

Self-Care Program as a tool for Alleviating Anxiety and Loneliness and Promoting Satisfaction with Life in High School Community: Randomized Survey Study

Priya Iyer, Lina Iyer, Nicole Carter, Ranjani Iyer, Amy Stirling, Lakshmi Priya, Ushma Sriraman

Submitted to: JMIR Formative Research
on: January 13, 2024

Disclaimer: © The authors. All rights reserved. This is a privileged document currently under peer-review/community review. Authors have provided JMIR Publications with an exclusive license to publish this preprint on its website for review purposes only. While the final peer-reviewed paper may be licensed under a CC BY license on publication, at this stage authors and publisher expressly prohibit redistribution of this draft paper other than for review purposes.

Table of Contents

Original Manuscript.....	5
Supplementary Files.....	37
Figures	38
Figure 1.....	39
Figure 2.....	40
Figure 3.....	41
Multimedia Appendixes	42
Multimedia Appendix 1.....	43
Multimedia Appendix 2.....	43
Multimedia Appendix 3.....	43
Multimedia Appendix 4.....	43
Multimedia Appendix 5.....	43
Multimedia Appendix 6.....	43

Self-Care Program as a tool for Alleviating Anxiety and Loneliness and Promoting Satisfaction with Life in High School Community: Randomized Survey Study

Priya Iyer¹; Lina Iyer¹; Nicole Carter²; Ranjani Iyer³ PhD; Amy Stirling⁴; Lakshmi Priya⁵; Ushma Sriraman⁵

¹University of Michigan Ann Arbor US

²Novi High School Novi US

³Heartfulness Institute Novi US

⁴Michigan Online School Gobles US

⁵Lalaji Memorial Omega International School Chennai IN

Corresponding Author:

Ranjani Iyer PhD

Heartfulness Institute

24977 Glenda St

Novi

US

Abstract

Background: High anxiety levels have been found at increasing numbers after the COVID-19 global pandemic, negatively impacting the lives of many. The 4-week virtual Self-Care program, offered by the Heartfulness Institute, is designed to develop social-emotional skills through stress management and self-observation.

Objective: This global study examined the effectiveness of the program on anxiety, loneliness, and satisfaction with life in high school students and staff in a randomized, wait-list control trial with baseline and post-intervention assessments.

Methods: The virtual program was a positive intervention that offered support to the students and staff to build specific skills, such as reflection, observation, positivity, time management, and goal setting. In this study, the sample consisted of a total of 203 high school students and staff randomized into a control-waitlisted group (Students: n=57 and Staff: n=45) and a Heartfulness group (Students: n=57 and Staff: n=44) from three schools. Both the groups completed online surveys at weeks 0, 4 and 8, assessing their anxiety, loneliness, and satisfaction with life scores using Generalized Anxiety disorder scale (GAD-7 and Severity Measure for Generalized Anxiety Disorder—Child Age 11–17), Satisfaction with life scale (SWLS and SWLS-C), and the UCLA Loneliness Scale. Survey responses were each individually analyzed via a repeated measures ANOVA.

Results: The study received institutional review board approval on February 3, 2022. Participant recruitment lasted from the approval date until March 30, 2022. The 4-week program for the Heartfulness group started on April 4, 2024. There was a significant three-way interaction between Time, Group, and School showing a decrease in anxiety and loneliness scores and increase in the satisfaction with life scores ($p < .05$). In students in the Heartfulness group, there was strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in GAD, SWLS and UCLA between Week 0 and Week 4 at all schools ($p < .0001$). In staff in the Heartfulness group, there was strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in GAD, SWLS and UCLA between Week 0 and Week 4 at all schools ($p < .0001$).

Conclusions: The pandemic brought severe educational and social changes that triggered a decline in mental health in schools. This study showed the effectiveness of noninvasive self-care tools used virtually to significantly decreased anxiety and loneliness scores and increased satisfaction of life scores in the participants. Clinical Trial: ClinicalTrials.gov NCT05874232; <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT05874232>

(JMIR Preprints 13/01/2024:56355)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2196/preprints.56355>

Preprint Settings

1) Would you like to publish your submitted manuscript as preprint?

Please make my preprint PDF available to anyone at any time (recommended).

Please make my preprint PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that my title and abstract will remain visible to all users.

✓ **Only make the preprint title and abstract visible.**

No, I do not wish to publish my submitted manuscript as a preprint.

2) If accepted for publication in a JMIR journal, would you like the PDF to be visible to the public?

✓ **Yes, please make my accepted manuscript PDF available to anyone at any time (Recommended).**

Yes, but please make my accepted manuscript PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that the title and abstract will remain visible to all users.

Yes, but only make the title and abstract visible (see Important note, above). I understand that if I later pay to participate in <http://www.jmir.org/>



Original Manuscript

Self-Care Program as a tool for Alleviating Anxiety and Loneliness and Promoting Satisfaction with Life in High School Community: Randomized Survey Study

Abstract

Background: The COVID-19 global pandemic has led to a marked increase in anxiety levels, significantly affecting the well-being of individuals worldwide. In response to this growing concern, interventions aimed at enhancing social-emotional skills and promoting mental health are more crucial than ever.

