

Are wearable technologies accurate enough to assessment of soccer kicking: Protocol for a systematic literature review

Luiz Henrique Palucci Vieira, Filipe Manuel Clemente, Felipe Armando Chang Marquez, Walter Manuel Rea Olivares, Kelly Rocio Vargas-Villafuerte, Felipe P Carpes

Submitted to: JMIR Research Protocols on: February 16, 2024

Disclaimer: © **The authors. All rights reserved.** This is a privileged document currently under peer-review/community review. Authors have provided JMIR Publications with an exclusive license to publish this preprint on it's website for review purposes only. While the final peer-reviewed paper may be licensed under a CC BY license on publication, at this stage authors and publisher expressively prohibit redistribution of this draft paper other than for review purposes.

Table of Contents

Original Manuscript.......5

Are wearable technologies accurate enough to assessment of soccer kicking: Protocol for a systematic literature review

Luiz Henrique Palucci Vieira¹ PhD; Filipe Manuel Clemente^{2, 3, 4} PhD; Felipe Armando Chang Marquez¹ BSc; Walter Manuel Rea Olivares¹ MSc; Kelly Rocio Vargas-Villafuerte¹ PhD; Felipe P Carpes⁵ PhD

Corresponding Author:

Luiz Henrique Palucci Vieira PhD

Universidad César Vallejo (UCV)

Facultad de Ingeniería y Arquitectura, Escuela Profesional de Ingeniería Industrial

Grupo de investigación en Tecnología aplicada a Seguridad ocupacional, Desempeño y Calidad de vida (GiTaSyC), Campus Callao, 07001 Lima, Perú.

Av. Argentina 1795

El Callao

PE

Abstract

Background: Wearable technology is widely applied in performance monitoring, an integral part of sports and exercise sciences. The kick movement in soccer exemplifies a sports technique that could benefit from appropriate biomechanics assessment methodologies. However, the accuracy of wearables in quantifying soccer kick mechanics, particularly under field conditions, remains unclear.

Objective: This article presents a protocol for a systematic review to discuss the measurement properties (validity, reliability and/or measurement error aspects) of wearable technology systems explicitly used to measure ball-kicking features in soccer.

Methods: This review protocol was pre-registered in the Open Science Framework. Two authors will perform searches in major electronic databases: MEDLINE, Physical Therapy and Sports Medicine, Web of Science, ProQuest, IEEE Xplore, EBSCOHost, and Scopus. Following a specific PICO framework, studies will be screened based on predetermined inclusion and exclusion criteria. The methodological quality of the included studies will be assessed using the COSMIN checklist. Data extraction will be conducted to determine the level of evidence according to the "best evidence synthesis method."

Results: This ongoing systematic review has completed database searches and is currently in the screening phase. Depending on the number and consistency of studies, results may be presented via meta-analysis or qualitative synthesis, with sub-group analyses considering factors like gender, age, and playing level. The final results are expected by May 2024, with manuscript submission anticipated by August 2024.

Conclusions: Our study will provide a comprehensive summary of the highest level of evidence available on the use of wearables for the assessment of soccer kick mechanics, providing practical guidance for athletes and sports sciences professionals regarding the validity, reliability and/or measurement error aspects of using wearable technology to measure ball-kicking features in soccer. Clinical Trial: Open Science Framework (OSF) – repository under Registry ID: https://osf.io/zm3j6

(JMIR Preprints 16/02/2024:57433)

https://preprints.jmir.org/preprint/57433

DOI: https://doi.org/10.2196/preprints.57433

Preprint Settings

¹Universidad César Vallejo (UCV) Facultad de Ingeniería y Arquitectura, Escuela Profesional de Ingeniería Industrial Grupo de investigación en Tecnología aplicada a Seguridad ocupacional, Desempeño y Calidad de vida (GiTaSyC), Campus Callao, 07001 Lima, Perú. El Callao PE

²Escola Superior Desporto e Lazer, Instituto Politécnico de Viana do Castelo, Rua Escola Industrial e Comercial de Nun'Álvares, 4900-347 Viana do Castelo, Portugal. Viana do Castelo PT

³Sport Physical activity and health research & innovation center, Viana do Castelo, Portugal. Viana do Castelo PT

⁴Gdansk University of Physical Education and Sport, 80-336 Gda?sk, Poland. Gda?sk PL

⁵Federal University of Pampa (Unipampa), Laboratory of Neuromechanics, Po box 118 - ZIP 97500-970, Uruguaiana, RS, Brazil. Uruguaiana BR

- 1) Would you like to publish your submitted manuscript as preprint?
- **✓** Please make my preprint PDF available to anyone at any time (recommended).

