

LTP-Post_HS processing statuses 22 and 23 test

Subhashree Biswal, Tadele Abraham, Bhuvana Marri

Submitted to: Journal of Medical Internet Research
on: July 16, 2024

Disclaimer: © The authors. All rights reserved. This is a privileged document currently under peer-review/community review. Authors have provided JMIR Publications with an exclusive license to publish this preprint on its website for review purposes only. While the final peer-reviewed paper may be licensed under a CC BY license on publication, at this stage authors and publisher expressly prohibit redistribution of this draft paper other than for review purposes.

Table of Contents

Original Manuscript..... 4

Supplementary Files..... 24

 Figures 25

 Figure 1..... 26

 Multimedia Appendixes 27

 Multimedia Appendix 1..... 28

 TOC/Feature image for homepages 29

 TOC/Feature image for homepage 0..... 30

LTP-Post_HS processing statuses 22 and 23 test

Subhashree Biswal¹ MSci; Tadele Abraham¹; Bhuvana Marri¹

¹University of Gulf Windsor CA

Corresponding Author:

Subhashree Biswal MSci

University of Gulf

123 John St

Windsor

CA

Abstract

Background: LTP-Post_HS processing statuses 22 and 23 test

Objective: LTP-Post_HS processing statuses 22 and 23 test

Methods: LTP-Post_HS processing statuses 22 and 23 test

Results: LTP-Post_HS processing statuses 22 and 23 test

Conclusions: LTP-Post_HS processing statuses 22 and 23 test Clinical Trial: LTP-Post_HS processing statuses 22 and 23 test

(JMIR Preprints 16/07/2024:64404)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2196/preprints.64404>

Preprint Settings

1) Would you like to publish your submitted manuscript as preprint?

✓ **Please make my preprint PDF available to anyone at any time (recommended).**

Please make my preprint PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that my title and abstract will remain visible to all users.

Only make the preprint title and abstract visible.

No, I do not wish to publish my submitted manuscript as a preprint.

2) If accepted for publication in a JMIR journal, would you like the PDF to be visible to the public?

✓ **Yes, please make my accepted manuscript PDF available to anyone at any time (Recommended).**

Yes, but please make my accepted manuscript PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that the title and abstract will remain visible to all users.

Yes, but only make the title and abstract visible (see Important note, above). I understand that if I later pay to participate in http://www.jmir.org/2024/07/preprint/64404

Original Manuscript

Original Paper

Medical Education and Artificial Intelligence: Web of Science-Based Bibliometric Analysis (2013-2022)

Abstract

Background: Incremental advancements in Artificial Intelligence (AI) technology have facilitated its integration into various disciplines. In particular, the infusion of AI into medical education has emerged as a significant trend with noteworthy research findings. Consequently, a comprehensive review and analysis of the current research landscape of AI in medical education is warranted.

Objective: This study aims to conduct a bibliometric analysis of pertinent papers spanning the years 2013-2022 using CiteSpace and VOS viewer. The study visually represents the existing research status and trends of AI in medical education.

Methods: Articles related to AI and medical education, published between 2013 and 2022, were systematically searched in the Web of Science core database. Two reviewers scrutinized the initially retrieved papers based on their title and abstract to eliminate papers unrelated to the topic. The selected papers were then analyzed and visualized for country, institution, author, reference, and keywords using CiteSpace and VOS viewer.

Results: A total of 195 papers pertaining to AI in medical education were identified from 2013 to 2022. The annual publications demonstrated an increasing trend over time. The United States emerged as the most active country in this research arena, with Harvard Med Sch and Univ Toronto as the most active institutions. Prolific authors in this field include Bissonnette, Vincent; Blacketer, Charlotte; Del Maestro, Rolando f; Ledows, Nicole; Mirchi, Nykan; Winkler-schwartz, Alexander; Yilamaz, Recai. The paper with the highest citation was “Medical students’ attitude towards Artificial Intelligence: a multicentre survey.” Keyword analysis revealed that “radiology”, “medical physics”, “ehealth”, “surgery”, and “specialty” were the primary focus, while “big data”, and “management” emerged as research frontiers.

Conclusion: The study underscores the promising potential of AI in medical education research. Present research directions encompass radiology, medical information management, and other aspects. Technological progress is expected to further broaden these directions. There is an urgent need to bolster inter-regional collaboration and enhance research quality. The findings offer valuable insights for researchers to identify perspectives and guide future research directions.

Introduction

The concept of artificial intelligence (AI)—referring to machines and systems capable of emulating human intelligence—was first introduced at an academic conference in 1956. Its extensive research fields encompass numerous domains including intelligent expert systems, language processing, intelligent data retrieval, and intelligent control. AI stands as one of the three groundbreaking technologies of the 21st century, sharing the pedestal with genetic engineering and nanoscience technologies [1-3]. The ultimate aim of AI is to facilitate the utilization of machines in replicating and expanding human intelligence. In doing so, machines are empowered to listen, see, speak, think, and make decisions in a manner akin to humans—thus elevating the quality of human life [4, 5].

