

How do patients experience semAPP? A user experience exploration of a new 360° media-based application for memory assessment

Francesca Bruni, Valentina Mancuso, Jonathan Panigada, Marco Stramba-Badiale, Pietro Cipresso, Elisa Pedroli

Submitted to: JMIR Aging
on: January 27, 2024

Disclaimer: © The authors. All rights reserved. This is a privileged document currently under peer-review/community review. Authors have provided JMIR Publications with an exclusive license to publish this preprint on its website for review purposes only. While the final peer-reviewed paper may be licensed under a CC BY license on publication, at this stage authors and publisher expressly prohibit redistribution of this draft paper other than for review purposes.

Table of Contents

Original Manuscript..... 5

Supplementary Files..... 24

0..... 24

Figures 25

Figure 1..... 26

Figure 2..... 27

Figure 3..... 28

Figure 4..... 29

Figure 5..... 30

How do patients experience semAPP? A user experience exploration of a new 360° media-based application for memory assessment

Francesca Bruni¹; Valentina Mancuso¹; Jonathan Panigada²; Marco Stramba-Badiale²; Pietro Cipresso³; Elisa Pedrolì^{1,2}

¹Faculty of Psychology eCampus University Novedrate IT

²Department of Geriatrics and Cardiovascular Medicine IRCCS Istituto Auxologico Italiano Milan IT

³Department of Psychology University of Turin Turin IT

Corresponding Author:

Valentina Mancuso

Faculty of Psychology

eCampus University

Via Isimbardi, 10

Novedrate

IT

Abstract

Background: Technology is already a part of our daily lives, and its influence is growing rapidly. This evolution has not spared the healthcare field. Nowadays, a crucial challenge is considering aspects such as design, development, and implementation highlighting their functionality, ease of use, compatibility, performance, and safety when a new technological tool is developed. As noted in many works, the abandonment rate is usually higher when a user has a terrible experience with these instruments. It would be appropriate to incorporate the final users—whether they are patients, healthcare professionals, or both—in the stages of instrument design to understand their needs and preferences. Since most apps in which end users and health professionals did not participate in development have been seen to fail, their involvement at all stages of app development may increase their commitment and improve integration, self-management, and health outcomes.

Objective: We developed semAPP, a 360°-based tool to assess memory in aging by simulating a real-life situation. We tested the usability of the app and the connected experience in an end-user population.

Methods: 34 older adults participated in the study, 16 healthy subjects and 18 Mild Cognitive Impaired patients. They played semAPP and completed qualitative and quantitative measures. The app includes two parts: object recognition and spatial memory tasks. During the first task, users have to navigate in an apartment freely, and visit rooms, and then they must recognize the right map of the house. In the second task, users are immersed in a living room, and they have to encode and then recall some target objects, simulating a relocation. We deployed this application on an iPad of 11.2in and we tested its usability and the experience of users interacting with the app. We conducted descriptive analyses for both the entire sample and each subgroup, parametric and correlation analyses to compare groups, and to examine the relationship between the execution of the task and the virtual experience, as well as the acceptance of technology.

Results: Both groups judged the application as an easy-to-use tool, and they were willing to use it. Moreover, results match the idea that usability might be influenced by different factors depending on instrument and personal features, such as presentation, functionality, system performance, interactive behavior, attitudes, skills, and personality.

Conclusions: Our findings support the possibility of using semAPP in aged patients, as well as the importance of designing and evaluating new technological tools considering not only the general population but also the specific target ones.

(JMIR Preprints 27/01/2024:56796)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2196/preprints.56796>

Preprint Settings

1) Would you like to publish your submitted manuscript as preprint?

Please make my preprint PDF available to anyone at any time (recommended).

Please make my preprint PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that my title and abstract will remain visible to all users.
Only make the preprint title and abstract visible.

✓ **No, I do not wish to publish my submitted manuscript as a preprint.**

2) If accepted for publication in a JMIR journal, would you like the PDF to be visible to the public?

✓ **Yes, please make my accepted manuscript PDF available to anyone at any time (Recommended).**

Yes, but please make my accepted manuscript PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that the title and abstract will remain visible to all users.

Yes, but only make the title and abstract visible (see Important note, above). I understand that if I later pay to participate in <http://www.jmir.org/>



Original Manuscript

How do patients experience semAPP? A user experience exploration of a new 360° media-based application for memory assessment

Abstract

Background: Technology is already a part of our daily lives, and its influence is growing rapidly. This evolution has not spared the healthcare field. Nowadays, a crucial challenge is considering aspects such as design, development, and implementation highlighting their functionality, ease of use, compatibility, performance, and safety when a new technological tool is developed. As noted in many works, the abandonment rate is usually higher when a user has a terrible experience with these instruments. It would be appropriate to incorporate the final users—whether they are patients, healthcare professionals, or both—in the stages of instrument design to understand their needs and preferences. Since most apps in which end users and health professionals did not participate in development have been seen to fail, their involvement at all stages of app development may increase their commitment and improve integration, self-management, and health outcomes.

Objective: We developed semAPP, a 360°-based tool to assess memory in aging by simulating a real-life situation. We tested the usability of the app and the connected experience in an end-user population.

Methods: 34 older adults participated in the study, 16 healthy subjects and 18 Mild Cognitive Impaired patients. They played semAPP and completed qualitative and quantitative measures. The app includes two parts: object recognition and spatial memory tasks. During the first task, users have to navigate in an apartment freely, and visit rooms, and then they must recognize the right map of the house. In the second task, users are immersed in a living room, and they have to encode and then recall some target objects, simulating a relocation. We deployed this application on an iPad of 11.2in and we tested its usability and the experience of users interacting with the app. We conducted descriptive analyses for both the entire sample and each subgroup, parametric and correlation analyses to compare groups, and to examine the relationship between the execution of the task and the virtual experience, as well as the acceptance of technology.

Results: Both groups judged the application as an easy-to-use tool, and they were willing to use it. Moreover, results match the idea that usability might be influenced by different factors depending on instrument and personal features, such as presentation, functionality, system performance, interactive behavior, attitudes, skills, and personality.

Conclusions: Our findings support the possibility of using semAPP in aged patients, as well as the importance of designing and evaluating new technological tools considering not only the general population but also the specific target ones.

Keywords: assessment; virtual reality; 360-degree videos; user experience; memory; aging; psycho gerontology.