Objective: This global study examined the effectiveness of a Self-Care program on anxiety, loneliness, and satisfaction with life in high school students and staff in a randomized, wait-list control trial with baseline and post-intervention assessments.

Methods: The 4-week virtual Self-Care program, offered by the Heartfulness Institute, is designed to develop social-emotional skills through stress management and self-observation.

The virtual program was a positive intervention that offered support to the students and staff to build specific skills, such as reflection, observation, positivity, time management, and goal setting. In this study, the sample consisted of a total of 203 high school students and staff randomized into a control-waitlisted group (Students: $n=57$ and Staff: $n=45$) and a Heartfulness group (Students: $n=57$ and Staff: $n=44$) from three schools. Both the groups completed online surveys at weeks 0, 4 and 8, assessing their anxiety, loneliness, and satisfaction with life scores using Generalized Anxiety disorder scale (GAD-7 and Severity Measure for Generalized Anxiety Disorder—Child Age 11–17), Satisfaction with life scale (SWLS and SWLS-C), and the UCLA Loneliness Scale. Survey responses were each individually analyzed via a repeated measures ANOVA.

Results: The study received institutional review board approval on February 3, 2022. Participant recruitment lasted from the approval date until March 30, 2022. The 4-week program for the Heartfulness group started on April 4, 2024. There was a significant three-way interaction between Time, Group, and School showing a decrease in anxiety and loneliness scores and increase in the satisfaction with life scores ($p<.05$). In students in the Heartfulness group, there was strong evidence

to suggest a significant mean difference in GAD, SWLS and UCLA between Week 0 and Week 4 at all schools ($p<.0001$). In staff in the Heartfulness group, there was strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in GAD, SWLS and UCLA between Week 0 and Week 4 at all schools ($p<.0001$).

Conclusion: The pandemic brought severe educational and social changes that triggered a decline in mental health in schools. This study showed the effectiveness of noninvasive self-care tools used virtually to significantly decreased anxiety and loneliness scores and increased satisfaction of life scores in the participants.

Trial Registration: ClinicalTrials.gov NCT05874232;
<https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT05874232>

Keywords: Heartfulness, Anxiety, Loneliness, High School, Satisfaction with Life

Introduction

Individuals affected by an infectious disease outbreak, such as a pandemic, often experience increased anxiety, particularly around contracting the illness, a higher incidence of mental health difficulties and heightened feelings of helplessness and stigma [1-5]. The circumstances surrounding the COVID-19 pandemic have increased the stress and anxiety in people, including high school students [6-8]. Research on the psychological impacts of quarantine found that it was associated with feelings of anxiety, exhaustion, and demotivation, which could persist after quarantine has ended [9]. Psychological well-being problems have become increasingly common, and the current COVID-19 pandemic has negatively impacted health and well-being with increased anxiety and depression levels [10]. It is likely that satisfaction with life has been adversely affected [11].

Loneliness poses a significant health problem for a sizeable part of the population with increased risks in terms of depression, anxiety, suicidal ideation, health behavior and health care utilization [12]. Various studies have documented high levels of loneliness and an increase in loneliness since the start of the pandemic [13-17]. Satisfaction with life is a key component of overall well-being and is influenced by various factors, including mental health, social relationships, and physical health. During the pandemic, the disruption of daily routines, social isolation, and uncertainty about the future have likely contributed to a decrease in life satisfaction among individuals, particularly among adolescents and young adults. Furthermore, research suggests that quarantine might be particularly disruptive for adolescents, who tend to particularly need interaction with peers to support mental well-being [9].

Schools play an essential role in the psychological, social, and academic development of children and employ the largest number of youth mental health providers [18-19]. This is perhaps why approximately 80% of school-aged children with mental and behavioral health needs rely on school-based services [20]. Prolonged isolation can adversely affect physical and emotional health, altering sleep and nutritional rhythms, as well as reducing opportunities for movement [21]. As a result, the natural channels of human expression and pleasure become depressed, with attendant impacts on

mood and subjective well-being [22].

One potential intervention to address these challenges is the Heartfulness Self-Care program, a simple yet profound 4-week heart-based program developed by the Heartfulness Institute. This program aims to create a loving, compassionate learning environment to nurture individual well-being. The Heartfulness approach also encourages the building of stress management and social-emotional skills charted by the Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (CASEL): self-awareness, self-management, social-awareness, relationship skills, and decision-making skills [23]. The program includes a unique practice of rejuvenation at the end of the day, which may help alleviate the emotional burden of loneliness. Previous research has shown correlations between Heartfulness programs and improvements in stress, anxiety, loneliness, and emotional well-being [24-26].

