

# **A Web-Based Mind-Body Intervention to Improve Resilience among Patients with Nontraumatic Upper Extremity Conditions and Comorbid Risky Substance Use: Protocol for a Mixed Methods Study**

Nadine Levey, Neal Chen, Joseph Ditre, Louisa Sylvia, Chaitanya Mudgal, Abhiram Bhashyam, Rohit Garg, David Ring, Ana-Maria Vranceanu, Jafar Bakhshaie

Submitted to: JMIR Research Protocols  
on: July 25, 2024

**Disclaimer:** © The authors. All rights reserved. This is a privileged document currently under peer-review/community review. Authors have provided JMIR Publications with an exclusive license to publish this preprint on its website for review purposes only. While the final peer-reviewed paper may be licensed under a CC BY license on publication, at this stage authors and publisher expressly prohibit redistribution of this draft paper other than for review purposes.

Table of Contents

Original Manuscript..... 5

Supplementary Files..... 35

    Figures ..... 36

        Figure 1..... 37

        Figure 2..... 38

        Figure 3..... 39

    Multimedia Appendixes ..... 40

        Multimedia Appendix 0..... 41

# A Web-Based Mind-Body Intervention to Improve Resilience among Patients with Nontraumatic Upper Extremity Conditions and Comorbid Risky Substance Use: Protocol for a Mixed Methods Study

Nadine Levey<sup>1</sup> BA; Neal Chen<sup>2, 3</sup> MD; Joseph Ditte<sup>4</sup> PhD; Louisa Sylvia<sup>2</sup> PhD; Chaitanya Mudgal<sup>2, 3</sup> MS, MD; Abhiram Bhashyam<sup>2, 3</sup> MD, PhD; Rohit Garg<sup>2, 3</sup> MBBS; David Ring<sup>5</sup> MD, PhD; Ana-Maria Vranceanu<sup>2, 1</sup> PhD; Jafar Bakhshaie<sup>2, 1</sup> MD, PhD

<sup>1</sup>Center for Health Outcomes and Interdisciplinary Research, Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital Boston US

<sup>2</sup>Harvard Medical School Boston US

<sup>3</sup>Hand and Arm Center, Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Massachusetts General Hospital Boston US

<sup>4</sup>Center for Health Behavior Research & Innovation, Department of Psychology, Syracuse University Syracuse US

<sup>5</sup>Dell Medical School, The University of Texas at Austin Austin US

## Corresponding Author:

Jafar Bakhshaie MD, PhD

Center for Health Outcomes and Interdisciplinary Research, Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital

1 Bowdoin Sq., Suite 100

Boston

US

## Abstract

**Background:** Non-traumatic upper-extremity conditions (NPUCs) are largely age-related degenerations that affect the majority of adults. Most patients with NPUCs do not seek medical care and adjust on their own. Among those who do seek care, approximately 20% report risky substance use, defined as a consumption pattern that increases the risk of harm to physical or psychosocial health. In the context of NPUC, risky substance use is associated with more intense pain, emotional distress, disability, and opioid or other substance misuse (i.e., cross-tolerance). Consequently, risky substance use is a significant modifiable risk factor for the progression and maintenance of chronic pain-related disability and comorbid psychopathology among patients with NPUCs.

**Objective:** This study aims to develop, adapt, and test the feasibility of the Toolkit for Resilient Life bEeyond pain and SubStance use (Web-TIRELESS), a novel asynchronous web-based mind-body intervention aimed at modifying maladaptive pain-coping behaviors in patients with comorbid NPUC and risky substance use. The present manuscript illustrates the proposed study design, methodology, and intervention content.

**Methods:** In Aim I, we will conduct live video qualitative interviews (N=up to 20) with care-seeking adult NPUC patients with comorbid risky substance use to inform the development and refinement of Web-TIRELESS and study procedures. In Aim II, we propose an open pilot study (N=up to 12) of Web-TIRELESS with exit interviews and pre- and post-intervention assessments to evaluate the feasibility, credibility, and acceptability of Web-TIRELESS and refine study procedures. Aim III consists of a pilot feasibility randomized controlled trial (RCT) of Web-TIRELESS versus Minimally Enhanced Usual Care (Web-MEUC) (N=50), both of which follow a web-based modality, to demonstrate the feasibility of recruitment procedures and data collection; and the feasibility, credibility, and acceptability of Web-TIRELESS and the control condition (adherence, retention, fidelity, and satisfaction), following prespecified benchmarks.

**Results:** Patient interviews (Aim I) have concluded and results are pending qualitative analysis. The overall completion of the study is anticipated by mid-2027.

**Conclusions:** We will develop and test Web-TIRELESS, the first asynchronous mind-body intervention specifically adapted to enhance resilience in response to chronic pain among individuals with NPUCs and comorbid risky substance use. Results from this three-aim study (feasibility, acceptability, and satisfaction of Web-TIRELESS) will be leveraged to inform a future efficacy RCT of Web-TIRELESS versus the Web-MEUC control. Clinical Trial: ClinicalTrials.gov NCT06366633

(JMIR Preprints 25/07/2024:64547)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2196/preprints.64547>

## Preprint Settings

1) Would you like to publish your submitted manuscript as preprint?

✓ **Please make my preprint PDF available to anyone at any time (recommended).**

Please make my preprint PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that my title and abstract will remain visible to all users.

Only make the preprint title and abstract visible.

No, I do not wish to publish my submitted manuscript as a preprint.

2) If accepted for publication in a JMIR journal, would you like the PDF to be visible to the public?

✓ **Yes, please make my accepted manuscript PDF available to anyone at any time (Recommended).**

Yes, but please make my accepted manuscript PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that the title and abstract will remain visible to all users.

Yes, but only make the title and abstract visible (see Important note, above). I understand that if I later pay to participate in <http://www.jmir.org/>

## Original Manuscript

**A Web-Based Mind-Body Intervention to Improve Resilience among Patients with  
Nontraumatic Upper Extremity Conditions and Comorbid Risky Substance Use: Protocol for a  
Mixed Methods Study**

**Nadine Levey, BA<sup>1</sup>, Neal Chen, MD<sup>2,3</sup>, Joseph W. Ditre, PhD<sup>4</sup>, Louisa G. Sylvia, PhD<sup>3</sup>,  
Chaitanya Mudgal, MD, MS<sup>2,3</sup>, Abhiram Bhashyam, MD, PhD<sup>2,3</sup>, Rohit Garg, MBBS<sup>2,3</sup>,  
David Ring, MD, PhD<sup>5</sup>, Ana-Maria Vranceanu, PhD<sup>1,3</sup>, and Jafar Bakhshaie, MD,  
PhD<sup>1,3</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Center for Health Outcomes and Interdisciplinary Research, Department of Psychiatry,  
Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>2</sup>Hand and Arm Center, Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston,  
MA

<sup>3</sup>Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>4</sup>Center for Health Behavior Research & Innovation, Department of Psychology, Syracuse  
University, Syracuse, NY, USA

<sup>5</sup>Dell Medical School, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA

**Paper type:** Original paper

**Data Availability Statement:** Once the trial is complete, data will be made available upon request.

**Corresponding Author:** Jafar Bakhshaie, PhD, Center for Health Outcomes and Interdisciplinary  
Research, Department of Psychiatry, Massachusetts General Hospital/Harvard Medical School, One  
Bowdoin Square, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Suite 100, Boston, MA 02114

Telephone: 832-538-8333

Email: [jbakhshaie@mgh.harvard.edu](mailto:jbakhshaie@mgh.harvard.edu)

## Abstract

**Background:** Non-traumatic upper-extremity conditions (NPUCs) are largely age-related

degenerations that affect the majority of adults. Most patients with NPUCs do not seek medical care and adjust on their own. Among those who do seek care, approximately 20% report risky substance use, defined as a consumption pattern that increases the risk of harm to physical or psychosocial health. In the context of NPUC, risky substance use is associated with more intense pain, emotional distress, disability, and opioid or other substance misuse (i.e., cross-tolerance). Consequently, risky substance use is a significant modifiable risk factor for the progression and maintenance of chronic pain-related disability and comorbid psychopathology among patients with NPUCs.