The sustained evolution of AI has resulted in a paradigm shift in medical practice, transitioning from traditional methods to digital healthcare, with AI finding applications in diverse realms of medical and health care. AI can generate pathological diagnostic reports through integrated data analysis, aid psychologists in diagnosing mental disorders by simulating human thinking patterns, and perform imaging evaluations via deep learning. Moreover, AI can be employed to manage clinical patients and deliver doctor-prescribed treatment plans through records of patient history and treatment processes [6]. Research in AI has demonstrated that the output-input ratio in the medical field holds more promise than other disciplines [7]. As such, the advancement of medical education is imperative, and over the past several decades, research and development in the application of AI in medical education has escalated [8].

Bibliometrics serves as a tool for the quantitative analysis of published literature, determining the relationship between research statements and emerging research frontiers based on co-occurrence, citation, and co-citation [9]. Numerous global bibliometric analyses have been conducted using CiteSpace and VOS viewers in recent years. These analyses have focused on the comprehensive rehabilitation statuses and research trends of diseases like cancer, ankylosing spondylitis, motor and neuropathic pain, and osteoarthritis [10-13]. However, to the best of our knowledge, a bibliometric analysis of AI's application in medical education has yet to be implemented.

Consequently, this study leverages CiteSpace and Vos viewer to assess the current research status and emergent trends of AI in medical education over the past decade.

Methods

All data for this research were procured from the Web of Science (WoS). The search parameters for

data retrieval encompassed the topics “Artificial Intelligence” and “medical education” (refer Table 1), with a publication date range from 2013 to 2022. The search results were subsequently analyzed using CiteSpace and VOS viewer. CiteSpace, a visual analysis software developed by Chaomei Chen, was utilized to analyze the total quantity of papers related to the topic, the trend of changes over the years, the frequency of keywords, and centrality. This software allowed for a more convenient and intuitive analysis of the structure, rules, and distribution of subject knowledge. A scientific knowledge map facilitated the identification of research hotspots, progress, and the current situation within a specific field. VOS viewer, a software tool primarily oriented towards document data processing, enabled the analysis of the country, institution, author, journal, keywords, and co-occurrence knowledge graph of country, institution, journal, and document in the literature. Each node on the knowledge graph represented a unique element, with the connection width between nodes indicating collaboration strength, node size reflecting the number of publications, and larger nodes indicating more frequent releases.

The papers for this study were downloaded in txt format from the Web of Science database. Two expert researchers examined the title, keywords, and abstract, and screened the papers based on inclusion and exclusion criteria. In cases of disagreement or difficulty in paper inclusion, a third reviewer made the final decision via discussion. Initially, a total of 580 papers were searched, of which 385 papers that did not meet the study’s topic were excluded, resulting in the retention of 195 papers.

TABLE I. Search Queries

Set	Result	Search Query
#1	1404 47	(((TS=(generative AI)) OR TS=(AI)) OR TS=(Artificial Intelligence)) OR TS=(generative Artificial Intelligence) Indexes=Web of Science, Timespan=2013-2022
#2	9367 8	(TS=(medical education)) Indexes=Web of Science, Timespan=2013-2022
#3	580	#1 AND #2

According to the Regulations of the People's Republic of China on Ethical Review of Science and

Technology (Trial), No. 167 of the State Science and Technology Development Supervision [2023], scientific research activities involving human or other animals need to undergo ethical review. This thesis does not involve humans or other animals, nor does it pose risks to life and health, ecological environment, public order, or sustainable development. Therefore, ethical approval is not required.

Results

Annual Publications

Figure 1 shows that a total of 195 papers on artificial intelligence and medical education have been published in the past decade, showing an overall upward trend. The publications saw a significant surge from 2020 to 2021, reaching a peak in 2021, although the number of related papers published in 2022 decreased. The development of AI presented unprecedented opportunities and challenges to the medical and health industry. Medical education, being the cornerstone of medical industry development, can benefit from the application of AI, driving continual innovation.

Figure 1. Chart of the number of years issued

National analysis

Based on a comprehensive national analysis, 57 countries globally contributed to the exploration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) within the field of medical education from the period of 2013 to 2022. The United States took the lead by publishing 66 papers, thereby establishing itself as the most actively engaged country in this domain. The subsequent countries, albeit with lesser contributions, were Canada (24 papers), China (17 papers), England (13 papers), Singapore (12 papers), Australia (12 papers), India (9 papers), Germany (8 papers), the Netherlands (8 papers), and Spain (7 papers). The most cited countries were the United States (845 citations), Singapore (489 citations), and China (435 citations). When evaluated in terms of total link strength, the United States (44), the Netherlands (29), and Belgium (26) emerged as the top three (Table 2). Figure 2 shows that a clear inclination of North American and European countries towards the application of AI in medical education is evident, possibly due to their technological advancement. The United States has been a frontrunner in this arena, publishing a multitude of relevant papers. Concurrently, it has fostered collaborative relationships with various countries for related research .