Introduction

Technology integration into our daily lives has become increasingly prevalent and shows no signs of abating. The utilization of mobile devices and video conferencing for interpersonal communication among family and friends, as well as the prevalence of programmable household appliances such as the microwave, dishwasher, coffee maker, and oven, serve as illustrative instances of the pervasive presence of technology in our everyday existence. Modern entertainment technology encompasses a variety of sophisticated devices, such as smart televisions equipped with multiple functions, digital video recorders, digital music players, and cameras, among others. These examples illustrate the

diverse range of options available in the realm of contemporary entertainment technology. Hence, people are compelled to cultivate additional skills to interact with these technological advancements. Moreover, older people must acclimate themselves to the modifications imposed by the ongoing expansion of technology. One of the key concerns pertains to the provision of training for older individuals to enable them to engage with new technologies securely and proficiently [1]. On one hand, the advancements in technology present significant opportunities for senior individuals to derive advantages from these novel innovations. However, many older people hesitate to utilize technology due to several obstacles, including a lack of assistance, unclear instructions, or a lack of confidence [2]. Generally, age-related problems (e.g., the impairment of cognitive abilities), personal perception (e.g., computer self-efficacy, anxiety related to the use of technology) as well as technology-related barriers (e.g., interface usability), may influence the experience with technology [3,4]. Even so, the tablet is a widely adopted and user-friendly technological solution. The interface exhibits an easy-to-use nature and comparatively lower complexity than alternative interfaces, while also obviating the need for wired infrastructure. Consequently, an increasing number of researchers are utilizing this instrument to provide healthcare interventions in aging [5]. However, this solution is not exempt from criticism. Vaportzis and colleagues [2] identified several obstacles associated with the utilization of tablets. The barriers to technology adoption among older individuals include insufficient or overly complex instructions and guidance, limited knowledge, or confidence in using devices, concerns about the potential risks associated with technology, health-related obstacles, and the high costs of devices. Additionally, older individuals tend to be slower in adapting to new technologies compared to younger individuals, resulting in lower technology usage and less enthusiasm toward its adoption. Notably, the integration of technology into geriatric care is becoming increasingly important, particularly considering the impending shift towards technologically driven cognitive assessment tools.

Technological advancements offer the potential for more precise, efficient, and accessible cognitive assessment tools that can provide real-time data, remote monitoring, and personalized interventions. Traditional assessments are provided through paper and pencil tests or computerized tools, however, an open-ended question in the neuropsychological field regards the ecological validity of the employed measures, i.e., how to measure cognitive functions reliably and validly [6]. Research suggests that assessment tools do not accurately reflect the demands of the everyday world in predicting everyday cognitive functioning [6,7]. Due to their ability to produce realistic surroundings in a controlled and safe manner, 360-degree media may be the greatest approach to address this issue and enhance the accuracy of the neuropsychological assessment process [8–11]. In the same way as computer-generated virtual reality games and other interactive experiences are designed to be viewed through headsets, 360-degree videos, and images, sometimes referred to as immersive videos or spherical media, may also be viewed on flat-screen devices like a phone or computer by dragging the viewpoint with a mouse or a finger, as well as more immersive devices. Live action in the real world is recorded using special cameras which capture the entire environment. Media are recorded thanks to omnidirectional lenses with a circular fisheye view of the surrounding environment allowing getting files of the complete environment. Moreover, they provide the possibility to capture different points of view: by placing the camera on the recorder's head while a video is being made, the user can obtain a first-person perspective of the action. If not, the user can position the camera anywhere in the scene to view it from the perspective of an outsider (third-person perspective). Because 360-degree media show the full globe instead of just a small section of it, they are different from 2D videos in that they present a distinct set of potential and problems. Technology and software elements that trick the user into believing they are surrounded by an alternate dimension can also be employed to establish a sensation of presence using 360-degree media [12]. This technology offers several additional advantages including cost-effectiveness, ease of use compared to computer environments, and a user-friendly design [13]. The ability to modify the subject's position in space and place in a realistic virtual environment also increases the ecological validity of the tests and

promotes an embodied experience significantly [14].

Characteristics of 360-degree media could be attractive for memory testing, boosting the procedure's precision. Memory plays a central role in various aspects of daily life, such as recalling important information, managing medications, and navigating familiar environments. For older adults, maintaining optimal memory function is essential to ensure their independence, safety, and quality of life. The efficacy or efficiency of processing measures typically show a linear decline with age in the cognitive profile of aging [15], and memory performance, attention, and executive functions are some of the abilities that decline over time [16], risking cognitive impairment when problems are significant. In particular, memory problems may be crucial in predicting the chance of acquiring dementia, such as Alzheimer's disease [17,18]. However, the early indications of cognitive impairment are typically ignored because they are confused about the consequences of physiological aging. Thus, a prompt evaluation is the most effective way to ascertain the extent of the problems that distinguish pathological from healthy aging. To stop the progression and avoid disability, it is therefore essential to identify issues swiftly and effectively; implementing timely neuropsychological evaluations and cutting-edge techniques that are more sensitive to the early detection of deficits, could be a promising option as a result of these factors [19].

The examination of the challenges that older adults encounter when using technology is crucial to identifying the most efficacious approaches for introducing technology within the clinical domain, given its significant benefits and necessity in this context [20]. The elements that affect older people's adoption and use of technology must be identified to better understand and anticipate their technology usage behavior. One of the most significant indicators of the adoption and utilization of technology is technology acceptance, which is the attitudinal perception and behavioral desire to use technology [21]. Several models or theories have been proposed to explain technology acceptance behavior, among these Chen and Chan (2014) developed the first theoretical model to predict older people's acceptance of everyday technology (i.e., the senior technology acceptance model (STAM)) [21], considering individual attributes, gerontechnology self-efficacy, and anxiety, health, and ability characteristics. Moreover, a crucial feature to provide an instrument usable and accepted by users is to design the tool based on the target population, to make sure that the scenario will meet the needs, concerns, and expectations listed by individuals. Thus, understanding and addressing the healthcare needs of older adults have become increasingly crucial, among these needs, the evaluation of cognitive functions stands out as a fundamental aspect of comprehensive geriatric care. It is well recognized that including clinicians, User Experience (UX) experts, and end-users allows for the consideration of useful information targeted at creating a suitable interaction between the patient, the technology, and healthcare organizations [22]. Since most apps in which end users and health professionals did not participate in development have been seen to fail, this involvement of end-users and health professionals could increase their commitment and improve integration, self-management, and health results. However, when a new application is developed, most tests are improperly provided on a general population engaged in evaluating critical aspects and judging their experience predicting the adoption of the presented product.

Based on these considerations we developed a new application to evaluate memory in aging: semAPP (spatial and episodic memory assessment application), testing the connected experience in a population typically characterized by memory problems: Mild Cognitive Impairment (MCI). We compared their experience with that of the healthy population to expand our knowledge of the elders' experience with technologies. This study aims to present the user experience of a 360-degree tool designed to assess memory in aging.

