

Smart Home Advancements for Healthcare and Beyond: A Systematic Review of Two Decades of User-Centric Innovation

Youna Park, Jieun Han

Submitted to: Journal of Medical Internet Research
on: June 02, 2024

Disclaimer: © The authors. All rights reserved. This is a privileged document currently under peer-review/community review. Authors have provided JMIR Publications with an exclusive license to publish this preprint on its website for review purposes only. While the final peer-reviewed paper may be licensed under a CC BY license on publication, at this stage authors and publisher expressly prohibit redistribution of this draft paper other than for review purposes.

Table of Contents

Original Manuscript.....	5
Supplementary Files.....	28
0.....	29
.....	30
.....	30
0.....	30
.....	30
Figures	31
Figure 0.....	32
Figure 1.....	33
Figure 2.....	34
Figure 3.....	35
Figure 4.....	36
Figure 5.....	37
Figure 6.....	38
Figure 7.....	39
Figure 8.....	40
Related publication(s) - for reviewers eyes onlies	41
Related publication(s) - for reviewers eyes only 0.....	42

Smart Home Advancements for Healthcare and Beyond: A Systematic Review of Two Decades of User-Centric Innovation

Youna Park¹ BSc, MSc; Jieun Han¹ BSc, MSc, PhD

¹ Graduate School of Technology and Innovation Management Hanyang University Seoul KR

Corresponding Author:

Jieun Han BSc, MSc, PhD

Graduate School of Technology and Innovation Management
Hanyang University
Multidisciplinary Lecture Hall 709
Wangsimni-ro 222
Seoul
KR

Abstract

Background: The smart home industry, powered by AI and IoT, has grown significantly, with market size expected to double by 2026. Academic projects like Georgia Tech's Aware home have enhanced our understanding of smart home technologies. However, current research often focuses narrowly on technology. This study aims to broaden the perspective by reviewing two decades of smart home literature using bibliometric analysis and thematic analysis, to better align future research with evolving user needs and market trends.

Objective: This study analyzes smart home trends from 2000 to 2023 using bibliometric and thematic analysis to understand broader industry trends. It examines technological advances, service innovations, and shifts in user demands, aiming to inform future research and industry developments.

Methods: The analysis is conducted in four steps: data collection from Web of Science, gathering 5,983 abstracts from 2000 to 2023.1H; data pre-processing and refinement; topic modelling of 1,003 selected articles; and combined bibliometric network analysis and qualitative in-depth analysis for interpretation.

Results: This study reviews 1,003 articles on smart homes, highlighting advancements in technology, applications, and user-centric research over 20 years. It notes a shift from IoT and AI in basic functions to broader uses in energy management and healthcare, with a focus transitioning from affluent early adopters to more inclusive support for vulnerable groups. This evolution indicates a significant paradigm shift in the smart home sector, affecting future technology and service development.

Conclusions: The results of this research provide significant academic and practical insights by offering a comprehensive overview of the smart home domain's evolution over the past twenty years, highlighting the shift from a technology-centric to a user-centric approach. This shift enhances academic understanding and opens new commercial opportunities, particularly in healthcare, focusing on user satisfaction and quality of life, paving the way for future real-world solutions.

(JMIR Preprints 02/06/2024:62793)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2196/preprints.62793>

Preprint Settings

1) Would you like to publish your submitted manuscript as preprint?

✓ **Please make my preprint PDF available to anyone at any time (recommended).**

Please make my preprint PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that my title and abstract will remain visible to all users.

Only make the preprint title and abstract visible.

No, I do not wish to publish my submitted manuscript as a preprint.

2) If accepted for publication in a JMIR journal, would you like the PDF to be visible to the public?

✓ **Yes, please make my accepted manuscript PDF available to anyone at any time (Recommended).**

Yes, but please make my accepted manuscript PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that the title and abstract will remain visible to all.
Yes, but only make the title and abstract visible (see Important note, above). I understand that if I later pay to participate in [JMIR Publications](#), my accepted manuscript PDF will be available to all.
No. Please do not make my accepted manuscript PDF available to anyone.



Original Manuscript

Original Paper

Youna Park¹ and Jieun Han^{1*}

¹Graduate School of Technology and Innovation Management, Hanyang University, Seoul 04763, Korea

* Correspondence: juliahanje @hanyang.ac.kr; Tel.: +82-2-2220-2279; Fax: +82-2-2220-2255

Smart Home Advancements for Healthcare and Beyond: A Systematic Review of Two Decades of User-Centric Innovation

Abstract

Background: The rapid advancement of IoT and AI technologies has driven significant growth in demand for smart home products, with household penetration projected to increase from 77.6% in 2025 to 92.5% in 2029. Despite this growth, much of the existing research adopts a technology-push approach, focusing primarily on user adoption and acceptance from the perspective of technology providers rather than addressing the evolving needs and experiences of users.

Objective: This study seeks to bridge this gap by conducting a comprehensive review of 20 years of smart home literature (2000–2023) using bibliometric and thematic analysis. The objective is to align future research with user needs and market trends by examining technology, user behavior, and service perspectives to inform academic and industry developments.

Methods: The analysis was carried out in four steps: (1) collecting 5,983 abstracts from the Web of Science database (2000–1H.2023), (2) preprocessing and refining the data, (3) applying topic modeling to 1,003 selected papers, and (4) combining bibliometric network analysis with qualitative, in-depth interpretation.

Results: This study identified 110 key topics across four distinct periods, providing insights into two decades of advancements in technology, applications, and user-centered research.

The findings illustrate the progression of smart home technologies, from wireless networks to IoT and artificial intelligence, the expansion of services from basic monitoring to applications like energy management and healthcare, and a shift in user profiles from early adopters to underserved populations. The study highlights the limitations of the technology-push approach and underscores the importance of understanding diverse user groups and improving user experiences. It also emphasizes the potential of ambient intelligence environments to intuitively adapt to the health and lifestyle needs of all residents, simplifying and enriching daily life.

Conclusions: This research delivers valuable academic and practical insights into the evolution of the smart home domain, showcasing a shift from technology-push strategies to user-centered approaches. By prioritizing user satisfaction and quality of life—especially in healthcare—the findings open new commercial opportunities and pave the way for innovative, real-world smart home solutions tailored to contemporary user needs.

Keywords: Smart home; Systematic Literature Review; Thematic Analysis; Bibliometric analysis; Healthcare; User needs

Introduction

Changing concepts of smart home require new analytics to uncover insights

The smart home industry, fuelled by core technologies of the Fourth Industrial Revolution such as

Artificial Intelligence (AI) and the Internet of Things (IoT), has attracted significant attention, permeating various products, services, and platforms. Over the past two decades, particularly since 2010, smart homes have witnessed substantial advancements in both industry and research. Initially developed for home automation in the early 2000s, the industry has evolved significantly with the emergence of wireless internet, the widespread adoption of smartphones, the proliferation of IoT devices, and advancements in artificial intelligence. These developments have propelled smart home research and applications into a phase of rapid growth, marking the beginning of a new era of innovation and integration.