Please make my preprint PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that my title and abstract will remain visible to all users. Only make the preprint title and abstract visible.

- No, I do not wish to publish my submitted manuscript as a preprint.
- 2) If accepted for publication in a JMIR journal, would you like the PDF to be visible to the public?
- ✓ Yes, please make my accepted manuscript PDF available to anyone at any time (Recommended).

Yes, but please make my accepted manuscript PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that the title and abstract will remain very Yes, but only make the title and abstract visible (see Important note, above). I understand that if I later pay to participate in <a href="https://example.com/above/participate-in-very make-in-very make

Original Manuscript

Protocol

Are wearable technologies accurate enough to assessment of soccer kicking: Protocol for a systematic literature review

Luiz Henrique Palucci Vieira^{1,*}, PhD; Filipe Manuel Clemente^{2,3,4}, PhD; Felipe Armando Chang Marquez¹, BSc student; Walter Manuel Rea Olivares¹, MsC; Kelly Rocio Vargas-Villafuerte¹, PhD; Felipe P Carpes⁵, PhD

- ¹ Universidad César Vallejo (UCV), Facultad de Ingeniería y Arquitectura, Escuela Profesional de Ingeniería Industrial, Grupo de investigación en Tecnología aplicada a Seguridad ocupacional, Desempeño y Calidad de vida (GiTaSyC), Campus Callao, 07001 Lima, Perú.
- ² Escola Superior Desporto e Lazer, Instituto Politécnico de Viana do Castelo, Rua Escola Industrial e Comercial de Nun'Álvares, 4900-347 Viana do Castelo, Portugal.
- ³ Sport Physical activity and health research & innovation center, Viana do Castelo, Portugal.
- ⁴ Gdansk University of Physical Education and Sport, 80-336 Gdańsk, Poland.
- Federal University of Pampa (Unipampa), Laboratory of Neuromechanics, Po box 118 ZIP 97500-970, Uruguaiana, RS, Brazil.

*Corresponding Author: Luiz H. Palucci Vieira, PhD.

Address: Av. Argentina 1795 - Campus Callao, Universidad César Vallejo, Lima – Perú.

Postal code: 07001, El Callao. Phone: +51(1)2024342 Fax: (01)-537-6006.

E-mail: lpaluccivi@ucv.edu.pe

Abstract

Background: Wearable technology is widely applied in performance monitoring, an integral part of sports and exercise sciences. The kick movement in soccer exemplifies a sports technique that could benefit from appropriate biomechanics assessment methodologies. However, the accuracy of wearables in quantifying soccer kick mechanics, particularly under field conditions, remains unclear.

Objective: This article presents a protocol for a systematic review to discuss the measurement properties (validity, reliability and/or measurement error aspects) of wearable technology systems explicitly used to measure ball-kicking features in soccer.

Methods: This review protocol was pre-registered in the Open Science Framework. Two authors will perform searches in major electronic databases: MEDLINE, Physical Therapy and Sports Medicine, Web of Science, ProQuest, IEEE Xplore, EBSCOHost, and Scopus. Following a specific PICO framework, studies will be screened based on predetermined inclusion and exclusion criteria. The methodological quality of the included studies will be assessed using the COSMIN checklist. Data extraction will be conducted to determine the level of evidence according to the "best evidence synthesis method."

Results: This ongoing systematic review has completed database searches and is currently in the screening phase. Depending on the number and consistency of studies, results may be presented via meta-analysis or qualitative synthesis, with sub-group analyses considering factors like gender, age, and playing level. The final results are expected by May 2024, with manuscript submission anticipated by August 2024.

Conclusions: Our study will provide a comprehensive summary of the highest level of evidence available on the use of wearables for the assessment of soccer kick mechanics, providing practical guidance for athletes and sports sciences professionals regarding the validity, reliability and/or measurement error aspects of using wearable technology to measure ball-kicking features in soccer.

