Enhancing Agency in Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Therapies through Sensorimotor Technologies

Vladimir Adrien, Nicolas Bosc, Claire Peccia Galletto, Thomas Diot, Damien Claverie, Nicco Reggente, Marion Trousselard, Eric Bui, Thierry Baubet, Félix Schoeller

Table of Contents

Enhancing Agency in Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Therapies through Sensorimotor Technologies

Vladimir Adrien[1, 2] MD, PhD; Nicolas Bosc[3] MD, PhD; Claire Peccia Galletto[4] MSc; Thomas Diot[5] MD, MSc; Damien Claverie[6] MD, PhD; Nicco Reggente[7] PhD; Marion Trousselard[6] MD, PhD; Eric Bui[8, 9, 10] MD, PhD; Thierry Baubet[11, 12] MD, PhD; Félix Schoeller[7, 13] PhD

[1]Université Paris Cité, Inserm UMR-S 1266, Institute of Psychiatry and Neuroscience of Paris (IPNP) Paris FR
[2]Department of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, Avicenne Hospital, AP-HP, Université Sorbonne Paris Nord Bobigny FR
[3]Department of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, Avicenne Hospital, AP-HP, Université Sorbonne Paris Nord Bobigny FR
[4]Association de Santé Mentale du 13è arrondissement Paris FR
[5]Department of Adult Psychiatry IMPACT-Mondor University Hospitals AP-HP Créteil FR
[6]Institut de Recherche Biomédicale des Armées Brétigny-sur-Orge FR
[7]Institute for Advanced Consciousness Studies Santa Monica US
[8]Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Caen Normandie Caen FR
[9]Massachusetts General Hospital Boston US
[10]Normandie Univ, UNICAEN, INSERM, U1237, PhIND "Physiopathology and Imaging of Neurological Disorders", NEUROPRESAGE Team, (Institut Blood and Brain @ Caen-Normandie), GIP Cyceron Caen FR
[11]Centre National de Ressources et de Résilience (CN2R) Lille FR
[12]Unité Transversale de Psychogénèse et Psychopathologie (UTRPP-EA 4403), Université Sorbonne Paris Nord Villetaneuse FR
[13]Media Lab, Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge US

Corresponding Author:
Vladimir Adrien MD, PhD
Université Paris Cité, Inserm UMR-S 1266, Institute of Psychiatry and Neuroscience of Paris (IPNP)
102 Rue de la Santé
Paris
FR

Abstract

Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a significant public health concern, with only a third of patients recovering within a year of treatment. While PTSD often disrupts the sense of body ownership and the sense of agency (SA), attention to SA in trauma has been lacking. This perspective article explores the loss of SA in PTSD and its relevance in the development of symptoms. Trauma is viewed as a breakdown of SA, leading to a freeze response and dissociation, with peritraumatic dissociation increasing the risk of PTSD. Drawing from embodied cognition, we propose an "enactive" perspective of PTSD, suggesting therapies that restore the SA through direct engagement with the body and environment. We discuss the potential of agency-based therapies and innovative technologies like gesture sonification (GS), which translates body movements into sounds to enhance the SA. GS offers a screen-free, non-invasive approach that could complement existing trauma-focused therapies. We emphasize the need for interdisciplinary collaboration and clinical research to further explore these approaches in preventing and treating PTSD.

Preprint Settings

1) Would you like to publish your submitted manuscript as preprint?

✔ **Please make my preprint PDF available to anyone at any time (recommended).**
   Please make my preprint PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that my title and abstract will remain visible to all users.
   Only make the preprint title and abstract visible.
   No, I do not wish to publish my submitted manuscript as a preprint.

2) If accepted for publication in a JMIR journal, would you like the PDF to be visible to the public?

✔ **Yes, please make my accepted manuscript PDF available to anyone at any time (Recommended).**
   Yes, but please make my accepted manuscript PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that the title and abstract will remain v

Yes, but only make the title and abstract visible (see Important note, above). I understand that if I later pay to participate in <a href="http

Original Manuscript

Perspective paper

Enter information for authors (including designations, affiliations, correspondence, contributions) in the online metadata form. Do not use periods after initials, and include degree designations and affiliations for all authors. Trial registration numbers are also filled in on the metadata forms online.

Enhancing Agency in Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Therapies through Sensorimotor technologies

Abstract

Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a significant public health concern, with only a third of patients recovering within a year of treatment. While PTSD often disrupts the sense of body ownership and the sense of agency (SA), attention to SA in trauma has been lacking. This perspective article explores the loss of SA in PTSD and its relevance in the development of symptoms. Trauma is viewed as a breakdown of SA, leading to a freeze response and dissociation, with peritraumatic dissociation increasing the risk of PTSD. Drawing from embodied cognition, we propose an "enactive" perspective of PTSD, suggesting therapies that restore the SA through direct engagement with the body and environment. We discuss the potential of agency-based therapies and innovative technologies like gesture sonification (GS), which translates body movements into sounds to enhance the SA. GS offers a screen-free, non-invasive approach that could complement existing trauma-focused therapies. We emphasize the need for interdisciplinary collaboration and clinical research to further explore these approaches in preventing and treating PTSD.

Keywords: post-traumatic Stress Disorder; agency; proprioception; trauma; self-control; sensorimotor technology; enactive perspective; peritraumatic dissociation; proprioceptive reafferent fibers; gesture sonification devices

Introduction

Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) stands among the ten major public health issues [1]. Remission is obtained for a mere one-third of patients at one-year follow-up while another third continue to grapple with symptoms a decade later [2]. The gold standard treatments are trauma-focused cognitive behavioral therapies (CBT) including cognitive processing therapy and prolonged exposure [3,4]. These approaches aim at improving self-regulation [5], but lead to remission in only approximately 40% of patients [6], although criteria for treatment non-response are not well defined [7].
Virtual reality exposure therapy (VRET) has been used with immersive simulations of trauma-relevant environments [8,9]. However, its superiority over standard prolonged exposure remains controversial [10–12], probably due to some inherent limitations, such as the sensory conflicts VR induces as well as how its visual interface decouples patients from their body and environment [13]. In this context, other sensorimotor technologies letting patients connect to their environment may be of interest, due to their potential in modulating the sense of body ownership (SO) and sense of agency (SA).
Emerging data has in fact reported how the SO and SA may be impacted in PTSD [14–19]. While the loss of SO and control has been extensively studied in the context of PTSD and are historically among the main targets of trauma-focused therapies, much less attention has been paid to the loss and restoration of SA, as opposed to emotion regulation and cognitive control. Despite promising

theoretical perspectives on this topic under the umbrella of "enactive" theories of mind [15,20–28], there is still a dearth of agency-based treatment and recovery options available to patients with PTSD and clinicians. In this perspective article, we explore the SA in the context of PTSD and its importance in understanding symptoms and improving treatments. Our contribution entails providing a roadmap for the development of agency-based therapies in the future, along with offering an agency-based perspective on psychological trauma. Lastly, we explore the potential of utilizing screen-free innovative technologies such as gesture sonification (GS) to complement existing trauma-focused therapies that target internal regulation, by directly influencing the SA, thereby paving the way for the creation of new and effective intervention tools.

Sense of body ownership and sense of agency: definition and brief review

The SO refers to the perception of one's own body, feelings, thoughts or movements, integrating somatosensory signals fundamental to distinguish between self and other, *i.e.* to self-consciousness and control [29,30]. It depends on the interaction of afferent feedback and a top-down contribution of body representations [31,32]. The interplay between afferent internal (interoceptive, proprioceptive) and external multisensory (*e.g.*, visual, tactile) stimuli is sufficient for the SO [33] viewed as a psychophysiological baseline involving the brain's default mode network (DMN) [34]. This multisensory integration in the premotor cortex enables bodily self-attribution [35,36], with prioritization of the most relevant sensory domains [37]. Computational (Bayesian) models have been suggested to account comprehensively for this multisensory integration [38]. Conversely, the SA refers to the immediate feeling of initiating and controlling an action [39], *i.e.* to the subjective perception of being an agent effecting changes in the external world with a sensorial reflection (i.e., sensorimotor contingencies). That is, SA refers to the feeling of being "the one who is causing some event in the external world" [40]. It depends on prior intention and pre-reflective perceptual monitoring of the consequences of self-generated action, achieved through basic efferent motor-control processes and sensory feedback [31,41–43]. SA involves premotor, motor and temporoparietal areas, intention and action monitoring [34,44] and integrates sensory and motor signals into a coherent representation of the self-world system (*i.e.*, the sensory expectations deriving from motor output). SA also relies on proprioception, which is broadly defined as the sense of (self-generated) movements, integrating signals arising from cutaneous, muscular and joint receptors [45] and on causal attribution [46]. Therefore SA is best described as a multisensory process that integrates motor and non-motor cues [47,48]. The alteration of both SO and SA has been extensively studied across psychiatric conditions [42,49–53].

All in all, SA is the sense of originating and controlling our actions whereas SO is the sense of being the one to act [29,39,47]. For instance, involuntary movement gives rise to SO but not SA [42]. This phenomenological distinction has neural correlates [44], but recent work seems to show a more interactive model where SA and SO are strongly related to another [54–56]. For instance, both share a network in the left middle insula [57] and inter-effector regions in the motor cortex display strong functional connectivity, suggesting that body control and action are part of a common circuit [58].

Pre-reflective SA and SO can be distinguished from attribution judgments [59–61], *i.e.*, the ability to attribute an action to its proper agent (self or other), that also integrates sensory information (the visual sense being determinant [49,50]). This integration processes multiple sources indirectly due to action and its congruence to prediction of one's self-narrative and understanding [41]. These second-order reflective (*i.e.* cognitively processed) attributions of agency (AA) and ownership (AO) involve *judgements* of agency and ownership [42,47,62] and can be distinguished from first-order pre-reflective (*i.e.* motor processed) *experience* (or *feeling*) of SA and SO, although experience can influence judgment [63]. It is worth noting that this separation between SO and AO has been challenged [64,65], as well as the separation between SA and AA [66].

SO can be measured by experiments such as the rubber hand illusion (RHI) [33,35,67,68] in which a lifelike left rubber hand is viewed by subjects whose real left hand is hidden, and the same afferent signals (*e.g.*, tactile stroke by a paintbrush) are being delivered to both hands. Subjects are then asked to point to their left hand with the right one and point towards the rubber hand, transferring their SO to the rubber hand (*i.e.*, having the illusion that the rubber hand is their real hand).

To measure SA, both direct and indirect measures have been proposed [69]. Direct measures are rating scales or self-reports questionnaires [69] whereas indirect measures focus on intentional binding [63], *i.e.* the perceived time interval between the action and its outcome in comparison to involuntary action [70]. These measures have been found invalid and not reliable as no correlations were identified between both types [71]. In this context the Sense of Agency Scale (SoAS), a new psychometric scale, has been developed and validated to directly assess global SA [72]. This self-report questionnaire includes two factors, the sense of positive agency and the sense of negative agency (respectively the control and lack of control over the environment) and is thus interesting for assessing altered SA in psychopathology. The SoAS has been validated in multiple languages [73]. SA can also be measured in the laboratory with the RHI, that has been adapted recently [74] to a "dynamic" RHI where the index finger of the rubber hand moves when the subjects move their own finger, both hands being mechanically connected, and the subjects transfer their SA to the rubber hand (*i.e.*, feel being the ones tapping on the table with their finger). Interestingly, the illusion stays equally strong for various combined sensory domains [75]. SO and SA can be dissociated by varying the mode of movement (passive or active) and the position of the rubber hand (congruent or not). This strongly suggests that SO and SA still represent distinct cognitive processes.

Psychological trauma as a major loss of SA

Human experience constantly presents us with challenges that either meet our physical and cognitive skills or require that we develop new ones. When none of these are an option, or are overwhelmed, because of an unexpected event that goes largely beyond one's representations and ability to adapt and threatens survival, physical or cognitive integrity of oneself or others [76], and induces a response of intense fear, helplessness or horror, this event is called a *traumatic event* (TE) (from the Greek τραῦμα, for wound, hurt, injury). In the Bayesian approach of the mind as a hierarchical predictive model of its reality, the TE does not relate to any predictive models available to make sense of the external world, as no empirical priors exist to account for the incoming sensory signals or the most likely motor response. Significantly, in severe cases of psychological trauma, the lack of a predictive model results in the loss of both vision and hearing [77]. From a physiological perspective, both central and peripheral regulatory systems are ineffective in carrying out their retrocontrol functions. The stress response system, which involves the activation of the amygdala at the central level and the corticotrope and noradrenergic hormonal systems at the peripheral level, is hyperactivated in contrast to the underactivation of brain structures responsible for typical retrocontrol [78,79]. This includes the prefrontal cortex (PFC) and the hippocampus [80–83]. Regarding circuit regulation, this aligns with an overactive salience network (SN) (e.g. amygdala with insula and the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC)), *i.e.* with increased threat detection and fear learning [84], in contrast to weakly connected DMN and central executive network (CEN) [85,86]. Along with the disruptions of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal gland axis, the entire system is unable to effectively regulate the adaptive stress response.