**Objective:** This study aims to develop, adapt, and test the feasibility of the Toolkit for Resilient Life bEeyond pain and SubStance use (Web-TIRELESS), a novel asynchronous web-based mind-body intervention aimed at modifying maladaptive pain-coping behaviors in patients with comorbid NPUC and risky substance use. The present manuscript illustrates the proposed study design, methodology, and intervention content.

**Methods:** In Aim I, we will conduct live video qualitative interviews (N=up to 20) with care-seeking adult NPUC patients with comorbid risky substance use to inform the development and refinement of Web-TIRELESS and study procedures. In Aim II, we propose an open pilot study (N=up to 12) of Web-TIRELESS with exit interviews and pre- and post-intervention assessments to evaluate the feasibility, credibility, and acceptability of Web-TIRELESS and refine study procedures. Aim III consists of a pilot feasibility randomized controlled trial (RCT) of Web-TIRELESS versus Minimally Enhanced Usual Care (Web-MEUC) (N=50), both of which follow a web-based modality, to demonstrate the feasibility of recruitment procedures and data collection; and the feasibility, credibility, and acceptability of Web-TIRELESS and the control condition (adherence, retention, fidelity, and satisfaction), following prespecified benchmarks.

**Results:** Patient interviews (Aim I) have concluded and results are pending qualitative analysis. The overall completion of the study is anticipated by mid-2027.

**Conclusions:** We will develop and test Web-TIRELESS, the first asynchronous mind-body

intervention specifically adapted to enhance resilience in response to chronic pain among individuals with NPUCs and comorbid risky substance use. Results from this three-aim study (feasibility, acceptability, and satisfaction of Web-TIRELESS) will be leveraged to inform a future efficacy RCT of Web-TIRELESS versus the Web-MEUC control.

**Trial Registration:** ClinicalTrials.gov NCT06366633

**Keywords:** Chronic pain; upper-extremity conditions; psychiatry; mindfulness; mind-body; substance use; web-based intervention development

## Introduction

### Background

Non-traumatic painful upper-extremity conditions (NPUCs) are highly prevalent in the adult population and are typically age-related degenerations that become chronically painful [1–3]. The most common NPUC diagnoses include trigger joints, tendinopathy, and arthritis of the shoulder, elbow, and hand [4]. Most patients with NPUCs do not seek treatment and instead, find ways to independently adapt [5,6]. Of those who do seek care from a medical provider, many choose not to



pursue treatment upon reassurance from their provider and learning that most interventions are palliative (e.g., joint injections, pain medications) rather than disease-modifying [4,7]. For patients who commit to medical treatment, outcomes can vary widely, leading to inconsistent results, and the emotional and financial costs involved are significant [4,7,8]. Therefore, it is essential to develop evidence-based interventions that address the unique needs of patients who seek care for their NPUCs.

Risky substance use, defined as patterns of use that increase one's risk of physical or psychological harm, is a maladaptive behavioral pain response exhibited in up to 20% of care-seeking NPUC patients.[9] Comorbid risky substance use is associated with worse treatment outcomes [9–11], greater pain severity, disability, psychological distress, and the development and progression of chronic pain [10,12,13]. The established Reciprocal Model of Pain and Substance Use can explain the development and maintenance of pain and disability in NPUC patients with risky substance use patterns [14]. This model posits that risky substance use affects pain regulation via both peripheral (i.e., tissue damage) and central (i.e., aberrant pain processing) mechanisms, escalating the allostatic load on pain neurocircuitry and abstinence-induced hyperalgesia (see Figure 1). This process can trigger maladaptive cognitive and behavioral responses such as pain catastrophizing, pain-related fear, and activity avoidance, which in turn can amplify the reported intensity of pain, increase disability, and exacerbate emotional distress (i.e. fear-avoidance driven cycle of pain exacerbation) [15]. According to the Reciprocal Model of Pain and Substance Use, NPUC and risky substance use mutually reinforce each other via their shared transdiagnostic mechanisms of pain catastrophizing, pain-related fear, and avoidance [14,16–19]. This mechanism creates a vicious cycle that intensifies chronic pain, increases substance use, exacerbates disability, and heightens emotional distress (see Figure 1) [14,20]. Therefore, it is crucial to target the underlying mechanisms driving the reciprocal relationship between pain and substance use in order to enhance treatment outcomes for this patient population.

Despite compelling evidence highlighting the detrimental impact of risky substance use on patient outcomes and healthcare costs, there are currently no evidence-based interventions tailored to the specific treatment needs of care-seeking patients with NPUC and comorbid risky substance use. Within the context of upper-extremity orthopedic practices, providers frequently fail to address the threat to treatment outcomes posed by patterns of risky substance use. Moreover, patients with NPUC may also minimize or fail to disclose their substance use, either to obtain prescription pain medication or due to the perceived stigma surrounding substance use [21]. These deficiencies pose a substantial public health concern, considering the strong correlation between risky substance use and heightened mortality, multimorbidity, and associated healthcare expenses [22,23]. It is therefore critical to develop feasible, acceptable, and effective interventions that address maladaptive pain-related regulatory behaviors and processes in patients seeking care for NPUC who have comorbid risky substance use.

Mind-body interventions show promise in effectively addressing risky substance use, chronic pain, and associated disability. They target the transdiagnostic mechanisms contributing to both conditions [5,24–27], demonstrating effectiveness in reducing pain disability and intensity [25,26], substance use urges [27], and related distress [24–26]. These interventions are increasingly appealing to orthopedic care-seeking patients as they bypass the discomfort related to stigma when pursuing treatments for substance use and mental health issues [28]. Notably, asynchronous web-based platforms are particularly well-suited for delivering mind-body treatments to patients with comorbid non-physical symptom chronicities (NPUCs) and risky substance use [29]. These programs hold promise because they (1) address concerns related to stigma by allowing for anonymity [28], (2) are low cost [30,31], (3) offer accessible long-term symptom management for NPUC [32], (4) circumvent barriers to quality care such as limited availability of skilled providers, transportation, and commitment to a particular day/time [32], and (5) may increase self-efficacy by supporting patients' ownership over the management of their health condition [33].

## Objectives

The present study outlines the protocol for developing and testing the feasibility of the *Toolkit for Resilient Life beyond Pain and Substance Use (Web-TIRELESS)*, a web-based mind-body intervention designed for patients with non-traumatic upper-extremity conditions (NPUC) and comorbid risky substance use (see Figure 2 for an illustration of the conceptual model). We aim to adapt the *Toolkit for Optimal Recovery after Injury (TOR)* — our team's previously developed brief, live-video, mind-body preventive treatment for chronic pain among at-risk individuals with acute orthopedic injuries [34] — for the unique needs of our target population and evaluate its feasibility, acceptability, and credibility. We propose a mixed-methods approach to iteratively refine Web-TIRELESS across three study aims (see Figure 3 for the Study Schema): (1) Qualitative Live Video Interviews: Conduct up to 20 qualitative live video interviews with adult patients with comorbid risky substance use seeking orthopedic care for NPUC to identify this population's treatment needs and preferences. These insights will inform the development of Web-TIRELESS and study procedures; (2) Open Pilot Study: Implement an open pilot study with 12 participants (10 completers), including exit interviews and pre- and post-intervention assessments, to evaluate the feasibility, credibility, and acceptability of Web-TIRELESS and study procedures; and (3) Pilot Feasibility Randomized Controlled Trial (RCT): Conduct a pilot feasibility RCT comparing Web-TIRELESS to Minimally Enhanced Usual Care (Web-MEUC) with 50 participants (40 completers). This trial aims to demonstrate the feasibility of recruitment, data collection, and the feasibility, credibility, and acceptability of both the Web-TIRELESS and control condition (adherence, retention, fidelity, and satisfaction). We hypothesize that the finalized Web-TIRELESS will be feasible, acceptable from a patient perspective, and have the potential to effectively and efficiently decrease disability, pain, risky substance use, and related distress among care-seeking NPUC patients with comorbid risky substance use. This paper describes the study protocol and methodology.