Given this context, several experimental studies have been conducted, including the Aware Home at the Georgia Institute of Technology, PlaceLab at MIT, R-House at Indiana University, Easy Living at Microsoft Research, the UKDRI Smart Home at Imperial College London, and Keio The Smart Space at Uiku University in Japan[1]. These seminal studies have played a crucial role in advancing our understanding of smart home technologies and their implications. By delving into user behaviors, preferences, and acceptance of smart home technologies in real-world settings, these studies have provided useful insights[2]; The findings from these studies have not only informed the development of user-centric smart home solutions but have also shaped the trajectory of future research and innovation in the field. By addressing user needs and preferences, researchers and industry practitioners can design more intuitive and effective smart home technologies that enhance the overall user experience and promote widespread adoption.

Therefore, this study aims to synthesize the conceptual framework and scholarly research related to smart homes over the past two decades, while also examining future research directions. To achieve this objective, the methodology employs bibliometric analysis and thematic analysis. These methodologies identify and classify smart home literature across a temporal spectrum, facilitating a comprehensive understanding of the field's evolution.

This study compiled 5,981 articles published between 2000 and 2023 from the Web of Science database, utilizing search terms associated with smart homes and users. Subsequently, a systematic literature review was undertaken, followed by topic modelling on 1,003 articles.

Furthermore, 42 selected papers underwent in-depth analysis through literature review. The present research scrutinized the time-series change process, yielding comprehensive insights into smart homes. Its significance lies in its capacity to explore various facets, including smart home products, services, and user dynamics, thereby facilitating adaptability to future changes. This study aims to address the following questions:

RQ1. What has been the trend in smart home research over the past 20 years?

RQ2. In which domains as smart home research has been primarily conducted?

RQ3. What is the optimal framework for effectively conducting smart home research?

RQ4. What are the main topics discussed in the diachronic analysis of smart home research over the past 20 years?

RQ5. How has smart home research evolved over different periods?

RQ6. What are the key challenges and opportunities in the development of smart home technologies?

Changing the Concept of a Smart Home

The concept of the “smart home” traces back to 1975, when it was defined as a residential system equipped with interactive automation technology, empowering residents to manage various home function like lighting, temperature control, multimedia utilization, and security monitoring. The actual term was coined by the American Home Builders Association in 1984, when the concept gained momentum. In the early 20th century, the advent of electronic technologies like vacuum cleaners, food processors, and washing machines marked a significant stride towards enhancing convenience and comfort within households[1]. The commercialization of the first home automation platform, X10, in 1970 represented a milestone, transmitting digital data via radio frequencies

through existing electrical wiring[3]. The late 1990s witnessed a surge in home network development opportunities, propelled by the widespread adoption of high-speed internet. The emergence of the Internet of Things (IoT) has significantly changed the concept of smart homes. In the late 2000s, the adoption of smartphones increased the popularity of smart homes through mobile applications. Throughout its evolution, smart homes have gone by various names, such as home networks, digital homes, home automation, and intelligent homes, reflecting the expanding scope and definition of the concept[4].

In the industrial sector, major technology companies including Google, Amazon, Apple, Samsung, Huawei, among others, have introduced various smart devices and home automation systems [1]. The advent of integrated home automation solutions has significantly expanded the scope of smart homes. Since 2010, the proliferation of voice-controlled AI speakers such as Amazon Echo and Google Home has been remarkable. These devices have expanded beyond their initial function of controlling home appliances through voice recognition, evolving into comprehensive platforms offering a wide array of services. These services range from accessing music content to facilitating online shopping[5].

While the traditional concept of the smart home primarily focused on providing convenience and comfort, the modern smart home landscape, particularly from 2018 onwards, has shown its potential to deliver practical services aimed at enhancing quality of life. These services encompass aspects such as security, energy saving, and telehealth underscoring the evolving nature of smart home technology towards more holistic and user-centric functionalities[6].

In the early stages of smart home development, the primary focus revolved around integrating products and services through communication technology. The goal was efficiency, with this integration aimed at simplifying user control and management, ultimately saving time. While there was some discussion regarding the necessity for services to align with user needs, the predominant focus of research and development centered on early adopters exploring products or services with new technologies. These experiments were conducted by constructing and evaluating specific environments, albeit often detached from the authentic user experience.

In recent years, significant strides in IoT and sensor technology have resulted in transformative changes. These technologies empower devices to detect electricity and water usage, learn from user behaviors, and autonomously adapt. Consequently, personalized services have emerged, capable of tailoring energy usage to users' lifestyles, thereby facilitating energy conservation. This evolution marks a notable shift in the smart home sector, evolving beyond efficiency to enhance users' quality of life, viewed through the three perspectives of technology, services, and user dynamics.

An Analytical Framework for Insights into Smart Home

Although the smart home industry is diversified and has gradually entered the mainstream market, research remains predominantly skewed towards the technological perspective, often overlooking the broader perspectives of services and user dynamics[7].

Existing studies tend to concentrate on technical aspects such as device capabilities, infrastructure and architecture development, and applications, thereby leading some scholars to call for a more user-centric approach that prioritizes the actual benefits of smart homes technology[8]. From a technology perspective, research on smart homes typically revolves around the integration of various technologies, including home automation, automatic control systems, communication networks, connected devices and services, remote access and control, and home intelligence. Conversely, the service perspective primarily focuses on control, monitoring, and response support for technology management, with additional exploration into various services such as telehealth services for promoting healthy living[9].

Research from the user perspective in smart homes has primarily focused on understanding adoption and utilization patterns, emphasizing adoption factors, challenges, barriers, and post-adoption

usability evaluations. According to Charlie Wilson's findings, the adoption rate of smart home systems remains low, potentially due to a mismatch between developed products and users' actual needs[8]. This highlights the pressing need to account for user diversity, particularly aging populations. The evolution of smart home healthcare has shifted chronic disease management from hospitals to home environments, realizing concepts like "aging in place."

Advances in mobile technologies, including IoT and sensors, have greatly enhanced usability and personalized care [10]. These innovations accelerate adoption cycles by enabling remote health management and fostering personalized, user-focused smart home healthcare solutions, leveraging technologies like AI and blockchain.

This transition underscores the importance of user-centered design and privacy-conscious policies while addressing ethical and legal challenges in healthcare.

In this study, we will conduct research from the following three perspectives. Conducting a macro-level study encompassing technologies, services, and user dynamics will enable us to explore user needs and identify gaps between technologies and services in future smart homes. This holistic approach is crucial for bridging the divide and ensuring alignment between technological advancements and user expectations.

SLR and Thematic Analysis

Systematic quantitative analysis methods are useful tools for scientific evaluation, enabling the identification of contributions and impacts made by pioneers and practitioners in a field. These methods also facilitate the examination of underlying influences and afford a macro view of the existing literature[11]. By employing scientific methodologies and systematic quantitative analysis, researchers can gain comprehensive insights into the landscape of a particular domain, thereby advancing knowledge and understanding within the field. SLR centered on thematic and content analysis using tools like Leximancer, has been applied across a wide range of disciplines. Recent studies have used this method to conduct comprehensive literature reviews in diverse areas including digital banking, e-market publishing, cross-cultural psychology, small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), and studies on entrepreneurship evolution.