Trial Registration: Open Science Framework (OSF) – repository under Registry ID: https://osf.io/zm3j6.

Keywords: Skill-related performance; Shooting; Team Sports; Sports engineering; Measurement error; Validity; Reliability; Quality control.

Introduction

Soccer is well-known as one of the most practiced and watched sports around the world, estimated at 5 billion in the last FIFA World Cup Qatar 2022^{TM} edition [1]. A main action in soccer is the kicking. Kicking is defined as a form of striking in which the foot is used to deliver force against an object [2]. In the case of soccer, the ball is the desired object for kicking (e.g., for passing or shooting). Despite the evident importance of ball kicking to soccer performance, the quantity and quality of investigations are not proportional to its relevance to the game.

The lack of sufficient evidence to assist practice is evidenced, for example, by the limited number of investigations available in the form of scientific articles assessing practical interventions and their effects on ball-kicking movement [3]. While adopting field paradigms is desired when measuring/testing kicking skills in soccer [4], the difficulty of capturing player movement kinematics under field conditions may justify in part the scarcity of literature (e.g., large time-frame from data collection to results report) [5–7]. In this context, the emergence of technologies that can overcome such limitations is therefore necessary.

Wearables represent a range of devices that can be worn or attached to the body to record data and comprise, in general, two categories: independent running devices (primary) or devices that require offline transference to a primary wearable device [8]. Wearables have been extensively used to measure load demands (internal and/or external). At the same time, this can also be employed in additional sport-specific movements, such as evaluating technical aspects of game-play [9], including but not restricted to ball kicking. One advantage of wearable devices, which provide kinematic outputs, as compared to traditional video-based tracking techniques, refers to their generally reduced costs and time effectiveness [6]. From an initial search of the existing reviews on wearables in the context of sport, only preliminary evidence is found concerning its validity to soccer kicking analysis (Table 1). Most importantly, none of the previous reviews have solely examined the application of wearables to investigate ball kicking in soccer. Given the importance of the subject, a dedicated analysis is justified.

Defining some further concepts in advance is necessary when proposing a protocol for a systematic review of the measurement properties (e.g., validity and reliability) of wearables used to measure ball kicking. The first one refers to "validity", which can be assumed as whether one given instrument measures the characteristic it was designed to quantify. Reliability represents more the variation of the tool, protocol and/or human system [10]. Measurement error is the difference between a measured value and the actual (known) value [11]. Finally, a systematic review aims to group all the available scientific evidence, using pre-established eligibility criteria and explicit and systematic methods, to offer a more comprehensive, detailed, and less biased view of a particular research question [12].

Table 1. Initial search for review studies potentially addressing wearable technology and soccer kicking assessment.

Reference	Guidelines	Date of searches/inclusion	Databases	Results related to measurement properties of wearable technologies to measure soccer kicking
Adesida et al. [13]			Scopus, Medline, Embase, Cochrane Library, IEEE Xplore, Web of Science (Core Collection) and Engineering Village	Vicon, despite the higher error in segments showing fastest movements (1 study); Approximately 99% accuracy of a wearable sensor to detect kicks (1
Camomilla et al. [14]	PICOS framework	Until 12 April 2017	Web of Science, Scopus, Pubmed, and Sport Discus	Mention of devices assessing the accuracy of wearable sensors to detect kicks (2 studies); Descriptive data of application of wearables in kick investigations (1 study)
Cardinale & Varley [15]		Search on July 2016	PubMed	None information
Chambers et al. [9]	-	Published between 2008 and 2014	Academic Search Complete, CINAHL, PsycINFO, PubMed, SPORTDiscus and Web of Science.	None information

https://preprints.jmir.org/preprint/57433 [unpublished, non-peer-reviewed preprint]