The aim of the study was to examine the impact of a virtual 4-week Heartfulness Self-Care program on anxiety, satisfaction with life, and loneliness levels in high school students (grades 9-12) and staff. No experimental study has been done to look at these parameters and this study investigated whether using a virtual approach of a heart-based relaxation program led to measurable changes in anxiety, satisfaction with life, and loneliness. The program was offered through a joint initiative of Heartfulness Institute, School 1 in Michigan, School 2 in Michigan, and School 3 in India.

The primary hypothesis of this study is that participation in the Heartfulness Self-Care program will significantly reduce symptoms of anxiety and loneliness while enhancing satisfaction with life among high school students and staff. This study seeks to innovate by integrating heart-based practices with contemporary psychological well-being strategies, offering a novel approach to addressing mental health issues during and after the pandemic. By providing empirical evidence, this study aims to contribute to the growing body of literature on effective mental health interventions and offer actionable insights for educators, mental health professionals, and individuals seeking to improve their psychological well-being.

Methods

Design

This quantitative study was a randomized, wait-list control group with an assessment conducted at baseline (Week 0) and post-intervention (Week 4 and Week 8). High school students and staff from three schools were randomly split into two groups. The first group (Heartfulness) engaged in a Heartfulness Self-Care program for 4 weeks and then engaged in self-practice for weeks 5-8. The second group (Waitlist Control) followed their daily routine and did no meditation for the first 4 weeks, then participated in the Heartfulness Self-Care program for weeks 5-8.

Recruitment

Participants were selected using convenience sampling from three high schools in Michigan and India. The schools provided three different settings and a platform to explore the impact of the virtual program:

- School 1: Located in Novi, MI, a suburb of Detroit, MI, with a population of 2000 students in grades 9-12, and a staff of 125 teachers, 6 counselors, 2 social workers, and 30 additional support staff members. The student body is composed of 43% White (non-Hispanic), 33% Black, 12% Hispanic, and 12% Asian.
- School 2: A fully virtual school in Michigan with an enrollment of 865 students in grades 6-12 as of February 2022, and 63 staff members. The demographic breakdown includes 60.13% economically disadvantaged, 15.5% special education, 59% Caucasian, 28% African American, 6% Hispanic/Latino, 2% Asian, 3% American Indian, and 3% other.
- School 3: Located in India with an enrollment of 6000 students from various countries, including 1112 students in grades 10-12, 98.75% of whom are Indian and 1.25% foreign nationals. The school employs over 400 teaching staff and more than 100 non-teaching staff.

Ethics and Participant Protection

The study was approved by an Institutional Review Board (IRB) through an expedited review process. Participants were recruited between February and March 2022 through flyers in schools and emails to high school students and staff. The inclusion criteria were high school students aged 14 and

above and staff at the three schools willing to participate in the study. Exclusion criteria included non-English-speaking high school students. Parental consent was obtained for minors.

Measures

The three surveys used Generalized Anxiety disorder scale (GAD-7 and Severity Measure for Generalized Anxiety Disorder—Child Age 11–17), Satisfaction with life scale (SWLS and SWLS-C), and the UCLA Loneliness Scale have been researched and validated in many studies [27-31]. The GAD-7 is a reliable and valid measure of anxiety severity, with Cronbach's alpha typically exceeding 0.85, indicating high internal consistency. The scale has been extensively validated across different populations and settings, showing strong convergent and discriminant validity. GAD-7 score is calculated by assigning scores of 0, 1, 2, and 3 to the response categories, respectively, of “not at all,” “several days,” “more than half the days,” and “nearly every day.” GAD-7 total score for the seven items ranges from 0 to 21: 0–4 is minimal anxiety, 5–9 is mild anxiety, 10–14 is moderate anxiety, and 15–21 is severe anxiety. The SWLS is a 7-point Likert style response scale. The possible range of scores is 5-35, with a score of 20 representing a neutral point on the scale. Scores between 5-9 indicate the respondent is extremely dissatisfied with life, whereas scores between 31-35 indicate the respondent is extremely satisfied. The SWLS has demonstrated high reliability, with Cronbach's alpha values around 0.87 to 0.92, reflecting excellent internal consistency. Its validity has been confirmed through significant correlations with other measures of well-being and mental health, establishing both convergent and concurrent validity. The UCLA Loneliness Scale has shown high reliability with Cronbach's alpha values typically above 0.90, indicating excellent internal consistency. The scale's validity is well-supported through its strong associations with related constructs such as social support and mental health outcomes, affirming its convergent and criterion validity. The 20-item UCLA Loneliness Scale, Version 3, is designed to measure subjective feelings of loneliness and social isolation. The response items scale ranged from 1 (*never*) to 4 (*often*). Loneliness sum scores hence ranged from 20 (low) to 80 (high).

Participants filled out the GAD, SWLS, and UCLA Loneliness Scale (Version 3) before the program

at week 0, after 4 weeks, and after 8 weeks.