Understanding the TE as a potential sensorimotor failure leads to a novel perspective of psychological trauma as *a major breakdown of SA*. A lot of attention has been directed towards SO and self-control, that depend largely on interoceptive signals (*e.g.*, "my body is unable to mitigate its own state of stress when being mugged"), whereas the clinical focus on SA, directed towards exteroceptive signals, is insufficient. In the context of psychological trauma, the failure of SA may lead to tonic immobility, *i.e.* the freeze response [87] and translates into a felt sense of helplessness

(*e.g.*, "my body is unable to defend against an aggressor"), together leading to peritraumatic distress [88]. Hence, the breakdown of SA automatically triggers a hardwired sensorimotor response, sometimes referred to as the defense cascade [87]. The alteration of both perception and action during the TE leads to dissociation [89], *i.e.*, disconnecting from incoming sensory information, generating a psychological distance from the traumatic experience, allowing the patient to "tolerate" the intolerable [90]. Peritraumatic dissociation—an array of reactions to the TE that includes depersonalization, derealization and emotional numbness [91]—has been thoroughly investigated as being the result of the absence of adequate sensory and motor representations (*i.e.*, "I do not understand what is happening to me and there is nothing I can do"). In derealization, the sense of the world is lost, whereas in depersonalization, the sense of self is lost. Emotional numbness corresponds to the absence of defensive emotions such as fear of anxiety. In certain cases, individuals may enter a state of sideration or extreme surprise, unable to move or plan any action, essentially losing their SA. Peritraumatic dissociation can be seen as a protective response to the intense emotional distress during the TE when there is no possibility of escape or avoidance, and no sensory or motor representations of the event [23,92–94]. Dissociation provides a sense of safety, physical and psychic analgesia, reducing engagement with the TE [89,95]. Nevertheless and importantly, experiencing severe peritraumatic dissociation raises the risk of developing PTSD [96–99], interfering with trauma memory processing and coherence [89] thus in this case being deemed maladaptive, impeding processing of the TE.

There is also a significant memory-related aspect of PTSD [100]: the emotions or sensory memories attached to the TE are either not integrated as long-term declarative memory, resulting in denial or the inability of verbalization of the TE, or they are integrated as semantic instead of episodic memory [101,102], *i.e.* as a factual general knowledge that does not seem to belong to the individual [103,104]. In other terms, the traumatic memory will be related to noetic instead of autonoetic consciousness [105,106]. This correlates with reduced activity or dysfunction in the hippocampal structures [107,108]. When the traumatic memory remains unprocessed, it fails to integrate with the individual's conscious perception of reality. Over time, the failure to integrate the traumatic memory, leads to a default in contextual processing [84,85,109,110] resulting in trauma-related cognitions, such as guilt and shame, which in turn induce a wide array of comorbid complications such as depression [111], anxiety [112], and obsessive-compulsive disorder [113]. Posttraumatic dissociative states can also emerge later on as a protective reaction against the abnormal emotional associations linked to the traumatic memory [114]. Dissociative subtypes of PTSD (D-PTSD) have been recognized in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual Fifth Edition (DSM-5) [115] and are supported by a neurophysiological basis that distinguishes them from conventional PTSD. Emotional overmodulation (*i.e.* hypoarousal) in D-PTSD is thought to be linked to an underactivation of the amygdala and an overactivation of the PFC [114]), in contrast to the standard PTSD model. The Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale for DSM-5 [116] allows for differentiation between these two types of PTSD and approximately 30% of individuals with PTSD may have the dissociative subtype [15,114,117]. It has already been demonstrated that D-PTSD patients exhibit a higher proprioceptive drift in the RHI [15], suggesting that individuals with D-PTSD integrate the illusion more significantly due to their diminished SO. Further research is needed to determine if SA is altered differently between these clinical subtypes. The latest version of the International Classification of Diseases [118–120] has recently introduced a subtype known as complex PTSD (C-PTSD), which is, in addition to dissociation, characterized by three additional sets of symptoms associated with heightened functional impairment. These include difficulties in regulating emotions, a negative self-concept involving feelings of worthlessness, defeat, shame, and guilt, as well as challenges in social cognition, such as maintaining relationships and feeling emotionally close to others. This distinction is strongly supported by research [121][119][122]. C-PTSD affects approximately 40% of individuals with PTSD [123]. As for both D-PTSD and C-PTSD subtypes, there are currently no established guidelines for best practices.

Trauma-focused CBT may restore the functionality of the brain structures (PFC, ACC, hippocampus) that are involved in the executive retrocontrol, which is initially ineffective during the TE [124]. Notably, trauma-focused CBT stays safe [125] and has been found to be as effective in treating D-PTSD [126,127], despite its reversed neurophysiological model, and C-PTSD [123] than conventional PTSD. By concentrating on the traumatic memory, the goal of this therapy is to alleviate the emotional dysregulation experienced with PTSD symptoms. In contrast, the enactive perspective asserts that the traumatized brain is not a passive data processor but rather actively engaged, interconnected with the body and environment, and dynamically integrated [128].

PTSD symptoms might be understood as an attempt to restore SA

When considering psychological trauma from an enactive perspective and acknowledging that perception and action are intrinsically linked, the mechanisms described in the previous section and their accompanying symptoms can also be understood as an attempt to self-control, control the environment and the causes of the TE that pervade both perception and action, whether physically or virtually. Thus, understanding PTSD symptoms as an adaptive response to psychological trauma can inform future treatment development, creating a more holistic approach to PTSD treatment that focuses on empowering patients to regain a SA and control over their environment. In this section, we briefly review PTSD symptoms and how they relate to the SA (see Table 1).

1. Intrusion symptoms

Intrusive thoughts and memories as well as vivid reexperiencing, also called flashback, and traumatic nightmares are common symptoms in the aftermath of a TE [129,130]. These intrusive symptoms are distressing and make it difficult for patients to function in their daily life. Some patients with PTSD may have a tendency to replay the TE mentally in an obsessive compulsive or addictive fashion, supposedly in an attempt to make sense of it and understand what had happened [131]. Intrusion symptoms can be regarded as a facet of dissociation [104]. Still, the recent findings on the activation of brain structures and neural circuits pointed out in the previous part, that would make PTSD and D-PTSD different entities, oppose this interpretation [114,132]. Intrusion symptoms have recently been analyzed from a Bayesian perspective, where the TE perceptual hypothesis gains a very high prior due to its life-threatening significance and is re-selected independently of the actual sensory input [133]. From an enactive perspective, this can be understood as a way for the individual to try to regain some control over the TE to make it less distressing. Indeed, instructions to freely express intrusive thoughts led to a decrease in intrusive sexual assault thoughts over time while suppressing them led to an automatic rebound in intrusive thoughts over time [134].

In addition to intrusive cognitions, repetitive behaviors, such as re-exposing oneself to potentially traumatic situations, are often seen in individuals with PTSD and can be a way for them to try to regain their SA. This can be observed in patients with C-PTSD and premorbid personality disorders, who may engage in risky or self-destructive behaviors [135]. Perhaps one of the most striking examples of repetition syndrome would be patterns of hypersexuality in children who have been victims of sexual abuse [136]. Patients are at risk of repeated harm, either self-inflicted, or at the hands of others, adopting self-destructive behaviors [136] or repeated victimization (suicide attempts, self-mutilation, military enlistment, development of substance use disorders, risky sexual behavior, inability to give consent for sexual intercourse leading to exposure to rape situations) that can be seen as a tentative to attribute to our own action the destruction of oneself experienced during the original TE [121]. The climax of this viewpoint is found in the classical example of victims becoming executioners (abused children, child soldiers, *etc.*), after a phase of repetitive behaviors such as play or reenactments often seen in childhood traumas [137]. Freud famously discussed the idea of individuals using objects or activities to regain a sense of control over their environment [138]. He gave the example of a baby playing with a toy that represents his mother, in order to feel

like he has control over the situation and soothe his distress. This idea was later developed by Winnicott [139] into the concept of transitional objects, used by children to cope with the loss of the subjective omnipotence over their environment deriving from the caretaker's attention to the child's need, a frustration that can be related to the one experienced during a TE. Separation (*i.e.*, autonomy) from the parents accompanies the rise of SA in childhood, as the sum of the attempts to compensate the loss resulting in the gained ability to be alone. Intrusive cognitions and repetitive behaviors are thus common symptoms observed in individuals with PTSD, which can be seen as attempts to regain a SA and cope with the psychological trauma. However, these symptoms can also lead to repeated harm or victimization, making it crucial for therapists to develop treatment strategies that focus on restoring the SA, while addressing the underlying causes of these symptoms.

2. Avoidance behaviors

The second category of PTSD symptoms is avoidance behaviors [140], *i.e.*, the active avoidance of thoughts, feelings or external reminders of the TE. This can include avoiding certain places, people, or activities that may trigger memories of the event [141]. Since patients have lost their SA over what triggers their flashbacks, the best way to control their occurrence is simply to avoid the stimulation. Here again, this might be understood as a displacement of the cause of the TE, where patients assume they can take responsibility for generating these sensory signals that largely surpassed their perception and action capabilities. One possible explanation for avoidance symptoms in PTSD is therefore that they may be an attempt to regain their SA over their environment. For example, patients with PTSD due to a car accident, may avoid driving, as driving may trigger memories of the TE [142].

An alternative explanation for avoidance symptoms in PTSD is that they serve as means of self-protection, aiming to minimize the risk and vulnerability to risk. Social cognition impairment is commonly observed in PTSD [143]. By avoiding social situations, patients can shield themselves from re-experiencing the TE and encountering additional distress. Another instance is post-disaster PTSD, which is more likely to occur if the disaster originates from human actions as opposed to natural causes [144,145]. This underscores the greater psychological impact of interpersonal trauma, as it is perceived as potentially controllable, thus amplifying the distress. Patients may instinctively seek to regain SA by avoiding situations associated with interpersonal trauma when addressing PTSD symptoms.

Note that substance use is often seen as a form of avoidance in individuals with PTSD who may use drugs or alcohol as a way to numb or suppress their traumatic memories [146] or to escape from the difficult emotions that can accompany these memories. For example, self-reported PTSD is associated with increased use of MDMA in adolescents with substance use disorders [147], and substance abuse is widespread in victims of childhood sexual abuse [148]. In addition to numbing their emotions, substance use can also be a way for individuals with PTSD to avoid trauma reminders (people, places, or situations).

3. Negative alterations in cognition and mood and maladaptive schemas

Negative cognitions are thoughts and beliefs about oneself, others, and the world that are negatively distorted, and not based in reality. They include beliefs that one is to blame for the TE, that the world is dangerous and unpredictable, and that one is not safe. They also include decreased interest in activities, negative emotions and the feeling of being isolated. They may be a way of coping with the loss of SA. Patients with PTSD often believe they are to blame (*i.e.*, the feeling of guilt) for the TE, perhaps as this belief provides them with a (virtual) sense of control over the situation. By experiencing guilt and self-blaming, they make sense of the event and feel like they have some SA over it — "I am responsible for exposing myself to the environment that generated the TE" [149].

Also, negative cognitions in PTSD relate to the prediction of an *expected* loss of SA. A TE can cause

a person to feel vulnerable and at risk: negative cognitions may be a way of anticipating and preparing for future loss of control. For example, patients with PTSD may believe that the world is dangerous and unpredictable, as this belief helps them to be prepared for potential threats. This culminates with the concept of signal anxiety developed by Freud [150], one of the main defense mechanisms. By expecting the worst, patients are able to feel like they have some control over their environment and can protect themselves from further harm. Klein [151] related trauma negative cognitions to the "depressive position" in the early phase of existence. This pre-verbal infantile attitude comes with a loss of subjective omnipotence (*i.e.,* control) over external objects that coincides with the emergence of emotional valence in infancy: the child becomes aware that objects do not only gratify ("good object") but can also frustrate ("bad object"), generating feelings of guilt, grief and desire for reparation. This example of "proto-" or "micro-traumas" experienced repeatedly during early childhood lightens what happens when a real TE occurs later in life, altering the sense of control, leading to greater PTSD susceptibility [99,152,153]. These negative thoughts and beliefs may be a way of coping with the distress and vulnerability caused by the TE, but in a vicious cycle, they can also have negative effects on daily life and overall well-being related to an expected loss of SA, if nothing is done to remedy it.

4. Alterations in arousal and reactivity: disturbed attentional patterns

PTSD patients develop a heightened state of awareness, alertness and physiological arousal in order to regain a sense of control and SA [154]. This is referred to as hypervigilance [114], characterized by emotional undermodulation, resulting in an increased threat detection and fear learning, and a state of alertness and readiness to respond to these threats [155]. This also includes irritability, difficulty concentrating, sleeping disorders, and an overall sense of feeling on edge. On a sensorimotor level, hypervigilance may manifest as an increased sensitivity to sensory stimuli, such as changes in light or sound, as well as increased physiological arousal, such as increased muscle tension, or an elevated heart rate, which is a risk factor of developing PTSD when immediately following the TE [156,157]. PTSD is in return a risk factor and shares genetic risk for cardiovascular diseases [158]. By being constantly on guard and ready to respond to potential threats, patients may feel they are able to anticipate and prevent dangerous situations from occurring. This restores the SA that was lost as a result of the TE.