Methods

Recruitment

34 older adults (μ : 74.65 years; SD: 7.64) were recruited at the Medical Rehabilitation Department of IRCCS Istituto Auxologico Italiano in Milan. Patients and outpatients aged 60 years and older from the clinical institution were selected for this study. During their hospitalizations, comprehensive information regarding the research was provided, and participation was entirely voluntary. Similarly, outpatients received the same information during routine clinical visits, allowing them to make an informed decision to participate in the experiment. The entire sample consisted of 15 males and 19 females. All participants were native Italian speakers, and took part voluntarily in the study after signing an informed consent form. Based on an initial neuropsychological assessment, participants were divided into two groups: healthy participants (16 subjects) and MCI (18 subjects). The inclusion criteria were age over or equal to 60 (without maximum age limitation), and normal or corrected-to-normal vision. Exclusion criteria were: (i) invalidating internist, psychiatric, and neurological conditions which could affect the performances; (ii) cognitive impairments certifiable by a score at the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE), Italian version, lower than 24 points [23,24]. On the other hand, MCI patients were identified based on a self-reported (or reported by a caregiver) cognitive decline, an objective impairment on the neuropsychological testing, preservation in functional abilities, and no evidence of significant impairment in social or occupational functioning (i.e., not demented) [17].

The data collection has been conducted anonymously, according to the Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council (GDPR). The study received ethical approval from the Ethical Committee of the IRCCS Istituto Auxologico Italiano and complies with the ethical principles set out in the Helsinki Declaration. No financial compensation was provided to the participants.

semAPP

SemAPP consists of two memory tasks created with 360-degree media aimed to assess memory by simulating a real-life situation. The two exercises are focused on episodic and spatial memory respectively. Both are structured into two main phases: *learning*, in which the user has to memorize specific features, and *recalling*, in which what was learned in the first phase has to be used to answer new requests.

Spatial memory task

It takes place in a virtual home in which users have to freely explore the diverse rooms and then identify the right map of the apartment (in an allocentric way). The residence encompasses a kitchen area that incorporates a centrally positioned table, along with intricate elements such as appliances, shelves, and furnishings. The spatial configuration of the apartment encompasses the living room with a primary ingress and a portal that grants access to a passageway. The corridor is bordered by six doors, each leading to separate rooms, including the living room, two bedrooms, and two bathrooms. Participants were presented with the instructions to enter the apartment and examine the various rooms while considering their spatial arrangement within the dwelling. The task starts from the dining room and kitchen area. The participant is afforded the freedom to engage in interactions by clicking on the different doors to transition between rooms. Once the participants believe they have completed their exploration of all the rooms, the clinician instructs them to return to the main room to continue with the testing process. Upon activating the main entrance, a set of instructions appears, presenting four distinct maps depicting the layout of the previously explored domicile. The

request is to look through the available options and identify the appropriate one throughout the maps displayed on the screen in an allocentric perspective (see Figure 2). The score is defined by the correct or incorrect response of the subject.

[Insert Figure 2]

Episodic memory tasks

It is composed of a virtual house with several distinct areas. A total of forty-five objects were generated to populate the virtual house and serve as either targets or distractors. Initially, four distinct semantic categories were identified: kitchen items, living room items, musical instruments, and home decor items. All the objects mentioned were tangible items that are typically encountered in residential dwellings. In the learning phase, the objective entailed the identification of fifteen distinct entities enclosed within various boxes distributed in the house's environments, with each object being easily recognizable. To carry out the task, participants were provided with instructions to open each of the boxes and verbally identify the objects contained within. Specifically, they were instructed to imagine themselves entering Marco's new residence to aid him in relocating his stuff; this aspect was introduced to improve the ecological aspect. Among the fifteen target objects, fifteen distractors, which shared a semantic category with the target items, were strategically positioned in comparable locations. The task concluded upon the completion of opening all the boxes. At this point, it is advised that participants proceed to select the primary entrance of the house by clicking on the designated area, and a neutral gray environment is presented, accompanied by the following prompt: "Reflect upon the contents of the boxes that were previously opened and record any items that you remember". This phase is referred to as the free recall phase. Following a 10-minute interval the recalling phase takes place and user, revisiting Marco's residence, has to navigate the apartment and select objects that have been previously extracted from the boxes by tapping on them. Within the confines of the dwelling, one can observe the presence of various objects that are dispersed throughout the space. These objects are comprised of the contents of the boxes, as well as an additional set of fifteen distractors that were initially introduced during the encoding phase and an additional set of new fifteen objects, belonging to the same semantic categories as the distractors. Upon selecting an object, a luminous outline emerges, serving as a form of feedback for the user. Users can select and deselect objects. The task concludes when the participant indicates that they have chosen all the objects, selecting the primary entrance of the house by clicking on the designated area (see Figure 1).

The scoring considers the number of objects freely recalled and the number of objects recognized during the recall phase. These are divided into target objects, distractors that were displayed during the encoding phase, and the new set of distractors.

[Insert Figure 1 here]

Before spatial and episodic tasks, participants underwent a familiarization phase in which they were introduced to the virtual devices within a simulated household setting. During this phase, participants were instructed to navigate through the virtual environment and adhere to the provided instructions. According to the instructions provided in the tablet version, users are instructed to swipe their fingers horizontally and vertically. This directive is employed to establish the comprehensibility of the environment's navigability in a complete circle of 360 degrees, as well as to indicate that the objects within said environment possess the capability of being interacted with through clicking. Moreover, participants are requested to select a door to move to a different room. This instruction serves to convey the concept of interactivity within the environment, emphasizing that the act of clicking on the doors enables the transition between rooms. This functionality is integral to the execution of the spatial memory task.

Each scene provided in the application allows users to look around while performing the tasks. At any time, the interaction is provided either through buttons superimposed on the video or through a specific user interface. Instructions are given to the user in the form of written text before the learning and recalling phases. In particular, the virtual environment refers to a tangible living space that has been captured through the utilization of the Insta One X 360-degree camera configuration. SemAPP was developed thanks to a new ad hoc platform incorporating pre-existing software capable of playing 360-degree media, in addition to JavaScript and HTML extensions. A group of clinicians and experts in UX participated in the development of the application. Tasks were chosen based on the most common issues in the literature regarding aged people at risk of dementia [18,25]. Furthermore, we draw inspiration from numerous well-used tests to assess cognitive deficits in ecological settings [26] and adapt them to 360-degree technology.

User Experience Measures

Quantitative and qualitative measures were captured following and during the experience with the app. We use the System Usability Scale (SUS)[27,28], the Senior Technology Acceptance Model (STAM) [29], the Independent Television Commission Sense of Presence Inventory (ITC-SOPI) [30], and the Thinking Aloud Protocol (TAP) [31].

SUS is a self-report tool, introduced by Brooke (1996), which provides a global measure of the usability of a system, based on the following criteria: effectiveness (the ability of users to complete tasks using the system, and the quality of the output of those tasks), efficiency (the level of resource consumed in performing tasks) and satisfaction (users' subjective reactions to using the system). The SUS is composed of ten items, where the subjects could indicate their degree of agreement through a 5-point Likert scale (from 1 = "Strongly Disagree" to 5 = "Strongly Agree"). SUS scores range from 0 to 100; the higher is score more the system reflects the criteria of effectiveness, efficiency, and satisfaction.