TABLE 2. Top 10 Publications, Centrality, and Citations of Countries

Rank	Documents	Countries	Citations	Countries	Total link strength	Countries
1	66	USA	845	USA	44	USA

2	24	Canada	489	Singapore	29	Netherlands
3	17	peoples R China	435	peoples R China	26	Belgium
4	13	England	371	Canada	23	Germany
5	12	Australia	155	England	22	England
6	12	Singapore	108	Spain	20	France
7	9	India	101	Germany	19	Italy
8	8	Germany	94	Netherlands	19	Switzerland
9	8	Netherlands	94	Belgium	18	Spain
10	7	Spain	85	Iran	16	Greece

Figure 2. National and regional co-occurrence map

Institutional analysis

Shifting the focus to an institutional analysis reveals that from 2013 to 2022, 77 institutions were engaged in research on AI in medical education. The two institutions that topped the list in terms of a number of publications were Harvard Medical School and the University of Toronto, each with 7 contributions, followed by McGill University and the National University of California, San Francisco (5 contributions each). The institutions receiving the most citations were Nanyang Technological University (396 citations), McGill University (149 citations), and the University of Chicago (127 citations). Figure 3 shows that Leiden University and Harvard Medical School demonstrated more collaboration with other institutions, both exhibiting a link strength of 15.

TABLE 3. Top 10 Publications, Centrality, and Citations of Organizations

Rank	Documents	Organization	Citations	Organization	Total link strength	Organization
1	7	Harvard Medical School	396	Nanyang Technological University	15	Leiden University
2	7	University Toronto	149	McGill University	15	Harvard Medicine School
3	5	McGill University	127	University Chicago	11	Oregon Health and Science University
4	5	National University Singapore	104	University British Columbia	10	University Toronto
5	5	Oregon Health and Science University	86	Guys & St Thomas NHS Foundation Trust	9	University British Columbia
6	5	Queens University	83	Kings College London	9	Stanford University
7	5	Stanford University	68	University California San Francisco	9	Queens University
8	5	University California San Francisco	67	National University Singapore	8	Imperial College London
9	4	Emory University	66	Sultan Qaboos University	8	Johns Hopkins University
10	4	Leiden University	60	University Maryland	7	Ludwig Maximilians University Munchen

Figure 3. Organizations co-occurrence map

Author analysis

In the span of the last decade, research on Artificial Intelligence (AI) and medical education has seen the involvement of a total of 53 authors. The authors most frequently contributing to the documents included Vincent Bissonnette, Charlotte Blacketer, Rolando F. Del Maestro, Nicole Ledwos, Nykan Mirchi, Alexander Winkler-Schwartz, and Recai Yilmaz, each penning three papers. The authors garnering the highest citations encompassed the same group, with each achieving 143 citations (Table 4). As discerned from the VOS viewer image, there aren't any researchers with a significantly high number of publications, indicating that the volume of published papers remains relatively minimal. Figure 4 shows that research in this field is still nascent, with no particular research team outperforming others.

TABLE 4. Top 10 Publications, Centrality, and Citations of Authors

Rank	Documents	Author	Citations	Author	Total link strength	Author
1	3	Bissonnette, Vincent	143	Bissonnette, Vincent	22	Bacchi, Stephen
2	3	Blacketer, Charlotte	143	Del maestro, Rolando f.	22	Duggan, Paul
3	3	Del maestro, Rolando f.	143	Ledwos, Nicole	22	Gallagher, Steve
4	3	Ledwos, Nicole	143	Mirchi, Nykan	22	Licinio, Julio
5	3	Mirchi, Nykan	143	Winkler-schwartz, Alexander	22	Parnis, Roger
6	3	Winkler-schwartz, Alexander	143	Yilmaz, Recai	22	Perry, Seth w.
7	3	Yilmaz, Recai	56	Culp, Melissa p.	22	Symonds, Ian
8	2	Bacchi, Stephen	56	Mollura, Daniel j.	22	Tan, Yiran

9	2	Bulatov, Sergey	47	Sapci, a. hasan	22	Thomas, Josephine
10	2	Caliskan, s. ayhan	47	Sapci, h. aylin	22	Wagner, Morganne

Figure 4. Authors co-occurrence map

Reference analysis

In accordance with Table 5, there are 15 papers which serve as primary references in the research of AI and medical education. The document entitled “Medical students’ attitude towards artificial intelligence: a multicenter survey” emerged as the most frequently cited and most pertinent literature, garnering 36 and 109 citations respectively. It primarily evaluates the attitudes of undergraduate medical students towards radiology and medical AI.

The documents “Machine learning and medical education” and “Attitudes and perceptions of UK medical students towards artificial intelligence and radiology: a multicenter survey” are cited second most frequently. The papers “Medical Education Must Move From the Information Age to the Age of Artificial Intelligence” and “Machine learning and medical education” occupy the second position in terms of total link strength. Figure 5 illustrates this information.

