educ Literacy Stud*. 2017;5(2):9-19. doi:10.7575/aiac.ijels.v.5n.2p.9
22. Klein G, Phillips JK, Rall EL, Peluso DA. A data-frame theory of sensemaking. In: Hoffman RR, ed. *Expertise Out of Context: Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Naturalistic Decision Making*. Routledge; 2007:45-71. doi:10.4324/9780203810088
23. McEwan D, Ruissen GR, Eys MA, Zumbo BD, Beauchamp MR. The effectiveness of teamwork training on teamwork behaviors and team performance: systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled interventions. *PLoS One*. 2017;12(1):e0169604. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169604
24. Todsen T, Melchior J, Wennerwaldt K. Use of virtual reality to teach teamwork and patient safety in surgical education. In: *2018 IEEE Conference on Virtual Reality and 3D User Interfaces (VR)*. 2018. doi:10.1109/VR.2018.8446469
25. Aaberg OR, Hall-Lord ML, Husebø SIE, Ballangrud R. A human factors intervention in a hospital - evaluating the outcome of a TeamSTEPPS program in a surgical ward. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2021;21(1):114. doi:10.1186/s12913-021-06071-6
26. Shi R, Wang L, Li J, et al. Operating room in situ interprofessional simulation for improving communication and teamwork. *J Surg Res*. 2021;260:237-244. doi:10.1016/j.jss.2020.11.051
27. Kaplan HJ, Liang Y, Frakes CE, et al. Risk reduction strategy to decrease incidence of retained surgical items. *J Am Coll Surg*. 2022;235(3):494-499. doi:10.1097/XCS.0000000000000264
28. Khoshnoodifar M, Emadi N, Mosalanejad L, Maghsoodzadeh S, Shokrpour N. A new practical approach using TeamSTEPPS strategies and tools: an educational design. *BMC Med Educ*. 2024;24(1):22. doi:10.1186/s12909-023-04803-2

Supplementary Files

Untitled.

URL: <http://asset.jmir.pub/assets/46b92b92dc03c6e89dd848e02507b1b1.docx>

Multimedia Appendixes

1min overview clip of our VR training used in this study.

URL: <http://asset.jmir.pub/assets/490377b0a1be90d9cc46befa4ae93709.mp4>