The STAM is a questionnaire that explores older people's acceptance of technology and age-related health characteristics. The questionnaire used in this study is a shorter 14-item version of the original one, introduced by which aimed to predict elders' multidimensional acceptance of everyday technology. In particular, the factors explored are attitude through technologies, perception of control, anxiety related to technologies, and general health status. For each area, participants must define their degree of agreement with some statements using a 10-point Likert scale ranging from "Strongly Agree" to "Strongly Disagree". For each subscale the score ranges from 1 to 10; the higher the score more the results are satisfactory in terms of attitude, control, less anxiety, and health status.

The ITC-SOPI is a self-report scale that explores the individual's feelings during the experience. The questionnaire is structured in parts A and B, which respectively investigate the user's experience after and during the mediated environment. Subjects must indicate their degree of agreement through a 5-point Likert scale (1= strongly disagree; 5= strongly agree). The specific factors explored through the 42 items of the questionnaire are the sense of physical space, engagement, ecological validity, and negative effects. Each subscale received a maximum score of 5; the higher the score the better the result.

We use the TAP to investigate a wide range of mental processes and to analyze the cognitive problems people have with learning and using technology. TAP is a qualitative instrument consisting of detailed observation and documentation of the users' interaction with the proposed system. During the interaction, subjects were asked to comment out loud about their thoughts, doubts, and expectations related to the characteristics of the experience. The real-time evaluation is important because it avoids relevant information being lost if it is collected after the experiment [32,33].

Procedures

Subjects participated in two different sessions, roughly 1.5-hour. The first one consists of a

preliminary discussion with the user about the aim of the study, an informative consensus sign, gathering participant personal data (age, sex, education) and their confidence with technology and electronic devices, and assessing their general cognitive state with a neuropsychological battery. Based on the results of the assessment phase we assigned participants in one of the two experimental conditions: healthy subjects and MCI. In the second phase, the UX measures were implemented. Subjects were asked to accomplish the two tasks of the semAPP in a randomized order. The only information given to participants was to read and follow the instructions on the screen. Experimenters provided more information when subjects wouldn't understand the instructions or if they had issues in using the device (according to TAP). During the experiment, each issue related to the usability (observed by the experimenter or declared by the subject) of the application was reported on the protocol. After completing the tasks, the subject had to fill out questionnaires. We ran the app on an iPad of 11.2in.

Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis was conducted using Jamovi version 2.3 [34]. A significance level of $p < .05$ was established for all tests. In the initial phase, we conducted descriptive analyses on demographic and usability data for both the entire sample and each subgroup, namely the healthy control (HC) and MCI groups. Subsequently, parametric analyses, specifically the independent T-test (also known as Student's t-test), were performed to compare groups. Subsequently, we performed correlation analyses utilizing the parametric Pearson test to examine the relationship between the virtual task experience, as evaluated by the SUS, and the four scales of the ITC-SOPI questionnaire, as well as the measures of older individuals' acceptance of technology known as the STAM. We carefully considered the appropriateness of both parametric and non-parametric methods. To determine the most suitable approach, we initially conducted a graphical analysis of the data. Through this preliminary graphical examination, we observed that the data distribution closely approximates a normal distribution. Moreover, we performed the Shapiro-Wilk test to verify if our samples were from a normal distribution. This visual inspection and analysis suggested that the assumptions underlying parametric tests might be reasonable. Given these observations, we decided to proceed with parametric methods for comparing groups and correlation analyses. Parametric methods can be robust even with smaller samples if the data is approximately normally distributed. This allows us to take advantage of the greater statistical power offered by parametric tests.

Results

Starting with qualitative data, all participants had some difficulties in comprehending the instruction during the familiarization phase. Certain individuals encountered challenges when attempting to engage with the tablet, specifically regarding the execution of finger-dragging gestures on the screen. A significant number of users encountered difficulties while attempting to execute the exercises due to the challenging nature of exploring the environments, necessitating the need for additional support. Tables 1 and 2 show detailed results of the TAP for the two groups.

Table 1. Qualitative usability results of Thinking Aloud Protocol in HC group.

TASK	PROBLEM	SOLUTION	Number of Participants
FAMILIARIZATION			
Reading the instructions	/	/	/
Comprehension of the instructions	Difficulties in understanding the instructions concerning	Clarify the instructions	15

	the direction of exploration		
Device Interaction	Instructions do not appear in a functional position to read on the screen	Insert the instructions in the middle of the screen	2
	Difficulties with dragging the finger on the screen	Provide a previous tutorial on using the touchscreen	2
Execution	/	/	/
TASK 1_ FIGURE RECOGNITION			
Reading the instructions	Unread instructions	Insert auditory instructions; provide a button to begin the exercise that appears after a few seconds	1
Comprehension of the instructions	Difficulties in understanding the instructions for selecting the items	Improve the quality of the instructions	1
Device Interaction	/	/	/
Execution	Difficulties in exploring the environment	Insert a more functional hotspot; provide more precise instructions to complete the task	10
	Item selected after operator suggestion		2
	Difficulties in understanding how to finish the exercise during the Encoding phase		3
	Difficulties in selecting desired items during the Recognition phase	Improve the target's dimension; spacing the targets further apart; implement the quality of the video	1
TASK 2_ SPATIAL MEMORY TASK			
Reading the instructions	/	/	/
Comprehension of the instructions	Difficulties in understanding the instructions	Clarify the instructions	1
Device Interaction	/	/	/
Execution	Difficulties in understanding how to finish the exercise	Insert more specific instructions; identify an ad hoc hotspot that indicates in a functional	2

		way how to exit	
	Difficulties in selecting the hotspot to finish the exercise	Extend hotspots throughout the target	1
	Too many steps in the map selection process	Provide a lean selection process; delete unnecessary steps	5
	Difficulty in exploring the environment without operator support	Insert a more functional hotspot	2

Table 2. Qualitative usability results of Thinking Aloud Protocol in MCI group.