Crang et al. [16]	PRISMA	From earliest record	SPORTDiscus, CINAHL	None information
	statement	to March 2020	and Medline	
De Fazio et al. [17]				None information
Fong & Chan [18]	None mentioned	From 1966 to July 2010	Medline, ISI Web of	
			Knowledge (Science	
			Citation Index Expanded),	
			Social Sciences Citation	None information
			Index, Arts & Humanities	
			Citation Index, SportDiscus	
			and IEEE Xplore	
Lutz et al. [19]			-	None information
Poitras et al. [20]	PRISMA	After 2005 to July 2018	PubMed, CinAHL,	Mention of validity results to Xsens MVN BIOMECH
			Ergonomic abstract,	(Xsens technologies B.V., Enschede, the Netherlands)
			Compendex, and EMBASE	(1 study)
Rana & Mittal [7]	-			Mention of devices assessing the accuracy of wearable
				sensors to detect kicks (2 conference proceedings);
			<i>y</i>	Descriptive data of application of wearables in kick
				investigations (3 conference proceedings)

https://preprints.jmir.org/preprint/57433 [unpublished, non-peer-reviewed preprint]

Therefore, the present study aims to provide a protocol for a systematic review focused on understanding the measurement properties (validity, reliability and/or measurement error aspects) of wearable technology systems used explicitly to measure ball-kicking features in soccer. The future review using the protocol described here will assist in answering the following question: Are the available wearables accurate enough to quantify soccer kick mechanics, especially under field conditions?

Methods

Registration

The review protocol was pre-registered in the Open Science Framework (OSF) Registries/Generalized Systematic Review Registration (Registry ID: https://osf.io/zm3j6). The current protocol for this systematic review, as presented in full below, was also formulated according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) statement 2020 version [21].

Electronic databases and search strategy

Searches will be performed by two authors (LV and FM) in the following electronic databases: (1) PubMed (National Library of Medicine, USA), (2) Physical Therapy and Sports Medicine (Gale OneFile, USA), (3) Web of Science (WoS; ClarivateTM, UK), (4) ProQuest (ProQuest LLC, USA), (5) IEEE Xplore (Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, USA), (6) EBSCOHost (EBSCO Information Services LLC, USA), and (7) Scopus (Elsevier B.V., The Netherlands). No restrictions will be imposed concerning the date of publication, that is, articles will be considered for inclusion when published, even online ahead of print, from inception up to the date of searches. The searches will be updated if necessary. The Zotero software (v6.0.30; Corporation for Digital Scholarship, Roy Rosenzweig Center for History and New Media at George Mason University) will be used to manage reference entries from the initial search to the final inclusion steps. According to a specific PICO (Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome) framework [22], studies will be screened looking for those which (P) participated able-bodied soccer players, (I) evaluated with at least one wearable device/system, (C) that was compared against criterion measures (i.e., tested validity), between testing sessions (i.e., tested reliability) and/or real known values (i.e., tested measurement error) and (O) reported data for ball kicking action. Thus, search terms attempted to respect such defined PICO framework and are presented in Table 2 using a Boolean search strategy, also considering those key terms used previously in existing systematic reviews [3,16,20].

Table 2. Search strategy formulated for each specific database considered

for the present study review/protocol.

PubMed

Web of Science

EBSCOHost

(soccer OR football OR association football OR 11-a-side) AND (wearable* OR inertial measurement unit OR IMU OR acceleromet* OR microtechnology OR microelectrical mechanical system OR MEMS OR global positioning system OR global navigation satellite system OR local positioning system OR GPS OR GNSS OR LPS) and (validity OR reliability OR measurement error OR accuracy OR precision) AND (kick* OR shoot* OR pass* OR skill OR technical)

SCOPUS

(TITLE-ABS-KEY (soccer OR football OR "association football" OR 11-a-side) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY (wearable* OR "inertial measurement unit" OR imu OR acceleromet* OR microtechnology OR "micro-electrical mechanical system" OR mems

OR "global positioning system" OR "global navigation satellite system" OR "local positioning system" OR gps OR gnss OR lps) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY (validity OR reliability OR "measurement error" OR accuracy OR precision) AND TITLE-ABS-KEY (kick* OR shoot* OR pass* OR skill OR technical))

ProQuest

noft(soccer OR football OR association football OR 11-a-side) AND noft(wearable* OR inertial measurement unit OR IMU OR acceleromet* OR microtechnology OR microelectrical mechanical system OR MEMS OR global positioning system OR global navigation satellite system OR local positioning system OR GPS OR GNSS OR LPS) AND noft(validity OR reliability OR measurement error OR accuracy OR precision) AND noft(kick* OR shoot* OR pass* OR skill OR technical)