## Methods

### Study Design

The design and methodology of this study are in alignment with the Science of Behavioral Change framework[35] and the National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health (NCCIH) guidelines for intervention development [36]. Therefore, our primary outcomes will be the feasibility, credibility, and acceptability of Web-TIRELESS, rather than efficacy. The secondary outcomes are pain intensity, disability, pain catastrophizing and avoidance.

### Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Study inclusion criteria for all study phases are as follows: (1) Care-seeking patient of the Massachusetts General Hospital Orthopedic Hand and Arm Center; (2) age  $\geq 18$  years; (3) diagnosed with NPUC (e.g., arthritis, trigger finger); (4) pain score  $> 4$  on the Numerical Rating Scale (NRS) (Salaffi et al., 2004); (5) risky substance use (score of  $> 10$  and  $< 27$  for alcohol, and/or  $> 3$  and  $< 27$  for cannabis, cocaine, amphetamine-type stimulants, inhalants, sedatives, hallucinogens, opioids, tobacco, and E-cigarettes on the *Alcohol, Smoking and Substance Involvement Screening Test*[37]); (6) owns a device with internet access; (7) English fluency; and (8) able and willing to participate.

Exclusion criteria for all study phases are as follows: (1) Participation in mind-body or specialized substance abuse treatment in the past 3 months; (2) practice of mindfulness  $> 45$  minutes/week in the past 3 months; (3) changes to psychotropic medication (e.g., antidepressants) within the last 3 months; (4) serious untreated mental illness (e.g., Schizophrenia); (5) current suicidal ideations; (6) pregnancy; (7) secondary gains (e.g., pending disability claim); (8) moderate to severe cognitive impairment ( $\geq 4$  on the Short Portable Mental Status Questionnaire [SPMHQ][38]); and (9) lifetime history of surgery for the presented NPUC (Aim I) or history of surgery for the presented NPUC within the last 6 months (Aim II and III).

### Recruitment and Sampling

All patients will be recruited and enrolled out of the Orthopedic Hand and Arm Service at Massachusetts General Hospital (MGH) main campus. Study staff will review the medical records of

patients with upcoming appointments, identify prospective participants, and inform clinic staff of their potential eligibility. On the day of their medical appointment, study staff will approach patients in person during their clinic visit to assess study eligibility and interest. Only patients confirmed by clinic staff or medical charts to have a *non-traumatic* condition will be considered for enrollment. To avoid interfering with clinic flow, informed consent forms will be sent and signed electronically via REDCap, a secure online survey platform hosted by Massachusetts General Brigham (MGB).

## **Procedures**

### ***Aim I (Development Phase) – Qualitative Interviews***

First, we will develop a semi-structured qualitative interview script that assesses the target population's specific treatment experiences and needs, as well as their perceptions of Web-TIRELESS. Additionally, we will develop a Web-TIRELESS prototype to be showcased to participants for feedback. Up to 20 interviews will be conducted with eligible patients via live video. All interviews will be guided by the semi-structured script and facilitated by a trained member of the study staff. Specifically, the interview topics include (1) perception of effects of the NPUC and risky substance use on function; (2) pain management and substance use cessation skills training needs; (3) difficult situations/challenging experiences; (4) perception of the Web-TIRELESS prototype; (5) barriers and facilitators to participation and adherence, including comfort and confidence working with Web-based platforms, physical barriers such as difficulty typing or using mouse, and strategies to address this (e.g., education, voice activation); and (6) ideal recruitment and retention strategies. Participants will be provided with information on core program content, skills, and format for their perceptions and feedback.

Interested eligible patients will provide informed verbal consent to participate and can receive assistance with operating the videoconferencing software (i.e., Zoom) as necessary. All qualitative interviews will be audio recorded with the patient's permission, transcribed, and analyzed using Dedoose version 9.0.90. Data from the interviews will be used to further develop and refine Web-

TIRELESS.

### ***Open Pilot – Aim II (Development Phase)***

We will conduct an open pilot of Web-TIRELESS followed by exit interviews with up to 12 patients. Participants will complete survey assessments at baseline and post-intervention and be encouraged to regularly practice and log their use of program skills. This information will provide evidence of the degree to which participants are engaged with the program platform and content. Each of the 4 Web-TIRELESS sessions will be between 30 and 45 minutes long. The exit interviews will take approximately 30 minutes and will follow similar procedures to Aim I (e.g., audio-recorded, transcribed). A semi-structured interview script will be developed to assesses participants' perception of the program skills, methodology, feasibility, acceptability, and satisfaction. Results from the open pilot and exit interviews will be used to further refine the study procedures and Web-TIRELESS program. Please see the *Data Analysis* section for a detailed description of the analysis plan.

### ***Feasibility RCT – Aim III (Intervention Phase)***

Following informed consent and the baseline assessment with up to 50 participants will be randomized via permuted blocks using a sequence developed by a statistician to either the active (Web-TIRELESS) or control (Web-based Minimally Enhanced Usual Care; Web-MEUC) program on a 1:1 ratio. Both interventions will be web-based and participants will be blinded to the study conditions. All patients will also complete online survey assessments at baseline, post-test (i.e., after completing the 4-week active or control intervention), and 6-month follow-up via the secure Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) system.

### ***Program Structure and Modification***

#### ***Web-TIRELESS (Intervention)***

The original TOR follows a 1-on-1 live-video modality and is composed of 4 manualized 45-minute weekly sessions with a clinician. The TOR aims to teach mind-body skills that elicit the relaxation response (e.g., body scan, deep breathing), mindfulness, cognitive-behavioral strategies

(e.g., reframing), activity pacing, and acceptance and commitment skills (e.g., acceptance).[34] In the process of adapting TOR, we will remove the irrelevant skills, revise the language to focus on upper extremity conditions, and add new modules relevant to resilience in the face of chronic pain and substance use (See Table 1. for the proposed Web-TIRELESS content). The Web-TIRELESS content may be subject to minor changes following the integration of participant feedback. The modality of Web-TIRELESS will differ from TOR in that all sessions will be 30-45-minute *on-demand web-based videos*. Each Web-TIRELESS session will consist of short (1-5 minute) educational, exercise, and home practice goal-setting videos. Participants will be instructed to complete one session per week on a day and time of their choosing. While participants are given guidance on how to leverage Web-TIRELESS as a resource, session frequency and overall engagement with the program will be determined by each individual. The end of each session includes a “prescription for resilience” which provides specific home practice assignments for the following week (e.g., “Practice deep breathing at least once this week”). Following the completion of each session, participants must pass a knowledge check (i.e., 5-minute interactive quiz) before proceeding to the next session. In between sessions, participants are encouraged via text message reminders to practice and log their use of the Web-TIRELESS program skills independently. Home practice materials will include audio and video clips from the most recent session that guide the independent practice of program skills. The overall duration of the program will vary per participant but is expected to take 3-5 weeks to complete.