Hypervigilance, as an attentional bias toward potential threats, can lead to anxiety and further hypervigilance [155,159]. This can cause the individual to misinterpret ambiguous cues as threats and exaggerate minor threats, as shown by the overactive SN, whose function is to recognize and prioritize stimuli while regulating emotional reactivity [160]. These changes in attention and threat perception can also manifest in the individual's eye movements [161], leading to an inability to disengage from potential threats. This is referred to in the literature as "oculomotor reflexes" [162]. From a Bayesian inference perspective, dysfunctional SN amounts to aberrant precision control, where precision denotes the confidence placed in prediction errors (mirroring the reliability of the stimulation that causes them) within the hierarchy of information processing—*i.e.* a high precision will favor bottom-up ascending prediction errors while a low precision will bias perception towards top-down prior beliefs. Interestingly, the SN implies the ACC and insula which are strongly related with interoception [163]. The dysregulation of bodily signals in psychiatric illnesses may therefore offer an important way forward in terms of phenotyping [164,165].

5. Dissociative symptoms

After Winnicott, Anzieu developed the concept of "skin-ego" [166], *i.e.* the presence of a symbolic skin allowing to create psychic and bodily boundaries. In PTSD, this symbolic frontier is blurred or constricted, no longer filters or organizes sensory and perceptual signals as easily. It becomes porous and loses its function of a protective structure between interoceptive cues and those originating from

the external world. This sudden immense vulnerability exacerbates the loss of self-integrity and SO, and forces the maintenance of a state of psychic survival with various defensive symptoms involving both temporality and space: agitation, withdrawal, dissociative symptoms.

The view of peritraumatic dissociation as a protective response can be related to the anthropological interpretation of dissociative experiences with their positive affective valence with mainly the DMN activated in situations like daydreaming, hypnotic responses, fatigue, anxiety, drug intoxication, boredom, *etc.* [167]. In this case, dissociation has a social and discursive meaning rather than being seen as a mechanism. It can thus function adaptively, which depends fundamentally on context [94,168].

On the other hand, dissociation interferes with the integration of sensory information and the creation of a coherent sense of self. If dissociative symptoms of PTSD are the reminiscences of peritraumatic dissociation and protect patients against the distress provoked by intrusion symptoms or hyperarousal, they are nevertheless severely debilitating. Patients often complain of the distress related to their dissociative states, which can be transitory or permanent, and are associated with high disability. Dissociation can indeed be interpreted literally as the loss of SA: "if I see myself from an external point of view, thus my body's actions do not belong to myself"; "if the external world is not real anymore, then I can no longer be an agent in the real world".

Table 1. Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms, their relation to the sense of agency (SA) and how intervening on sense of agency may target these symptoms. TE: traumatic event.

	How does it relate to SA	How agency-based therapies can help
Intrusion syndrome		
	Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms, their relation to the sense of agency (SA) and how intervening on sense of agency may target these symptoms. TE: traumatic event.	Exposure therapy aims at self control during intrusion symptoms, rather than SA. Agency-based therapy may augment gradual exposure by letting the patient control exposure level, and would aim at increasing environmental control and replacing repetitive behaviors by enhancing SA.
Avoidance behaviors		
	Attempt to regain control over the environment / reminders of the TE or protect oneself for further harm.	Using gradual exposure therapy to triggers with body control of these triggers.
Hyperarousal Hypervigilance		
	Minimizes the expected loss of SA (as a failure to avoid or control the TE, the patient anticipates it anytime).	The patient controls sensory triggers himself, with the help of the therapist to regain adapted and coherent salience.
Trauma cognitions		
	- Guilt = attempt to integrate the causes of TE within a wider model of self, other, and reality in which oneself had some SA over what caused the TE to occur - Anxiety = signal anxiety anticipate the expected loss of SA and work as a protection - Depression = loss of omnipotence / frustration of not having SA over	By controlling the environmental sensorial triggers, the patient can get pleasure in return, reducing anxiety, frustration and regaining some SA over the environment.

	the environment.	
Dissociation		
	- Loss of SA by definition - But also protection against the loss of SA felt during the TE or PTSD symptoms	Increasing the sensorial signals produced by one's action on the external environment reduces the disconnection felt between oneself and reality.

Sensorimotor technologies for agency-based therapies

The enactive, agency-based perspective on PTSD suggests that the disruption of the SA results from the TE, overwhelming the patient's ability to adapt and make sense of sensorimotor signals, leading to a breakdown in the hierarchical predictive model of reality. This disrupted SA may contribute to or be further exacerbated by peritraumatic dissociation, explaining why its intensity is a predictive factor of PTSD onset [96–99].

Currently, there is a lack of agency-based treatment options for PTSD. New treatment options to manage non-response to PTSD conventional treatments [7] include the promising use of Ketamine [169], or psychedelic-assisted psychotherapy [170], whose dissociation effect is now reconsidered as a phenomenological therapeutic tool, as these changes of the self-experience have a subjective meaning, are transitory and necessary for coping. These drugs could help improve prefrontal function and contextual processing, modifying beliefs, refining predictions and thus SA [171–176]. Ketamine could also induce brain-derived neurotrophic factor increase in hippocampus [177]. Conversely, endocannabinoids modulators or drugs that reduce the glutamate response (such as D-cycloserine, a partial NMDA-receptor agonist) could treat dissociative PTSD symptoms [178,179] but also enhance extinction training by preventing the hyperglutamatergic state of the stress response responsible for abnormal fear conditioning [180,181] as well as the defaults in memory processing [179]. Indeed, NMDA receptors are highly concentrated in the hippocampus and implied in long-term potentiation, a mechanism for encoding long-term (*e.g.*, episodic) memory. Neuromodulatory treatments [7] such as transcranial magnetic stimulation [182–184], transcranial direct current stimulation [185,186], deep brain stimulation [187] or vagus nerve stimulation [188,189] could increase body awareness, SO and SA. Nevertheless, less invasive or expensive treatments, such as sensorimotor therapies [190–192], may have the potential to enhance and restore SO, but more importantly SA, during PTSD recovery. A mounting body of evidence (reviewed in [165]) suggests that bodily signals play an essential role in driving precision control, hinting toward the relevance of reliable body-based interventions for mental health disorders depending on the patient's life history, conditions, and symptoms. The controlled generation of artificial sensations could therefore lead to novel options for diagnosis, monitoring, intervention, and treatment of disorders of emotional and interoceptive inference. One of such potential solutions is "affordance training" with narrative therapy map [193], which involves helping the individual to focus on action possibilities rather than action impossibilities. This can involve training patients to engage in goal-directed behavior and to seek out opportunities for action, rather than focusing solely on potential threats.

The use of sensorimotor technologies, such as Virtual Reality (VR), has been used for exposure therapy in immersive simulations of trauma-relevant environments [8][9]. The aim is to allow a precise control of stimulus [194]. VR exposure therapy (VRET) can discriminate between patients with or without PTSD on a measure of psychophysiological arousal such as skin conductance reactivity [195,196], as well as between patients with low or high symptoms of subthreshold PTSD with heart rate [197].

However, various meta-analysis did not find any difference in clinical efficacy between conventional VRET and other psychotherapies [10–12], although some found moderate effects [198]. Still, specific VR-graded exposure therapy seems to be beneficial [11]. All in all, VRET may only be beneficial for specific patient profiles (*e.g.*, younger, with greater hyperarousal symptoms, with comorbid depression or suicidal risk, with no antidepressant medication, or those who cannot engage

in imaginal imagery) compared to prolonged exposure therapy [199–201]. One explanation of the limitation of VRET is that patients may become diverted by the technology, expressing doubts about its authenticity ("this isn't real") and using this divergence or the lack of personalization of virtual environments as a means to evade forming an emotional connection with their distressing memories [194], especially since sensorial integration prioritizes sight, as shown by the rubber hand illusion [68]. Indeed, VR deprives patients of their gaze and hands due to the screen and interface, creating a disconnection from the patient's body and its environment, a restriction of virtual objects as actionable targets, contrary to tangible real-world objects [202], compromising the transfer of visuomotor skills from virtual to real settings [203]. Additionally, the disembodying effect of VR can create interoceptive and visuo-proprioceptive issues that make the experience less immersive [204,205], in addition to causing discomfort, headaches, nausea or instability [203,206]. Another issue is the lack of facial or trauma-focused interaction with the therapist, which can be problematic for the therapeutic alliance, limiting a shared experience, impeding regaining a sense of social safety [207][208][209] as well as the possibility of group therapy, a useful method in PTSD [210]. In this context, other sensorimotor technologies letting patients connect to their environment may be of interest as an alternative and/or adjunctive intervention. They could provide individuals with a SA over their environment.

The example of gesture sonification technologies to treat PTSD

GS is a technique that involves using natural body gestures to control and generate sound. It uses sensors to detect the movement of an individual's body and then translates this movement into sound (Figure 1).

Insert Figure 1 here

GS allows to overcome VR's limitations, as it is a screen-free alternative where only the auditory sense is augmented. It enables subject-body-environment coupling, the maintenance of contact with the therapist, as well as enabling a more individualized virtual environment for GI. It is applicable in case of language barrier, more acceptable, user-friendly and provides clinicians with ample opportunities for exploration.

While GS has found applications in various health contexts, such as physical rehabilitation [211] and music therapy [212], its potential in psychiatric illnesses has largely remained untapped. By combining GS with sensorimotor exercises, patients with PTSD could use their bodily movements to generate sounds, manipulate and control virtual objects and environments, creating a more immersive and engaging experience that leaves room for interaction with the therapist. GS could therefore emphasize the body and the sensations of movements that are often overlooked in first line therapies such as prolonged exposure. By directing attention to the body and the physical sensations experienced, GS could assist patients in connecting with their present moment experience and developing a greater SA [191,213]. Indeed, multisensory retroaction can reinforce patients' sense of control over their symptoms [211]. Importantly, GS can be combined with guided imagery, to provide personalized experience for patients allowing them to manipulate, through sensorimotor exercises, the traumatic memories and facilitate their integration. Guided imagery (GI) is a behavioral mind-body intervention using appropriate scripts and imagination to manipulate representations and enable positive affective and body responses [214,215]. GI uses sensory integration to enhance affective and cognitive retrocontrol over hyperarousal, through muscular relaxation and positive mental images. GI has been shown to improve depression, anxiety and stress [216], change the meaning of pain [217] and is also widely used in prolonged exposure as well as a self-management intervention to alleviate PTSD symptoms [218], especially hyperarousal.

Nevertheless, individuals are not equal in their ability to engage in GI and its efficacy is correlated with absorption abilities [219] and stays controversial [220]. Our team has experimented with GS, and found that the technology could potentially help to augment such abilities and thus mind-body interventions. We identified five potential applications of the system following the common course of existing therapies.

1. PTSD primary prevention

Functional alterations in brain circuitry identified in patients with PTSD could already be present before the TE and constitute risk factors for developing PTSD [83]. Occasionally, they may exist before PTSD and worsen after the onset of PTSD. Indeed, PTSD has been found to be highly heritable due to epigenetic factors [83,221–224], which means that prior trauma and cumulative life adversity may induce alterations in brain circuitry responsible for vulnerability to PTSD [99,152,153].

Preventing PTSD, for instance among at-risk populations such as women, refugees, military or rescue workers [225] involves more than just averting TEs; it means identifying cognitive impairments predisposing for PTSD and/or enhancing or correcting these impairments. This includes improving cortical and hippocampus retrocontrol abilities, activating the CEN or DMN, and regulating the SN to maintain threat detection at an optimal level. Ultimately, this entails bolstering the SA before the TE occurs, potentially averting SA failure and peritraumatic dissociation, and thus PTSD later on. GS could be utilized to assess vulnerability and prepare military or rescue workers before field operations.

Additionally, GS could serve as a SA enhancer in the immediate and post-immediate phases following the TE. During the immediate phase, where defusing by talking [226] aims at reintegrating individuals in the present moment with the presence of the other, GS could help mitigate peritraumatic dissociation by amplifying environmental sounds and facilitating reorientation. In the post-immediate phase, during early psychological interventions or debriefing, that may not prevent the onset of PTSD but improve subsequent adherence to necessary care [227–233], GS could help reduce stress activation by providing soothing sensorimotor environments.

2. Reduction of hyperarousal and negative alteration of mood = securitization

GS could potentially help patients with PTSD to reduce their hyperarousal symptoms. Patients may indeed engage their senses to focus on the present moment. Using personalized sound environments considered secure by patients (*e.g.* water, beach, fireplace…) can help reduce physiological arousal, (*e.g.* elevated heart rate or increased muscle tension). Furthermore, by letting patients control with their body movements the volume of the sounds produced by the device, it may help them acquire the ability to filter the external sensory signals whose input is distorted in PTSD with hypervigilance. By strengthening proprioception and sensory modality with movement, patients can learn to tame the external world, the one that has betrayed or shattered. GS would thus help construct coherence and new congruences in multi-sensory processing: sorting, filtering, or hierarchization. Enhancing the patient's self with an auditory signal, a vibrational charge to movement from the environment, offers patients the opportunity to reverse defensive modes of withdrawal: it awakens curiosity, and momentarily diverts anxious rumination about the external world. The environment becomes a facilitator of action, contributing to the reshaping of mental images and the reinforcement of motor planning. The patient actively participates, seeking to recombine the missing pattern in psychological trauma, creating sensory coherence, ideas through action. This synergistic process involving the postural system and the psychomotor feedback allowed by GS nourishes past sensorimotor experiences while updating new ones. Patients are placed in a position to synchronize with the world

and derive pleasure from this tuning.