Physical Therapy and Sports Medicine/Gale OneFile

Entire Document: soccer OR football OR association football OR 11-a-side AND Entire Document: wearable* OR inertial measurement unit OR IMU OR acceleromet* OR microtechnology OR micro-electrical mechanical system OR MEMS OR global positioning system OR global navigation satellite system OR local positioning system OR GPS OR GNSS OR LPS AND Entire Document: validity OR reliability OR measurement error OR accuracy OR precision AND Entire Document: kick* OR shoot* OR pass* OR skill OR technical

IEEE Xplore

("All Metadata":soccer OR "All Metadata":football OR "All Metadata":association football OR "All Metadata":11-a-side) AND ("All Metadata":wearable* OR "All Metadata":inertial OR "All Metadata":IMU "All measurement unit OR Metadata":acceleromet* OR "All Metadata":microtechnology OR "All Metadata":microelectrical mechanical system OR "All Metadata": MEMS OR "All Metadata": global positioning system OR "All Metadata":global navigation satellite system OR "All Metadata":local positioning system OR "All Metadata":GPS OR "All Metadata":GNSS OR "All Metadata":LPS) AND ("All Metadata":validity OR "All Metadata":reliability OR "All Metadata":measurement error OR "All Metadata":accuracy OR "All Metadata":precision) AND ("All Metadata":kick* OR "All Metadata":shoot* OR "All Metadata":pass* OR "All Metadata":skill OR "All Metadata":technical)

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

This review will include studies presented in the form of (a) original research articles (independent of its design), (b) written in the English language, (c) published in scientific journals with peer-review policy, (d) with full-text available for download, and (e) abstract available for screening in the respective database. Suppose there is no option to obtain full-text in its original database, in that case, additional searches can be conducted in Google Scholar (Google LLC), allowing for potential inclusion of studies from ResearchGateTM (ResearchGate GmbH). As an additional inclusion criterion, (f) studies will be only considered for inclusion in the present systematic review project when they have respected the fundamental ethical principles consistent with the Declaration of Helsinki by including in the full-text such information that an institutional research ethics committee approved the investigation with human subjects [23] or otherwise whether there is an explicit statement indicating that evaluations were done as a part of traditional athletes routine measurements (e.g., occupationally based work or given a requirement of employees) which may allow the absence of prior approval by an appropriate body [24].

Those studies (a) examining only the application/feasibility of wearable(s) device(s), (b) observations/experiments only addressing football codes movements other than ball kicking, (c) reported as conference proceedings, books, thesis, dissertations, literature reviews, opinion pieces, case studies, (d) where a ball was not kicked, (e) with outcomes only concerning motion/flight of the ball, (f) without mention of the location(s) where the wearable system/device was attached to the body of evaluated subjects will be excluded. A third researcher with long-term experience in the area (FMC) will resolve cases if no consensus is reached by the three authors in charge of searches/selection of studies.

Methodological quality and risk of bias assessments

The methodological quality of the included studies will be determined using the COSMIN checklist, specifically the forms H (for criterion validity), B (for reliability), and/or C (for measurement error) [25,26] where appropriate (e.g., if an included study evaluated criterion validity then the form H will be used for the case). In addition, the Risk of bias (RoB) of results or inferences will be computed for each study included separately through the Risk of Bias Assessment Tool for Nonrandomized Studies (RoBANS; Kim et al., 2013). Each item will be assessed as having low, high, or unclear risk concerning criteria of selection of participants, confounding variables, measurement of exposure, blinding of outcome assessments, incomplete outcome data, and selective outcome reporting. Review Manager software (RevMan, version 5.3; The Cochrane Collaboration, Denmark) [28] will be employed to construct the RoB graphs for individual studies as well as all results of all studies pooled. Two authors (WO and KV) will perform the processes independently.

Data extraction and evidence synthesis

Data extraction will be made (by LV and KV) using a specific spreadsheet, and parameters considered to be included will be selected after piloting, with approximately 10 studies among those included. In general, we expected to extract the following data from included studies: correlation coefficients, intraclass correlation coefficient, root mean square error, respectively, for studies reporting validity, reliability and/or measurement error [20] in addition to study characteristics including as for example device employed, time used, kick protocol, measured variable and concluding remarks [29]. Following on, the "best evidence synthesis method" will be applied to classify the level of evidence across included studies [30]; consistency has been defined when $\geq 75\%$ of studies reported results in the same direction, while inconsistency when < 75% of studies reported results in the same direction [3,31,32]. Thus, the following thresholds will be used:

- Strong evidence: consistent findings obtained from multiple high-quality studies;
- Moderate evidence: consistent findings obtained from multiple low-quality and/ or one high-quality study;
- Limited evidence: findings obtained from only one low-quality study;
- Conflicting evidence: inconsistent findings obtained from multiple studies;
- No evidence: no study found.