### **Web-MEUC (Control)**

The Web-MEUC will be an educational information page (accessible for the duration of follow-up) containing brief information related to the intervention topics. This includes the trajectory of pain and adaptation in NPUC, the role of relaxation strategies to manage pain, the impact of maladaptive coping behaviors such as substance use, and the importance of cessation and returning to engagement in activities of daily living. Web-MEUC will not include any skills (i.e., active

ingredients).

## Measures

*Sociodemographic Characteristics (Baseline Only):* To better understand our sample, we will collect the following sociodemographic information at baseline: Age; biological sex; gender identity; race; ethnicity; education level; marital status; and employment status.

*Clinical Variables (Baseline Only):* We will collect data on the nontraumatic upper-extremity condition, date of diagnosis, symptom trajectory, and treatment history.

*Substance Use Involvement (Eligibility Screening Only):* To assess the severity of risky substance use, we will use the World Health Organization's – Alcohol, Smoking and Substance Involvement Screening Test (WHO-ASSIST)[37]. Each substance type (i.e., alcohol, tobacco, cannabis, stimulants, inhalants, sedatives, hallucinogens, and opioids) is scored separately on the WHO-ASSIST with scores on each ranging from 0 to 40. Higher scores indicate more problematic substance use for the respective substance type.

## Primary Outcomes

In accordance with the Science of Behavioral Change framework [35] and National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health (NCCIH) guidelines for intervention development [36], primary outcomes for this three-aim study include *a priori* feasibility, credibility, fidelity, and credibility benchmarks (see Table 2 for benchmark criteria):

### *Feasibility of Recruitment*

The proportion of eligible patients that agree to participate.

### *Treatment Credibility (Baseline Only)*

The proportion of participants that score above the midline on the *Credibility and Expectancy Questionnaire (CEQ)*[39]. The CEQ will be administered to assess the degree to which one believes the intervention will effectively manage one's upper extremity pain condition, substance use, and related worries. Some items are scored on an 11-point 0%-100% scale while others are scored on a 1



to 9 Linkert scale. Higher scores represent higher credibility and expectancy.

### *Feasibility of Assessments*

The proportion of participants that complete survey assessment with no measure fully missing.

### *Acceptability of Treatment*

The proportion of participants that complete 3 of 4 program sessions.

### *Feasibility of Homework Adherence*

The proportion of participants that practice and report utilizing  $\geq 1$  skill on 3 days/week.

### *Treatment Satisfaction (Post-Intervention Only)*

The proportion of participants that score above the midline on the *Client Satisfaction Questionnaire* (CSQ)[40]. The CSQ a 3-item questionnaire that measures on a scale of 1 to 4 the degree to which the participant is satisfied with the program and its ability to meet their needs. Higher scores represent greater satisfaction.

### *Acceptability of Web-Based Delivery (Post-Intervention Only)*

The proportion of participants that score above the midline on the *User Experience Scale* (UES)[41]. The UES assesses how well patients like the Web-based program content and delivery. Total scores range from 22 to 110 with higher scores indicating greater satisfaction with the platform.

## ***Secondary Outcomes***

Participants in the open pilot and feasibility RCT will complete the surveys described below at baseline, post-intervention (i.e., after fully completing active or control intervention), and a 6-month follow-up (feasibility RCT *only*) unless otherwise stated.

### *Pain Severity*

The *Graded Chronic Pain Scale (GCPS)*[42] will be used to assess pain severity and pain-related disability. Total scores range from 0 to 10 with higher scores indicating worse pain severity.

#### *Pain Intensity*

The *Numerical Rating Scale (NRS)*[43] will assess the intensity of pain at rest and with activity on a 10-point Likert scale (e.g., 0 – “No pain” to 10 – “Worst possible pain”).

#### *Disability*

The 30-item *Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder, and Hand (DASH)*[44] will quantify the severity of disability as it relates to NPUC. Higher scores on the *DASH* indicate greater disability.

#### *Pain Catastrophizing*

The *Pain Catastrophizing Scale (PCS)*[45] will be administered to assess participants' proclivity for magnification, helplessness, and rumination of pain. Scores range from 0 to 52 and higher scores indicate greater pain catastrophizing.

#### *Pain Anxiety*

We will use the *Pain Anxiety Symptoms Scale - Short Form (PASS-20)*[46] to assess fear and anxiety related to pain. Scores range from 0 to 100. Higher scores indicate greater pain-related fear and anxiety.

#### *Hypervigilance*

The *Pain Vigilance and Awareness Questionnaire (PVAQ)*[47] will measure participants' preoccupation with or attention to pain. Scores range from 16 to 96. Higher scores indicate greater pain vigilance.

#### *Fear Avoidance*

We will assess fear avoidance using the *Brief Experiential Avoidance Questionnaire (BEAQ)* [48] which quantifies tendencies to avoid unpleasant internal experiences. Scores range from 15 to 90 with higher scores indicating greater avoidance behaviors.

#### *Opioid Misuse*

Participants will complete the *Current Opioid Misuse Measure (COMM)*[49], which assesses the risk for aberrant medication-related behavior in persons with chronic pain. Scores on the *COMM* range from 0 to 68 and higher scores indicate greater risk for opioid misuse.

### *Substance Use*

The *Timeline Follow Back (TLFB)*[50] will be self-administered by patients following the completion of each Web-TIRELESS session and leveraged as a motivational interviewing technique. The *TLFB* assesses daily estimates of alcohol, cannabis, cigarette, and other substance use during the past week (0 to 7 days prior). Higher scores indicate more severe substance use.

### *Anxiety*

To assess symptoms of anxiety, we will use the 8-item *Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS) Emotional Distress – Anxiety 8b v1.0*[51]. Scores of this measure range from 8 to 40 and higher scores indicate greater anxiety symptom severity.

### *Depression*

The *PROMIS Emotional Distress – Depression 8b v1.0*[51] is an 8-item measure that will be used to assess symptoms of depression. Scores for this *PROMIS* measure range from 8 to 40 and higher scores are indicative of greater depression symptom severity.

Patients will be required to fill out a series of questionnaires online through the secure REDCap system. The completion of these questionnaires is expected to take approximately 30 minutes. To enhance data completeness, patients will be prompted to address any missed items at the end of the session. Additionally, a blinded research assistant, who is not involved in the recruitment process, will review each completed questionnaire to confirm that responses are not randomly made or uniformly affirmative.

## **Data Analysis**

### ***Aim I: Qualitative Interviews***

Analyses will be guided by the Framework Method [52,53] and follow a deductive-inductive approach with predetermined themes[54] while allowing for inductive flexibility where themes/codes come from data [52,55]. Prior to initiating the qualitative coding, we will develop a preliminary codebook with predetermined themes[54] which will be subject to changes as distinct patterns in the data emerge throughout coding [52,55]. Qualitative data (i.e., de-identified interview transcripts) will be analyzed using Dedoose version 9.0.90. Qualitative coding will be completed by the PI and reliability coding will be done by a trained RA. To ensure scientific rigor, we will assess reliability (kappa) of the application of codes to qualitative responses with the ultimate goal of establishing adequate inter-rater reliability ( $\text{kappa} > .80$ ). Discrepancies in the application of codes will be resolved through discussion until agreement is sufficiently reached.