These research methods enable researchers to systematically observe and analyze changes and continuities in thematic interests over time, mitigating distortion caused by fluctuations in the volume of literature. Such analyses provide insights into the emergence, evolution, and eventual disappearance of certain concepts, providing a nuanced understanding of the topic under investigation (Young et al., 2015). As a result, this approach contributes to a more foundational and contextualized interpretation of trends and opportunities within the field of study, thereby enriching academic and practical knowledge. Moreover, by comparing Prominence Index (PI) values using this method, discrepancies between periods across different timeframes can be readily discerned, facilitating a more refined interpretation of these disparities. This allows for a deeper exploration of temporal variations and enhances the overall interpretative capability of the analysis.

In a study investigating the evolution of business-to-business (B2B) marketing topics, researchers analyzed 328 articles from B2B marketing journals from 1993 to 2014. The scientific analysis revealed four themes and 86 concepts, categorized across four distinct time periods. Notably, a consistent theme throughout the various time frames underscored the significance of relationships in B2B marketing. Utilizing PI analysis, the study elucidated the evolution of these concepts over time, highlighting changes and differences between time periods[12].

Another literature review traced three decades of research within the field, using Leximancer to conduct content analysis. The study analyzed 211 articles from the journal *Electronic Markets*, along with 356 presentations from the Bled eConference. The analysis identified 13 main themes, with notable overlaps including information, services, business, online, social, and systems. The study

detailed the similarities and discrepancies between these identified themes, providing a thorough assessment of their impact on the field[13].

In addition, a literature review exploring the application of AI in the banking sector since 2005 conducted a systematic analysis of 44 articles. Thematic and content analysis using Leximancer uncovered several pivotal themes, leading to the proposal of an AI banking services framework designed to reconcile the disparity between research and industry practices. The analysis identified strategy, process, and customer relationships as key areas of research, providing insights into strategic planning and value optimization for the future use of AI technology within banking operations[14].

As shown in previous research, a systematic review offers a comprehensive understanding of a subject matter, revealing overarching insights and subtle changes and trends within the field. Building upon this premise, the current study aims to analyze a wide range of literature pertaining to smart homes spanning the last two decades. The primary objective of this study is to discern evolving characteristics across different time periods, thereby furnishing a macro view from three distinct perspectives.

To achieve its goals, the study adopts a hybrid approach that combines qualitative and quantitative research methods, utilizing Leximancer. This integrated methodology not only facilitates a nuanced comprehension of smart home technologies but also allows for a deeper exploration of their evolution over time. Bibliometric analysis provides quantitative insights into publishing trends, citations, and collaborations, thereby improving understanding of the main themes and gaps in the academic environment. Conducting a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) guided by the PRISMA framework, the study addresses key research questions in a structured manner. By synthesizing these qualitative, quantitative, and bibliometric insights, the research aims to significantly contribute to the existing body of knowledge on smart home technologies and their development.

Methods

Dataset Collection


For data collection, we utilized the Web of Science platform, because of its credibility and extensive coverage of peer-reviewed articles across diverse disciplines[15]. Leveraging the broad scope of this database, we conducted a thorough search and filtering process to ensure inclusivity and breadth in our literature selection. Our aim was to compile a comprehensive collection of literature on smart homes by combining various types of literature[16]. Aligned with our research framework, we structured our queries as follows:

Query = ("smart home" OR "smart home user") AND ("behavior", "acceptance", "adoption", "perspective", "learning", "use", "evaluate")

Using these terms in the final search string, we retrieved 7,003 papers. After excluding four papers published before 2000 and 1,016 duplicates, 5,983 remained for screening. We excluded four documents before 1998 due to their limited representation, which could hinder meaningful analysis. Additionally, the low number of papers before 2000 made them unsuitable for inclusion in the 6-year incremental analysis. In the second step, we evaluate the content and quality of these articles. In the third step, we analyzed the 1,003 screened articles using Leximancer, a software that employs statistical algorithms to extract topics from text data by analyzing word frequency and co-occurrence patterns. The extracted topics were analyzed in-depth, focusing on a total of 42 articles. This comprehensive approach facilitated the gathering of a diverse range of literature, providing valuable insights into the evolving landscape of smart home research over the past two decades.

Figure 1. The research method is quantitative and qualitative analysis through SLR and Thematic

Analysis.



Data pre-processing & refinement

Figure 1 shows the overall representation of this research methodology. Out of the 7,003 collected data points, a rigorous manual review process was employed, involving scrutiny of titles and abstracts, content and quality assessment, and conducting backward and forward searches. This process resulted in the selection of a final sample comprising 42 papers for comprehensive content analysis.

The initial stage involved a passive review based on titles and abstracts, resulting in the selection of 1,003 papers. Subsequently, these papers underwent a meticulous screening process, wherein abstracts were thoroughly examined, and promising ones were further scrutinized by reviewing relevant sections of the full text. These 1,003 papers were then subjected to topic modelling to identify themes for each time period. During this phase, particular attention was paid to the thematic relevance of each paper, with a focus on encompassing a broad spectrum of smart home technologies, services, and user perspectives. Papers predominantly focused on specific technological aspects were excluded to maintain the study's comprehensive scope. Additionally, studies limited to specific products or lacking generalizable insights about smart products were also omitted from the final selection [17].

The subsequent phase involved a thorough assessment of the remaining 233 papers for their content and relevance. Considering that recent literature often originates from conferences before undergoing peer review for journal publication, special attention was given to conference papers. A subset of 30 papers was selected as an initial sample, including those presented at notable conferences such as *Human Factors in Computing Systems*. To ensure the literature list was comprehensive and reliable, backward and forward citation searches were conducted, which is a critical step in concept-driven literature reviews [18–20]. Through this process, 12 additional papers were identified, resulting in a final list of 42 papers for in-depth analysis and data extraction.

The selection of these 42 papers was made to maintain proportional representation across different time periods, ensuring a balanced analysis of trends and developments. Exclusion criteria were carefully defined in alignment with the systematic approach inspired by PRISMA guidelines. Papers were excluded if they lacked sufficient methodological rigor, did not align with the research objectives, or focused on unrelated domains. This systematic process ensures the selection of a robust and representative body of literature for analysis.

Data analysis

Figure 1 shows the overall process of the research methodology used in this study. The data analysis was conducted in three sub-analysis steps. First, we conducted a descriptive analysis based on publication frequency. This allowed us to identify the fields within smart home research and the primary journals contributing to this field.

After the descriptive analysis, we performed topic modelling on the articles for the second time-series analysis. These articles were segmented into time periods to explore the evolution of smart home research over time. To establish the time intervals, we divided the timeline into four six-year phases, taking into consideration the distribution of publications across the years. To compare the different time periods, we conducted topic analysis through concept mapping using Leximancer.

This software-based analysis allows researchers to overcome the biases and errors inherent in manual methods and avoiding subjective interpretations often associated with handwritten notes. Leximancer automatically identifies key concepts and themes from the dataset, facilitating deeper interpretation. A theme, in this context, represents a set of concepts that are interconnected, rather than simply the most frequently occurring word[21].

The analytical process involved conducting textual content analysis on the abstracts of the literature. Through this process, underlying concepts (common texts identified here by concept names) and themes (clusters of concepts) were determined to ascertain the primary topics within smart homes research for both the entire period and each distinct period.