3. Reducing dissociation = reassociation of patients with their body

Importantly, GS could help patients with PTSD to reduce dissociation symptoms. Sensorimotor therapy facilitates the reconstruction of the body schema to align with functional reality. Patients are guided to inhabit their body and reshape their representations within a somatic reality. Through the pursuit of body wholeness and a conscious work on muscle tone, the therapist contributes to this aim. Dance, slow movement in dynamic relaxation, postural work (*e.g.*, Qi gong and yoga), through the antagonistic interplay of tension and relaxation, creates a dynamic musculo-psychological synergy. Tonus is initially a function of dialogue with the world. Dance movement therapy (DMT), which has demonstrated its effectiveness in treating PTSD [234,235], calls for the awakening of supportive responses: a confident relationship with support generates a repelling force. It focuses attention on the field of coordination and responses to orientation, alignment, and balance, and becomes a rhythm. DMT is a therapeutic mediation that allows for the revisiting of early tuning connections, engages in the quality of gaze, joint attention, gesturing and addresses questions about support, verticalization and initial connections. Patients can position themselves in a realm beneath language: the reality of sound resonating with movement that spatializes and shifts. This dual psychological modality is a prelude to the transformation of psychological trauma through somato-psychological adjustments. The body becomes "the thought-thinking apparatus" [236]. In essence, it works towards the generation of new body images, reinforcing self-esteem, primary narcissism, and finally restoring proprioceptive feelings enabling patients to reintegrate their body, as well as a sense of contact to the ground (*i.e.* reducing depersonalization), but also restore the SA over incoming sensory signals from external objects (*i.e.* reducing derealization).

4. Reducing intrusion symptoms and avoidance = exposure to the TE

GS involving sounds related to triggers of intrusive symptoms or to the TE itself may help PTSD patients to engage with their environment in a more active, meaningful way than mere passive exposure, controlling intrusive symptoms and overcoming avoidance behaviors. Gradually they would expose themselves to triggers that they are avoiding, gaining progressively the ability to control them. GS may indeed let the patient control himself the level of gradual exposure to TE triggers. As in prolonged exposure CBT, the uncontrollability of intrusion symptoms or triggers would then be reduced by the gained ability to control the irruption, intensity and end of triggers or intrusion symptoms, through body-environment interactions.

5. Social and cognitive rehabilitation

Social cognition is disturbed in PTSD [143], especially social perception, affective theory of mind, affective empathy and social interactions. Of note, social cognition is more altered if the TE is interpersonal (*i.e.*, originating from human actions) than not interpersonal [237]. PTSD patients exhibit an overactive SN, which has a crucial function in affective empathy, which in turn also relates to a higher sensitivity to stress [238] or the negative social impact [239]. The potential higher affective empathy probably may explain the increasing attention of social rehabilitation in PTSD treatment, such as communication training, group therapies or community programs [240]. Indeed, they favor the sense of belonging, just as collective commemorations of disruptive events are perceived useful by victims and help to rebuild social links [241].

Sensorimotor group therapies have recently been found to be effective in treating C-PTSD [242,243]. Just as GS would help to restore localization and a sense of contact with the ground and external objects, by increasing the sensorimotor afferences, it could also restore the sense of contact with other individuals, and help in the process of mourning. The engagement of the body, the sensorimotor pleasures that reconstruct and reshape the body schemes, secures through bodily

sensations of gathering or synchronization, increasing effective connectivity of the mirror neuron system, which is a key to social cognition [244].

Conclusion

In this article, we offer a perspective of PTSD in the light of the essential role of the SA in functional behavior. Recognizing the TE as a sensorimotor failure, we view psychotrauma as a profound breakdown of SA. We offer an "enactive" perspective of PTSD, where symptoms represent efforts to uncover and restore the SA in response to the TE. We advocate for PTSD therapy to develop interventions fostering direct engagement with one's body and environment, gradually rebuilding the SA. We suggest that agency-based therapies could mitigate PTSD risk and enhance treatment effectiveness. GS, alongside active somatic or movement-based approaches such as sensorimotor therapy, DMT, and somatic experiencing, directs attention to the body and sensory experiences, fostering present-moment connection and bolstering SA. Overall, this article highlights the significance of the SA in psychotrauma and PTSD, offering insights to enhance treatments and advocating for further research in this critical area.

Acknowledgements

None.

Conflicts of Interest

In the past years, FS co-founded and received compensation from BeSound SAS and Nested Minds LLC.

Abbreviations

AA: attribution of agency
ACC: anterior cingulate cortex
AO: attribution of ownership
CEN: central executive network
C-PTSD: complex posttraumatic stress disorder
DMN: default mode network
D-PTSD: dissociative posttraumatic stress disorder
DSM-5: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual Fifth Edition
GI: guided imagery
GS: gesture sonification
PFC: prefrontal cortex
PSTD: posttraumatic stress disorder
RHI: rubber hand illusion
SA: sense of agency
SN: salience network
SO: sense of body ownership
SoAS: sense of agency scale
TE: traumatic event
VR: virtual reality
VRET: virtual reality exposure therapy

References

1.   Karam EG, Friedman MJ, Hill ED, Kessler RC, McLaughlin KA, Petukhova M, Sampson L, Shahly V, Angermeyer MC, Bromet EJ, de Girolamo G, de Graaf R, Demyttenaere K, Ferry F, Florescu SE, Haro JM, He Y, Karam AN, Kawakami N, Kovess-Masfety V, Medina-Mora ME,

Browne MAO, Posada-Villa JA, Shalev AY, Stein DJ, Viana MC, Zarkov Z, Koenen KC. Cumulative traumas and risk thresholds: 12-month PTSD in the World Mental Health (WMH) surveys. Depress Anxiety John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2014 Feb 1;31(2):130–142. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/da.22169

2.   Kessler RC, Sonnega A, Bromet E, Hughes M, Nelson CB. Posttraumatic stress disorder in the National Comorbidity Survey. Arch Gen Psychiatry United States; 1995 Dec;52(12):1048–1060. PMID:7492257

3.   Charney ME, Hellberg SN, Bui E, Simon NM. Evidenced-Based Treatment of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder: An Updated Review of Validated Psychotherapeutic and Pharmacological Approaches. Harv Rev Psychiatry United States; 2018;26(3):99–115. PMID:29734225

4.   Schnurr PP, Chard KM, Ruzek JI, Chow BK, Resick PA, Foa EB, Marx BP, Friedman MJ, Bovin MJ, Caudle KL, Castillo D, Curry KT, Hollifield M, Huang GD, Chee CL, Astin MC, Dickstein B, Renner K, Clancy CP, Collie C, Maieritsch K, Bailey S, Thompson K, Messina M, Franklin L, Lindley S, Kattar K, Luedtke B, Romesser J, McQuaid J, Sylvers P, Varkovitzky R, Davis L, MacVicar D, Shih M-C. Comparison of Prolonged Exposure vs Cognitive Processing Therapy for Treatment of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Among US Veterans: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Netw open United States; 2022 Jan;5(1):e2136921. PMID:35044471

5.   Lee AH, Brown E. Examining the effectiveness of trauma-focused cognitive behavioral therapy on children and adolescents' executive function. Child Abuse Negl England; 2022 Apr;126:105516. PMID:35093801

6.   Steenkamp MM, Litz BT, Hoge CW, Marmar CR. Psychotherapy for Military-Related PTSD: A Review of Randomized Clinical Trials. JAMA United States; 2015 Aug;314(5):489–500. PMID:26241600

7.   Fonzo GA, Federchenco V, Lara A. Predicting and Managing Treatment Non-response in Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. Curr Treat Options Psychiatry Current Treatment Options in Psychiatry; 2020;7(2):70–87. doi: 10.1007/s40501-020-00203-1

8.   Rizzo A, Reger G, Gahm G, Difede J, Rothbaum BO. Virtual reality exposure therapy for combat-related PTSD. Post-traumatic Stress Disord Basic Sci Clin Pract Rizzo, Albert: USC Institute for Creative Technologies, Department of Psychiatry, 552 Crane Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA, US, 90065: Humana Press/Springer Nature; 2009. p. 375–399. doi: 10.1007/978-1-60327-329-9_18

9.   Beidel DC, Frueh BC, Neer SM, Bowers CA, Trachik B, Uhde TW, Grubaugh A. Trauma management therapy with virtual-reality augmented exposure therapy for combat-related PTSD: A randomized controlled trial. J Anxiety Disord Netherlands; 2019 Jan;61:64–74. PMID:28865911

10. Eshuis L V, van Gelderen MJ, van Zuiden M, Nijdam MJ, Vermetten E, Olff M, Bakker A. Efficacy of immersive PTSD treatments: A systematic review of virtual and augmented reality exposure therapy and a meta-analysis of virtual reality exposure therapy. J Psychiatr Res England; 2021 Nov;143:516–527. PMID:33248674

11. Heo S, Park J-H. Effects of Virtual Reality-Based Graded Exposure Therapy on PTSD Symptoms: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Int J Environ Res Public Health Switzerland; 2022 Nov;19(23). PMID:36497989

12. Kothgassner OD, Goreis A, Kafka JX, Van Eickels RL, Plener PL, Felnhofer A. Virtual reality exposure therapy for posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD): a meta-analysis. Eur J Psychotraumatol United States; 2019;10(1):1654782. PMID:31489138

13. Adrien V, Bui E, Baubet T, Galletto CP, Duhamel J, McNamara A, Trousselard M, Reggente N, Schoeller F. Beyond Virtual Reality: Towards Screen-Free Interfaces for Post-traumatic

Stress Disorder Interventions. Preprint 2023; Available from: https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/24beh

14. Rabellino D, Harricharan S, Frewen PA, Burin D, McKinnon MC, Lanius RA. "I can't tell whether it's my hand": a pilot study of the neurophenomenology of body representation during the rubber hand illusion in trauma-related disorders. Eur J Psychotraumatol United States; 2016;7:32918. PMID:27876453

15. Rabellino D, Burin D, Harricharan S, Lloyd C, Frewen PA, McKinnon MC, Lanius RA. Altered Sense of Body Ownership and Agency in Posttraumatic Stress Disorder and Its Dissociative Subtype: A Rubber Hand Illusion Study. Front Hum Neurosci Switzerland; 2018;12:163. PMID:29765311

16. van der Hart O, van Dijke A, van Son M, Steele K. Somatoform dissociation in traumatized World War I combat soldiers: A neglected clinical heritage. J Trauma Dissociation US: Haworth Press; 2000;1(4):33–66. doi: 10.1300/J229v01n04_03

17. Ataria Y. Body Disownership in Complex Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. Palgrave Macmillan US; 2018. Available from: https://books.google.fr/books?id=QY5aDwAAQBAJ ISBN:9781349953660

18. Ataria Y, Gallagher S. Somatic Apathy Body Disownership in the Context of Torture. J Phenomenol Psychol 2015 Apr 22;46:105–122. doi: 10.1163/15691624-12341286

19. Bracha HS. Freeze, flight, fight, fright, faint: adaptationist perspectives on the acute stress response spectrum. CNS Spectr United States; 2004 Sep;9(9):679–685. PMID:15337864

20. Arieli A, Ataria Y. Helplessness: The inability to know-that you don't know-how. Philos Psychol Routledge; 2018 Aug 18;31(6):948–968. doi: 10.1080/09515089.2018.1468559

21. Ataria Y. Sense of ownership and sense of agency during trauma. Phenomenol Cogn Sci Ataria, Yochai: Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Jerusalem, Israel, yochai.ataria@gmail.com: Springer; 2015;14(1):199–212. doi: 10.1007/s11097-013-9334-y

22. Ataria Y. Trauma from an enactive perspective: the collapse of the knowing-how structure. Adapt Behav SAGE Publications Ltd STM; 2015 May 27;23(3):143–154. doi: 10.1177/1059712315578542

23. Ataria Y. Dissociation during trauma: The ownership-agency tradeoff model. Phenomenol Cogn Sci Ataria, Yochai: Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Program for the History, Philosophy and Sociology of Science, Jerusalem, Israel, yochai.ataria@gmail.com: Springer; 2015;14(4):1037–1053. doi: 10.1007/s11097-014-9392-9

24. Linson A, Friston K. Reframing PTSD for computational psychiatry with the active inference framework. Cogn Neuropsychiatry Taylor & Francis; 2019;24(5):347–368. PMID:31564212

25. Linson A, Parr T, Friston KJ. Active inference, stressors, and psychological trauma: A neuroethological model of (mal)adaptive explore-exploit dynamics in ecological context. Behav Brain Res Netherlands; 2020 Feb;380:112421. PMID:31830495

26. Webb R, Widseth J. Traumas With and Without a Sense of Agency. J Aggress 2009 Jul 1;Maltreatme:532–546. doi: 10.1080/10926770903050993

27. Beste JE. The Vulnerable Self and Loss of Agency: Trauma Theory and the Challenge to a Rahnerian Theology of Freedom and Grace. Beste JE, editor. God Vict Trauma Intrusions Grace, Free. Oxford University Press; 2007. p. 0. doi: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780195311099.003.0003

28. Frabetti M, Gayraud F, Auxéméry Y. [Study of agency in the discourse of women suffering from post-traumatic stress disorder in the aftermath of domestic violence]. Encephale France; 2023 Oct;49(5):516–524. PMID:36257851

29.   Tsakiris M, Schütz-Bosbach S, Gallagher S. On agency and body-ownership: phenomenological and neurocognitive reflections. Conscious Cogn United States; 2007 Sep;16(3):645–660. PMID:17616469