Intervention

The virtual 4-week Self-Care program, offered through Heartfulness Program for Schools, included a variety of activities for self-care for the whole school community. Participants received an e-portfolio listing the daily activities and began with a 30-minute webinar each week, that included a hands-on activity and a guided experience of the Heartfulness tool that was practiced for the week. This weekly webinar also focused on step-by-step instructions for daily activities. The 15-minute daily activity for the rest of the week included activities to manage stress, foster positivity, align with the daily circadian rhythm, and set goals with self-observation. The guided tools included an experience to relax, meditate, affirm, breathe, rejuvenate, and self-observe. The program details are available in the Figure 1.

Participants who provided consent to participate in the study were randomly picked by an online team generator tool to be in Group A- Heartfulness group and Group B- Control group: Waitlist for Heartfulness group [32]. Group A was sent a 28-day calendar to start their program, while Group B worked on their routine and waited for their program to begin later. The students and staff who participated in the Self-Care program attended four webinars virtually followed by daily activities. As the activities of the study were self-paced, it was suggested that participants who only completed the previous week's activities could attend the next upcoming webinar. However, individual follow-ups and gentle reminders to complete the webinars, activities and surveys were given.

Data Collection

This study enrolled 223 consented participants, of which 179 participants (80.3%) completed the study and 44 participants (19.7%) dropped out. After completing the informed consent and baseline survey, using an online generator tool participants were randomly placed into the Heartfulness group (n = 112; 19 dropped and did not complete the program) and the wait-list control group (n = 111; 25 dropped and did not complete the program). Figure 2 shows the study design flowchart. Inclusion criteria included high school students aged 14 and above and staff at the participating schools, while

non-English speakers were excluded. The sample size formula ensured sufficient power based on previous studies indicating the program's impact on loneliness [26].

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics was used to extract information about characteristics of the sample population from the intake assessment, such as frequency distribution and measures of central tendency.

The survey responses to the anxiety, satisfaction with life and, loneliness surveys were each individually analyzed via repeated measures ANOVA. The repeated measure was Time (0 weeks, 4 weeks, and 8 weeks). The repeated measure accounts for potentially correlated observations as the same participant was measured at three-time points. Independent variables were included for Group (Heartfulness or Control) and School Type (School 1, School 2, School 3). A first-order autoregressive covariance structure was found to be the best fit by AIC and was used throughout [33]. Denominator degrees of freedom were calculated via the Kenward-Roger methodology [34]. Model assumptions were assessed via residual plots and deemed met for all six repeated measures of ANOVAs.

When significant interactions between variables were detected, posthoc multiple comparisons of interest were carried out via the Bonferroni sequentially rejective multiple comparison procedure [35]. Bonferroni's sequentially rejective multiple comparison procedure cannot calculate confidence intervals, so the usual Bonferroni multiple comparison procedure generated those. The usual Bonferroni procedure is more conservative (i.e., results in higher p-values) than the sequentially rejective version, so it is possible for a statistically significant p-value for the sequentially rejective version to have a confidence interval that contains zero. The usual Bonferroni procedure also was used for all posthoc comparisons within a single variable. Both procedures ensure that the type I error rate (i.e., false positive rate) for each ANOVA is at most $\alpha = 0.05$.

Results

Participant Demographics

The data showed that of out of 101 students, 10% were in grade 9, 23% in grade 10, 44% in grade

11, 23%, 19% were male, 76% were female, and 5% preferred not to say. For the 78 staff who participated, about 71% were teachers, and 79% were female. See Multimedia Appendix 1 and 2 for demographic details.

Intervention effects on Students

The overall results of the Bonferroni sequentially rejective multiple comparison procedure for the students' anxiety, satisfaction with life and loneliness from the three scores are presented in Figure 3 presents a visual of how all students did for the schools together. In the Heartfulness group, there was strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in GAD between Week 0 and Week 4 at School 1 ($p < .0001$; estimated mean difference was 11.06 points lower at Week 4; 95% CI of (5.26, 16.86)), at School 2 ($p < 0.0001$; estimated mean difference was 11.25 points lower at Week 4; 95% CI of (4.55, 17.95)), and at School 3 ($p = .0253$; estimated mean difference was 4.92 points lower at Week 4; 95% Confidence Interval (CI) of (0.18, 9.65)). There was also strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in SWLS between Week 0 and Week 4 at School 1 ($p < .0001$; estimated mean difference was 5.19 points higher at Week 4; 95% CI of (-8.23, -2.14)), and at School 2 ($p = .0003$; estimated mean difference was 4.92 points higher at Week 4; 95% CI of (-8.43, -1.40)). Finally, there was strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in UCLA between Week 0 and Week 4 at School 1 ($p < .0001$; estimated mean difference was 21.19 points lower at Week 4; 95% CI of (12, 30.37)), at School 2 ($p = .0025$; estimated mean difference was 13 points higher at Week 4; 95% CI of (2.39, 23.61)), and at School 3 ($p = .0011$; estimated mean difference was 9.71 points lower at Week 4; 95% CI of (2.21, 17.21)). See Multimedia Appendix 3 and 4 for details on the effects of intervention on students.