For all steps where two evaluators are requested above (i.e., literature search/selection, methodological quality/bias, and data extraction), inter-evaluator agreement will be assessed using Cohen's kappa coefficient and then the average value across measures will be reported in the final systematic review manuscript.

Results

This systematic review project is ongoing. At the moment of submission, database searches were completed (i.e., identification step), and the present authors are beginning the screening step. If there is a sufficient number of studies (i.e., three or more on a given dependent variable) and no substantial variations are detected concerning methods used across studies, results can also be presented through a meta-analysis (quantitative synthesis). Sub-group analysis, if pertinent, will consider, for example, gender, age, and playing level. Finally, independent of whether the final manuscript will qualify for quantitative synthesis or not, qualitative synthesis will be performed using the best evidence synthesis method. In addition, a table in attachments containing main study characteristics will be included (e.g., aim, results, and findings). Results are predicted to be complete by May 2024, and final systematic review manuscript submission by August 2024.

Discussion

In this article, we set out to provide a detailed protocol for a future systematic review of the measurement properties of wearables adopted to investigate ball kicking. Ensuring data quality through the integration of new technological devices is crucial for minimizing bias in research publications and the decisions derived from using such instruments in daily practice. Therefore, scientific publishing must prioritize accuracy, as measured in concurrent-validity studies, and reliability [33].

Recognizing the increasing demand for ecologically focused soccer drills [34] and acknowledging the opportunities presented by new technologies for incorporating measurement systems into training, the utilization of wearables in ecological scenarios emerges as a high-value proposition. Indeed, this approach offers a viable solution to overcome the constraints associated with gold-standard motion-based devices, which are typically limited to specific locations, predominantly within laboratories.

With the progress in microsensors, we anticipate observing satisfactory levels of concurrent validity in wearable inertial measurement units, as supported by prior original research [35,36]. The majority of kinematic measures exhibiting acceptable concurrent validity and reliability are expected to be associated with ball release velocity [36], representing an outcome of the kicking process. Meanwhile, other outcomes will be linked to the inherent quality of movement, seeking to characterize the kinematic profile of players [35,37] by measuring linear, angular, or joint velocities.

The outcomes of this systematic review will offer researchers and practitioners a means to comprehensively summarize the evidence regarding the quality of data extracted from wearable devices for measuring kicking performance. This will enable the identification of the most accurate and reliable devices, pinpoint gaps in current research, and identify new research directions and developments that need attention in the coming years.

Conclusions

To our knowledge, this will be the first systematic review of scientific literature to attempt to collate knowledge derived from peer-reviewed articles that covered the measurement properties related to the use of wearable devices to capture ball-kicking kinematics. A systematic review of scientific studies addressing this issue will potentially help, for example, to a) highlight which instruments are effective in day-to-day testing/monitoring of kicking performance, b) to understand the potential sources of variability (e.g., specific environmental properties), and c) to clarify the operator attitudes (e.g., configurations) that could be adopted as a way of capturing adequately the event of interest - ball kicking – which itself is not a trivial task. Thus, it is possible to conclude that the protocol proposed here will benefit practitioners and football scientists, together with the final results from the systematic review with the best evidence synthesis.

Acknowledgements

Funding information: Fondo de Apoyo a la Investigación de la Universidad César Vallejo

- Vicerrectorado de Investigación, UCV, Perú (ongoing project "Validez de sistemas tecnológicos vestibles para medir las características del pateo en el contexto del fútbol: una revisión sistemática" - IdProyecto RENACYT UCV #2761 - 14/11/2023).