Leximancer is particularly suitable for exploratory studies aiming to develop comprehensive conceptual models. It operates on Bayesian probability theory to select reliable and reproducible concepts and topics (or clusters of topics), thereby predicting trends within a system without introducing bias from preconceived ideas. The software facilitates a quantitative approach to qualitative research and yields an overall conceptual structure with detailed insights into specific themes within the smart home literature over time through analysis[22].

Visual concept maps and statistical output, created using Leximancer, were employed to identify key concepts. Through the creation of a visual concept map, complemented by statistical output, we determined the main themes found in the textual data, highlighted the interrelationships among themes, and indicated which files from which time periods can be characterised by particular themes or topics[23]. This approach proved invaluable for uncovering key themes and concepts within the text data, offering a graphical representation (i.e. concept maps) for analyzing clusters of text data and exploring deeper contextual connections as the text was mined [24]. The rationale behind employing text mining analysis with Leximancer is to visually chart the conceptual structure of smart homes, interpret thematic emphases and their interrelations, and reveal the interdependencies and proximities among themes across each time period, thereby enhancing comprehension and interpretation of the past and potential future[25].

Third, we conducted a qualitative in-depth analysis, specifically content analysis, to classify the topics identified according to their respective time periods. This qualitative scrutiny enabled us to extract nuanced insights and provide a contextual understanding of the emerged topics. The results of this qualitative analysis served as a foundation for interpreting the outcomes of the thematic analysis.

Results

Descriptive analysis and analysis of data over time

Descriptive Analysis

The periodic distribution of research and journal frequency data concerning smart homes provided insights into the trends in research popularity and the primary research areas. It shows a gradual increase in the number of published papers on the topic since 2000, with a sharp increase of over


two-fold per year observed since 2014. This acceleration indicates a rapid growth in academic interest and popularity, a trend that has persisted similarly since 2018 ($R^2 = 0.617$). The corresponding graph can be found in the supplementary file.

The statistical analysis of journal frequency yielded insightful findings, with a total of 3,082 journals containing 5,981 abstracts. Figure 2 shows the most influential journals in smart home research since 2000. Sensor, a multidisciplinary journal focusing on sensor technologies, leads with 232 publications, followed by IEEE Access and IEEE Internet of Things Journal, each with over 100 articles. Energies, Applied Sciences-Basel, Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanised Computing, Sustainability, and Electronics also boast more than 40 articles. Among the search results, the IEEE Internet of Things Journal article "Edge Computing: Vision and Challenges" stands out as the most cited, with 3,527 citations, emphasizing various implementation cases of edge computing and future challenges.

The analysis results offer insights into the current stage of the discipline, addressing both the first and second research questions by highlighting the trends in smart home research and identifying influential publications.

The research on smart homes has seen a steady increase since 2000, with a sharp rise in publications after 2014, reflecting growing academic interest and technological advancements. From 5,981 abstracts in 3,082 journals, Sensor leads as the most influential multidisciplinary journal with 232 publications, while the IEEE Internet of Things Journal's article "Edge Computing: Vision and Challenges" remains the most cited with 3,527 citations.

Figure 2. This graph shows a list of the top impactful journals from 2000 to 20231H.



Deep Analysis by Total Period

A total of 7,003 articles are selected on smart homes, with 1,003 articles specifically used for topic extraction using Leximancer and subsequent in-depth analysis alongside 42 articles from SLR. This process identified 69 concepts grouped into seven themes, visualized in a concept map “Total Period(2000- 1Half 2023)” in Figure 3 where larger dots represent more prominent concepts, and colored bands indicate thematic groupings—key themes in red and less important ones in purple[26].

Visual concept maps and statistical outputs, including heat maps generated by tools like Leximancer, were utilized to analyze and cluster key themes within the text data. These tools grouped concepts based on their frequent co-occurrence, highlighting thematic clusters where key themes are depicted in red and less significant ones in purple [23-26]. The resulting heat maps illustrate trends and relationships among topics over different periods, providing insights to trace past research trends and predict future trajectories[21].

The articles were chosen to represent different periods: 22 articles (2%) from 2000-2005, 81 articles (8%) from 2006-2011, 278 articles (28%) from 2012-2017, and 622 articles (62%) from 2018-1H 2023, reflecting a marked increase in research activity in recent years. The concept map “Total Period(2000-1H 2023)”, red folders labeled CTAG represent papers and their alignment with themes over time; the concept map illustrates how the literature from various periods clusters around key themes, indicating trends in smart home research. 2000-2005 and 2012-2017 share similar content, closely linked to "Smart" and "People" themes. In contrast, the 2006-2011 period aligns more with "People" and "Activity," while 2012-2017 gravitates towards "Smart" and "Energy." The latest period, 2018-2023, marks a distinct shift, focusing more on "IoT," "Use," "Activity," and "Energy," suggesting a broader exploration of smart home applications. "Smart" remains the dominant topic across the periods, followed by "Use" and "IoT," with each period's specific focus reflected in the frequency and prioritization of these themes.

This study employs a macro-level framework to examine technologies, services, and user dynamics, aiming to explore user needs and bridge gaps between technological advancements and future smart home expectations. The full articles were divided into time periods and analyzed from three perspectives based on the results from Leximancer: (A) technological capabilities, (B) their implications for various services, and (C) benefits to users' lives. The analysis revealed that technology-based research (labelled as A in blue) has received the most attention. This focus revolves around the technological aspects of smart homes. Meanwhile, the focus on services (labeled as B, comprising concepts like “control and management”, “systems”, “energy” and “IoT” related to the underlying technology and services derived from it) underscores the evolution towards exploring how these technologies can be harnessed to offer various services.

Initially, smart home technology concentrated on managing home appliances through communication and control, leveraging the rapid advancements in electricity and information technology. There was also significant focus on how these technologies can be used to provide various services, highlighted by themes such as "control and management," "systems," "energy," and "IoT." This indicates a shift from basic appliance management to broader service offerings through smart home technologies.

User-centered research themes in smart homes, while recognized as important, has limited attention focus compared to technological and service aspects. Discussions since the early 2000s have highlighted the need for a user-centered approach, focusing on specific groups like the elderly and those with chronic conditions, under themes like “elderly” and “active environment”. However, much of the research has been limited to usability studies that assess how these groups interact with and perceive smart home technologies, with less emphasis on understanding the broader spectrum of user needs and preferences. This focus remains a lower priority within smart home research, primarily observed through usability evaluations and pilot tests[27].

The results of the analysis provided insights into the current stage of the discipline, effectively

addressing the 3rd and 4th research questions. It identified that the optimal framework for smart home research lies in integrating technological innovation, service development, and user-centered design to bridge the gaps between advancements and diverse user needs. Furthermore, the diachronic analysis of the past 20 years highlights the field's evolution—from foundational technologies and energy management to the expansion of IoT applications—while emphasizing the growing importance of prioritizing user-centered needs.

Figure 3. This figure shows the concept map for the total period (2000– H1 2023).




Table 1. This table ranks the identified concepts over the total period (2000– H1 2023).