Intervention effects on Staff

The overall results of the Bonferroni sequentially rejective multiple comparison procedure for the Group*Time interaction from the three scores are presented in Figure 3 presents a visual of how all staff did for the schools together. In the Heartfulness group, there was strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in GAD between Week 0 and Week 4 at all schools ($p < .0001$; estimated

mean difference was 3.61 points lower at Week 4; 95% CI of (1.68, 5.54)). There was also strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in SWLS between Week 0 and Week 4 at School 2 ($p < .0001$; estimated mean difference was 5.73 points higher at Week 4; 95% CI of (-7.95, -3.51)). Finally, there was strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in UCLA between Week 0 and Week 4 at all schools ($p = .0012$; estimated mean difference was 6.44 points lower at Week 4; 95% CI of (1.74, 11.14)). See Multimedia Appendix 5 and 6 for details on the effects of intervention on students.

Discussion

This study explored the impact of a 4-week self-care program on the students and staff in three high schools with varying settings and backgrounds. The main findings indicate that the Heartfulness group exhibited a significant decrease in anxiety and loneliness scores across all three schools, alongside an improvement in satisfaction with life scores from week 0 to week 4. Conversely, the control group, which did not engage in the program during the first four weeks, showed similar improvements in these measures from week 4 to week 8, aligning with their participation in the program during this latter period. The inclusion of experimental and control groups is significant as it provides a robust framework for assessing the efficacy of the intervention. By comparing the Heartfulness group to the control group, which initially did not receive the intervention, the study ensures that observed changes in mental health outcomes can be attributed to the program rather than other external factors. This design enhances the credibility and validity of the findings, highlighting the direct impact of the Heartfulness Self-Care program on reducing anxiety and loneliness while improving life satisfaction.

The mental health consequences of COVID-19 have been well-documented, with numerous studies predicting a global mental health crisis exacerbated by the pandemic, especially among vulnerable populations [36]. Country specific data has revealed high levels of stress, depression and anxiety symptoms, increased loneliness, and suicidal ideation [37-41]. The implementation of digital interventions in different settings is usually well received and particularly engaging for adolescents

as well as the staff [42]. This study's findings align with previous research, suggesting that the Heartfulness Self-Care program is effective in reducing anxiety and loneliness and improving life satisfaction among participants.

The pandemic induced severe educational and social changes, including social distancing and modifications in learning environments, which triggered a decline in mental health in schools. New COVID-19 protocols increased anxiety among both students and staff, and the return to school posed significant challenges. Additionally, many individuals' pre-existing mental health conditions deteriorated due to lack of access to appropriate services [43]. A school community needs to invest in developing a sense of connectedness in young adults and address social-emotional building to prepare them for their future. The Heartfulness Self-Care program provided a positive intervention that offered support to the students and staff after a difficult period of quarantine and lockdown. This program provided an opportunity for the students and staff to build specific skills, such as reflection, observation, positivity, time management, and goal setting, to handle anxiety, loneliness and derive satisfaction with life. Supporting the whole school community is critical to prevent significant adverse consequences for students, and the school staff. The findings from this study can be utilized to alleviate the mental health burden and enhance mental wellness in the post-pandemic era.

This study's theoretical contribution lies in its emphasis on the entire school community, which includes both students and staff. While many studies may focus solely on students, this research recognizes that the mental health of educators and school personnel is equally important. It underscores the interconnectedness of the school community and how the well-being of one group can impact the other. This approach broadens the perspective on mental health interventions within an educational setting. It recognizes that the mental health consequences of the pandemic are not limited to a single aspect but encompass a wide range of psychological and emotional states, including anxiety, loneliness, and life satisfaction. By exploring the impact of the Heartfulness Self-Care program on these various aspects, the study acknowledges the complexity of mental well-being during a crisis. Methodologically, the study's longitudinal design, with assessments at multiple time

points (weeks 0, 4, and 8), allows for a thorough understanding of how mental health indicators evolve over time and how interventions can have lasting effects. Conducting the study in real-world educational settings with participants from diverse backgrounds enhances the ecological validity of the findings, reflecting the varied experiences and challenges faced by schools and students.

These contributions collectively advance our understanding of addressing the mental health consequences of a global crisis like the COVID-19 pandemic, offering valuable insights for both theory and practice in education and psychology. By integrating comparisons with previous studies and providing a detailed analysis of the results, this discussion underscores the effectiveness of the Heartfulness Self-Care program and its potential as a scalable intervention to support mental health in school communities.