30.   Braun N, Debener S, Spychala N, Bongartz E, Sörös P, Müller HHO, Philipsen A. The Senses of Agency and Ownership: A Review. Front Psychol Switzerland; 2018;9:535. PMID:29713301

31.   Tsakiris M, Hesse MD, Boy C, Haggard P, Fink GR. Neural signatures of body ownership: a sensory network for bodily self-consciousness. Cereb Cortex United States; 2007 Oct;17(10):2235–2244. PMID:17138596

32.   Tsakiris M. Looking for myself: current multisensory input alters self-face recognition. PLoS One United States; 2008;3(12):e4040. PMID:19107208

33.   Chancel M, Ehrsson HH. Which hand is mine? Discriminating body ownership perception in a two-alternative forced-choice task. Atten Percept Psychophys United States; 2020 Nov;82(8):4058–4083. PMID:32856222

34.   Tsakiris M. My body in the brain: a neurocognitive model of body-ownership. Neuropsychologia England; 2010 Feb;48(3):703–712. PMID:19819247

35.   Ehrsson HH, Spence C, Passingham RE. That's my hand! Activity in premotor cortex reflects feeling of ownership of a limb. Science United States; 2004 Aug;305(5685):875–877. PMID:15232072

36.   Guterstam A, Larsson DEO, Szczotka J, Ehrsson HH. Duplication of the bodily self: a perceptual illusion of dual full-body ownership and dual self-location. R Soc open Sci England; 2020 Dec;7(12):201911. PMID:33489299

37.   Litwin P, Zybura B, Motyka P. Tactile information counteracts the attenuation of rubber hand illusion attributable to increased visuo-proprioceptive divergence. PLoS One United States; 2020;15(12):e0244594. PMID:33378385

38.   Litwin P. Extending Bayesian Models of the Rubber Hand Illusion. Multisens Res Netherlands; 2020 Jan;33(2):127–160. PMID:31648196

39.   Gallagher II. Philosophical conceptions of the self: implications for cognitive science. Trends Cogn Sci England; 2000 Jan;4(1):14–21. PMID:10637618

40.   Haggard P, Chambon V. Sense of agency. Curr Biol England; 2012 May;22(10):R390-2. PMID:22625851

41.   Blakemore SJ, Wolpert DM, Frith CD. Abnormalities in the awareness of action. Trends Cogn Sci England; 2002 Jun;6(6):237–242. PMID:12039604

42.   Gallagher S, Trigg D. Agency and Anxiety: Delusions of Control and Loss of Control in Schizophrenia and Agoraphobia. Front Hum Neurosci Switzerland; 2016;10:459. PMID:27725796

43.   Seghezzi S, Giannini G, Zapparoli L. Neurofunctional correlates of body-ownership and sense of agency: A meta-analytical account of self-consciousness. Cortex Italy; 2019 Dec;121:169–178. PMID:31629195

44.   Tsakiris M, Longo MR, Haggard P. Having a body versus moving your body: neural signatures of agency and body-ownership. Neuropsychologia England; 2010 Jul;48(9):2740–2749. PMID:20510255

45.   Farrer C, Franck N, Paillard J, Jeannerod M. The role of proprioception in action recognition. Conscious Cogn United States; 2003 Dec;12(4):609–619. PMID:14656504

46.   Wegner DM. Précis of the illusion of conscious will. Behav Brain Sci England; 2004 Oct;27(5):649–692. PMID:15895616

47.   Synofzik M, Vosgerau G, Newen A. Beyond the comparator model: a multifactorial two-step account of agency. Conscious Cogn United States; 2008 Mar;17(1):219–239. PMID:17482480

48. Moore JW, Fletcher PC. Sense of agency in health and disease: a review of cue integration approaches. Conscious Cogn United States; 2012 Mar;21(1):59–68. PMID:21920777

49. Daprati E, Franck N, Georgieff N, Proust J, Pacherie E, Dalery J, Jeannerod M. Looking for the agent: an investigation into consciousness of action and self-consciousness in schizophrenic patients. Cognition Netherlands; 1997 Dec;65(1):71–86. PMID:9455171

50. Franck N, Farrer C, Georgieff N, Marie-Cardine M, Daléry J, d'Amato T, Jeannerod M. Defective recognition of one's own actions in patients with schizophrenia. Am J Psychiatry United States; 2001 Mar;158(3):454–459. PMID:11229988

51. Gallagher S. Neurocognitive models of schizophrenia: a neurophenomenological critique. Psychopathology Switzerland; 2004;37(1):8–19. PMID:14988645

52. Torregrossa LJ, Snodgress MA, Hong SJ, Nichols HS, Glerean E, Nummenmaa L, Park S. Anomalous Bodily Maps of Emotions in Schizophrenia. Schizophr Bull United States; 2019 Sep;45(5):1060–1067. PMID:30551180

53. Ardizzi M, Ambrosecchia M, Buratta L, Ferri F, Ferroni F, Palladini B, Volpe-Gaudelli T, Peciccia M, Mazzeschi C, Gallese V. The motor roots of minimal self disorders in schizophrenia. Schizophr Res. Netherlands; 2020. p. 302–303. PMID:32171636

54. Caspar EA, De Beir A, Magalhaes De Saldanha Da Gama PA, Yernaux F, Cleeremans A, Vanderborght B. New frontiers in the rubber hand experiment: when a robotic hand becomes one's own. Behav Res Methods United States; 2015 Sep;47(3):744–755. PMID:24942249

55. Pyasik M, Furlanetto T, Pia L. The Role of Body-Related Afferent Signals in Human Sense of Agency. J Exp Neurosci United States; 2019;13:1179069519849907. PMID:31205423

56. Schütz-Bosbach S, Avenanti A, Aglioti SM, Haggard P. Don't do it! Cortical inhibition and self-attribution during action observation. J Cogn Neurosci United States; 2009 Jun;21(6):1215–1227. PMID:18702585

57. Seghezzi S, Zirone E, Paulesu E, Zapparoli L. The Brain in (Willed) Action: A Meta-Analytical Comparison of Imaging Studies on Motor Intentionality and Sense of Agency. Front Psychol Switzerland; 2019;10:804. PMID:31031676

58. Gordon EM, Chauvin RJ, Van AN, Rajesh A, Nielsen A, Newbold DJ, Lynch CJ, Seider NA, Krimmel SR, Scheidter KM, Monk J, Miller RL, Metoki A, Montez DF, Zheng A, Elbau I, Madison T, Nishino T, Myers MJ, Kaplan S, Badke D'Andrea C, Demeter D V, Feigelis M, Ramirez JSB, Xu T, Barch DM, Smyser CD, Rogers CE, Zimmermann J, Botteron KN, Pruett JR, Willie JT, Brunner P, Shimony JS, Kay BP, Marek S, Norris SA, Gratton C, Sylvester CM, Power JD, Liston C, Greene DJ, Roland JL, Petersen SE, Raichle ME, Laumann TO, Fair DA, Dosenbach NUF. A somato-cognitive action network alternates with effector regions in motor cortex. Nature England; 2023 May;617(7960):351–359. PMID:37076628

59. Farrer C, Frith CD. Experiencing oneself vs another person as being the cause of an action: the neural correlates of the experience of agency. Neuroimage United States; 2002 Mar;15(3):596–603. PMID:11848702

60. Bulot V, Thomas P, Delevoye-Turrell Y. [Sense of agency: experiencing is not judging]. Encephale France; 2007 Sep;33(4 Pt 1):603–608. PMID:18033150

61. Gallagher S. Self-defense: Deflecting Deflationary and Eliminativist Critiques of the Sense of Ownership. Front Psychol Switzerland; 2017;8:1612. PMID:28970813

62. Stephens GL, Graham G. When self-consciousness breaks: Alien voices and inserted thoughts. When self-consciousness Break Alien voices Inser thoughts. Cambridge, MA, US: The MIT Press; 2000. doi: 10.7551/mitpress/7218.001.0001ISBN:0-262-19437-6 (Hardcover)

63. Haggard P, Tsakiris M. The experience of agency: Feelings, judgments, and responsibility. Curr Dir Psychol Sci Haggard, Patrick: Institute of Cognitive Neuroscience, University

College London, 17 Queen Square, London, United Kingdom, WC1N 3AR, p.haggard@ucl.ac.uk: Wiley-Blackwell Publishing Ltd.; 2009;18(4):242–246. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-8721.2009.01644.x

64. Bermúdez JL. Bodily awareness and self-consciousness. Oxford Handb self Bermúdez, José Luis: jbermudez@tamu.edu: Oxford University Press; 2011. p. 157–179.

65. Gallagher M, Colzi C, Sedda A. Dissociation of proprioceptive drift and feelings of ownership in the somatic rubber hand illusion. Acta Psychol (Amst) Netherlands; 2021 Jan;212:103192. PMID:33137614

66. Grünbaum T. The feeling of agency hypothesis: a critique. Synthese 2015 Feb 24;192. doi: 10.1007/s11229-015-0704-6

67. Decety J, Perani D, Jeannerod M, Bettinardi V, Tadary B, Woods R, Mazziotta JC, Fazio F. Mapping motor representations with positron emission tomography. Nature England; 1994 Oct;371(6498):600–602. PMID:7935791

68. Botvinick M, Cohen J. Rubber hands "feel" touch that eyes see. Nature. England; 1998. p. 756. PMID:9486643

69. Aarts H, Custers R, Wegner DM. On the inference of personal authorship: enhancing experienced agency by priming effect information. Conscious Cogn United States; 2005 Sep;14(3):439–458. PMID:16091264

70. Moore JW, Obhi SS. Intentional binding and the sense of agency: a review. Conscious Cogn United States; 2012 Mar;21(1):546–561. PMID:22240158

71. Dewey JA, Knoblich G. Do implicit and explicit measures of the sense of agency measure the same thing? PLoS One United States; 2014;9(10):e110118. PMID:25330184

72. Tapal A, Oren E, Dar R, Eitam B. The Sense of Agency Scale: A Measure of Consciously Perceived Control over One's Mind, Body, and the Immediate Environment. Front Psychol Switzerland; 2017;8:1552. PMID:28955273

73. Hurault JC, Broc G, Crône L, Tedesco A, Brunel L. Measuring the Sense of Agency: A French Adaptation and Validation of the Sense of Agency Scale (F-SoAS). Front Psychol 2020;11(October). doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2020.584145

74. Kalckert A, Ehrsson HH. Moving a Rubber Hand that Feels Like Your Own: A Dissociation of Ownership and Agency. Front Hum Neurosci Switzerland; 2012;6:40. PMID:22435056

75. Kalckert A, Ehrsson HH. The moving rubber hand illusion revisited: comparing movements and visuotactile stimulation to induce illusory ownership. Conscious Cogn United States; 2014 May;26:117–132. PMID:24705182

76. Pitman RK, Rasmusson AM, Koenen KC, Shin LM, Orr SP, Gilbertson MW, Milad MR, Liberzon I. Biological studies of post-traumatic stress disorder. Nat Rev Neurosci England; 2012 Nov;13(11):769–787. PMID:23047775

77. Myers CS. Shell shock in France 1914-1918. Shell Shock Fr 1914-1918. Oxford, England: University Press; Macmillan; 1940.

78. Forster G, Simons R, Baugh L. Revisiting the Role of the Amygdala in Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. 2017. doi: 10.5772/67585

79. Malikowska-Racia N, Salat K. Recent advances in the neurobiology of posttraumatic stress disorder: A review of possible mechanisms underlying an effective pharmacotherapy. Pharmacol Res Netherlands; 2019 Apr;142:30–49. PMID:30742899

80. Likhtik E, Stujenske JM, Topiwala MA, Harris AZ, Gordon JA. Prefrontal entrainment of amygdala activity signals safety in learned fear and innate anxiety. Nat Neurosci United States; 2014 Jan;17(1):106–113. PMID:24241397

81. Likhtik E, Paz R. Amygdala-prefrontal interactions in (mal)adaptive learning. Trends Neurosci England; 2015 Mar;38(3):158–166. PMID:25583269

82. Lopresto D, Schipper P, Homberg JR. Neural circuits and mechanisms involved in fear

generalization: Implications for the pathophysiology and treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder. Neurosci Biobehav Rev United States; 2016 Jan;60:31–42. PMID:26519776

83.   Ross DA, Arbuckle MR, Travis MJ, Dwyer JB, Van Schalkwyk GI, Ressler KJ. An integrated neuroscience perspective on formulation and treatment planning for posttraumatic stress disorder: An educational review. JAMA Psychiatry 2017;74(4):407–415. PMID:28273291

84.   Liberzon I, Abelson JL. Context Processing and the Neurobiology of Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder. Neuron United States; 2016 Oct;92(1):14–30. PMID:27710783

85.   Shalev A, Liberzon I, Marmar C. Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder. N Engl J Med 2017;376(25):2459–2469. PMID:28636846

86.   Akiki TJ, Averill CL, Abdallah CG. A Network-Based Neurobiological Model of PTSD: Evidence From Structural and Functional Neuroimaging Studies. Curr Psychiatry Rep 2017;19(11):81. doi: 10.1007/s11920-017-0840-4

87.   Kozlowska K, Walker P, McLean L, Carrive P. Fear and the Defense Cascade: Clinical Implications and Management. Harv Rev Psychiatry United States; 2015;23(4):263–287. PMID:26062169