TASK	PROBLEM	SOLUTION	Number of Participants
FAMILIARIZATION			
Reading the instructions	/	/	/
Comprehension of the instructions	Difficulties in understanding the instruction concerning the direction of exploration	Clarify the instructions	12
Device Interaction	Instructions do not appear in a functional position to read on the screen	Insert the instructions in the middle of the screen	1
	Difficulties with dragging the finger on the screen	Provide a previous tutorial on using the touchscreen	1
	Difficulties in clicking on the screen		1
Execution	Difficulties in exploring the environment	Provide more specific/intuitive instructions to explore; provide a more functional hotspot	5
	Difficulties in finding the items		2
	Difficulties in finding the hotspot to move forward to the next environment		3
TASK 1 FIGURE RECOGNITION			
Reading the instructions	Unread instructions	Insert auditory instructions; provide a button to begin the exercise that appears after a few seconds	1
Comprehension of the instructions	Difficulties in understanding the instructions		1
Device Interaction	/	/	/
Execution	Difficulties in exploring the environment	Provide more specific/intuitive instructions to explore; provide a more	10

		functional hotspot	
	Difficulties in selecting desired items during the recognition phase	Improve the target's dimension; spacing the targets further apart; implement the quality of the video	1
TASK 2_ SPATIAL MEMORY TASK			
Reading the instructions	/	/	/
Comprehension of the instructions	Difficulties in understanding the instructions	Insert auditory instructions; clarify the instructions	1
Device Interaction	Difficulties in selecting the items to provide the answer	Insert a tutorial; improve the dimensions of the buttons	1
Execution	Difficulties to explore the environment without operator support	Implement the house exploration in a tutorial	6
	Too many steps in the map selection process	Provide a lean selection process; delete unnecessary steps	3

Regarding the quantitative data, according to Bangor and colleagues [28], the mean score of the SUS indicates that users perceived the application as having a good level of acceptability (μ : 70.22; SD: 16.46) as shown in Figure 3. There were no differences between groups.

[Insert figure 3 here]

The results of the STAM scale reveal that users have a positive attitudinal belief toward technology (μ = 7.39/10; SD= 2.16), as well as they have a high level of control belief (μ = 7.42/10; SD= 2.06). However, the MCI group presented a mean average score significantly higher than HC in both variables. Users presented a medium level of anxiety related to technology (μ = 5.81/10; SD= 2.59) and considered themselves in good health conditions (μ = 7.78/10; SD= 1.50). As shown by the ITC-SOPI sub-scale investigating spatial presence participants felt a generally low level of being there in the virtual environment (μ = 2.82/5; SD= .90); they experiment with a good level of engagement (μ = 3.38/5; SD= .64) and naturalness of the environments (μ = 3.58/5; SD= .89). Referring to the sub-scale of negative effects, all participants reported a low score of side effects (μ = 1.57/5; SD= .53), indicating that the use of semAPP did not induce dizziness and cybersickness. Descriptive statistics for demographic and all user experience questionnaires in the sample are shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Descriptive statistics for demographic and UX questionnaires.

	Total sample (N=34)	MCI (N=18)	HC (N=16)	Student's t-test	p-value
Age	74.65(7.64)	73.44(7.32)	76.00(8.01)	0.972	.338
Education	13.62(4.24)	13.33(4.43)	13.94(4.14)	0.409	.685
ADL	5.82(0.58)	5.94(0.24)	5.69(0.79)	-1.313	.199

MMSE	27.07(1.69)	27.31(1.97)	26.80(1.31)	-0.871	.390
SUS	70.22(16.46)	73.61(16.32)	66.41(16.29)	-1.286	.208
STAM-ab	7.39(2.16)	8.07(1.36)	6.62(2.65)	-2.051	.049 ^a
STAM-cb	7.42(2.06)	8.18(1.11)	6.57(2.54)	-2.452	.020 ^a
STAM-anx	5.81(2.59)	5.44(2.58)	6.22(2.61)	0.868	.392
STAM-h	7.58(1.50)	7.90(0.99)	7.22(1.88)	-1.328	.194
ITC-sp	2.82(0.90)	2.71(0.86)	2.94(0.96)	0.752	.458
ITC-e	3.38(0.64)	3.31(0.58)	3.46(0.71)	0.682	.500
ITC-ev	3.58(0.89)	3.61(0.79)	3.54(1.02)	-0.243	.809
ITC-ne	1.57(0.53)	1.46(0.481)	1.69(0.58)	1.268	.214

^aSignificant group difference between HC and MCI

MCI = Mild cognitive Impairment; HC = healthy control; ADL = activity of daily life; MMSE = Mini-Mental State Examination; SUS = System Usability Scale; STAM-ab = Senior Technology Acceptance attitude through technologies subscale; STAM-cb = Senior Technology Acceptance Model perception of control subscale; STAM-anx = Senior Technology Acceptance Model anxiety related to technologies subscale; STAM-h = Senior Technology Acceptance Mode health conditions subscale; ITC-sp = International Test Commission–Sense of Presence Inventory spatial presence subscale; ITC-e = International Test Commission–Sense of Presence Inventory engagement subscale; ITC-ev = International Test Commission–Sense of Presence Inventory ecological validity subscale; ITC-ne = International Test Commission–Sense of Presence Inventory negative effects subscale

Moreover, we analyze the correlations between older adults' experience with the virtual assessment task, as measured by the SUS and the ITC-SOPI, and their attitudes and willingness to use the technology, as assessed by the STAM. The objective is to determine whether older adults who exhibit more favorable attitudes towards technology usage also perceive the virtual assessment task as more usable and user-friendly (as indicated by SUS scores) and experience a greater sense of presence and immersion during the task (as indicated by ITC-SOPI scores). Considering the total sample, SUS positively correlated with the STAM-cb ($r=0.48$, $p < .01$) and STAM-h ($r=0.45$, $p < .01$) scales: those who perceive the virtual assessment task to be more usable and user-friendly might be also more likely to feel a sense of control over the technology they are utilizing and a more positive perception of their health. When we consider separately the two groups, the correlation between SUS and STAM remains in the MCI group, but not in the HC. Furthermore, there was a positive correlation observed between the attitudinal beliefs and control beliefs of the STAM questionnaire, and the ecological validity scale of the ITC-SOPI ($r=0.35$, $p < .05$). Additionally, a positive correlation was found between the STAM health scale and the engagement scale of the ITC-SOPI ($r=0.36$, $p < .05$). The observed correlation suggests that individuals who obtained higher scores on the STAM health scale, which reflects their perception of their health and well-being, demonstrated a greater likelihood of experiencing heightened levels of engagement within the virtual environment. In other words, participants who possessed the belief that the utilization of technology had positive impacts on their well-being exhibited higher levels of immersion and engagement in the virtual experience. This is true when we consider the MCI group alone, not for HC. Correlations for the entire sample and each group are shown in Figures 4 and 5.

[Insert Figures 4 and 5 here]

Discussion

It is necessary to measure cognitive processes in a way that is similar to what happens in real life to provide functional feedback that accurately reflects the patient's capacity to respond to daily problems. In this study, a novel tablet-based application with 360-degree media has been developed to solve the issue of the ecological validity of the currently available test, which utilized abstract settings. With the increasing scientific discussions supporting the use of new technologies in neuropsychological assessment [35], semAPP was developed employing 360-degree content to simulate real-world circumstances.