Limitations

The results of the study revealed strong evidence on the impact of the Self-Care program on anxiety, satisfaction with life and loneliness in students and staff, however, limitations need to be considered when the study results are interpreted. First, although the study population seemingly is representative of three different schools and locations, the sample size limits the generalizability of the findings. Given the favorable results, a replication study with a larger sample may be useful. Second, the self-care program was offered during the second semester in the US and students' year-end examination which was held in mid- March in India. Due to the change in exam pattern caused by the pandemic, students and staff faced issues with managing time to consistently attend the webinars for the program. If the Self-care program was held at another time, more students would have partaken willingly and enthusiastically. Finally, we measured anxiety, satisfaction with life and loneliness with three quantitative self-rating scales. Future studies might include clinical and qualitative assessments of anxiety, life satisfaction, and loneliness to go in-depth in exploring the impact of this program.

Practical Implications: The implications of this study are substantial for educators, school psychologists, and counselors. Incorporating the findings into practice can help create a more

supportive and nurturing learning environment. Here are some practical implications:

1. *Integrating Self-Care Programs:* Schools should consider integrating self-care programs into the curriculum or making them readily available to students and staff. These programs can include Heartfulness relaxation and meditation exercises, stress management techniques, and strategies for building emotional resilience.
2. *Mental Health Education:* It is crucial to include mental health education as part of the school curriculum. This should cover topics like recognizing and managing stress, anxiety, and emotional loneliness.
3. *Peer Support:* Encouraging peer support programs and mentorship within the school community can foster a sense of belonging and reduce feelings of isolation. Older students or staff members can offer guidance and emotional support to those in need.
4. *Professional Development:* Provide teachers and school staff with training in recognizing signs of mental distress and how to support students and colleagues effectively. This can include workshops on active listening, self-care and empathy.
5. *Online Resources:* Maintain a library of online resources that students and staff can access to learn more about self-care, mental health, and emotional well-being.

Future Research Avenues: The authors recommend the following avenues of research to build upon the findings of this study:

1. *Long-Term Effects:* Conduct longitudinal studies to assess the long-term effects of these online interventions on the mental health and well-being of students and staff, even after the pandemic has subsided.
2. *Comparative Studies:* Compare the effectiveness of different self-care tools and interventions to determine which approaches are most beneficial for different demographics within the school community.
3. *Cultural Sensitivity:* Explore the cultural nuances of mental health within school communities and adapt interventions to be culturally sensitive and effective.

4. *Additional Variables:* Future investigations should consider including additional variables such as academic performance, attendance rates, and the impact on the family and social life of students and staff.
5. *Effectiveness of Peer Support:* Investigate the effectiveness of peer support and mentorship programs in promoting mental health within the school community.

By further exploring these research avenues, we can develop a comprehensive understanding of how to use online interventions to best support the mental health and well-being of high school students and staff, thereby promoting a positive and nurturing learning environment.

Conclusion

The COVID-19 pandemic has profoundly impacted every aspect of our lives, especially within the school community. Students and staff have had to adapt to new ways of attending school, navigating hybrid classes, and following social distancing measures. These changes have limited classroom experiences and social interactions, leading to increased fear, anxiety, and loneliness. Addressing the mental health of teens and adults in the school community, both during and after the pandemic, is now urgently needed.

This study has uniquely addressed a multitude of challenges stemming from the pandemic, by focusing on the well-being and safety of the school community. It is evident from our findings that the virtual intervention examined in this study, utilizing self-care tools, have effectively reduced anxiety and loneliness while improving overall life satisfaction. These results highlight the need for more preventive, accessible, and non-invasive programs like the one used in this study. Additionally, these findings emphasize the importance of further research on the mental health of high school students and staff, using heart-based nurturing tools to promote well-being within the school community.

Acknowledgements

The Heartfulness Institute (Grant 0122) supported this study through the grant to support research on mental wellness in our communities by increasing the delivery of evidence-based interventions. The

authors also thank Kamlesh D. Patel and Dr. Jayaram Thimmapuram of the Heartfulness Institute for their immense help and guidance with the practice of Heartfulness relaxation techniques. The authors would like to acknowledge the support of all the three schools, the students and the staff. The authors also thank the MOS School Board, Stephanie Hargens (MOS Superintendent), Dr. R. J. Webber (Former Assistant Superintendent of NHS), and Dr. Bhavanishankar Subramanian (LMOIS Senior Principal) for their support in implementing this study. The authors are thankful to Michael Bottomley, Wright State University for helping in the statistical analysis.

Data Availability

The dataset generated and analysed during the current study are available at Iyer, Ranjani (2023). Impact of Self-Care Program on Anxiety, Loneliness and Satisfaction with Life in High School Community. figshare. Dataset. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.23878488.v1>

Authors' Contributions

PI, LI, and RI researched literature and conceived the study. RI was involved in protocol development, gaining ethical approval, data analysis. NC, RI, AS, LP, and US were involved in recruitment. NC, AS, LP, and US supervised the study. PI and LI wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors reviewed and edited the manuscript and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Multimedia Appendix 1. Demographic Data of Students

Multimedia Appendix 2. Demographic Data of Staff

Multimedia Appendix 3. Comparison results for GAD, SWLS and UCLA scores in Students