88.   Brunet A, Weiss DS, Metzler TJ, Best SR, Neylan TC, Rogers C, Fagan J, Marmar CR. The Peritraumatic Distress Inventory: a proposed measure of PTSD criterion A2. Am J Psychiatry United States; 2001 Sep;158(9):1480–1485. PMID:11532735

89.   van der Velden PG, Wittmann L. The independent predictive value of peritraumatic dissociation for PTSD symptomatology after type I trauma: a systematic review of prospective studies. Clin Psychol Rev United States; 2008 Jul;28(6):1009–1020. PMID:18406027

90.   Herman J. Trauma and recovery: The aftermath of violence—from domestic abuse to political terror. Trauma Recover aftermath violence—from Domest Abus to Polit Terror. New York, NY, US: Basic Books; 2015. ISBN:978-0-465-06171-6 (Paperback); 978-0-465-09873-6 (Digital (undefined format))

91.   Thompson-Hollands J, Jun JJ, Sloan DM. The Association Between Peritraumatic Dissociation and PTSD Symptoms: The Mediating Role of Negative Beliefs About the Self. J Trauma Stress United States; 2017 Apr;30(2):190–194. PMID:28449364

92.   Spiegel D, Cardeña E. Disintegrated experience: the dissociative disorders revisited. J Abnorm Psychol United States; 1991 Aug;100(3):366–378. PMID:1918616

93.   Vermetten E, Spiegel D. Trauma and dissociation: implications for borderline personality disorder. Curr Psychiatry Rep United States; 2014 Feb;16(2):434. PMID:24442670

94.   Seligman R, Kirmayer LJ. Dissociative experience and cultural neuroscience: narrative, metaphor and mechanism. Cult Med Psychiatry Netherlands; 2008 Mar;32(1):31–64. PMID:18213511

95.   Schauer M, Elbert T. Dissociation Following Traumatic Stress. Z Psychol 2010 Jan 1;218. doi: 10.1027/0044-3409/a000018

96.   Marmar CR, Weiss DS, Metzler T. Peritraumatic dissociation and posttraumatic stress disorder. Trauma, Mem dissociation Arlington, VA, US: American Psychiatric Association; 1998. p. 229–247.

97.   Marshall GN, Schell TL. Reappraising the link between peritraumatic dissociation and PTSD symptom severity: evidence from a longitudinal study of community violence survivors. J Abnorm Psychol United States; 2002 Nov;111(4):626–636. PMID:12428776

98.   Birmes P, Brunet A, Carreras D, Ducassé J-L, Charlet J-P, Lauque D, Sztulman H, Schmitt L. The predictive power of peritraumatic dissociation and acute stress symptoms for posttraumatic stress symptoms: a three-month prospective study. Am J Psychiatry United

States; 2003 Jul;160(7):1337–1339. PMID:12832251

99.   Ozer EJ, Best SR, Lipsey TL, Weiss DS. Predictors of posttraumatic stress disorder and symptoms in adults: a meta-analysis. Psychol Bull United States; 2003 Jan;129(1):52–73. PMID:12555794

100. Samuelson KW, Neylan TC, Lenoci M, Metzler TJ, Cardenas V, Weiner MW, Marmar CR. Longitudinal effects of PTSD on memory functioning. J Int Neuropsychol Soc England; 2009 Nov;15(6):853–861. PMID:19703319

101. Tulving E. Episodic and semantic memory. Organ Mem Oxford, England: Academic Press; 1972. p. xiii, 423–xiii, 423.

102. Samuelson KW. Post-traumatic stress disorder and declarative memory functioning: a review. Dialogues Clin Neurosci England; 2011;13(3):346–351. PMID:22033732

103. van der Hart O, Nijenhuis ERS, Steele K. The haunted self: Structural dissociation and the treatment of chronic traumatization. haunted self Struct dissociation Treat chronic traumatization. New York, NY, US: W W Norton & Co; 2006. ISBN:0-393-70401-7 (Hardcover); 978-0-393-70401-3 (Hardcover)

104. Steele K, Hart O, Nijenhuis ER. Trauma-related Structural Dissociation of the Personality. Act Nerv Super 2010 Mar 1;52. doi: 10.1007/BF03379560

105. Tulving E. Memory and consciousness. Can Psychol / Psychol Can Canada: Canadian Psychological Association; 1985;26(1):1–12. doi: 10.1037/h0080017

106. Wheeler MA, Stuss DT, Tulving E. Toward a theory of episodic memory: the frontal lobes and autonoetic consciousness. Psychol Bull United States; 1997 May;121(3):331–354. PMID:9136640

107. Woodward SH, Kaloupek DG, Grande LJ, Stegman WK, Kutter CJ, Leskin L, Prestel R, Schaer M, Reiss AL, Eliez S. Hippocampal volume and declarative memory function in combat-related PTSD. J Int Neuropsychol Soc England; 2009 Nov;15(6):830–839. PMID:19703322

108. Acheson DT, Gresack JE, Risbrough VB. Hippocampal dysfunction effects on context memory: possible etiology for posttraumatic stress disorder. Neuropharmacology England; 2012 Feb;62(2):674–685. PMID:21596050

109. Rougemont-Bücking A, Linnman C, Zeffiro TA, Zeidan MA, Lebron-Milad K, Rodriguez-Romaguera J, Rauch SL, Pitman RK, Milad MR. Altered processing of contextual information during fear extinction in PTSD: an fMRI study. CNS Neurosci Ther England; 2011 Aug;17(4):227–236. PMID:20406268

110. Sheynin J, Liberzon I. Circuit Dysregulation and Circuit-Based Treatments in Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. Neurosci Lett 2017;649:133–138.

111. Vitriol V, Cancino A, Weil K, Salgado C, Asenjo MA, Potthoff S. Depression and psychological trauma: an overview integrating current research and specific evidence of studies in the treatment of depression in public mental health services in chile. Depress Res Treat United States; 2014;2014:608671. PMID:24695633

112. Kascakova N, Furstova J, Hasto J, Madarasova Geckova A, Tavel P. The Unholy Trinity: Childhood Trauma, Adulthood Anxiety, and Long-Term Pain. Int J Environ Res Public Health Switzerland; 2020 Jan;17(2). PMID:31936285

113. Semiz UB, Inanc L, Bezgin CH. Are trauma and dissociation related to treatment resistance in patients with obsessive-compulsive disorder? Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol Germany; 2014 Aug;49(8):1287–1296. PMID:24213522

114. Yehuda R, Hoge CW, McFarlane AC, Vermetten E, Lanius RA, Nievergelt CM, Hobfoll SE, Koenen KC, Neylan TC, Hyman SE. Post-traumatic stress disorder. Nat Rev Dis Prim 2015;1(1):15057. doi: 10.1038/nrdp.2015.57

115. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders :

DSM-5. 5th ed. Washington, D.C.: American Psychiatric Publishing; 2013. Available from: https://search.library.wisc.edu/catalog/9910187853902121

116. Weathers FW, Bovin MJ, Lee DJ, Sloan DM, Schnurr PP, Kaloupek DG, Keane TM, Marx BP. The clinician-administered ptsd scale for DSM-5 (CAPS-5): Development and initial psychometric evaluation in military veterans. Psychol Assess 2018;30(3):383–395. PMID:28493729

117. Wolf EJ, Hawn SE, Sullivan DR, Miller MW, Sanborn V, Brown E, Neale Z, Fein-Schaffer D, Zhao X, Logue MW, Fortier CB, McGlinchey RE, Milberg WP. Neurobiological and genetic correlates of the dissociative subtype of posttraumatic stress disorder. J Psychopathol Clin Sci United States; 2023 May;132(4):409–427. PMID:37023279

118. Harrison JE, Weber S, Jakob R, Chute CG. ICD-11: an international classification of diseases for the twenty-first century. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak England; 2021 Nov;21(Suppl 6):206. PMID:34753471

119. Brewin CR, Cloitre M, Hyland P, Shevlin M, Maercker A, Bryant RA, Humayun A, Jones LM, Kagee A, Rousseau C, Somasundaram D, Suzuki Y, Wessely S, van Ommeren M, Reed GM. A review of current evidence regarding the ICD-11 proposals for diagnosing PTSD and complex PTSD. Clin Psychol Rev United States; 2017 Dec;58:1–15. PMID:29029837

120. World Health Organization. International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems. 11th ed. 2019. Available from: https://icd.who.int/

121. Herman JL. Complex PTSD: A syndrome in survivors of prolonged and repeated trauma. J Trauma Stress John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 1992 Jul 1;5(3):377–391. doi: https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.2490050305

122. Maercker A, Cloitre M, Bachem R, Schlumpf YR, Khoury B, Hitchcock C, Bohus M. Complex post-traumatic stress disorder. Lancet Elsevier Ltd; 2022;400(10345):60–72. PMID:34800054

123. Sachser C, Keller F, Goldbeck L. Complex PTSD as proposed for ICD-11: validation of a new disorder in children and adolescents and their response to Trauma-Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy. J Child Psychol Psychiatry England; 2017 Feb;58(2):160–168. PMID:27677771

124. Murray H, Grey N, Warnock-Parkes E, Kerr A, Wild J, Clark DM, Ehlers A. Ten misconceptions about trauma-focused CBT for PTSD. Cogn Behav Ther England; 2022;15:s1754470x22000307. PMID:36247408

125. van Minnen A, Harned MS, Zoellner L, Mills K. Examining potential contraindications for prolonged exposure therapy for PTSD. Eur J Psychotraumatol United States; 2012;3. PMID:22893847

126. Halvorsen JØ, Stenmark H, Neuner F, Nordahl HM. Does dissociation moderate treatment outcomes of narrative exposure therapy for PTSD? A secondary analysis from a randomized controlled clinical trial. Behav Res Ther England; 2014 Jun;57:21–28. PMID:24762779

127. Hoeboer CM, De Kleine RA, Molendijk ML, Schoorl M, Oprel DAC, Mouthaan J, Van der Does W, Van Minnen A. Impact of dissociation on the effectiveness of psychotherapy for post-traumatic stress disorder: meta-analysis. BJPsych open England; 2020 May;6(3):e53. PMID:32423501

128. Di Paolo EA, Buhrmann T, Barandiaran XE. The sense of agency. Di Paolo E, Buhrmann T, Barandiaran X, editors. Sensorimotor Life An enactive Propos. Oxford University Press; 2017. p. 0. doi: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198786849.003.0007

129. Bomyea J, Lang AJ. Accounting for intrusive thoughts in PTSD: Contributions of cognitive control and deliberate regulation strategies. J Affect Disord Netherlands; 2016 Mar;192:184–190. PMID:26741045

130. Falsetti SA, Monnier J, Resnick HS. Intrusive Thoughts in Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. Intrusive thoughts Clin Disord Theory, Res Treat New York, NY, US: The Guilford Press; 2005. p. 30–53.

131. Shipherd JC, Salters-Pedneault K. Attention, Memory, Intrusive Thoughts, and Acceptance in PTSD: An Update on the Empirical Literature for Clinicians. Cogn Behav Pract 2008;15(4):349–363. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpra.2008.01.003

132. Bryant RA, Sackville T, Dang ST, Moulds M, Guthrie R. Treating acute stress disorder: an evaluation of cognitive behavior therapy and supportive counseling techniques. Am J Psychiatry United States; 1999 Nov;156(11):1780–1786. PMID:10553743

133. Kube T, Berg M, Kleim B, Herzog P. Rethinking post-traumatic stress disorder - A predictive processing perspective. Neurosci Biobehav Rev United States; 2020 Jun;113:448–460. PMID:32315695

134. Shipherd JC, Beck JG. The effects of suppressing trauma-related thoughts on women with rape-related posttraumatic stress disorder. Behav Res Ther England; 1999 Feb;37(2):99–112. PMID:9990742

135. Tull MT, Trotman A, Duplinsky MS, Reynolds EK, Daughters SB, Potenza MN, Lejuez CW. The effect of posttraumatic stress disorder on risk-taking propensity among crack/cocaine users in residential substance abuse treatment. Depress Anxiety United States; 2009;26(12):1158–1164. PMID:19957281

136. Tyler KA. Social and emotional outcomes of childhood sexual abuse: A review of recent research. Aggress Violent Behav Netherlands: Elsevier Science; 2002;7(6):567–589. doi: 10.1016/S1359-1789(01)00047-7

137. Terr LC. Childhood traumas: an outline and overview. Am J Psychiatry United States; 1991 Jan;148(1):10–20. PMID:1824611

138. Freud S. Beyond the pleasure principle. Hubback CJM, Jones E, editors. Beyond Pleas Princ. London, England: The International Psycho-Analytical Press; 1922. doi: 10.1037/11189-000

139. Winnicott DW. Transitional Objects and Transitional Phenomena. Caldwell L, Taylor Robinson H, editors. Collect Work D W Winnicott Vol 3, 1946-1951. Oxford University Press; 2016. p. 0. doi: 10.1093/med:psych/9780190271350.003.0088

140. Thompson BL, Waltz J. Mindfulness and experiential avoidance as predictors of posttraumatic stress disorder avoidance symptom severity. J Anxiety Disord Netherlands; 2010 May;24(4):409–415. PMID:20304602

141. Schneider A, Gudiño OG. Predicting Avoidance Symptoms in U.S. Latino Youth Exposed to Community Violence: The Role of Cultural Values and Behavioral Inhibition. J Trauma Stress United States; 2018 Aug;31(4):509–517. PMID:30058738