### ***Aim II: Open Pilot***

We will use a mixed-method approach [56] to analyze the quantitative survey data and qualitative exit interview data. For quantitative analysis, we will focus on descriptive statistics with estimated variance for each measure, and within-group pre-post paired t-tests (with Cohen's d effect sizes). This will allow us to assess the preliminary efficacy of Web-TIRELESS. We will also execute exploratory correlations to early test the *processes* (e.g. within-group changes in risky substance use and pain/disability)[57–59]. Frequency and proportions will also be used to assess the feasibility of recruitment, retention, and treatment credibility/satisfaction (see Table 2). Qualitative data derived from exit interviews will be analyzed using the procedures outlined in Aim I. Finally, we will use the embedded approach for mixed methods [56] to integrate the qualitative and quantitative data and interpret the results to refine the Web-TIRELESS before the feasibility RCT.

### ***Aim III: Feasibility RCT***

We will use the same analysis techniques to assess feasibility, acceptability, credibility, and satisfaction as in Aim II. Drop-outs will be counted as not meeting applicable feasibility criteria.

Benchmarks that need to be met before an efficacy trial (Table 2) will be reported separately for each intervention [60]. If these criteria are not met, we will make the necessary revisions to ensure that they are fulfilled.

### ***Treatment Fidelity***

For Aims I and II, fidelity to the Web-based program material will be assessed using a user experience software (e.g., Google Analytics) which monitors engagement with the Web-based treatments (access to and time spent on each Web page, login and use of material, and completion of interactive quizzes).

### ***Power Analysis***

This trial is primarily focused on feasibility and not efficacy, meaning that power analysis of group differences or significant pre-post changes in outcome measures is inappropriate. Furthermore, power analysis is not appropriate for qualitative analysis. However, saturation of themes is expected for the qualitative interviews with up to 20 patients [61]. With a sample size of N=50 in Aim III and assuming conservatively that the 7 feasibility criteria evaluated are independent, the study will have >80% power to confirm all feasibility criteria if the expected rate of each criterion is at least 83%.

### **Results**

This study is funded by the National Institutes of Health (NIH; 1K23AT012364-01). Aim I was approved by the MGB-Institutional Review Board (MGB-IRB; P# 2021P001630), qualitative interviews have been completed, and we are in the process of analyzing the data. MGB-IRB protocol approval has been garnered for Aim II (P# 2024P000713) and recruitment is due to start in August 2024 and Aim III in July 2025. Recruitment for Aim III is projected to commence in July 2025 and data collection and analysis are expected to conclude in January 2027 and April 2027 respectively.

### **Discussion**

Risky substance use is common among care-seeking NPUC patients, with prevalence rates up to 1 in 5. It serves as a significant modifiable risk factor for the progression and maintenance of

chronic pain, opioid and other substance use disorders, disability, and distress [9,10,12–14]. Identifying NPUC patients with comorbid risky substance use and enrolling them in an asynchronous web-based mind-body program may be an effective and efficient approach to reducing pain and disability while preventing prolonged and costly care for this population. In this paper, we illustrate the methodology and study procedures for developing, adapting, and establishing the feasibility of Web-TIRELESS, a novel program aimed at enhancing resilience and fostering adaptive coping strategies for chronic pain, substance use, and related distress among care-seeking NPUC patients with comorbid risky substance use. Informed by the reciprocal model of pain and substance use[14] as well as the fear-avoidance model of pain[15,62], Web-TIRELESS proposes an integrated approach. This approach harnesses a range of mind-body skills such as mindfulness and deep breathing, savoring the positives, cognitive-behavioral strategies like reframing, and acceptance and commitment skills such as substance use urge surfing, and value-based goal setting. These components are strategically designed to target transdiagnostic factors relevant to the comorbidity of NPUC and substance use.

The results of this three-aim trial will offer crucial insights to prepare for a fully powered efficacy RCT comparing Web-TIRELESS with a Web-MEUC control. This design and methodology align with the Science of Behavioral Change framework[35] and NCCIH recommendations for intervention development, which posit that iterative refinement and establishment of feasibility best ensure the scientific rigor of subsequent efficacy trials[36]. Designing and developing behavioral interventions and study procedures that preemptively identify prospective patients, cater to the specific needs of the target population, and establish the power to detect change is vital to safeguard against the common consequences of testing efficacy before feasibility. Importantly, this methodical process elucidates valuable first-hand information from the target population (e.g., treatment needs, preferences, perceptions, and barriers to treatment) which may inform the development of other treatments or interventions tailored to this population. If our proposed model of care proves effective,

it could be extended to other patient populations with non-traumatic painful conditions, such as those affecting the knee, hip, ankle, or spine, who present with similar treatment challenges.

## **Limitations**

The primary limitation of the proposed study includes its limited scope. Given that the primary outcomes of each study aim are centered around feasibility, we cannot make claims of program efficacy until a future efficacy trial. Additionally, because the program is currently being developed for English speakers only, the generalizability of our results across diverse ethnolinguistic groups will be unknown. Lastly, due to our sample consisting of only patients with NPUCs, it is unclear if the effects will be comparable across other patient populations with non-traumatic painful conditions and risky substance use.

## **Conclusions**

In summary, this study aims to develop, adapt, and establish the feasibility of Web-TIRELESS, the first asynchronous mind-body intervention specifically tailored to aid in coping with chronic upper-extremity pain among individuals with NPUCs and comorbid risky substance use. By iteratively refining and adapting the intervention based on feedback from qualitative patient interviews, we aim to increase the likelihood of establishing program efficacy in future trials. The results from this study will inform a future efficacy RCT of Web-TIRELESS versus a Web-MEUC control group. Future studies should also explore the extent to which Web-TIRELESS can be applied to comparable patient groups experiencing both risky substance use and non-traumatic painful conditions.

## **Acknowledgments**

**Funding:** This study was funded by The National Institutes of Health (5K23AT012364) to Dr. Jafar Bakhshaie. We would also like to acknowledge Mr. Brandon Latchman's efforts as a student volunteer on this project.

## Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

## Abbreviations

NPUC: Non-traumatic painful upper extremity condition

Web-TIRELESS: Web-based Toolkit for Resilient Life bEyond pain and SubStance use

Web: MEUC: Web-based Minimally Ehanced Usual Care

RCT: Randomized Controlled Trial

NIH: National Institutes of Health

NCCIH: National Center for Complementary and Integrative Health

PROMIS: Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System

COMM: Current Opioid Misuse Measure

TLFB: Timeline Follow-Back

DASH: Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder, and Hand

UES: User Experience Scale

CSQ: Client Satisfaction Questionnaire

CEQ: Credibility and Expectancy Questionnaire

WHO-ASSIST: World Health Organization – Alcohol, Smoking and Substance Involvement Screening Test

MGH: Massachusetts General Hospital

MGB: Massachusetts General Brigham

IRB: Institutional Review Board

REDCap: Research Electronic Data Capture

## References

1. Becker SJE, Briet JP, Hageman MGJS, Ring D. Death, Taxes, and Trapeziometacarpal Arthrosis. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2013 Dec 1;471(12):3738–3744. doi: 10.1007/s11999-013-3243-9