TABLE 5. Top 10 Publications, Centrality, and Citations of Cited reference

Ran k	Citation s	Cited reference	Total link strength	Cited reference
1	36	dos santos dp, 2019, eur radiol, v29, p1640, doi 10.1007/s00330-018-5601-1	109	dos santos dp, 2019, eur radiol, v29, p1640, doi 10.1007/s00330-018-5601-1
2	23	kolachalama vb, 2018, npj digit med, v1, p0, doi 10.1038/s41746-018-0061-1	103	wartman sa, 2018, acad med, v93, p1107, doi 10.1097/acm.0000000000002044
3	23	sit c, 2020, insights imaging, v11, p0, doi 10.1186/s13244-019-0830-7	98	kolachalama vb, 2018, npj digit med, v1, p0, doi 10.1038/s41746-018-0061-1

4	21	gong b, 2019, acad radiol, v26, p566, doi 10.1016/j.acra.2018.10.007	96	sit c, 2020, insights imaging, v11, p0, doi 10.1186/s13244-019-0830-7
5	21	wartman sa, 2018, acad med, v93, p1107, doi 10.1097/acm.0000000000002044	85	masters k, 2019, med teach, v41, p976, doi 10.1080/0142159x.2019.1595557
6	19	paranjape ketan, 2019, jmir med educ, v5, pe16048, doi 10.2196/16048	81	paranjape ketan, 2019, jmir med educ, v5, pe16048, doi 10.2196/16048
7	19	topol ej, 2019, nat med, v25, p44, doi 10.1038/s41591-018-0300-7	78	topol ej, 2019, nat med, v25, p44, doi 10.1038/s41591-018-0300-7
8	16	chan kai siang, 2019, jmir med educ, v5, pe13930, doi 10.2196/13930	78	wartman steven a, 2019, ama j ethics, v21, pe146, doi 10.1001/amajethics.2019.146
9	16	masters k, 2019, med teach, v41, p976, doi 10.1080/0142159x.2019.1595557	78	mccoy lg, 2020, npj digit med, v3, p0, doi 10.1038/s41746-020-0294-7
10	15	wartman steven a, 2019, ama j ethics, v21, pe146, doi 10.1001/amajethics.2019.146	75	park sh, 2019, j educ eval health p, v16, p0, doi 10.3352/jeehp.2019.16.18

Figure 5. Cited reference co-occurrence map

Keywords analysis

The study examining Artificial Intelligence (AI) and medical education from 2013 to 2022 concentrates on 39 primary keywords (Table 6). Figure 6 shows that AI (100), education (47) and medical education (45) have the highest frequency and connection intensity.

TABLE 6. Top 10 Keywords Related to AI in medical education

Rank	Occurrences	Keywords	Total link strength	Keywords
1	100	AI	259	AI
2	47	education	131	education
3	45	medical education	114	medical education
4	33	machine learning	107	machine learning
5	23	technology	94	technology
6	15	radiology	56	curriculum
7	14	artificial-intelligence	43	radiology
8	13	curriculum	43	artificial-intelligence
9	12	health	41	performance
10	12	medical students	38	health

Figure 6. Keywords co-occurrence map

Research status

Figure 7 shows that the analysis of references with high citation frequency and centrality enables us to understand highly respected research results in the application of artificial intelligence in medical education.

Figure 7. Research status map

In Cluster 0 and Cluster 1, the swift advancement of AI has led to its application across all medical sectors, notably radiology[14-16]. Despite radiologists, residents, and medical students increasingly recognizing the importance of understanding AI, medical education that targets future radiologists is only just commencing[17-19]. Current investigations fall into three categories: 1. Methods to facilitate medical students in learning AI knowledge, 2. Utilizing AI technology to augment radiology teaching efficiency and assist medical students in identifying clinical images, 3. Medical students' attitudes towards AI application in radiology. An AI curriculum (AI-RADS) has been

devised to equip residents devoid of computing backgrounds with basic AI knowledge and its radiology application. The curriculum was highly rated (9.8 out of 10) by residents for overall satisfaction and significantly increased students' confidence in interpreting AI-related journal papers. There was a marked improvement in residents' comprehension of AI's fundamental concepts[20]. Figure 8 shows that some institutions emphasize integrated artificial intelligence frameworks to strengthen radiology education[21]. As this framework continues to evolve, it may be possible to achieve "precise medical education" tailored to students' individual learning styles and needs[21]. A multi-centre survey assessing UK medical students' attitudes and perceptions of AI and radiology revealed that students recognize the significance of AI and are eager to engage[22]. This prompts the need to integrate relevant AI courses into medical education to acquaint students with practical AI applications and constraints, thereby maintaining their learning enthusiasm and preventing AI-related panic.

Figure 8. Framework of AI.

Natural Language Processing (NLP) is an important direction in the fields of computer science and artificial intelligence. It studies various theories and methods that enable effective communication between humans and computers using natural language. Its main function here is to distinguish rare cases

In Cluster 2, e-health refers to the utilization of information and communication technologies to fulfill healthcare needs in various domains, including AI, telemedicine, Internet of Things (IoT), connected devices, and mobile health (mHealth)[23]. E-health technologies provide access to healthcare in rural areas and support the management of numerous health conditions[24-28]. Following the release of the World Health Organization's national e-health strategy tool in 2012, it is imperative for future medical students to receive e-health education and training. Current medical education primarily includes conceptual courses while neglecting practical training[29]. While emphasizing the inclusion of e-health in medical education, it is also important to recognize the potential adverse outcomes of over-reliance on AI technology[30]. Hence, identifying the optimal e-health application areas in healthcare is necessitous[31].