Multimedia Appendix 4. Intervention effects on Students

Multimedia Appendix 5. Comparison results for GAD, SWLS and UCLA scores in Staff

Multimedia Appendix 6. Intervention effects on Staff

References

1. Hall RC, Hall RC, Chapman ML. The 1995 Kikwit Ebola outbreak: lessons hospitals and physicians can apply to future viral epidemics. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2008; 30, 446–452.
2. Douglas PK, Douglas DB, Harrigan DC, Douglas KM. Preparing for pandemic influenza and its aftermath: mental health issues considered. *Int J Emerg Ment Health*. 2009; 11, 137.
3. Rubin GJ, Potts HWW, Michie S. The impact of communications about swine flu (influenza A H1N1v) on public responses to the outbreak: results from 36 national telephone surveys in the UK. *Health Technol Assess Rep*. 2010; 14, 183–266.
4. Sim K, Huak CY, Chong PN, Chua, HC, Wen SS. Psychosocial and coping responses within the community health care setting towards a national outbreak of an infectious disease. *J. Psychosom Res*. 2010; 68, 195–202.
5. Kelly BD. Plagues, pandemics and epidemics in Irish history prior to COVID-19 (coronavirus): what can we learn? *Ir J Psychol Med*. 2020; 1–6.
6. American Psychological Association (APA). Stress in America, 2020: A National Mental Health Crisis. URL: <https://www.apa.org/news/press/releases/stress/2020/report-october> [accessed 2022-3-5].
7. Tandon R. COVID-19 and Mental Health: Preserving Humanity, Maintaining Sanity, and Promoting Health. *Asian J. Psychiatry*. 2020; 51, 102256.
8. Wang G, Zhang Y, Zhao J, Zhang J, Jiang F. Mitigate the Effects of home Confinement on Children during the COVID-19 Outbreak. *The Lancet*. 2020; 395(10228), 945–947.
9. Brooks, SK, Webster RK, Smith LE, et al. The psychological impact of quarantine and how to reduce it: Rapid review of the evidence. *The Lancet*. 2020; 395, 912–920.
10. Huppert FA. Psychological well-being: Evidence regarding its causes and consequences. *Appl. Psychol. Health Well-being*. 2009; 1, 137–164.
11. Salari N, Hosseini-Far A, Jalali R, et al. Prevalence of stress, anxiety, depression among the general population during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Glob Health*. 2020; 16, 57.
12. Hawkey LC, Capitanio JP. Perceived social isolation, evolutionary fitness and health outcomes: a lifespan approach. *Philos Trans R Soc*. 2015; 370(1669), 20140114.
13. Killgore WD, Cloonan SA, Taylor EC, et al. Trends in suicidal ideation over the first three months of COVID-19 lockdowns. *Psychiatry Res*. 2020; 293:113390.
14. Bu F, Steptoe A, Fancourt D. Who is lonely in lockdown? Cross-cohort analyses of predictors of loneliness before and during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Public Health*. 2020; 186:31–4.
15. Lee CM, Cadigan JM, Rhew IC. Increases in loneliness among young adults during the COVID-19 pandemic and association with increases in mental health problems. *J Adolesc Health*. 2020; 67(5):714–7.
16. Losada-Baltar A, Jiménez-Gonzalo L, Gallego-Alberto L, et al. “We are staying at home.”

- Association of self-perceptions of aging, personal and family resources, and loneliness with psychological distress during the lock-down period of COVID-19. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci*. 2021; 76(2), e10–e6.
17. Van Tilburg TG, Steinmetz S, Stolte E, van der Roest H, de Vries DH. Loneliness and mental health during the COVID-19 pandemic: A study among Dutch older adults. *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci*. 2020.
 18. Fazel M, Patel V, Thomas S, Tol W. Mental health interventions in schools in low-income and middle-income countries. *The Lancet Psychiat*. 2014; 1, 388–398.
 19. Jaycox LH, Morse LK, Tanielian T, Stein BD. How schools can help students recover from traumatic experiences: A tool kit for supporting long-term recovery. RAND Corporation. 2006. 20. Masonbrink AR, Hurley E. Advocating for children during the COVID-19 school closures. *Pediatrics*. 2020; 146(3), e20201440.
 21. Cacioppo JT, Hawkley LC. Social isolation and health, with an emphasis on underlying mechanisms. *Perspect Biol Med*. 2003; 46(3 Suppl), S39–S52.
 22. Nardone G, Speciani L. Mangia, muoviti, ama. Firenze: Ponte alle grazie. 2015.
 23. Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (CASEL). Core SEL competencies. URL: <https://casel.org/core-competencies/> [accessed 2023-9-18]
 24. Heartful Schools. Heartfulness Program for Schools offered by the Heartfulness Institute. URL: <https://www.heartfulnessinstitute.org/education> [accessed 2022-3-5]
 25. Iyer RB, Iyer BN. The Impact of Heartfulness-based Elective on Middle School Students. *Am J Health Behav*. 2019;43(4):812-823. doi:10.5993/AJHB.43.4.14
 26. Iyer L, Iyer RB, Kumar V. A Relaxation App (HeartBot) for Stress and Emotional Well-Being Over a 21-Day Challenge: Randomized Survey Study. *JMIR Form Res*. 2021;5(1):e22041. Published 2021 Jan 29. doi:10.2196/22041
 27. Gadermann AM, Schonert-Reichl KA, Zumbo BD. Investigating validity evidence of the Satisfaction with Life Scale adapted for Children. *Soc Indic Research*. 2010; 96, 229-247.
 28. Löwe B, Decker O, Müller S, et al. Validation and standardization of the Generalized Anxiety Disorder Screener (GAD-7) in the general population. *Med care*. 2008; 46(3), 266–274.
 29. Russell D. UCLA Loneliness Scale (Version 3): Reliability, validity, and factor structure. *J Pers Assess*. 1996. 66, 20-40.
 30. Iyer RB, Vadlapudi S, Iyer L, et al. Impact of the Heartfulness program on loneliness in high schoolers: Randomized survey study. *Appl Psychol Health Well Being*. 2023;15(1):66-79. doi:10.1111/aphw.12360
 31. Thimmapuram J, Pargament R, Bell T, Schurk H, Madhusudhan DK. Heartfulness meditation improves loneliness and sleep in physicians and advance practice providers during COVID-19 pandemic, *Hosp Pract*. 2021; 49(3), 194-202.
 32. Randomlists. Random Team Generator. URL: <https://www.randomlists.com/teamgenerator>