2. Dillon CF, Hirsch R, Rasch EK, Gu Q. Symptomatic Hand Osteoarthritis in the United States: Prevalence and Functional Impairment Estimates from the Third U.S. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1991–1994. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 2007;86(1). doi: 10.1097/PHM.0b013e31802ba28e
3. Roy J-S, MacDermid JC, Boyd KU, Faber KJ, Drosdowech D, Athwal GS. Rotational strength, range of motion, and function in people with unaffected shoulders from various stages of life. *BMC Sports Sci Med Rehabil* 2009 Mar 2;1(1):4. doi: 10.1186/1758-2555-1-4
4. Crijns TJ, Ring D, Valencia V. Factors Associated With the Cost of Care for the Most Common Atraumatic Painful Upper Extremity Conditions. *J Hand Surg* 2019 Nov 1;44(11):989.e1-989.e18. doi: 10.1016/j.jhsa.2019.01.001
5. Pieczynski J, Cosio D, Pierce W, Serpa JG. Mind-Body Interventions for Rehabilitation Medicine: Promoting Wellness, Healing, and Coping with Adversity. *Phys Med Rehabil Clin N Am* 2020 Nov;31(4):563–575. doi: 10.1016/j.pmr.2020.07.008
6. Lindgren H, Bergman S. Chronic musculoskeletal pain predicted hospitalisation due to serious medical conditions in a 10 year follow up study. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2010 Jun 18;11(1):127. doi: 10.1186/1471-2474-11-127
7. Crijns TJ, Bernstein DN, Gonzalez R, Wilbur D, Ring D, Hammert WC. Operative Treatment is Not Associated with More Relief of Depression Symptoms than Nonoperative Treatment in Patients with Common Hand Illness. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2020;478(6). doi: 10.1097/CORR.0000000000001170
8. Ring D. CORR Insights®: Which Psychological Variables Are Associated With Pain and Function Before Surgery for de Quervain's Tenosynovitis? A Cross-sectional Study. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2019;477(12). doi: 10.1097/CORR.0000000000001009
9. Zeiderman MR, Pereira C. Substance Addiction and the Hand Surgery Patient: A Comprehensive Review. *J Hand Surg* 2021; doi: 10.1016/j.jhsa.2021.05.016
10. Cunningham DJ, LaRose MA, Klifto CS, Gage MJ. Mental health and substance use affect perioperative opioid demand in upper extremity trauma surgery. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg* 2021 Mar 1;30(3):e114–e120. doi: 10.1016/j.jse.2020.06.024
11. Vranceanu A-M, Bakhshaie J, Reichman M, Doorley J, Mace RA, Jacobs C, Harris M, Archer KR, Ring D, Elwy AR. Understanding barriers and facilitators to implementation of psychosocial care within orthopedic trauma centers: a qualitative study with multidisciplinary stakeholders from geographically diverse settings. *Implement Sci Commun* 2021 Sep 15;2(1):102. doi: 10.1186/s43058-021-00208-8
12. McQuillan TJ, Franco JR, Sorg EM, Baller E, Quijije N, Rozental TD. Understanding and Addressing Psychiatric Comorbidities and Upper-Extremity Trauma: Surgeons in a Multidisciplinary Care Model. *J Hand Surg Am* 2021 Apr;46(4):328–334. doi: 10.1016/j.jhsa.2021.01.005
13. Rogers AH, Bakhshaie J, Buckner JD, Orr MF, Paulus DJ, Ditte JW, Zvolensky MJ. Opioid and Cannabis Co-Use among Adults With Chronic Pain: Relations to Substance Misuse, Mental Health, and Pain Experience. *J Addict Med* 2019;13(4). doi: 10.1097/ADM.0000000000000493

14. Ditre JW, Zale EL, LaRowe LR. A Reciprocal Model of Pain and Substance Use: Transdiagnostic Considerations, Clinical Implications, and Future Directions. *Annu Rev Clin Psychol. Annual Reviews*; 2019. p. 503–528. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-050718-095440>
15. Gatchel RJ, Neblett R, Kishino N, Ray CT. Fear-Avoidance Beliefs and Chronic Pain. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*; 2016 Feb 1;46(2):38–43. doi: 10.2519/jospt.2016.0601
16. de Jong JR, Vlaeyen JWS, van Eijsden M, Loo C, Onghena P. Reduction of pain-related fear and increased function and participation in work-related upper extremity pain (WRUEP): Effects of exposure in vivo. *PAIN* 2012;153(10). doi: 10.1016/j.pain.2012.07.001
17. Linton SJ. A Transdiagnostic Approach to Pain and Emotion. *J Appl Biobehav Res John Wiley & Sons, Ltd*; 2013 Jun 1;18(2):82–103. doi: 10.1111/jabr.12007
18. Özkan S, Zale EL, Ring D, Vranceanu A-M. Associations Between Pain Catastrophizing and Cognitive Fusion in Relation to Pain and Upper Extremity Function Among Hand and Upper Extremity Surgery Patients. *Ann Behav Med* 2017 Aug;51(4):547–554. doi: 10.1007/s12160-017-9877-1
19. Ring D, Kadzielski JJ, Malhotra LR, Lee S-GP, Jupiter JB. Psychological factors associated with idiopathic arm pain. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 2005;87 2:374–80.
20. Beleckas CM, Wright M, Prather H, Chamberlain A, Guattery J, Calfee RP. Relative Prevalence of Anxiety and Depression in Patients With Upper Extremity Conditions. *J Hand Surg* 2018 Jun 1;43(6):571.e1-571.e8. doi: 10.1016/j.jhsa.2017.12.006
21. Earnshaw VA. Stigma and substance use disorders: A clinical, research, and advocacy agenda. *Am Psychol* 2020 Dec;75(9):1300–1311. doi: 10.1037/amp0000744
22. Zale EL, Ring D, Vranceanu A-M. The Future of Orthopaedic Care: Promoting Psychosocial Resiliency in Orthopaedic Surgical Practices. *JBJS* 2018;100(13). doi: 10.2106/JBJS.17.01159
23. Menendez ME, Ring D, Bateman BT. Preoperative Opioid Misuse is Associated With Increased Morbidity and Mortality After Elective Orthopaedic Surgery. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2015;473(7). doi: 10.1007/s11999-015-4173-5
24. Kirven JC, Everhart JS, DiBartola AC, Jones J, Flanigan DC, Harrison R. Interventional Efforts to Reduce Psychological Distress after Orthopedic Trauma: A Systematic Review. *HSS Journal® SAGE Publications*; 2020 Oct 1;16(3):250–260. doi: 10.1007/s11420-019-09731-w
25. Vranceanu A-M, Hageman M, Strooker J, ter Meulen D, Vrahas M, Ring D. A preliminary RCT of a mind body skills based intervention addressing mood and coping strategies in patients with acute orthopaedic trauma. *Injury* 2015 Apr 1;46(4):552–557. doi: 10.1016/j.injury.2014.11.001
26. Hassed C. Mind-body therapies--use in chronic pain management. *Aust Fam Physician* 2013 Mar;42(3):112–117.
27. Nakamura Y, Lipschitz DL, Kanarowski E, McCormick T, Sutherland D, Melow-Murchie M. Investigating Impacts of Incorporating an Adjuvant Mind–Body Intervention Method Into