In Cluster 3, the integration of medical education and AI holds significant value and potential beyond radiology, extending into surgical education and surgery. AI's earliest medical applications were in image-based specialties like radiology, pathology, ophthalmology, and dermatology. However, its application in procedural professions like surgery may require more time[32, 33]. The benefits of AI application in surgery mainly include: integrating preoperative, intraoperative, and postoperative data to improve the accuracy of the clinical decision-making system and predict postoperative

complications more efficiently; applying surgical knowledge and education to interact with surgeons and patients through virtual or augmented reality. For instance, virtual reality (VR) simulators were initially used in laparoscopic surgery training[34]. A study involving 176 medical students was conducted to assess the accuracy of robot-assisted virtual surgical simulations after integrated deep learning, showing improved accuracy[35]. In 2022 and 2023, AI application breakthroughs were achieved in oral and maxillofacial surgery education[36] and orthopedic surgery[37]. While AI proves beneficial in surgery and surgical education, especially in surgical ability assessment, it raises questions regarding whether AI can ever match human educators' intelligence and audacity. Although advanced AI teaching tools can be incorporated into surgical education, current technology cannot fully replace multifaceted surgeons or surgical educators. Addressing the transparency and responsibility of AI application in medical education and resolving ethical issues may require more time and effort.

In Cluster 5, the rapid AI development profoundly impacts medical education. Modern medical education must accommodate various healthcare systems, including digital health systems and big data generation in a highly connected world[38]. A Canadian survey of medical students' perceptions of AI's impact on radiology in 2018 showed that anxiety induced by the prospect of AI replacing radiologists deterred many students from considering radiology[39]. The radiology community should appreciate AI's potential impact on the profession, educate students appropriately about AI's role, and ensure radiology's viability as a long-term career option. While AI's benefits in medicine include eliminating human bias and enhancing pattern recognition and decision-making, its drawbacks, such as the inability to provide warmth and empathy to patients and absorb human educators' wisdom, should not be underestimated. The confusion about whether AI's role in medical education is supplementary or replacement-based is another concern[40]. In summary, while AI promises great advances and changes in medicine, it also poses numerous challenges and problems. The medical community needs to proactively address these challenges, leverage AI technology benefits, and promote continuous innovation and improvement in medical services.

Research frontier

Figure 9 shows that big data has a significant intensity of 2.01, firmly at the top of the list and has become the focus of medical education in the past three years. The emergence and proliferation of COVID-19 in 2019 ushered in the big data epoch in medicine, with telemedicine systems, clinical intelligent decision-making, and management systems taking on pivotal roles.

Firstly, the advent of big data has catalyzed the innovation of medical teaching paradigms: What does the future hold for medical education in the digital age? A study conducted by Eui-Ryoung Han et al. zeroes in on a future medical education model that leans heavily on big data, cutting-edge technology, and AI, with the aim to cultivate a new breed of medical students who display enhanced humanistic attributes, cooperation capacity, patient-needs sensitivity, and societal and global orientation[38].

Secondly, big data has stimulated innovation in clinical medicine models: The integration of advanced technologies like Machine Learning (ML), clinical intelligent decision and management systems, and electronic medical records has propelled shifts, innovation, and advancement within clinical medicine paradigms. Vijaya B. Kolachalama posits that AI, fueled by ML algorithms, is an emerging computer science branch that is swiftly gaining traction in healthcare. AI is anticipated to play an instrumental role in precision medicine and health[41]. In 2022, Alastair Watson released a paper entitled “Digital healthcare in COPD management: a narrative review on the advantages, pitfalls, and need for further research,” illustrating the vast potential of digital healthcare innovation[42]. Amidst the COVID-19 pandemic, it is expected that big data will mitigate the workload for doctors interpreting digital data, enhance their diagnostic and prognostic abilities, equip clinicians with intelligent decision-making and management systems, and offer patients optimal clinical care and self-management strategies.

Undeniably, big data, akin to many emergent tools, is a double-edged sword. Ensuring its tailored use and dialectical treatment constitutes a crucial aspect of digital health, striving to exploit its merits while circumventing its downsides. The pursuit of enduring, comprehensive, and precise population health data management emerges as a long-term strategy.