The idea behind this application was inspired by the evidence that virtual environments, recreating real situations, might enhance the engagement and pleasure of users, as well as measuring ability that reflects what happens in daily life. As cognitive evaluation increasingly relies on immersive technology, it is crucial to develop user-friendly programs that enable academics, physicians, and patients without engineering skills to access cognitive activities. Attention in their design and execution is a critical issue if one wishes to ensure task reliability and validity. Thus, the final users' experience is an essential element in designing instruments that reflect population needs and expectations. On these bases, a team of expert took part in the design of the application, and the prototype of the application was tested on a group of final users. Our data are promising to provide an application usable and accepted by users. SemAPP was judged as an easy-to-use tool for all participants who could interact with it independently under the supervision of clinicians; users evaluated semAPP to be usable and they were willing to use it. They were satisfied and they expressed interest in using it, they were fascinated by using the tablet to explore the virtual environment and enjoyed performing exercises in this innovative way. They were also able to accomplish all expected goals without learning a lot of processes or complex actions; they were at ease using the device because they were familiar with it and many of them claimed to do so frequently. This is in line with the core features of satisfaction, effectiveness, and efficiency used to define usability [27]. Moreover, users who perceived the virtual assessment task to be more usable and user-friendly have also a positive perception of health and a sense of being able to use applications successfully and effortlessly. Our results are independent of groups; this is promising for the future use of semAPP which is in line with the needs and expectations of a healthy and MCI aging population. Thus, it could be used effectively and efficiently by different pools of people.

The results derived from the users' ideas about technology show that all users have a positive appraisal of using technology and they believe that using it would be beneficial for their quality of life. They also believe that using the proposed technology might be free of effort and feel a sense of being able to use it successfully. Contrary to our expectations, these features are significantly higher in the MCI group. The results could be explained by the clinical condition. MCI patients are conscious of their deficits, and they could be more engaged in having positive feelings with the application to improve their abilities [36]. All users experience a sense of apprehension when they are faced with the possibility of using technology, due, for example, to the fear of making some mistake; this is common in aging [37]. Additionally, older people feel themselves in a good health condition related to biological, psychological, and social capabilities, which decrease with aging (i.e., as age increases, there is a decrease in the perception of good health) [37].

Investigating the experience with the virtual scenes, participants reported the application offered them a sense of engagement and the natural environment, as shown by the ITC-SOPI. However, they detect a generally low level of being in the virtual environment, which may be attributed to the low degree of immersion offered by the tablet. We chose the tablet because it can be used more quickly and easily than other tools for reproducing 360-degree media, even without the guidance of a therapist or caregiver. However, the media were deployed on a flat screen in a less ecological situation than a head-mounted display for example. This feature may influence the feeling of immersion [14]. On the other hand, side effects and cybersickness were minimal.

Our findings also support literature that suggests that engagement and a sense of ecological validity improve when users have expectations of positive feelings in using technology, potentially beneficial effects for quality of life, no effortlessness, and a sense of being able to use technology successfully [38,39]. In other words, users' ideas are closely related to how they encounter and evaluate experiences, reflecting anticipated behavior, direct attention, and interpretation, influencing the perceptions of the product [40]. Likewise, older adults who believed that the utilization of technology had positive impacts on their well-being exhibited higher levels of immersion and engagement in the virtual experience. These results reinforce how a greater likelihood of experiencing heightened levels of engagement within the virtual environment is linked to personal expectations.

Some technical and interface problems were brought up by the TAP during the study, and it is possible that these issues could be resolved by making the instructions clearer and adding the possibility of hearing them. To solve the issues related to interacting with the tablet, we could add a specific training phase focused on the technical use of the device. Thanks to these upgrades, the app should be easier to use.

Nevertheless, while several VR instruments exist to assess memory, only a limited number leverage the unique advantages of 360-degree media, using computer-generated scenarios for example [for a review see 41]. Additionally, some of these tools are delivered using sophisticated solutions, such as head-mounted displays, which can present significant barriers to adoption among elderly individuals [11,42]. Older adults often hesitate to use such advanced technology due to various perceived obstacles [2]. In contrast, semAPP is a highly customizable application designed to be delivered through a range of devices, from smartphones to head-mounted displays. This flexibility offers a more accessible and user-friendly solution that accommodates the varying technological comfort levels of older adults, striking a balance between environmental control and realism.

Given the promising data and the potential of semAPP, further research is essential to fully integrate the application into existing healthcare frameworks. Integrating our application into these systems would streamline the collection and accessibility of data, enhancing the overall efficiency of cognitive assessments. Additionally, by facilitating remote evaluations through telemedicine, semAPP can become a usable and valuable tool for managing and monitoring cognitive health in aging populations.

Limitations

The current work is not exempt from limitations. The market for 360-degree devices currently offers a few benefits that might give the measurements obtained a higher ecological worth. However, because of the lack of active navigation and the restricted opportunity for engagement within the surroundings, the low degree of immersion that 360-degree media distributed with tablet exhibits represents one of their most significant drawbacks. In the given context, this can be detrimental in terms of involvement and emotions of naturalness. However, for older people who lacked the necessary abilities to work with more sophisticated technologies, the absence of these characteristics might have been seen as a benefit rather than a limitation.

Despite the widespread adoption and user-friendly nature of tablets as a technological solution, potential biases and variances may arise due to differing levels of familiarity and comfort with technology among participants. While many participants reported frequent tablet use and demonstrated familiarity with the device, they might initially encounter challenges with the 360-degree media, which possesses distinct characteristics compared to traditional media. These challenges could indicate that differences in technological familiarity can introduce biases and variances in the data, potentially affecting the validity of the findings. Although the participants were

able to accomplish all expected goals, we will incorporate a familiarization phase before the test to mitigate these limitations. This phase is designed to acclimate participants to the specific media, ensuring they have sufficient exposure and comfort with the new media format, thereby standardizing their level of comfort and familiarity.

Taking our sample size into account is essential. Based on prior research that employed a small number of participants to measure usability [43], as also highlighted by Virzi and colleagues [44], we chose to use a small number of users. Most usability issues, according to the researchers, are discovered in four to five individuals, who are progressively less likely to divulge fresh information. We chose 34 users to represent a range of demographics, technical proficiency, and technology knowledge; yet many of them noted the same usability issue at the TAP.

Finally, the heavy reliance on self-reported data may introduce subjective biases. Implementing additional objective measures, while beneficial, is challenging with an elderly population and limits capturing user experience in a manner that is both simple and well-accepted. Nonetheless, future research should consider integrating objective measures to complement self-reported data providing a more comprehensive assessment.

Even with its limitations, these findings support the usability of 360-degree assessment, implementing the objective evaluation of ecological situations. However, additional work will be implemented to improve the problems revealed by the TAP and to explore the validity of the instrument.

Conclusions

We developed a new 360-degree tool to assess memory in aging, and we explored its usability and the correlated experience in using it. We focused on two different populations: healthy older adults and MCI patients to examine possible differences in terms of usability; and thus, to verify if our application was designed the best to be used by both target users. Our results were satisfactory showing the achievement of goals relating to the possibility of using the application by the target sample, with a positive experience.