- Treatment as Usual at a Community-Based Substance Abuse Treatment Facility: A Pilot Randomized Controlled Study. Sage Open SAGE Publications; 2015 Mar 1;5(1):2158244015572489. doi: 10.1177/2158244015572489
28. Stolzenburg S, Freitag S, Evans-Lacko S, Muehlan H, Schmidt S, Schomerus G. The Stigma of Mental Illness as a Barrier to Self Labeling as Having a Mental Illness. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 2017;205(12). doi: 10.1097/NMD.0000000000000756
  29. James-Palmer A, Anderson EZ, Daneault J-F. Remote Delivery of Yoga Interventions Through Technology: Scoping Review. *J Med Internet Res* 2022 Jun 6;24(6):e29092. doi: 10.2196/29092
  30. Jennett PA, Hall LA, Hailey D, Ohinmaa A, Anderson C, Thomas R, Young B, Lorenzetti D, Scott RE. The socio-economic impact of telehealth: A systematic review. *J Telemed Telecare* SAGE Publications; 2003 Dec 1;9(6):311–320. doi: 10.1258/135763303771005207
  31. Andersson G, Titov N. Advantages and limitations of Internet-based interventions for common mental disorders. *World Psychiatry* John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2014 Feb 1;13(1):4–11. doi: 10.1002/wps.20083
  32. Toivonen KI, Zernicke K, Carlson LE. Web-Based Mindfulness Interventions for People With Physical Health Conditions: Systematic Review. *J Med Internet Res* 2017 Aug 31;19(8):e303. doi: 10.2196/jmir.7487
  33. Yu CH, Parsons JA, Mamdani M, Lebovic G, Hall S, Newton D, Shah BR, Bhattacharyya O, Laupacis A, Straus SE. A web-based intervention to support self-management of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: effect on self-efficacy, self-care and diabetes distress. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak* 2014 Dec 14;14(1):117. doi: 10.1186/s12911-014-0117-3
  34. Vranceanu A-M, Jacobs C, Lin A, Greenberg J, Funes CJ, Harris MB, Heng MM, Macklin EA, Ring D. Results of a feasibility randomized controlled trial (RCT) of the Toolkit for Optimal Recovery (TOR): a live video program to prevent chronic pain in at-risk adults with orthopedic injuries. *Pilot Feasibility Stud* 2019 Feb 20;5(1):30. doi: 10.1186/s40814-019-0416-7
  35. Nielsen L, Riddle M, King JW, Aklon WM, Chen W, Clark D, Collier E, Czajkowski S, Esposito L, Ferrer R, Green P, Hunter C, Kehl K, King R, Onken L, Simmons JM, Stoeckel L, Stoney C, Tully L, Weber W. The NIH Science of Behavior Change Program: Transforming the science through a focus on mechanisms of change. *Exp Med Approach Behav Change NIH Sci Behav Change SOBC* 2018 Feb 1;101:3–11. doi: 10.1016/j.brat.2017.07.002
  36. Onken LS, Carroll KM, Shoham V, Cuthbert BN, Riddle M. Reenvisioning clinical science: Unifying the discipline to improve the public health. *Clin Psychol Sci US*: Sage Publications; 2014;2(1):22–34. doi: 10.1177/2167702613497932
  37. Group WAW. The Alcohol, Smoking and Substance Involvement Screening Test (ASSIST): development, reliability and feasibility. *Addiction* John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2002 Sep 1;97(9):1183–1194. doi: 10.1046/j.1360-0443.2002.00185.x
  38. Erkinjuntti T, Sulkava R, Wikström J, Autio L. Short Portable Mental Status Questionnaire as a Screening Test for Dementia and Delirium Among the Elderly. *J Am Geriatr Soc* John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 1987 May 1;35(5):412–416. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.1987.tb04662.x

39. Devilly GJ, Borkovec TD. Psychometric properties of the credibility/expectancy questionnaire. *J Behav Ther Exp Psychiatry* 2000 Jun 1;31(2):73–86. doi: 10.1016/S0005-7916(00)00012-4
40. Attkisson CC, Zwick R. The client satisfaction questionnaire. Psychometric properties and correlations with service utilization and psychotherapy outcome. *Eval Program Plann* 1982;5 3:233–7.
41. Schrepp M, Hinderks A, Thomaschewski J. Applying the User Experience Questionnaire (UEQ) in Different Evaluation Scenarios. In: Marcus A, editor. *Des User Exp Usability Theor Methods Tools Des User Exp Cham*: Springer International Publishing; 2014. p. 383–392.
42. Von Korff M, Ormel J, Keefe FJ, Dworkin SF. Grading the severity of chronic pain. *Pain* 1992 Aug 1;50(2):133–149. doi: 10.1016/0304-3959(92)90154-4
43. Salaffi F, Stancati A, Silvestri CA, Ciapetti A, Grassi W. Minimal clinically important changes in chronic musculoskeletal pain intensity measured on a numerical rating scale. *Eur J Pain* John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2004 Aug 1;8(4):283–291. doi: 10.1016/j.ejpain.2003.09.004
44. Gummeson C, Atroshi I, Ekdahl CS. The disabilities of the arm, shoulder and hand (DASH) outcome questionnaire: longitudinal construct validity and measuring self-rated health change after surgery. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord* 2003;4:11–11.
45. Sullivan MJL, Bishop SR, Pivik J. The Pain Catastrophizing Scale: Development and validation. *Psychol Assess US: American Psychological Association*; 1995;7(4):524–532. doi: 10.1037/1040-3590.7.4.524
46. McCracken LM, Zayfert C, Gross RT. The pain anxiety symptoms scale: development and validation of a scale to measure fear of pain. *Pain* 1992 Jul 1;50(1):67–73. doi: 10.1016/0304-3959(92)90113-P
47. Roelofs J, Peters ML, McCracken L, Vlaeyen JWS. The pain vigilance and awareness questionnaire (PVAQ): further psychometric evaluation in fibromyalgia and other chronic pain syndromes. *Pain* 2003 Feb 1;101(3):299–306. doi: 10.1016/S0304-3959(02)00338-X
48. Gámez W, Chmielewski M, Kotov R, Ruggero C, Suzuki N, Watson D. The Brief Experiential Avoidance Questionnaire: Development and initial validation. *Psychol Assess US: American Psychological Association*; 2014;26(1):35–45. doi: 10.1037/a0034473
49. McCaffrey SA, Black RA, Villapiano AJ, Jamison RN, Butler SF. Development of a Brief Version of the Current Opioid Misuse Measure (COMM): The COMM-9. *Pain Med* 2019 Jan 1;20(1):113–118. doi: 10.1093/pm/pnx311
50. Hjorthøj CR, Hjorthøj AR, Nordentoft M. Validity of Timeline Follow-Back for self-reported use of cannabis and other illicit substances — Systematic review and meta-analysis. *Addict Behav* 2012 Mar 1;37(3):225–233. doi: 10.1016/j.addbeh.2011.11.025
51. Schalet BD, Pilkonis PA, Yu L, Dodds N, Johnston KL, Yount S, Riley W, Cella D. Clinical validity of PROMIS Depression, Anxiety, and Anger across diverse clinical samples. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2016 May 1;73:119–127. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinepi.2015.08.036
52. Gale NK, Heath G, Cameron E, Rashid S, Redwood S. Using the framework method for the

- analysis of qualitative data in multi-disciplinary health research. *BMC Med Res Methodol* 2013 Sep 18;13(1):117. doi: 10.1186/1471-2288-13-117
53. Ritchie J, Spencer L. Qualitative data analysis for applied policy research. 2002. Available from: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:146309938>
  54. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qual Res Psychol United Kingdom: Hodder Arnold*; 2006;3(2):77–101. doi: 10.1191/1478088706qp063oa
  55. Mace RA, Gates MV, Bullard B, Lester EG, Silverman IH, Quiroz YT, Vranceanu A-M. Development of a Novel Mind–Body Activity and Pain Management Program for Older Adults With Cognitive Decline. *The Gerontologist* 2021 Apr 1;61(3):449–459. doi: 10.1093/geront/gnaa084
  56. NIH Office of Behavioral and Social Sciences Research. Best Practices for Mixed Methods Research in the Health Sciences. Bethesda: National Institutes of Health; 2018.
  57. Leon AC, Davis LL, Kraemer HC. The role and interpretation of pilot studies in clinical research. *J Psychiatr Res* 2011 May 1;45(5):626–629. doi: 10.1016/j.jpsychires.2010.10.008
  58. Lancaster GA, Dodd S, Williamson PR. Design and analysis of pilot studies: recommendations for good practice. *J Eval Clin Pract* 2004;10 2:307–12.
  59. Greenberg J, Lin A, Zale E, Kulich R, James P, Millstein R, Shapiro H, Schatman M, Edwards R, Vranceanu A-M. Development And Early Feasibility Testing Of A Mind-Body Physical Activity Program For Patients With Heterogeneous Chronic Pain; The GetActive Study. *J Pain Res* 2019 Dec 11;Volume 12:3279–3297. doi: 10.2147/JPR.S222448
  60. Greenberg J, Popok PJ, Lin A, Kulich RJ, James P, Macklin EA, Millstein RA, Edwards RR, Vranceanu A-M. A Mind-Body Physical Activity Program for Chronic Pain With or Without a Digital Monitoring Device: Proof-of-Concept Feasibility Randomized Controlled Trial. *JMIR Form Res* 2020 Jun 8;4(6):e18703. doi: 10.2196/18703
  61. Dworkin SL. Sample Size Policy for Qualitative Studies Using In-Depth Interviews. *Arch Sex Behav* 2012 Dec 1;41(6):1319–1320. doi: 10.1007/s10508-012-0016-6
  62. Zale EL, Ditre JW. Pain-related fear, disability, and the fear-avoidance model of chronic pain. *Health Behav* 2015 Oct 1;5:24–30. doi: 10.1016/j.copsyc.2015.03.014