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

Abbreviations

COSMIN: COnsensus-based Standards for the selection of health Measurement

Instruments

EBSCO: Elton B. Stephens COmpany

FIFA: Fédération Internationale de Football Association

GNSS: Global navigation satellite system

GPS: Global positioning system

IEEE: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers

IMU: Inertial measurement unit LPS: Local positioning system

MEMS: Micro-electrical mechanical system

noft: Anywhere except full text OSF: Open Science Framework

PICO: Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome

PRISMA: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses

RoB: Risk of bias

RoBANS: Risk of Bias Assessment Tool for Nonrandomized Studies

TS: Topic

WoS: Web of Science

References

1. FIFA (Fédération Internationale de Football Association). One Month On: 5 billion engaged with the FIFA World Cup Qatar 2022^{TM} [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2023 Nov 6]. Available from:

https://www.fifa.com/tournaments/mens/worldcup/qatar2022/news/origin1904-p.cxm.fifa.com/one-month-on-5-billion-engaged-with-the-fifa-world-cup-qatar-2022-tm

- 2. Collins English Dictionary [Internet]. KICK definition and meaning. 2023 [cited 2023 Nov 6]. Available from: https://www.collinsdictionary.com/dictionary/english/kick
- 3. Palucci Vieira LH, Santinelli FB, Carling C, Kellis E, Santiago PRP, Barbieri FA. Acute Effects of Warm-Up, Exercise and Recovery-Related Strategies on Assessments of Soccer Kicking Performance: A Critical and Systematic Review. Sports Med.

- 2021;51:661-705.
- 4. Palucci Vieira LH. Holistic approach to testing ball kicking mechanics and outcome metrics in soccer: methodological aspects, observation and intervention (PhD Academy Award). Br J Sports Med. 2024;bjsports-2023-107819.
- 5. Palucci Vieira LH, Santiago PRP, Pinto A, Aquino R, Torres R da S, Barbieri FA. Automatic Markerless Motion Detector Method against Traditional Digitisation for 3-Dimensional Movement Kinematic Analysis of Ball Kicking in Soccer Field Context. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19:1179.
- 6. Dahl KD, Dunford KM, Wilson SA, Turnbull TL, Tashman S. Wearable sensor validation of sports-related movements for the lower extremity and trunk. Medical Engineering & Physics. 2020;84:144–50.
- 7. Rana M, Mittal V. Wearable Sensors for Real-Time Kinematics Analysis in Sports: A Review. IEEE Sensors Journal. 2021;21:1187–207.
- 8. Godfrey A, Hetherington V, Shum H, Bonato P, Lovell NH, Stuart S. From A to Z: Wearable technology explained. Maturitas. 2018;113:40–7.
- 9. Chambers R, Gabbett TJ, Cole MH, Beard A. The Use of Wearable Microsensors to Quantify Sport-Specific Movements. Sports Med. 2015;45:1065–81.
- 10. Currell K, Jeukendrup AE. Validity, reliability and sensitivity of measures of sporting performance. Sports Med. 2008;38:297–316.
- 11. Nelson N, Stubbs CJ, Larson R, Cook DD. Measurement accuracy and uncertainty in plant biomechanics. Journal of Experimental Botany. 2019;70:3649–58.
- 12. THE COCHRANE COLABORATION. Evidence-based health care and systematic reviews. [Internet]. 2023. Available from: www.cochrane.org/about-us/
- 13. Adesida Y, Papi E, McGregor AH. Exploring the Role of Wearable Technology in Sport Kinematics and Kinetics: A Systematic Review. Sensors. 2019;19:1597.
- 14. Camomilla V, Bergamini E, Fantozzi S, Vannozzi G. Trends supporting the in-field use of wearable inertial sensors for sport performance evaluation: A systematic review. Sensors. 2018;18:873.
- 15. Cardinale M, Varley MC. Wearable Training-Monitoring Technology: Applications, Challenges, and Opportunities. Int J Sports Physiol Perform. 2017;12:S255–62.
- 16. Crang ZL, Duthie G, Cole MH, Weakley J, Hewitt A, Johnston RD. The Validity and Reliability of Wearable Microtechnology for Intermittent Team Sports: A Systematic Review. Sports Med. 2021;51:549–65.
- 17. De Fazio R, Mastronardi VM, De Vittorio M, Visconti P. Wearable Sensors and

Smart Devices to Monitor Rehabilitation Parameters and Sports Performance: An Overview. Sensors. 2023;23:1856.