Concept	Count	Relevance percentage	Concept	Count	Relevance percentage
smart	2612	86	network	490	16
use	1293	43	security	408	13
system	1161	38	services	400	13
IoT	770	25	learning	355	12
devices	761	25	information	322	11
data	760	25	management	319	11
energy	756	25	different	319	11
technologies	720	24	recognition	315	10
activity	599	20	present	297	10
model	551	18	control	296	10
users	547	18	monitoring	285	9

sensor	537	18	consumption	283	9
applications	509	17	human	265	9
environment	496	16			

Deep Analysis by Time Series

In the previous section, thematic analysis was performed on the entire dataset of 1,003 papers to provide a comprehensive overview of the entire period, and in this section, the period was temporally divided into four equal segments of six years for a more granular understanding of the thematic focus during each distinct period. By analyzing the literature from each period separately, the study aimed to understand the evolution of smart home research over time and identify any shifts or trends in research themes across different timeframes.

The earliest period (2000-2005) represents the early literature phase, comprising 22 papers, which accounts for 2% of the total literature, with the smallest number of text blocks (89). During this period, the key themes revolved around home, technology, services, and devices, with notable concepts including appliances, information, and services (Figure 4). The literature review shows that this period was mainly dominated by technology-focused electrical equipment suppliers (e.g., switches, sockets, and distribution boards) aiming to commercialize smart home solutions. The concept closely associated with technology was “system”, mentioned 23 times throughout the literature from this period (Table 2).

In the literature, smart homes are often described as advanced systems that leverage electricity and information technology to control household appliances. These systems encompass various functionalities, including but not limited to audio, video, home office equipment, telecommunication devices, intercom systems, security features, lighting controls, HVAC(heating, ventilation, and air conditioning) systems, and even lawn sprinklers[7].

During this period of smart home literature, the focus was primarily on basic communications technologies, often centered around services such as information provision and remote monitoring, particularly related to electricity usage. However, critiques emerged regarding the predominant emphasis on technological feasibility over user preferences and needs. User-centric considerations were not adequately integrated into the design and development processes, with minimal attention given to the user perspective and desires. Keywords related to users ranked relatively low in frequency (i.e, the tenth highest among all concepts) compared to other concepts during this period (Table 2). Moreover, specific terms directly referencing users were notably absent. Instead, concepts such as “needs” and “environment” were more prevalent in discussions involving users. Telehealth emerged as a concept connected to the theme of Technology, with studies showing that phone calls can replace nursing visits. Critically, it was observed that the design and development of smart homes predominantly catered to the preferences and lifestyles of men, overlooking the needs of women who typically manage domestic tasks and are primary users of household technologies. Consequently, there was a recognized necessity to align smart home implementations with user needs, emphasizing the importance of user-oriented research. However, existing studies primarily remained theoretical, lacking empirical case studies to inform practical applications and implementations[5, 7, 28].

Figure 4. This figure shows the concept map for the period 1 (2000–2005).




Table 2. This table ranks the identified concepts over the period 1 (2000–2005).

Concept	Count	Relevance percentage	Concept	Count	Relevance percentage
home	79	100	user	15	19
smart	38	48	monitoring	11	14
technology	26	33	devices	11	14
system	23	29	design	10	13
services	20	25	activity	10	13
use	17	22	control	9	11
environment	17	22	needs	8	10
appliances	17	22	people	8	10
information	16	20			

During the second period (2006-2011), which comprised 81 articles constituting 8% of the total literature (307 text blocks), the thematic focus shifted towards activity, sensors and the elderly. While technology remained an important theme from the previous period, key concepts associated with it

now included Information, Health, and Monitoring (Figure 5). The literature during this period witnessed the emergence of environments equipped with sensor technologies, enabling continuous monitoring capabilities. Situational awareness systems also gained prominence, facilitating communication network-enabled information dissemination to residents while collecting sensor-based data to deliver tailored services.

During the second period, the integration of technology with health-related applications became prominent, particularly in addressing the needs of the elderly and disabled individuals. Early research endeavors during this time aimed to empower these demographics with greater independence through smart home technologies[29].

Despite the growing recognition of the importance of end users in smart home design and implementation, user-related keywords, particularly those related to the elderly, emerged but remained relatively infrequent (Table 3). However, concepts such as Device, Service, and Activity began to emphasize a more user-centered approach, enhancing end user engagement and understanding. This involved using virtual environments, such as smart home simulations, to provide users with deeper insights into the setup and operation of smart devices and services[30].

Figure 5. This figure shows the concept map for the period 2(2006–2011).




Table 3. This table ranks the identified concepts over the period 2 (2006–2011).

Concept	Count	Relevance percentage	Concept	Count	Relevance percentage

home	192	100	energy	25	13
smart	166	86	control	25	13
system	101	53	health	23	12
use	84	44	living	23	12
activities	64	33	monitoring	21	11
technologies	52	27	support	21	11
sensor	50	26	recognition	21	11
environment	47	24	care	19	10
services	43	22	devices	19	10
information	42	22	network	19	10
model	41	21	people	18	6
data	37	19	applications	18	6
framework	32	17	elderly	17	6
human	28	15	different	17	6
learning	26	14	users	16	5

The third period (2012-2017) comprised 278 articles, representing 28% of the total period, with 1,222 text blocks. Significant themes observed during this period included activity, technology, and energy, with users emerging as a major theme for the first time (Figure 6). Concepts related to technology, such as Communication, Living, and Health, were highly ranked during this time. The corresponding table can be found in the supplementary file. In the literature of this period, a smart home was defined as a dwelling equipped with technology designed to anticipate and fulfill the needs of its occupants. There was a growing emphasis on the importance of well-managed technology and its integration with external connectivity to promote occupant comfort, convenience and security. This connection to the outside world emphasizes the concept of an information home, where new information services are interactively connected, rather than simply automated[5, 31].

During this period, energy emerged as the fifth most important theme, with concepts linked to energy management, consumption, and control(Figure 7, Table 4). Research on users in smart homes delineates three main perspectives: functional, instrumental, and social. Functional perspectives focus on enhanced functionality and efficiency in smart homes. Instrumental perspectives emphasize energy savings and responsiveness to information and prices. Social perspectives focus on the adoption and use of technology in complex households[32]. Energy has mostly appeared in the literature revolving around services embedded in appliances that can monitor and control the power usage of appliances.

[33] emphasized the concept of "healthy smart homes" within the context of "Aging in Place", expanding the definition of smart homes to include not only improvements in quality of life but also access to home care for the elderly and disabled[34]. In developed countries with rapidly aging populations, there has been an increase in research to support applications that collect data from activities of daily living (ADLs) to help older adults with their daily lives through assisted living (AAL).

During this period, user emerged as the ninth most important concept, along with user-related concepts such as environment, behavior, and service, and there has been an increase in health-related research, especially focusing on the elderly and disabled. With the proliferation of smart home solutions and services, empirical studies have emerged that focus on users' adoption intentions, utilization, as well as psychological aspects. For instance, research in China delved into the psychological aspects of end-users[35], while another study applied the theory of planned behavior to investigate how user attitudes and subjective norms influence intentions to use smart home

services , as well as psychological aspects[36]. Additionally, there was a study on the emerging issue of single-person households, exploring strategies to enhance perceptions of social support in socially isolated environments[37].