The recent surge terms indicate that “management” is intimately linked to “big data”. Confronting the colossal medical data of today, the incorporation of AI technology can enhance management efficiency in spheres like hospital medical management, disease surgery management, and chronic disease management, among others. AI algorithms are employed to scrutinize data pertaining to patients’ hospitalization duration, hospitalization route, and climatic and temporal factors, which effectively curtail the hospitalization duration and significantly rectify issues like the misallocation of medical resources[43]. Leveraging a Diabetic Retinopathy (DR) automatic grading and training system furnished with an AI-driven diagnosis algorithm to groom budding doctors can augment diagnostic accuracy, thereby strengthening DR management[44]. Surgical video, a crucial data source

for medical education, should be systematically stored and managed. A system intended to assist doctors in managing surgical videos can heighten the efficiency of continuing education by dissecting surgical videos and marking critical segments or frames to generate AI reports[45].

Figure 9. Burst word graph

Limitation

The search strategies employed can potentially yield divergent results, and the strategy opted for in this study might not encompass all pertinent literature. With the swift advancement of AI, a number of papers in this domain were brought to light in 2023. However, the temporal span of this study extends from 2013 to 2022, thus excluding the contributions from 2023.

Discussion

In this investigation, a bibliometric evaluation of 195 pertinent papers over the preceding decade was meticulously executed using Citespace and Vos viewer software. This research illustrates the findings related to countries, institutions, authors, citations, and keywords employing tables and diagrams, offering an analytical perspective on the present research status and emerging frontiers in this domain. The outcomes were exhaustively analyzed.

Initially, examining the annual publication count, authors, institutions, and countries, it was identified that from 2019 onwards, global interest and recognition of AI's applicability in medical education experienced an upswing. Secondly, superficially, collaboration in this arena might appear limited, an aspect that can be attributed to this field's unique nature and the diverse modalities of medical education across different regions. For future progress, it is recommended that countries focus on harmonizing their approaches while acknowledging their differences, fostering collective advancement, and advocating for a mutual elevation of medical education standards.

Further, an evaluation of the current research status and prevalent research themes highlighted that the extent of AI technology integration in medical education is significantly inadequate, with a rather limited focus area. Consequently, it is advocated that future efforts should aim at active exploration to unearth novel advancements.

Lastly, AI, being inherently enigmatic, evokes uncertainty among both educators and learners about its future potentialities. Therefore, the immediate concern should be to strategically leverage its potential while mitigating its drawbacks, which, indeed, becomes the highest priority for future advancement.

Acknowledgments

I would like to give my heartfelt thanks to all the people who have ever helped me in this paper. All authors are grateful for the support of all participants or participants who will join the trial, as well as institutions.

This study is funded by Major Science and Technology Special Plan of Science and Technology Department of Yunnan Province (Project No. 202102AA100016), Yunnan Provincial Department of Science and Technology -- Yunnan University of Chinese Medicine Joint Special Project of Applied Basic Research (Project No. 201901AI070004), Yunnan Provincial Department of Science and Technology -- Yunnan University of Chinese Medicine Joint Special Project of Applied Basic Research (Project No. 202101AZ070001-059), Key Laboratory of Acupuncture and Massage for Prevention and Treatment of Encephalopathy in Universities of Yunnan Province (Project No. 2019YGZ04). The funding agencies do not play any role in the design, collection, analysis, or writing manuscript.

Data Availability

The data sets generated or analyzed in this study will not be publicly available. Consent and ethical approve not include a provision for the sharing of data from this study.

Authors' Contributions

XT and XZ are the main investigators, mainly responsible for the overall framework and design of the paper, SW is mainly responsible for data processing and mapping, LY and ML are mainly responsible for the article writing and table design. All authors participate in the revision and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

Reference

- [1] Dzobo K, Adotey S, Thomford NE, et al. Integrating Artificial and Human Intelligence: A Partnership for Responsible Innovation in Biomedical Engineering and Medicine. *Omics : a journal of integrative biology*. 2020;24(5):247-63. doi:10.1089/omi.2019.0038.
- [2] Kaul V, Enslin S, Gross SA. History of artificial intelligence in medicine. *Gastrointestinal endoscopy*. 2020;92(4):807-12. doi:10.1016/j.gie.2020.06.040.