142. Mairean C. Fear and avoidance of driving among drivers involved in a road traffic crash. The role of traumatic fear and driving cognitions. Transp Res Part F Traffic Psychol Behav 2020 Oct 1;74:322–329. doi: 10.1016/j.trf.2020.08.026

143. Couette M, Mouchabac S, Bourla A, Nuss P, Ferreri F. Social cognition in post-traumatic stress disorder: A systematic review. Br J Clin Psychol 2019;1–22. PMID:31696974

144. Galea S, Nandi A, Vlahov D. The epidemiology of post-traumatic stress disorder after disasters. Epidemiol Rev United States; 2005;27:78–91. PMID:15958429

145. Neria Y, Olfson M, Gameroff MJ, Wickramaratne P, Pilowsky D, Verdeli H, Gross R, Manetti-Cusa J, Marshall RD, Lantigua R, Shea S, Weissman MM. Trauma exposure and posttraumatic stress disorder among primary care patients with bipolar spectrum disorder. Bipolar Disord 2008;10(4):503–510. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-5618.2008.00589.x

146. Flynn AJ, Navarro GY, Basehore HK. PTSD Avoidance Symptoms Associated With Alcohol Craving in Treatment-Seeking Veteran Population. J Dual Diagn United States;

2022;18(3):135–143. PMID:35761472

147. Basedow LA, Kuitunen-Paul S, Wiedmann MF, Roessner V, Golub Y. Self-reported PTSD is associated with increased use of MDMA in adolescents with substance use disorders. Eur J Psychotraumatol United States; 2021;12(1):1968140. PMID:34603636

148. Müller M, Vandeleur C, Rodgers S, Rössler W, Castelao E, Preisig M, Ajdacic-Gross V. Posttraumatic stress avoidance symptoms as mediators in the development of alcohol use disorders after exposure to childhood sexual abuse in a Swiss community sample. Child Abuse Negl England; 2015 Aug;46:8–15. PMID:25828861

149. Ciccone A, Ferrant A. Honte, culpabilité et traumatisme. Psychismes. Paris: Dunod; 2015. Available from: https://www.cairn.info/honte-culpabilite-et-traumatisme--9782100726851.htm ISBN:9782100726851

150. Freud S. Inhibitions, symptoms, and anxiety. Psychoanal Q US: Psychoanalytic Quarterly; 1936;5:415–443.

151. Klein M. Notes on some schizoid mechanisms. J Psychother Pract Res United States; 1996;5(2):160–179. PMID:22700275

152. Keane TM, Marshall AD, Taft CT. Posttraumatic stress disorder: Etiology, epidemiology, and treatment outcome. Annu Rev Clin Psychol 2006;2:161–197. PMID:17716068

153. Brewin CR, Andrews B, Valentine JD. Meta-analysis of risk factors for posttraumatic stress disorder in trauma-exposed adults. J Consult Clin Psychol United States; 2000 Oct;68(5):748–766. PMID:11068961

154. Cameron AY, Mamon D. Towards A Better Understanding of Hypervigilance in Combat Veterans. Mil Behav Heal Routledge; 2019 Apr 3;7(2):206–217. doi: 10.1080/21635781.2018.1526144

155. Kimble M, Boxwala M, Bean W, Maletsky K, Halper J, Spollen K, Fleming K. The impact of hypervigilance: evidence for a forward feedback loop. J Anxiety Disord Netherlands; 2014 Mar;28(2):241–245. PMID:24507631

156. Shalev AY, Sahar T, Freedman S, Peri T, Glick N, Brandes D, Orr SP, Pitman RK. A prospective study of heart rate response following trauma and the subsequent development of posttraumatic stress disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry United States; 1998 Jun;55(6):553–559. PMID:9633675

157. Bryant RA, Salmon K, Sinclair E, Davidson P. Heart rate as a predictor of posttraumatic stress disorder in children. Gen Hosp Psychiatry United States; 2007;29(1):66–68. PMID:17189749

158. Seligowski A V, Misganaw B, Duffy LA, Ressler KJ, Guffanti G. Leveraging Large-Scale Genetics of PTSD and Cardiovascular Disease to Demonstrate Robust Shared Risk and Improve Risk Prediction Accuracy. Am J Psychiatry United States; 2022 Nov;179(11):814–823. PMID:36069022

159. Wood J, Mathews A, Dalgleish T. Anxiety and cognitive inhibition. Emotion United States; 2001 Jun;1(2):166–181. PMID:12899195

160. Menon V, Uddin LQ. Saliency, switching, attention and control: a network model of insula function. Brain Struct Funct Germany; 2010 Jun;214(5–6):655–667. PMID:20512370

161. Stewart L, Brewin C, Muggleton N, Javardi A, Tcheang L. Hypervigilance without Threat: Eye-Movements Reveal Vigilant Behaviours. 2013.

162. Coll SY, Eustache F, Doidy F, Fraisse F, Peschanski D, Dayan J, Gagnepain P, Laisney M. Avoidance behaviour generalizes to eye processing in posttraumatic stress disorder. Eur J Psychotraumatol United States; 2022;13(1):2044661. PMID:35479300

163. Critchley HD, Harrison NA. Visceral influences on brain and behavior. Neuron United States; 2013 Feb;77(4):624–638. PMID:23439117

164. Schoeller F, Haar AJH, Jain A, Maes P. Enhancing human emotions with interoceptive

technologies. Phys Life Rev Netherlands; 2019 Dec;31:310–319. PMID:31757602

165. Schoeller F, Haar Horowitz A, Jain A, Maes P, Reggente N, Christov-Moore L, Pezzulo G, Barca L, Allen M, Salomon R, Miller M, Lernia D Di, Riva G, Tsakiris M, Chalah MA, Klein A, Zhang B, Garcia T, Pollack U, Trousselard M, Verdonk C, Dumas G, Adrien V, Friston K. Interoceptive Technologies for Psychiatric Interventions: From Diagnosis to Clinical Applications. Neurosci Biobehav Rev Elsevier Ltd; 2024;156(March 2023):105478. doi: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2023.105478

166. Anzieu D. The skin ego. Turner C, editor. Ski ego. New Haven, CT, US: Yale University Press; 1989. ISBN:0-300-03747-3 (Hardcover)

167. Schoeller F. Primary states of consciousness: A review of historical and contemporary developments. Conscious Cogn United States; 2023 Aug;113:103536. PMID:37321024

168. Butler LD. Normative dissociation. Psychiatr Clin North Am United States; 2006 Mar;29(1):45–62, viii. PMID:16530586

169. Liriano F, Hatten C, Schwartz TL. Ketamine as treatment for post-traumatic stress disorder: a review. Drugs Context England; 2019;8:212305. PMID:31007698

170. Elsouri KN, Kalhori S, Colunge D, Grabarczyk G, Hanna G, Carrasco C, Aleman Espino A, Francisco A, Borosky B, Bekheit B, Ighanifard M, Astudillo AA, Demory Beckler M. Psychoactive Drugs in the Management of Post Traumatic Stress Disorder: A Promising New Horizon. Cureus United States; 2022 May;14(5):e25235. PMID:35747039

171. Feder A, Parides MK, Murrough JW, Perez AM, Morgan JE, Saxena S, Kirkwood K, Aan Het Rot M, Lapidus KAB, Wan L-B, Iosifescu D, Charney DS. Efficacy of intravenous ketamine for treatment of chronic posttraumatic stress disorder: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA psychiatry United States; 2014 Jun;71(6):681–688. PMID:24740528

172. Yarnell S. The Use of Medicinal Marijuana for Posttraumatic Stress Disorder: A Review of the Current Literature. Prim care companion CNS Disord United States; 2015;17(3). PMID:26644963

173. Niciu MJ, Shovestul BJ, Jaso BA, Farmer C, Luckenbaugh DA, Brutsche NE, Park LT, Ballard ED, Zarate CAJ. Features of dissociation differentially predict antidepressant response to ketamine in treatment-resistant depression. J Affect Disord Netherlands; 2018 May;232:310–315. PMID:29501990

174. Breeksema JJ, Niemeijer A, Kuin B, Veraart J, Vermetten E, Kamphuis J, van den Brink W, Schoevers R. Phenomenology and therapeutic potential of patient experiences during oral esketamine treatment for treatment-resistant depression: an interpretative phenomenological study. Psychopharmacology (Berl) Germany; 2023 Jul;240(7):1547–1560. PMID:37222753

175. Mathai DS, Nayak SM, Yaden DB, Garcia-Romeu A. Reconsidering "dissociation" as a predictor of antidepressant efficacy for esketamine. Psychopharmacology (Berl) Germany; 2023 Apr;240(4):827–836. PMID:36729145

176. Barrett FS, Carbonaro TM, Hurwitz E, Johnson MW, Griffiths RR. Double-blind comparison of the two hallucinogens psilocybin and dextromethorphan: effects on cognition. Psychopharmacology (Berl) Germany; 2018 Oct;235(10):2915–2927. PMID:30062577

177. Garcia LSB, Comim CM, Valvassori SS, Réus GZ, Barbosa LM, Andreazza AC, Stertz L, Fries GR, Gavioli EC, Kapczinski F, Quevedo J. Acute administration of ketamine induces antidepressant-like effects in the forced swimming test and increases BDNF levels in the rat hippocampus. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry England; 2008 Jan;32(1):140–144. PMID:17884272

178. Laruelle M, Abi-Dargham A, van Dyck CH, Gil R, D'Souza CD, Erdos J, McCance E, Rosenblatt W, Fingado C, Zoghbi SS, Baldwin RM, Seibyl JP, Krystal JH, Charney DS, Innis

RB. Single photon emission computerized tomography imaging of amphetamine-induced dopamine release in drug-free schizophrenic subjects. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A United States; 1996 Aug;93(17):9235–9240. PMID:8799184

179. Chambers RA, Bremner JD, Moghaddam B, Southwick SM, Charney DS, Krystal JH. Glutamate and post-traumatic stress disorder: toward a psychobiology of dissociation. Semin Clin Neuropsychiatry United States; 1999 Oct;4(4):274–281. PMID:10553033

180. Maren S. Long-term potentiation in the amygdala: a mechanism for emotional learning and memory. Trends Neurosci England; 1999 Dec;22(12):561–567. PMID:10542437

181. Ori R, Amos T, Bergman H, Soares-Weiser K, Ipser JC, Stein DJ. Augmentation of cognitive and behavioural therapies (CBT) with d-cycloserine for anxiety and related disorders. Cochrane database Syst Rev England; 2015 May;2015(5):CD007803. PMID:25957940

182. Furukawa TA, Cipriani A, Cowen PJ, Leucht S, Egger M, Salanti G. Optimal dose of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, venlafaxine, and mirtazapine in major depression: a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis. The Lancet Psychiatry The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an Open Access article under the CC BY 4.0 license; 2019;6(7):601–609. PMID:31178367

183. Thierrée S, Raulin-Briot M, Legrand M, Le Gouge A, Vancappel A, Tudorache AC, Brizard B, Clarys D, Caille A, El-Hage W. Combining Trauma Script Exposure With rTMS to Reduce Symptoms of Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder: Randomized Controlled Trial. Neuromodulation 2021;2021. doi: 10.1111/ner.13505

184. Ferreri F, Mouchabac S, Sylvestre V, Millet B, El Hage W, Adrien V, Bourla A. Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (rTMS) in Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder: Study Protocol of a Nationwide Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial of Neuro-Enhanced Psychotherapy "TraumaStim". Brain Sci Switzerland; 2023 Aug;13(9). PMID:37759875

185. van 't Wout-Frank M, Shea MT, Larson VC, Greenberg BD, Philip NS. Combined transcranial direct current stimulation with virtual reality exposure for posttraumatic stress disorder: Feasibility and pilot results. Brain Stimul United States; 2019;12(1):41–43. PMID:30266416

186. Han J, Choi K-M, Yang C, Kim HS, Park S-S, Lee S-H. Treatment efficacy of tDCS and predictors of treatment response in patients with post-traumatic stress disorder. J Affect Disord Netherlands; 2022 Dec;318:357–363. PMID:36055537

187. Abelson JL, Curtis GC, Sagher O, Albucher RC, Harrigan M, Taylor SF, Martis B, Giordani B. Deep brain stimulation for refractory obsessive-compulsive disorder. Biol Psychiatry United States; 2005 Mar;57(5):510–516. PMID:15737666

188. Noble LJ, Souza RR, McIntyre CK. Vagus nerve stimulation as a tool for enhancing extinction in exposure-based therapies. Psychopharmacology (Berl) Germany; 2019 Jan;236(1):355–367. PMID:30091004

189. Souza RR, Robertson NM, Pruitt DT, Gonzales PA, Hays SA, Rennaker RL, Kilgard MP, McIntyre CK. Vagus nerve stimulation reverses the extinction impairments in a model of PTSD with prolonged and repeated trauma. Stress England; 2019 Jul;22(4):509–520. PMID:31010369

190. Ogden P, Pain C, Fisher J. A sensorimotor approach to the treatment of trauma and dissociation. Psychiatr Clin North Am United States; 2006 Mar;29(1):263–79, xi–xii. PMID:16530597

191. Ogden P, Fisher J. Sensorimotor psychotherapy: Interventions for trauma and attachment. Del Hierro D, Del Hierro A, editors. Sensorimotor Psychother Interv trauma Attach. New York, NY, US: W W Norton & Co; 2015. ISBN:978-0-393-70613-0 (Hardcover)