Figure 6. This figure shows the concept map for the period 3(2012–2017).




Table 4. This table ranks the identified concepts over the period 3 (2012–2017).

Concept	Count	Relevance percentage	Concept	Count	Relevance percentage
smart	739	100	applications	90	12
use	349	47	control	90	12
system	343	46	model	87	12
activity	205	28	different	84	11
energy	200	27	management	82	11
data	193	26	time	80	11
sensor	175	24	consumption	79	11
technologies	167	23	power	74	10

users	154	21	design	71	10
environment	148	20	living	69	9
IoT	128	17	information	68	9
devices	115	16	elderly	67	9
recognition	105	14	appliances	66	9
monitoring	98	13	security	65	9
services	90	12			

Finally, the fourth period (2018-2023) stands out as the most numerous, comprising 3,030 text blocks, which account for 62% of the total literature. This indicates a substantial surge in research activity during the last six years. Key themes during this period include IoT, data, and energy, highlighting the growing emphasis on interconnected technologies, data management, and energy efficiency. Emerging concepts such as models, applications, and adoption reflect the evolving landscape of smart home research, with increasing attention on developing models, practical applications, and strategies for the widespread adoption of smart home technologies and services (Figure 7, Table 5).

The concepts of Adoption, Application, and Potential highlight technological advancements and the increasing integration of IoT within smart home systems. These advancements have led to continuous improvements in smart home services, allowing for seamless connectivity and control from anywhere at any time. A typical smart home system consists of various sensors and switches centralized around a main gateway, enabling users to access and manage their smart home devices through digital platforms such as smartphones or desktop personal computers[38].

In this period, concepts related to users are intricately linked with notions of privacy, security, and the overall environment. Additionally, concepts related to usage, such as behavior and learning, underscore the importance of understanding user behavior, learning patterns, and the creation of models to enhance user experiences. The literature of this period includes empirical studies conducted in the UK, Finland, and China which have provided valuable insights into users adoption and continuous usage of smart home technologies. However, despite the growing interest and adoption, several barriers to widespread adaption have been identified. These include mistrust and resistance, limited awareness of smart home capabilities, financial constraints, concerns regarding privacy and security, technology-related anxiety, and potential negative social impacts[39]. Moreover, recent literature has analyzed the literature of the last 20 years and categorized the evolution of smart homes into three generations, with the third generation characterized by IoT-based environments, emerging as the center of technological change and innovation. This generation is recognized for its transformative potential in urban and social contexts[11].

Health emerges as a consistent theme across all periods of smart home research, reflecting users' desires for improved healthcare support and quality of life. From the early stages, there has been a focus on leveraging smart home technologies to effectively assist individuals with chronic conditions and aging populations, thereby enhancing their well-being and independence. The home environment offers a conducive setting for continuous health monitoring and disease prevention, aligning with users' preferences for staying in familiar surroundings while receiving care.

Currently, numerous experimental and observational studies prioritize healthcare applications in smart homes to meet users' needs for a healthy lifestyle through IoT and sensor technologies. However, there remains a gap between users' expectations and the technologies and services currently available in smart homes. Therefore, users are particularly interested in tangible benefits such as practical energy services, energy conservation, cost savings, and environmental sustainability, which provide more direct and measurable impacts.

Healthcare remains the oldest and most fundamental user demand. While efforts through Smart

Home Solutions [9] aim to enhance quality of life, they still fall short in bridging the gap between expectations and actual service delivery . Consequently, smart homes need to provide automated, context-aware, and personalized solutions that cater to diverse user needs and preferences, with a strong emphasis on healthcare-centric innovations. [40].

Figure 7. This figure shows the concept map for the period 4(2018–H1 2023).




Table 5. This table ranks the identified concepts over the period 4 (2018–H1 2023).

Concept	Count	Relevance percentage	Concept	Count	Relevance percentage
home	1,443	100	learning	263	18
smart	1,442	100	development	237	16
use	843	58	management	213	15
system	712	49	different	212	15
Iot	644	45	information	196	14
devices	580	40	performance	188	13
data	501	35	recognition	182	13
energy	482	33	human	179	12

technologies	466	32	control	172	12
model	378	26	consumption	170	12
network	362	25	privacy	170	12
applications	361	25	various	166	12
users	354	25	detection	166	12
security	329	23	services	160	11
activity	321	22	challenges	157	11
sensor	307	21	monitoring	156	11
environment	268	19			

This allowed us to address the fifth question concerning the primary themes of each period and provide a comprehensive answer. The SLR process facilitated a comprehensive examination of the sixth research question, identifying key challenges in the advancement of smart home technologies—such as user acceptance, technical limitations, privacy concerns, and the discrepancy between user expectations and technological capabilities—while offering insights into future directions in the field. At the same time, the study identifies emerging opportunities driven by advancements in IoT, healthcare support, sustainable environments, user-centered design, and the evolution toward ambient intelligence.

Discussion

Evolving from Home Automation to Ambient Home Care

Early smart home systems focused on features such as monitoring electricity usage and automating basic tasks. However, as shown in Figure 8, the introduction of situational awareness systems and virtual environments has initiated a shift toward user-centric designs. These advancements have enabled the development of systems that support independence for elderly and disabled individuals while dynamically responding to user behaviors. Today, smart homes leverage AI and sensors to address diverse needs, including healthcare, privacy, and energy efficiency, significantly enhancing the quality of life for residents.

Despite these advancements, much of the existing research remains rooted in a technology-push approach, where the emphasis is placed on adoption and usability from the perspective of technology providers, often overlooking evolving user needs. For instance, early applications of smart home healthcare technologies primarily served caregivers by enabling patient monitoring, rather than directly benefiting patients themselves. The future of smart homes lies in the transition to Ambient Intelligence (AmI) environments. These environments retain the technological innovations of the past but enhance them with user-centric principles, moving beyond the technology-push mindset to create spaces that intuitively adapt to residents' needs and preferences.




The AmI environment represents a shift toward reducing the need for manual and explicit control by creating an environment that intuitively senses and responds to the user's presence, preferences, and needs. These changes are clearly evident in the AmI home design, which is distinguished by its sensor-centric architecture. These homes are equipped with a variety of interconnected sensors and devices that collaborate seamlessly to create a responsive and adaptive living environment and improve activities of daily living (ADL) [41].

To move forward, we need to think idealistically about the future. The core value proposition of a smart home lies in its ability to foster meaningful interactions with users and provide real value and convenience. The effectiveness of smart homes should be evaluated based on direct benefits such as increased convenience and improved quality of life, but these user-centric values are often overshadowed by the practical difficulties of adoption[42]. Likewise, financial constraints, such as high device and installation costs, limit accessibility, especially for low- and middle-income households[43].

By overcoming these barriers, smart homes have the potential to become truly inclusive and life-changing spaces that meet the needs of diverse user groups. In the ever-evolving smart living environment, this field is about to take a revolutionary leap forward, filled with technologies that not only simplify but also deeply enrich life[42-45].

This discussion aligns with the abstract's emphasis on overcoming the limitations of technology-centric approaches and highlights the importance of creating smart homes that prioritize user satisfaction, inclusivity, quality of life, and enhancing user satisfaction and quality of life, which are fundamental in improving life quality.