Our research also supports the importance of a user-centered approach that adapts the application to the target population analyzing the needs and clinical conditions of older people. To achieve this goal, we require a team of clinicians who have clinical competencies and UX experts. They designed an application focused on the clinical features of patients in line with the major requirement to create the best possible experience in terms of usability and accessibility of the application. Our findings support the idea that users' thoughts about technology might influence how the product is seen, as well as the experience in the virtual environments (i.e., the engagement and sense of being there). Given the complexity of human experiences, the usability and effective use of an instrument potentially depends on a great variety of parameters. The experience presupposes that all personal and technological factors are connected, interact, and change one another and the experience is what comes out of this process [38]. In this panorama evaluating the product considering end-users could be crucial. Researchers must be aware that, depending on the personal experience and clinical condition at hand, users can have different experiences with the same product [45]. Indeed, if we don't consider the end-users' experience, there may be a potential mismatch between designers' intentions and users' actual anticipation.

Acknowledgments

FB conceived the study and discussed it with all the authors. FB and VM were involved in protocol development, gaining ethical approval, and data analysis. VM developed the used application. FB wrote the first draft of the manuscript. JP was involved in patient recruitment and contributed to writing the first version of the draft. MS-B contributed to the reviewed version of the manuscript and the supervision of the data collection. PC and EP have supervised the study. All authors reviewed and

edited the manuscript and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported by Italian Ministry of Health - Ricerca Corrente

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

Abbreviations

STAM: senior technology acceptance model

STAM-ab = senior technology acceptance model attitude through technologies subscale

STAM-cb = senior technology acceptance model perception of control subscale

STAM-anx = senior technology acceptance model anxiety related to technologies subscale

STAM-h = senior technology acceptance mode health conditions subscale

UX: user experience

MCI: mild cognitive impairment

MMSE: mini-mental state examination

ITC-SOPI: independent television commission sense of presence inventory

ITC-sp = international test commission-sense of presence inventory spatial presence subscale

ITC-e = international test commission-sense of presence inventory engagement subscale

ITC-ev = international test commission-sense of presence inventory ecological validity subscale

ITC-ne = international test commission-sense of presence inventory negative effects subscale

TAP: thinking aloud protocol

References

1. Hickman JM, Rogers WA, Fisk AD. Training Older Adults To Use New Technology. *Journals Gerontol Ser B* [Internet]. 2007 Jun 1;62(Special_Issue_1):77–84. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/62.special_issue_1.77
2. Vaportzis E, Giatsi Clausen M, Gow AJ. Older Adults Perceptions of Technology and Barriers to Interacting with Tablet Computers: A Focus Group Study. *Front Psychol* [Internet]. 2017;8. Available from: <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01687>
3. Alvseike H, Brønnick K. Feasibility of the iPad as a hub for smart house technology in the elderly; effects of cognition, self-efficacy, and technology experience. *J Multidiscip Healthc*. 2012;5:299–306. doi: 10.2147/JMDH.S35344.
4. Czaja SJ, Charness N, Fisk AD, Hertzog C, Nair SN, Rogers WA, et al. Factors predicting the use of technology: findings from the Center for Research and Education on Aging and Technology Enhancement (CREATE). *Psychol Aging*. 2006 Jun;21(2):333–52.
5. Dasgupta D, Chaudhry B, Koh E, Chawla N V. A Survey of Tablet Applications for Promoting Successful Aging in Older Adults. *IEEE Access*. 2016;4:9005–17.
6. Chaytor N, Schmitter-Edgecombe M. The ecological validity of neuropsychological tests: A review of the literature on everyday cognitive skills. *Neuropsychol Rev*. 2003;13(4):181–97.
7. Matheis RJ, Schultheis MT, Tiersky LA, DeLuca J, Millis SR, Rizzo A. Is learning and memory different in a virtual environment? *Clin Neuropsychol*. 2007;21(1):146–61.
8. Cavedoni S, Chirico A, Pedrolì E, Cipresso P, Riva G. Digital Biomarkers for the Early Detection of Mild Cognitive Impairment: Artificial Intelligence Meets Virtual Reality. *Front Hum Neurosci*. 2020;14(July):1–12.

9. Neguț A, Matu S, Sava FA, David D. Virtual reality measures in neuropsychological assessment: a meta-analytic review. 2016;4046(March).
10. Realdon O, Serino S, Savazzi F, Rossetto F, Cipresso P, Parsons TD, et al. An ecological measure to screen executive functioning in MS: the Picture Interpretation Test (PIT) 360°. Sci Rep [Internet]. 2019;9(1):1–8. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-42201-1>
11. Bruni F, Mancuso V, Stramba-Badiale C, Greci L, Cavallo M, Borghesi F, et al. ObReco-2: Two-step validation of a tool to assess memory deficits using 360° videos. Front Aging Neurosci. 2022;14(July):1–10.
12. Borghesi Francesca, Mancuso Valentina, Pedrolì Elisa C Pietro. From Virtual Reality to 360° Videos: Upgrade or Downgrade? The Multidimensional Healthcare VR Technology. In: Hershey, editor. Handbook of Research on Implementing Digital Reality and Interactive Technologies to Achieve Society 50. Pennsylvania, USA; 2022.
13. Bohil CJ, Alicea B, Biocca FA. Virtual reality in neuroscience research and therapy. Nat Rev Neurosci [Internet]. 2011;12(12). Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrn3122>
14. Riva G, Wiederhold BK, Mantovani F. Neuroscience of Virtual Reality: From Virtual Exposure to Embodied Medicine. Cyberpsychology, Behav Soc Netw. 2019;22(1):82–96.
15. Salthouse TA. Selective review of cognitive aging. J Int Neuropsychol Soc. 2010 Sep;16(5):754–60.
16. Delrieu J, Andrieu S, Pahor M, Cantet C, Cesari M, Ousset PJ, et al. Neuropsychological Profile of “Cognitive Frailty” Subjects in MAPT Study. J Prev Alzheimer’s Dis [Internet]. 2016 Jun;3(3):151–9. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27547746>
17. Albert MS, DeKosky ST, Dickson D, Dubois B, Feldman HH, Fox NC, et al. The diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment due to Alzheimer’s disease: Recommendations from the National Institute on Aging-Alzheimer’s Association workgroups on diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer’s disease. Alzheimer’s Dement [Internet]. 2011 May 1;7(3):270–9. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2011.03.008>
18. Serino S, Cipresso P, Morganti F, Riva G. The role of egocentric and allocentric abilities in Alzheimer’s disease: a systematic review. Ageing Res Rev. 2014 Jul;16:32–44.
19. Cipresso P, Albani G, Serino S, Pedrolì E, Pallavicini F, Mauro A, et al. Virtual multiple errands test (VMET): a virtual reality-based tool to detect early executive functions deficit in Parkinson’s disease. Front Behav Neurosci. 2014;8:405.
20. Chan MY, Haber S, Drew LM, Park DC. Training Older Adults to Use Tablet Computers: Does It Enhance Cognitive Function? Gerontologist. 2016 Jun;56(3):475–84.
21. Chen K, Chan AHS. Gerontechnology acceptance by elderly Hong Kong Chinese: a senior technology acceptance model (STAM). Ergonomics. 2014;57(5):635–52.
22. Molina-Recio G, Molina-Luque R, Jiménez-García AM, Ventura-Puertos PE, Hernández-Reyes A, Romero-Saldaña M. Proposal for the User-Centered Design Approach for Health Apps Based on Successful Experiences: Integrative Review. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth [Internet]. 2020 Apr;8(4):e14376. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32319965>
23. Measso, G., Cavarzeran, F., Zappalà, G., Lebowitz, B. D., Crook, T. H., Pirozzolo, F. J., ... & Grigoletto F. The mini-mental state examination: Normative study of an Italian random sample. Dev Neuropsychol. 1993;9(2):77–85.
24. Magni E, Binetti G, Bianchetti A, Rozzini R, Trabucchi M. study in Italian elderly population. 1996;(1996):198–202.
25. Silverberg NB, Ryan LM, Carrillo MC, Sperling R, Petersen RC, Posner HB, et al. Assessment of cognition in early dementia. Alzheimer’s Dement [Internet]. 2011 May 1;7(3):e60–76. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalz.2011.05.001>