- [3] Mintz Y, Brodie R. Introduction to artificial intelligence in medicine. Minimally invasive therapy & allied technologies : MITAT : official journal of the Society for Minimally Invasive Therapy. 2019;28(2):73-81. doi:10.1080/13645706.2019.1575882.
- [4] Patnaik PR. Synthesizing cellular intelligence and artificial intelligence for bioprocesses. Biotechnology advances. 2006;24(2):129-33. doi:10.1016/j.biotechadv.2005.08.002.
- [5] Gupta R, Srivastava D, Sahu M, et al. Artificial intelligence to deep learning: machine intelligence approach for drug discovery. Molecular diversity. 2021;25(3):1315-60. doi:10.1007/s11030-021-10217-3.
- [6] Buch VH, Ahmed I, Maruthappu M. Artificial intelligence in medicine: current trends and future possibilities. The British journal of general practice : the journal of the Royal College of General Practitioners. 2018;68(668):143-4. doi:10.3399/bjgp18X695213.
- [7] Patel VL, Shortliffe EH, Stefanelli M, et al. The coming of age of artificial intelligence in medicine. Artificial intelligence in medicine. 2009;46(1):5-17. doi:10.1016/j.artmed.2008.07.017.
- [8] Chan KS, Zary N. Applications and Challenges of Implementing Artificial Intelligence in Medical Education: Integrative Review. JMIR medical education. 2019;5(1):e13930. doi:10.2196/13930.
- [9] Qin Y, Zhang Q, Liu Y. Analysis of knowledge bases and research focuses of cerebral ischemia-reperfusion from the perspective of mapping knowledge domain. Brain research bulletin. 2020;156:15-24. doi:10.1016/j.brainresbull.2019.12.004.
- [10] Stout NL, Alfano CM, Belter CW, et al. A Bibliometric Analysis of the Landscape of Cancer Rehabilitation Research (1992-2016). J Natl Cancer Inst. 2018;110(8):815-24. doi:10.1093/jnci/djy108.
- [11] Akyol A, Kocyigit BF. Ankylosing spondylitis rehabilitation publications and the global productivity: a Web of Science-based bibliometric analysis (2000-2019). Rheumatol Int. 2021;41(11):2007-14. doi:10.1007/s00296-021-04836-0.
- [12] Chen YM, Wang XQ. Bibliometric Analysis of Exercise and Neuropathic Pain Research. J Pain Res. 2020;13:1533-45. doi:10.2147/JPR.S258696.
- [13] Wang SQ, Wang JX, Zhang C, et al. What You Should Know About Osteoarthritis Rehabilitation: A Bibliometric Analysis of the 50 Most-Cited Articles. Geriatr Orthop Surg Rehabil. 2020;11:2151459320973196. doi:10.1177/2151459320973196.
- [14] Hosny A, Parmar C, Quackenbush J, et al. Artificial intelligence in radiology. Nat Rev Cancer. 2018;18(8):500-10. doi:10.1038/s41568-018-0016-5.
- [15] Goddard P, Leslie A, Jones A, et al. Error in radiology. Br J Radiol. 2001;74(886):949-51. doi:10.1259/bjr.74.886.740949.
- [16] Boland GW, Guimaraes AS, Mueller PR. The radiologist's conundrum: benefits and costs of increasing CT capacity and utilization. Eur Radiol. 2009;19(1):9-11; discussion 2. doi:10.1007/s00330-008-1159-7.
- [17] Ooi SKG, Makmur A, Soon AYQ, et al. Attitudes toward artificial intelligence in radiology with learner needs assessment within radiology residency programmes: a national multi-programme survey. Singapore Med J. 2021;62(3):126-34. doi:10.11622/smedj.2019141.
- [18] Pinto Dos Santos D, Giese D, Brodehl S, et al. Medical students' attitude towards artificial intelligence: a multicentre survey. Eur Radiol. 2019;29(4):1640-6. doi:10.1007/s00330-018-5601-1.
- [19] Paranjape K, Schinkel M, Nannan Panday R, et al. Introducing Artificial Intelligence Training in Medical Education. JMIR Med Educ. 2019;5(2):e16048. doi:10.2196/16048.
- [20] Lindqwister AL, Hassanpour S, Lewis PJ, et al. AI-RADS: An Artificial Intelligence Curriculum for Residents. Acad Radiol. 2021;28(12):1810-6. doi:10.1016/j.acra.2020.09.017.
- [21] Duong MT, Rauschecker AM, Rudie JD, et al. Artificial intelligence for precision education in radiology. Br J Radiol. 2019;92(1103):20190389. doi:10.1259/bjr.20190389.
- [22] Sit C, Srinivasan R, Amlani A, et al. Attitudes and perceptions of UK medical students towards artificial intelligence and radiology: a multicentre survey. Insights Imaging. 2020;11(1):14. doi:10.1186/s13244-019-0830-7.
- [23] Meskó B, Drobni Z, Béneyi É, et al. Digital health is a cultural transformation of traditional healthcare. mHealth. 2017;3:38. doi:10.21037/mhealth.2017.08.07.
- [24] Speyer R, Denman D, Wilkes-Gillan S, et al. Effects of telehealth by allied health professionals and nurses in rural and remote areas: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Rehabil Med. 2018;50(3):225-35. doi:10.2340/16501977-2297.