Table 1. Proposed adaptations for the Web-TIRELESS intervention.


Session	Original Toolkit (TOR)	Adapted content for NPUC & Web-Delivery (Web-TIRELESS)
	N/A	Introductory information session on the Web-TIRELESS program (e.g., how to use it, where to get support).
1	1. Treatment rationale 2. Physical, emotional, and cognitive factors that impact recovery after injury 3. Debunk myths about pain 4. Set goals for recovery 5. Relaxation strategies 6. Set homework	<b>Education:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Treatment rationale for targeting pain/disability, promote resilience/adaptation</li> <li>Education on spiral of pain, disability and substance use and its risk factors</li> <li>Debunk pain misconceptions and substance use outcome expectancies of pain relief</li> <li>Introduce relaxation response strategies for managing pain and substance use urges</li> </ul> <b>Skills:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Goal setting for managing pain and substance use</li> <li>Scripted breathing and body scan practices</li> <li>Establish goals for home practice</li> </ul> <b>Ex. Exercise:</b> 2-Minute diaphragmatic breathing  <b>5-Minute Interactive Quiz</b>
2	1. Review homework 2. Discuss biopsychosocial model and mind/body links for pain 3. Develop patient's unique recovery path. 4. Mindfulness skills for habituating to pain 5. Set homework	<b>Review (following 5 minutes of scripted breathing practice):</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Home practice; Tips for problem-solving barriers to practice.</li> <li>Prompts for reflection</li> </ul> <b>Education:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>"Pain Spiral" and "Coping Path"</li> <li>Provide education on biopsychosocial model and mind-body links for NPUC/substance use.</li> <li>Psychoeducation on urge lifecycle and dynamics and ways to fight against impulses.</li> </ul> <b>Skills:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Develop personalized pain/coping path and substance use cessation.</li> <li>Pain/substance urge wave surfing exercise focusing on transitory nature of episodes.</li> <li>Mindfulness skills for habituating to NPUC and substance use urge time-course (Mindful STOP; Mindful breathing)</li> <li>Home practice goal setting</li> </ul> <b>Ex. Exercise:</b> 5-Minute "Substance Use Urge Surfing" video to facilitate substance use impulse control.  <b>5-Minute Interactive Quiz</b>
3	1. Review homework 2. Review personal recovery path 3. Mindfulness of pain 4. Challenge unhelpful thoughts about pain to speed up recovery 5. Learn behaviors to speed up recovery (Activity pacing) 6. Set homework	<b>Review (following 5 minutes of scripted breathing practice):</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Home practice; Tips for problem-solving barriers to practice.</li> <li>Prompts for reflection</li> </ul> <b>Education:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Mindfulness of pain and substance use urges.</li> <li>Psychoeducation on negative automatic thoughts ("unhelpful thoughts about pain, and substance-related expectancies: pain catastrophizing, fear and avoidance") and cognitive reframing</li> <li>Physical activity pacing and setting activity goals</li> <li>Psychoeducation on positive affective responses to natural reward vs substance cues ("Savoring the positives").</li> </ul> <b>Skills:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Observer exercise for pain and substance use urges</li> <li>Challenge unhelpful thoughts (i.e., cognitive reframing)</li> </ul>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Savoring the positives technique to increase resiliency</li> <li>• Home practice goal setting</li> </ul> <p><b>Ex. Exercise:</b> 5-Minute “Observer Exercise” video to facilitate self-identity beyond pain and substance use.</p> <p><b>5-Minute Interactive Quiz</b></p>
4	1. Review homework 2. Acceptance strategies 3. Review all Toolkit Skills 4. Review challenges with Toolkit 5. Plan for continued coping	<p><b>Review (following 5 minutes of scripted breathing practice):</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Home practice; Tips for problem-solving barriers to practice</li> <li>• Prompts for reflection</li> </ul> <p><b>Education:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Acceptance strategies for pain, substance urge and related distress.</li> <li>• Reframing vs. acceptance for pain and substance urge.</li> <li>• Tips for staying on the Coping Path and implementing skills in the future (e.g., pain communication, social support seeking, sleep hygiene, recognizing high-risk substance use situations like pain flare-ups).</li> <li>• Referral information.</li> </ul> <p><b>Skills:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Acceptance-based exercises (e.g., diffusion, self as context, and self-compassion strategies).</li> <li>• Review all program skills</li> </ul> <p><b>Ex. Exercise:</b> 2-Minute “Ladder Metaphor” video to help patients plan for possible barriers to resilience in the future (i.e., relapse prevention).</p> <p><b>5-Minute Interactive Quiz</b></p>

Table 2. Feasibility Benchmarks (a priori)

Outcome	Acceptable	Excellent
---------	------------	-----------

<i>Credibility &amp; Expectancy</i>	≥70% of participants with score over the midpoint on <i>Credibility and Expectancy Scale</i>	≥75% of participants with score over the midpoint on <i>Credibility and Expectancy Scale</i>
<i>Acceptability of treatment (satisfaction)</i>	≥70% of participants with scores over the midline on <i>Client Satisfaction Scale</i>	≥75% of participants with scores over the midline on <i>Client Satisfaction Scale</i>
<i>Acceptability of web-delivery (satisfaction)</i>	≥70% of participants with scores over the midline on <i>User Experience Scale</i>	≥75% of participants with scores over the midline on <i>User Experience Scale</i>
<i>Feasibility of recruitment</i>	≥70% of eligible patients approached agree to participate	≥80% of eligible patients approached agree to participate
<i>Acceptability of treatment (attendance)</i>	≥70% of participants attend 3 out of 4 sessions	≥80% of participants attend 3 out of 4 sessions
<i>Adherence to homework</i>	≥70% of participants practice at least 1 skill on 3 days/week	≥80% of participants practice at least 1 skill on 3 days/week
<i>Feasibility of assessments</i>	≥70% of participants complete all assessments (with no measures missing)	≥90% of participants complete all assessments (with no measures missing)
<i>Adverse events</i>	Minimal	None





Preprint  
JMIR Publications

Figure 2. Conceptual Model of Web-TIRELESS.






Figure 3. Web-TIRELESS Study Schema.




## Supplementary Files

## Figures


Reciprocal model of pain and substance use with adaptations from the fear-avoidance model of pain.



## Conceptual Model of Web-TIRELESS.



## Web-TIRELESS Study Schema.



## Multimedia Appendixes



Proof of Review: NIH Grant Summary Statement.

URL: <http://asset.jmir.pub/assets/a654b1bd11de1c81f8eef2dedf7d4920.pdf>