192. van Gelderen MJ, Nijdam MJ, Vermetten E. An Innovative Framework for Delivering

Psychotherapy to Patients With Treatment-Resistant Posttraumatic Stress Disorder: Rationale for Interactive Motion-Assisted Therapy. Front psychiatry Switzerland; 2018;9:176. PMID:29780334

193. Beaudoin M-N. Agency and Choice in the Face of Trauma: A Narrative Therapy Map. J Syst Ther 2005 Dec 1;24:32–50. doi: 10.1521/jsyt.2005.24.4.32

194. Rothbaum BO, Rizzo AS, Difede J. Virtual reality exposure therapy for combat-related posttraumatic stress disorder. Ann N Y Acad Sci United States; 2010 Oct;1208:126–132. PMID:20955334

195. Webb AK, Vincent AL, Jin AB, Pollack MH. Physiological reactivity to nonideographic virtual reality stimuli in veterans with and without PTSD. Brain Behav United States; 2015 Feb;5(2):e00304. PMID:25642387

196. van 't Wout M, Spofford CM, Unger WS, Sevin EB, Shea MT. Skin Conductance Reactivity to Standardized Virtual Reality Combat Scenes in Veterans with PTSD. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback Germany; 2017 Sep;42(3):209–221. PMID:28646388

197. Roy MJ, Costanzo ME, Jovanovic T, Leaman S, Taylor P, Norrholm SD, Rizzo AA. Heart rate response to fear conditioning and virtual reality in subthreshold PTSD. Stud Health Technol Inform Netherlands; 2013;191:115–119. PMID:23792855

198. Deng W, Hu D, Xu S, Liu X, Zhao J, Chen Q, Liu J, Zhang Z, Jiang W, Ma L, Hong X, Cheng S, Liu B, Li X. The efficacy of virtual reality exposure therapy for PTSD symptoms: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Affect Disord Netherlands; 2019 Oct;257:698–709. PMID:31382122

199. Difede J, Cukor J, Jayasinghe N, Patt I, Jedel S, Spielman L, Giosan C, Hoffman HG. Virtual reality exposure therapy for the treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder following September 11, 2001. J Clin Psychiatry United States; 2007 Nov;68(11):1639–1647. PMID:18052556

200. Norr AM, Smolenski DJ, Katz AC, Rizzo AA, Rothbaum BO, Difede J, Koenen-Woods P, Reger MA, Reger GM. Virtual reality exposure versus prolonged exposure for PTSD: Which treatment for whom? Depress Anxiety United States; 2018 Jun;35(6):523–529. PMID:29734488

201. Difede J, Rothbaum BO, Rizzo AA, Wyka K, Spielman L, Reist C, Roy MJ, Jovanovic T, Norrholm SD, Cukor J, Olden M, Glatt CE, Lee FS. Enhancing exposure therapy for posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD): a randomized clinical trial of virtual reality and imaginal exposure with a cognitive enhancer. Transl Psychiatry United States; 2022 Jul;12(1):299. PMID:35896533

202. Gomez MA, Skiba RM, Snow JC. Graspable Objects Grab Attention More Than Images Do. Psychol Sci United States; 2018 Feb;29(2):206–218. PMID:29215960

203. Harris DJ, Buckingham G, Wilson MR, Vine SJ. Virtually the same? How impaired sensory information in virtual reality may disrupt vision for action. Exp brain Res Germany; 2019 Nov;237(11):2761–2766. PMID:31485708

204. El Ali A, Ney R, van Berlo ZMC, Cesar P. Is that My Heartbeat? Measuring and Understanding Modality-Dependent Cardiac Interoception in Virtual Reality. IEEE Trans Vis Comput Graph United States; 2023 Nov;29(11):4805–4815. PMID:37782606

205. Kilteni K, Bergstrom I, Slater M. Drumming in immersive virtual reality: the body shapes the way we play. IEEE Trans Vis Comput Graph United States; 2013 Apr;19(4):597–605. PMID:23428444

206. Davis S, Nesbitt K V, Nalivaiko E. Comparing the onset of cybersickness using the Oculus Rift and two virtual roller coasters. 2015. Available from: https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:54877139

207. Coulter S. Creating safety for trauma survivors: What can therapists do? Child Care Pract

Routledge; 2001 Jan 1;7(1):45–56. doi: 10.1080/13575270108413233

208. Ross N, Brown C, Johnstone M. Beyond medicalized approaches to violence and trauma: Empowering social work practice. J Soc Work SAGE Publications; 2023 Jan 9;23(3):567–585. doi: 10.1177/14680173221144557

209. Botella C, Serrano B, Baños RM, Garcia-Palacios A. Virtual reality exposure-based therapy for the treatment of post-traumatic stress disorder: a review of its efficacy, the adequacy of the treatment protocol, and its acceptability. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat New Zealand; 2015;11:2533–2545. PMID:26491332

210. Schleyer W, Zona K, Quigley D, Spottswood M. Group therapy in primary care settings for the treatment of posttraumatic stress disorder: A systematic literature review. Gen Hosp Psychiatry United States; 2022;77:1–10. PMID:35390567

211. Hunt A, Dd Y, Wanderley M, Paradis M. The Importance of Parameter Mapping in Electronic Instrument Design. J New Music Res 2002 May 5;32. doi: 10.1076/jnmr.32.4.429.18853

212. Thompson W, Schlaug G. The Healing Power of Music. Sci Am Mind 2015 Feb 12;26:32–41. doi: 10.1038/scientificamericanmind0315-32

213. Koch SC, Riege RFF, Tisborn K, Biondo J, Martin L, Beelmann A. Effects of Dance Movement Therapy and Dance on Health-Related Psychological Outcomes. A Meta-Analysis Update. Front Psychol. Switzerland; 2019. p. 1806. PMID:31481910

214. Rossman ML. Guided Imagery for Self-healing: An Essential Resource for Anyone Seeking Wellness. H. J. Kramer Incorporated; 2000. Available from: https://books.google.fr/books?id=TZN6AAAAQBAJ ISBN:9780915811885

215. Özü Ö. Guided imagery as a psychotherapeutic mind-body intervention in health psychology: A brief review of efficacy research. Eur J Psychol 2010 Nov 29;6(4 SE-Literature Reviews):227–237. doi: 10.5964/ejop.v6i4.232

216. Apóstolo JLA, Kolcaba K. The effects of guided imagery on comfort, depression, anxiety, and stress of psychiatric inpatients with depressive disorders. Arch Psychiatr Nurs United States; 2009 Dec;23(6):403–411. PMID:19926022

217. Lewandowski W, Good M, Draucker CB. Changes in the meaning of pain with the use of guided imagery. Pain Manag Nurs Off J Am Soc Pain Manag Nurses United States; 2005 Jun;6(2):58–67. PMID:15970919

218. Strauss J, Calhoun P, Marx C. Guided Imagery as a Therapeutic Tool in Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder. Post-Traumatic Stress Disord Basic Sci Clin Pract 2009. p. 363–373. doi: 10.1007/978-1-60327-329-9_17

219. Kwekkeboom K, Huseby-Moore K, Ward S. Imaging ability and effective use of guided imagery. Res Nurs Health United States; 1998 Jun;21(3):189–198. PMID:9609504

220. Neuner F. Safety first? Trauma exposure in PTSD. Expo Ther Rethink Model — Refin method Neuner, Frank: Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy, Bielefeld University, Bielefeld, Germany, frank.neuner@uni-bielefeld.de: Springer Science + Business Media; 2012. p. 299–312. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4614-3342-2_17

221. Yehuda R, Bierer LM. The relevance of epigenetics to PTSD: implications for the DSM-V. J Trauma Stress United States; 2009 Oct;22(5):427–434. PMID:19813242

222. Yehuda R, Daskalakis NP, Lehrner A, Desarnaud F, Bader HN, Makotkine I, Flory JD, Bierer LM, Meaney MJ. Influences of maternal and paternal PTSD on epigenetic regulation of the glucocorticoid receptor gene in Holocaust survivor offspring. Am J Psychiatry United States; 2014 Aug;171(8):872–880. PMID:24832930

223. Yehuda R, Daskalakis NP, Bierer LM, Bader HN, Klengel T, Holsboer F, Binder EB. Holocaust Exposure Induced Intergenerational Effects on FKBP5 Methylation. Biol Psychiatry Elsevier; 2016;80(5):372–380. PMID:26410355

224. Yehuda R, Lehrner A. Intergenerational transmission of trauma effects: putative role of epigenetic mechanisms. World Psychiatry 2018;17(3):243–257. PMID:30192087

225. Javidi H, Yadollahie M. Post-traumatic Stress Disorder. Int J Occup Environ Med Iran; 2012 Jan;3(1):2–9. PMID:23022845

226. Fillion JS, Clements PT, Averill JB, Vigil GJ. Talking as a primary method of peer defusing for military personnel exposed to combat trauma. J Psychosoc Nurs Ment Health Serv United States; 2002 Aug;40(8):40–49. PMID:12174514

227. Stileman HM, Jones CA. Revisiting the debriefing debate: does psychological debriefing reduce PTSD symptomology following work-related trauma? A meta-analysis. Front Psychol. Switzerland; 2023. p. 1248924. PMID:38204890

228. Wessely S, Rose S, Bisson J. Brief psychological interventions ("debriefing") for trauma-related symptoms and the prevention of post traumatic stress disorder. 2000 Jan 1;

229. Bolwig TG. Debriefing after psychological trauma. Acta Psychiatr Scand. United States; 1998. p. 169–170. PMID:9761401

230. Sensky T. The utility of systematic reviews: the case of psychological debriefing after trauma. Psychother Psychosom. Switzerland; 2003. p. 171–175. PMID:12817571

231. Rose S, Bisson J, Wessely S. A systematic review of single-session psychological interventions ('debriefing') following trauma. Psychother Psychosom Switzerland; 2003;72(4):176–184. PMID:12792122

232. van Emmerik AAP, Kamphuis JH, Hulsbosch AM, Emmelkamp PMG. Single session debriefing after psychological trauma: a meta-analysis. Lancet (London, England) England; 2002 Sep;360(9335):766–771. PMID:12241834

233. Richins MT, Gauntlett L, Tehrani N, Hesketh I, Weston D, Carter H, Amlôt R. Early Post-trauma Interventions in Organizations: A Scoping Review. Front Psychol Switzerland; 2020;11:1176. PMID:32670143

234. Levine B, Land HM. A Meta-Synthesis of Qualitative Findings About Dance/Movement Therapy for Individuals With Trauma. Qual Health Res United States; 2016 Feb;26(3):330–344. PMID:26063604

235. Grasser LR, Al-Saghir H, Wanna C, Spinei J, Javanbakht A. Moving Through the Trauma: Dance/Movement Therapy as a Somatic-Based Intervention for Addressing Trauma and Stress Among Syrian Refugee Children. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. United States; 2019. p. 1124–1126. PMID:31348987

236. Bion WR. A theory of thinking. Melanie Klein today Dev theory Pract Vol 1 Mainly theory. Florence, KY, US: Taylor & Frances/Routledge; 1988. ISBN:0-415-00675-9 (Hardcover); 0-415-00676-7 (Paperback)

237. Stevens JS, Jovanovic T. Role of social cognition in post-traumatic stress disorder: A review and meta-analysis. Genes, Brain Behav John Wiley & Sons, Ltd; 2019 Jan 1;18(1):e12518. doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/gbb.12518

238. Tibi-Elhanany Y, Shamay-Tsoory SG. Social cognition in social anxiety: first evidence for increased empathic abilities. Isr J Psychiatry Relat Sci Israel; 2011;48(2):98–106. PMID:22120444

239. Elmi LM, Clapp JD. Interpersonal Functioning and Trauma: The Role of Empathy in Moderating the Association of PTSD and Interpersonal Functioning. Behav Ther England; 2021 Sep;52(5):1251–1264. PMID:34452677

240. Maercker A, Horn AB. A socio-interpersonal perspective on PTSD: the case for environments and interpersonal processes. Clin Psychol Psychother England; 2013;20(6):465–481. PMID:22730216

241. Mitima-Verloop HB, Boelen PA, Mooren TTM. Commemoration of disruptive events: a scoping review about posttraumatic stress reactions and related factors. Eur J

Psychotraumatol United States; 2020;11(1):1701226. PMID:32082507

242. gene-cos N, J F, Ogden P. Sensorimotor Psychotherapy Group Therapy in the Treatment of Complex PTSD. Ann Psychiatr Ment Heal 2016 Sep 11;Cite this.

243. Classen CC, Hughes L, Clark C, Hill Mohammed B, Woods P, Beckett B. A Pilot RCT of A Body-Oriented Group Therapy For Complex Trauma Survivors: An Adaptation of Sensorimotor Psychotherapy. J trauma dissociation Off J Int Soc Study Dissociation England; 2021;22(1):52–68. PMID:32419670

244. Sadeghi S, Schmidt SNL, Mier D, Hass J. Effective connectivity of the human mirror neuron system during social cognition. Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci England; 2022 Aug;17(8):732–743. PMID:35086135

Supplementary Files

Figures

System diagram of gesture sonification illustrating the integration of a wearable band (1) with sensors and a Bluetooth module (2) which communicates with a computational device (3) running specific signal processing software (4). The device processes the data and provides auditory feedback through loudspeakers or headphones (5) following the therapist guidelines (6).