- 18. Fong DT-P, Chan Y-Y. The Use of Wearable Inertial Motion Sensors in Human Lower Limb Biomechanics Studies: A Systematic Review. Sensors. 2010;10:11556–65.
- 19. Lutz J, Memmert D, Raabe D, Dornberger R, Donath L. Wearables for Integrative Performance and Tactic Analyses: Opportunities, Challenges, and Future Directions. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2020;17:59.
- 20. Poitras I, Dupuis F, Bielmann M, Campeau-Lecours A, Mercier C, Bouyer LJ, et al. Validity and reliability of wearable sensors for joint angle estimation: A systematic review. Sensors. 2019;19:1555.
- 21. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ. 2021;372:n71.
- 22. Methley AM, Campbell S, Chew-Graham C, McNally R, Cheraghi-Sohi S. PICO, PICOS and SPIDER: a comparison study of specificity and sensitivity in three search tools for qualitative systematic reviews. BMC Health Serv Res. 2014;14:579.
- 23. Vergnes J-N, Marchal-Sixou C, Nabet C, Maret D, Hamel O. Ethics in systematic reviews. Journal of Medical Ethics. 2010;36:771–4.
- 24. Winter EM, Maughan RJ. Requirements for ethics approvals. Journal of Sports Sciences. 2009;27:985–985.
- 25. Mokkink LB, Terwee CB, Knol DL, Stratford PW, Alonso J, Patrick DL, et al. Protocol of the COSMIN study: Consensus-based Standards for the selection of health Measurement INstruments. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2006;6:2.
- 26. Mokkink LB, Terwee CB, Knol DL, Stratford PW, Alonso J, Patrick DL, et al. The COSMIN checklist for evaluating the methodological quality of studies on measurement properties: A clarification of its content. BMC Medical Research Methodology. 2010;10:22.
- 27. Kim SY, Park JE, Lee YJ, Seo H-J, Sheen S-S, Hahn S, et al. Testing a tool for assessing the risk of bias for nonrandomized studies showed moderate reliability and promising validity. J Clin Epidemiol. 2013;66:408–14.
- 28. Review Manager (RevMan) [Computer program]. Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration; 2014.
- 29. Multhuaptff W, Moreno-Villanueva A, Soler-López A, Fernández-Peña E, Rico-González M, Clemente FM, et al. Concurrent-Validity and Reliability of Photocells in

Sport: A Systematic Review. Journal of Human Kinetics. 2024;92.

- 30. van Tulder M, Furlan A, Bombardier C, Bouter L, Editorial Board of the Cochrane Collaboration Back Review Group. Updated method guidelines for systematic reviews in the cochrane collaboration back review group. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2003:28:1290–9.
- 31. Fanchini M, Steendahl IB, Impellizzeri FM, Pruna R, Dupont G, Coutts AJ, et al. Exercise-Based Strategies to Prevent Muscle Injury in Elite Footballers: A Systematic Review and Best Evidence Synthesis. Sports Med. 2020;50:1653–66.
- 32. Verstappen S, van Rijn RM, Cost R, Stubbe JH. The Association Between Training Load and Injury Risk in Elite Youth Soccer Players: a Systematic Review and Best Evidence Synthesis. Sports Med Open. 2021;7:6.
- 33. Kimberlin CL, Winterstein AG. Validity and reliability of measurement instruments used in research. American Journal of Health-System Pharmacy. 2008;65:2276–84.
- 34. Deuker A, Braunstein B, Chow JY, Fichtl M, Kim H, Körner S, et al. "Train as you play": Improving effectiveness of training in youth soccer players. International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching. 2023;17479541231172702.
- 35. Blair S, Duthie G, Robertson S, Hopkins W, Ball K. Concurrent validation of an inertial measurement system to quantify kicking biomechanics in four football codes. J Biomech. 2018;73:24–32.
- 36. Lewis G, Towlson C, Roversi P, Domogalla C, Herrington L, Barrett S. Quantifying volume and high-speed technical actions of professional soccer players using footmounted inertial measurement units. Plos one. 2022;17:e0263518.
- 37. Hossain HMS, Khan MAAH, Roy N. SoccerMate: A personal soccer attribute profiler using wearables. 2017 IEEE International Conference on Pervasive Computing and Communications Workshops (PerCom Workshops) [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2024 Jan 26]. p. 164–9. Available from: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/7917551