Figure 8 This figure shows the concept map for overall period.

Period	Period 1 (2000-2005)	Period 2 (2006-2011)	Period 3 (2012-2017)	Period 4 (2018-2023)
Concept map				
Featured Theme	Technology, Service, Device	Activities, Sensor, Elderly	Behavior, Technology, Energy, User	IoT, Data, Energy, Future
Concepts relevant to research perspectives	System, Care, Health, Service, Information, Appliance, Monitoring, Information	Technology, Sensor, Health, General Elderly, Device, Service, Activities, Monitoring, Support	Communication, Living, Health, User, Environment, Behavior, Energy, Management, Control	Applications, Security, Adoption, Privacy, Security, Environment, Behavior, Learning, Health, Monitoring, Wireless

Conclusion: The Evolution of Smart Homes Toward Improved Health and Quality of Life

This study analyzed 5,983 smart home articles from the past 20 years, employing thematic analysis techniques to discern trends and evolutionary patterns across technology, service, and user perspectives. The research identified a significant increase in smart home literature over the last six years, with prominent journals like Sensor and IEEE featuring heavily cited works, reflecting a strong emphasis on technology. A notable shift was observed from basic monitoring and remote-control functions to more user-centric services like energy management and healthcare, facilitated by advancements in sensors, IoT, and data technologies.

In particular, healthcare in the smart home is the first and earliest expanded research direction[11, 46], indicating that the ultimate purpose of the smart home will evolve towards facilitating independent living and improving the quality of life. This is achieved through remotely managed services that provide tailored solutions such as chronic disease management, fall detection and prevention, automated medication adherence, mental health support, and improved accessibility for individuals with disabilities, effectively catering to the diverse needs of its users [47].

The study offers a comprehensive scholarly review, helping researchers understand the field's development and guiding future research directions. Currently, practical energy services and environmental sustainability represent the areas where the gap between user expectations and available technology is smallest and benefits are most evident within smart home environments. Therefore, addressing healthcare, which remains the oldest and most fundamental user demand, involves implementing various forms of remote monitoring and telemedicine services to effectively meet these needs. These insights provide valuable guidance for shaping future academic and commercial strategies in smart home technology. However, limitations include the use of only article titles and abstracts for analysis, potentially missing details from full texts and excluding non-academic perspectives. Future research could improve by incorporating full-text analyses and industry stakeholder insights.

In summary, the analysis provides a valuable foundation for future smart home research and commercialization, emphasizing the importance of addressing user needs in academic and industry applications and has managerial implications for future smart home products and services.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education [grant number.2021S1A5A8070686].

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

References

1. Yao Y, Huang L, He Y, Ma Z, Xu X, Mi H, editors. Reviewing and Reflecting on Smart Home Research from the Human-Centered Perspective. Proceedings of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems; 2023.
2. Tak AN, Becerik-Gerber B, Soibelman L, Lucas G. A framework for investigating the acceptance of smart home technologies: Findings for residential smart HVAC systems. *Building and Environment*. 2023;245:110935.
3. Kravchenko Y, Starkova O, Herasymenko K, Kharchenko A, Ieee, editors. Technology Analysis for Smart Home Implementation. 4th International Scientific-Practical Conference Problems of Infocommunications Science and Technology (PIC S&T); 2017 Oct 10-13; Kharkiv, UKRAINE; 2017.
4. Yang H, Lee W, Lee H. IoT Smart Home Adoption: The Importance of Proper Level Automation. *Journal of Sensors*. 2018;2018. PMID: WOS:000434164500001. doi: 10.1155/2018/6464036.
5. Aldrich FK. Smart homes: past, present and future. *Inside the smart home*: Springer; 2003. p. 17-39.
6. Chan M, Estève D, Escriba C, Campo E. A review of smart homes -: Present state and future challenges. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*. 2008 Jul;91(1):55-81. PMID: WOS:000257281600006. doi: 10.1016/j.cmpb.2008.02.001.
7. Gram-Hanssen K, Darby SJ. "Home is where the smart is"? Evaluating smart home research and approaches against the concept of home. *Energy Research & Social Science*. 2018 Mar;37:94-101. PMID: WOS:000425249400010. doi: 10.1016/j.erss.2017.09.037.
8. Marikyan D, Papagiannidis S, Alamanos E. A systematic review of the smart home literature: A user perspective. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*. 2019;138:139-54.
9. Kang H-J, Han J, Kwon GH. The Acceptance Behavior of Smart Home Health Care Services in South Korea: An Integrated Model of UTAUT and TTF. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2022;19(20):13279.
10. Kang H-J, Han J, Kwon GH. Determining the intellectual structure and academic trends of smart home health care research: cword and topic analyses. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*. 2021;23(1):e19625.
11. Li WD, Yigitcanlar T, Liu A, Erol I. Mapping two decades of smart home research: A

- systematic scientometric analysis. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*. 2022 Jun;179. PMID: WOS:000792920900004. doi: 10.1016/j.techfore.2022.121676.
12. Young L, Wilkinson I, Smith A. A scientometric analysis of publications in the journal of business-to-business marketing 1993–2014. *Journal of Business-to-Business Marketing*. 2015;22(1-2):111-23.
 13. Pucihar A. The digital transformation journey: Content analysis of Electronic Markets articles and Bled eConference proceedings from 2012 to 2019. *Electronic Markets*. 2020;30(1):29-37.
 14. Fares OH, Butt I, Lee SHM. Utilization of artificial intelligence in the banking sector: a systematic literature review. *Journal of Financial Services Marketing*. 2023;28(4):835-52.
 15. Meho LI, Yang K. Impact of data sources on citation counts and rankings of LIS faculty: Web of Science versus Scopus and Google Scholar. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*. 2007;58(13):2105-25.
 16. Paré G, Trudel M-C, Jaana M, Kitsiou S. Synthesizing information systems knowledge: A typology of literature reviews. *Information & Management*. 2015;52(2):183-99.
 17. Kim E, Han J, Choi H, Prié Y, Vigier T, Bulteau S, et al. Examining the academic trends in neuropsychological tests for executive functions using virtual reality: Systematic literature review. *JMIR Serious Games*. 2021;9(4):e30249.
 18. Tranfield D, Denyer D, Smart P. Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of systematic review. *British journal of management*. 2003;14(3):207-22.
 19. Brocke Jv, Simons A, Niehaves B, Niehaves B, Reimer K, Plattfaut R, et al. Reconstructing the giant: On the importance of rigour in documenting the literature search process. 2009.
 20. Raff S, Wentzel D, Obwegeser N. Smart Products: Conceptual Review, Synthesis, and Research Directions. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*. 2020 Sep;37(5):379-404. PMID: WOS:000563874500001. doi: 10.1111/jpim.12544.
 21. Smith AE, Humphreys MS. Evaluation of unsupervised semantic mapping of natural language with Leximancer concept mapping. *Behavior research methods*. 2006;38:262-79.
 22. Indulska M, Hovorka DS, Recker J. Quantitative approaches to content analysis: identifying conceptual drift across publication outlets. *European Journal of Information Systems*. 2012 Jan;21(1):49-69. PMID: WOS:000298743100004. doi: 10.1057/ejis.2011.37.
 23. Angus D, Rintel S, Wiles J. Making sense of big text: a visual-first approach for analysing text data using Leximancer and Discursis. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*. 2013 May;16(3):261-7. PMID: WOS:000317273800007. doi: 10.1080/13645579.2013.774186.
 24. Cretchley J, Gallois C, Chenery H, Smith A. Conversations Between Carers and People With Schizophrenia: A Qualitative Analysis Using Leximancer. *Qualitative Health Research*. 2010 Dec;20(12):1611-28. PMID: WOS:000284469700002. doi: 10.1177/1049732310378297.
 25. Rose R, Hölzle K, Björk J. More than a quarter century of Creativity and Innovation Management: The journal's characteristics, evolution, and a look ahead. *Creativity and innovation management*. 2020;29(1):5-20.
 26. Rodrigues LF, Oliveira A, Rodrigues H. Technology management has a significant impact on digital transformation in the banking sector. *International Review of Economics & Finance*. 2023.
 27. Randhawa K, Wilden R, Hohberger J. A bibliometric review of open innovation: Setting a research agenda. *Journal of product innovation management*. 2016;33(6):750-72.
 28. Harper R. *Inside the Smart Home: Ideas, Possibilities and Methods*. Springer 2003.
 29. Chan M, Campo E, Esteve D, Fourniols JY. Smart homes - Current features and future perspectives. *Maturitas*. 2009 Oct;64(2):90-7. PMID: WOS:000271447600006. doi:

10.1016/j.maturitas.2009.07.014.

30. Lertlakkhanakul J, Choi JW, Kim MY. Building data model and simulation platform for spatial interaction management in smart home. *Automation in Construction*. 2008;17(8):948-57.
31. Solaimani S, Keijzer-Broers W, Bouwman H. What we do - and don't - know about the Smart Home: An analysis of the Smart Home literature. *Indoor and Built Environment*. 2015 May;24(3):370-83. PMID: WOS:000352999700008. doi: 10.1177/1420326x13516350.
32. Wilson C, Hargreaves T, Hauxwell-Baldwin R. Smart homes and their users: a systematic analysis and key challenges. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*. 2015 Feb;19(2):463-76. PMID: WOS:000348445900016. doi: 10.1007/s00779-014-0813-0.
33. Noury N, Virone G, Barralon P, Ye J, Rialle V, Demongeot J, editors. New trends in health smart homes. *Proceedings 5th International Workshop on Enterprise Networking and Computing in Healthcare Industry (HealthCom)*; 2003: IEEE.
34. Kim MJ, Oh MW, Cho ME, Lee H, Kim JT. A critical review of user studies on healthy smart homes. *Indoor and Built Environment*. 2013;22(1):260-70.
35. Dong X, Chang Y, Wang Y, Yan J. Understanding usage of Internet of Things (IOT) systems in China: Cognitive experience and affect experience as moderator. *Information Technology & People*. 2017;30(1):117-38.
36. Yang H, Lee H, Zo H. User acceptance of smart home services: an extension of the theory of planned behavior. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*. 2017;117(1):68-89.
37. Lee B, Kwon O, Lee I, Kim J. Companionship with smart home devices: The impact of social connectedness and interaction types on perceived social support and companionship in smart homes. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2017;75:922-34.
38. Choi W, Kim J, Lee S, Park E. Smart home and internet of things: A bibliometric study. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 2021;301:126908.
39. Li W, Yigitcanlar T, Erol I, Liu A. Motivations, barriers and risks of smart home adoption: From systematic literature review to conceptual framework. *Energy Research & Social Science*. 2021;80:102211.
40. Hinton A. Understanding context: Environment, language, and information architecture: "O'Reilly Media, Inc."; 2014. ISBN: 1449326579.
41. Machado SD, Tavares JEdR, Martins MG, Barbosa JLV, González GV, Leithardt VRQ. Ambient intelligence based on IoT for assisting people with Alzheimer's disease through context histories. *Electronics*. 2021;10(11):1260.
42. Lee.E. A Study of Product's Smartness in terms of User Experience. Korean Master's Thesis, Graduate School of Techno Design, Kookmin University. 2016.
43. Laurel Swan SI, Richard Harper, Alex S. Taylor, Abigail Sellen and Mark Perry. Rethinking the "Smart" Home. *International Symposium on Intelligent Environments*. 2006.
44. Kramer J, Noronha S, Vergo J. A user-centered design approach to personalization. *Communications of the ACM*. 2000;43(8):44-8.
45. Dahl Y. Redefining smartness: the smart home as an interactional problem. *IET 4th International Conference on Intelligent Environments* 2008.
46. Majumder S, Aghayi E, Noferesti M, Memarzadeh-Tehran H, Mondal T, Pang Z, et al. Smart homes for elderly healthcare—Recent advances and research challenges. *Sensors*. 2017;17(11):2496.
47. Morita PP, Sahu KS, Oetomo A. Health monitoring using smart home technologies: Scoping review. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth*. 2023;11:e37347.

Supplementary Files

The table shows the concepts related to the technologies selected in the third period (2012-2017).

Selected Concept: technologies(167)	Co-Count
Communication	17
Challenges	13
Living	19
Health	14
Computing	16
Life	9
Elderly	16
Future	13
Monitoring	22
Potential	11

Untitled.

URL: <http://asset.jmir.pub/assets/347438cbbcfbda6b4af54e08c3b84554.docx>

Untitled.

URL: <http://asset.jmir.pub/assets/e9edd17b98b6a9b5337037a710f53fbb.docx>

Untitled.

URL: <http://asset.jmir.pub/assets/d624af5b0c87c38e1b02081fbca53d2b.docx>


Untitled.

URL: <http://asset.jmir.pub/assets/3c995d02cff1a011822f7be14e7ef3cc.docx>




Figures


This graph shows the frequency of publications from 2000 to H1 2023.




The research method is quantitative and qualitative analysis through SLR and Thematic Analysis.




This graph shows a list of the top impactful journals from 2000 to 1H 2023.




This figure shows the concept map for the total period (2000– H1 2023).




This figure shows the concept map for the period 1 (2000–2005).



This figure shows the concept map for the period 2(2006–2011).





This figure shows the concept map for the period 3(2012–2017).



This figure shows the concept map for the period 4(2018– 1H 2023).



This figure shows the concept map for overall period.

Period	Period 1 (2000-2005)	Period 2 (2006-2011)	Period 3 (2012-2017)	Period 4 (2018-2023)
Concept map				
Featured Theme	Technology, Service, Device	Activities, Sensor, Elderly	Behavior, Technology, Energy, User	IoT, Data, Energy, Future
Concepts relevant to research perspectives	System, Care, Health, Service, Information, Appliance, Monitoring, Information	Technology, Sensor, Health, General Elderly, Device, Service, Activities, Monitoring, Support	Communication, Living, Health, User, Environment, Behavior, Energy, Management, Control	Applications, Security, Adoption, Privacy, Security, Environment, Behavior, Learning, Health, Monitoring, Wireless

Related publication(s) - for reviewers eyes onlies

updated revised manuscript.

URL: <http://asset.jmir.pub/assets/a98e7ca6621b48aa28f8292220715d56.pdf>