26. Beschin N, Urbano T TB, Serino, S., Cipresso, P., Morganti, F., & Riva, G. (2014). The role of egocentric and allocentric abilities in Alzheimer's disease: a systematic review. *Ageing research reviews*, 16, 32–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2014.04.004>. Giunti Psychometrics, editor. Firenze; 2013.
27. Brooke J. SUS: A "Quick and Dirty" Usability Scale. *Usability Eval Ind.* 2020;(January 1996):207–12.
28. Bangor A, Staff T, Kortum P, Miller J, Staff T. Determining what individual SUS scores mean: adding an adjective rating scale. *J usability Stud.* 2009;4(3):114–23.
29. Chen K, Lou VWQ. Measuring senior technology acceptance: Development of a Brief, 14-Item Scale. *Innov Aging.* 2020;4(3):1–12.
30. Lessiter J, Freeman J, Keogh E, Davidoff J. A cross-media presence questionnaire: The ITC-sense of presence inventory. *Presence Teleoperators Virtual Environ.* 2001;10(3):282–97.
31. Lewis C. Using the "thinking-aloud" method in cognitive interface design. Yorktown Heights, N.Y.: IBM T.J. Watson Research Center; 1982.
32. Kujala S. Lost in Time: The Meaning of Temporal Aspects in User Experience. 2013;559–64.
33. Minge M, Fr S. Dynamics of user experience. ... *Goals Strateg Stud User Exp ...* [Internet]. 2008;(OCTOBER 2008):2–6. Available from: <http://www.cs.uta.fi/~ux-emotion/submissions/Minge.pdf>
34. The jamovi project (2021). jamovi. (Version 1.6) [Computer Software]. Retrieved from <https://www.jamovi.org>.
35. Parsey CM, Schmitter-Edgecombe M. Applications of Technology in Neuropsychological Assessment. *Clin Neuropsychol* [Internet]. 2013 Nov 1;27(8):1328–61. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1080/13854046.2013.834971>
36. D'Cunha NM, Nguyen D, Naumovski N, McKune AJ, Kellett J, Georgousopoulou EN, et al. A Mini-Review of Virtual Reality-Based Interventions to Promote Well-Being for People Living with Dementia and Mild Cognitive Impairment. *Gerontology.* 2019;65(4):430–40.
37. Henchoz K, Cavalli S, Girardin M. Health perception and health status in advanced old age: A paradox of association. *J Aging Stud.* 2008;22(3):282–90.
38. Hassenzahl M, Tractinsky N. User experience - A research agenda. *Behav Inf Technol.* 2006;25(2):91–7.
39. Tuenä C, Pedrolí E, Trimarchi PD, Gallucci A, Chiappini M, Goulene K, et al. Usability issues of clinical and research applications of virtual reality in older people: A systematic review. *Front Hum Neurosci.* 2020;14(April).
40. Kaasinen E, Kymäläinen T, Niemelä M, Olsson T, Kanerva M, Ikonen V. A User-Centric View of Intelligent Environments: User Expectations, User Experience and User Role in Building Intelligent Environments. Vol. 2, *Computers.* 2013. p. 1–33.
41. Mancuso V, Sarcinella ED, Bruni F, Arlati S, Di Santo SG, Cavallo M, et al. Systematic review of memory assessment in virtual reality: evaluating convergent and divergent validity with traditional neuropsychological measures. *Front Hum Neurosci.* 2024;18(May).
42. da Costa RQM, Pompeu JE, Moretto E, Silva JM, Dos Santos MD, Nitrini R, et al. Two Immersive Virtual Reality Tasks for the Assessment of Spatial Orientation in Older Adults with and Without Cognitive Impairment: Concurrent Validity, Group Comparison, and Accuracy Results. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc.* 2022 May;28(5):460–72.
43. Guillén-Climent S, Garzo A, Muñoz-Alcaraz MN, Casado-Adam P, Arcas-Ruiz-Ruano J, Mejías-Ruiz M, et al. A usability study in patients with stroke using MERLIN, a robotic system based on serious games for upper limb rehabilitation in the home setting. *J Neuroeng Rehabil.* 2021;18(1):1–16.

44. Virzi RA. Refining the Test Phase of Usability Evaluation: How Many Subjects Is Enough? Hum Factors [Internet]. 1992 Aug 1;34(4):457–68. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1177/001872089203400407>
45. Rebelo F, Noriega P, Duarte E, Soares M. Using Virtual Reality to Assess User Experience. Hum Factors [Internet]. 2012 Nov 6;54(6):964–82. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018720812465006>



Supplementary Files

Revised version of manuscript_ tracked-changes version.


URL: <http://asset.jmir.pub/assets/786f7f5bd57d5883476a0f863e9d62bc.docx>

Figures


On the left the encoding phase: participants explore the 360-degree house and click on each box; participants have to label the objects that appear (figure in the center). On the right the retrieval phase: participants have to explore the previous environment and click only the objects they previously saw in the boxes.




On the left the encoding phase: participants navigate in the 360-degree house by clicking on each door. On the right the retrieval phase: participants have to choose the right maps of the apartment.



Graphical representation of the interpretation of SUS. The red vertical line indicates the mean score (70.22), according to the rating comparison scale provided by Bangor (2009).



Graphical representation of the correlation matrix of the total sample.



[illegible]