- [25] So CF, Chung JW. Telehealth for diabetes self-management in primary healthcare: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Telemed Telecare*. 2018;24(5):356-64. doi:10.1177/1357633X17700552.
- [26] Xiao Q, Wang J, Chiang V, et al. Effectiveness of mHealth Interventions for Asthma Self-Management: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Stud Health Technol Inform*. 2018;250:144-5. doi:10.3233/978-1-61499-872-3-144.
- [27] Nindrea RD, Aryandono T, Lazarddi L, et al. Diagnostic Accuracy of Different Machine Learning Algorithms for Breast Cancer Risk Calculation: a Meta-Analysis. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*. 2018;19(7):1747-52. doi:10.22034/apjcp.2018.19.7.1747.
- [28] Lee Y, Ragguett RM, Mansur RB, et al. Applications of machine learning algorithms to predict therapeutic outcomes in depression: A meta-analysis and systematic review. *J Affect Disord*. 2018;241:519-32. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2018.08.073.
- [29] Echelard JF, Méthot F, Nguyen HA, et al. Medical Student Training in eHealth: Scoping Review. *JMIR Med Educ*. 2020;6(2):e20027. doi:10.2196/20027.
- [30] McDonald L, Ramagopalan SV, Cox AP, et al. Unintended consequences of machine learning in medicine? *F1000Research*. 2017;6:1707. doi:10.12688/f1000research.12693.1.
- [31] Maddox TM, Rumsfeld JS, Payne PRO. Questions for Artificial Intelligence in Health Care. *JAMA*. 2019;321(1):31-2. doi:10.1001/jama.2018.18932.
- [32] Kirubakaran A, Young D, Khan S, et al. Artificial Intelligence and Surgical Education: A Systematic Scoping Review of Interventions. *J Surg Educ*. 2022;79(2):500-15. doi:10.1016/j.jsurg.2021.09.012.
- [33] Sheikh AY, Fann JI. Artificial Intelligence: Can Information be Transformed into Intelligence in Surgical Education? *Thorac Surg Clin*. 2019;29(3):339-50. doi:10.1016/j.thorsurg.2019.03.011.
- [34] Ritter EM, Park YS, Durning SJ, et al. The Impact of Simulation Based Training on the Fundamentals of Endoscopic Surgery Performance Examination. *Ann Surg*. 2023;277(3):e699-e706. doi:10.1097/sla.0000000000005088.
- [35] Moglia A, Morelli L, D'Ischia R, et al. Ensemble deep learning for the prediction of proficiency at a virtual simulator for robot-assisted surgery. *Surg Endosc*. 2022;36(9):6473-9. doi:10.1007/s00464-021-08999-6.
- [36] Krishnan DG. Artificial Intelligence in Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery Education. *Oral Maxillofac Surg Clin North Am*. 2022;34(4):585-91. doi:10.1016/j.coms.2022.03.006.
- [37] St Mart JP, Goh EL, Liew I, et al. Artificial intelligence in orthopaedics surgery: transforming technological innovation in patient care and surgical training. *Postgrad Med J*. 2023;99(1173):687-94. doi:10.1136/postgradmedj-2022-141596.
- [38] Han ER, Yeo S, Kim MJ, et al. Medical education trends for future physicians in the era of advanced technology and artificial intelligence: an integrative review. *BMC Med Educ*. 2019;19(1):460. doi:10.1186/s12909-019-1891-5.
- [39] Gong B, Nugent JP, Guest W, et al. Influence of Artificial Intelligence on Canadian Medical Students' Preference for Radiology Specialty: A National Survey Study. *Acad Radiol*. 2019;26(4):566-77. doi:10.1016/j.acra.2018.10.007.
- [40] Grunhut J, Marques O, Wyatt ATM. Needs, Challenges, and Applications of Artificial Intelligence in Medical Education Curriculum. *JMIR Med Educ*. 2022;8(2):e35587. doi:10.2196/35587.
- [41] Kolachalama VB, Garg PS. Machine learning and medical education. *NPJ Digit Med*. 2018;1:54. doi:10.1038/s41746-018-0061-1.
- [42] Watson A, Wilkinson TMA. Digital healthcare in COPD management: a narrative review on the advantages, pitfalls, and need for further research. *Ther Adv Respir Dis*. 2022;16:17534666221075493. doi:10.1177/17534666221075493.
- [43] Nas S, Koyuncu M. Emergency Department Capacity Planning: A Recurrent Neural Network and Simulation Approach. *Comput Math Methods Med*. 2019;2019:4359719. doi:10.1155/2019/4359719.
- [44] Qian X, Jingying H, Xian S, et al. The effectiveness of artificial intelligence-based automated grading and training system in education of manual detection of diabetic retinopathy. *Front Public Health*. 2022;10:1025271. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2022.1025271.
- [45] Kim D, Hwang W, Bae J, et al. Video Archiving and Communication System (VACS): A Progressive Approach, Design, Implementation, and Benefits for Surgical Videos. *Healthc Inform Res*. 2021;27(2):162-7. doi:10.4258/hir.2021.27.2.162.

Table of

Full name	Abbreviations
Artificial Intelligence	AI
Web of Science	WOS
United States of America	USA
People's Republic of China	Peoples R China
Harvard Medical School	Harvard Med Sch
University of Toronto	Univ Toronto
Mcgill University	Mcgill Univ
University of California	Natl Univ Sniv Calif San Francisco
Nanyang Technol University	Nanyang Technol Univ
University Chicago	Univ Chicago
Leiden University	Leiden Univ
United Kingdom	UK
Virtual reality	VR
Machine Learning	ML
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	COPD
Diabetic Retinopathy	DR


Abbreviations



Supplementary Files

Figures

Untitled.



Multimedia Appendixes

Untitled.

URL: <http://asset.jmir.pub/assets/c46b02eaca80ab51ab31b43eba316fc0.png>



TOC/Feature image for homepages

Untitled.