[accessed 2022-2-5]

33. Littell RC, Pendergast J, Natarajan R. Tutorial in biostatistics: Modeling covariance structure in analysis of repeated measures data. *Stat Med*. 2000; 19: 1793-1819.
34. Kenward MG, Roger JH. An improved approximation to the precision of fixed effects from restricted maximum likelihood. *Comput Stat Data Anal*. 2009; 53, 2583-2595.
35. Holm, S. A simple sequentially rejective multiple test procedure. *Scand J Stat*. 1979; 6 (2), 65–70.
36. Izaguirre-Torres D, Siche R. Covid-19 disease will cause a global catastrophe in terms of mental health: A hypothesis. *Med hypotheses*. 2020; 143, 109846.
37. Killgore WDS, Cloonan SA, Taylor EC, Dailey NS. Loneliness: a signature mental health concern in the era of COVID-19. *Psychiatry Res*. 2020; 290.
38. Reger MA, Stanley IH, Joiner TE. Suicide mortality and coronavirus disease 2019—a perfect storm? *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2020; 77(11):1093–1094.
39. Zhang J, Lu H, Zeng H. The differential psychological distress of populations affected by the COVID-19 pandemic. *Brain Behav Immun*. 2020; 87, 49–50.
40. Rossell S, Neill E, Phillipou A, et al. An overview of current mental health in the general population of Australia during the COVID-19 pandemic: Results from the COLLATE project. medRxiv. 2020.
41. Serafini G, Parmigiani B, Amerio A, et al. The psychological impact of COVID-19 on the mental health in the general population. *QJM-INT J MED*. 2020; 113(8):531–537.
42. Gómez-Restrepo C, Sarmiento-Suárez MJ, Alba-Saavedra M, et al. Development and Implementation of DIALOG+S in the School Setting as a Tool for Promoting Adolescent Mental Well-Being and Resilience in a Post-Armed Conflict Area in Colombia: Exploratory Cluster Randomized Controlled Trial. *JMIR Form Res* 2023;7:e46757. doi: 10.2196/46757
43. Sheridan RL, Johnson S, Barnett P, et al. Early impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health care and on people with mental health conditions: framework synthesis of international experiences and responses. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*. 2020; 1–12.

Figure 1. Details of Self-Care Program



edu@heartfulnessinstitute.org
www.heartfulschools.org

Self-Care Through Heartfulness



What is 'Self-Care through Heartfulness'?

This program, offered through Heartfulness Program for Schools, includes a 28-day portfolio with a variety of activities for self-care through Heartfulness to the whole school community. The 4-week program promotes stress-management, fosters positivity, builds alignment with circadian rhythms, and develops self-observational tools.

Heartfulness Program for Schools meets CASEL's promising designation for evidence-based programs, indicating that it could play an important role in a school's approach to promoting social and emotional learning. Please visit <https://pg.casel.org/heartfulness-program-for-schools/> for more details.

Program Outline

Part 1: Stress to De-Stress

- Stress Statistics
- Stress to De-Stress
- Stress Curve

Experiential Session:
Guided Relaxation and Meditation

Part 3: Circadian Rhythm

- What is Circadian Rhythm
 - What does it affect?
 - Why is it important?
- Circadian Rhythm Activity

Experiential Session:
Guided Rejuvenation

Part 2: Fostering Positivity

- Statistics on Positivity
- Mind Mapping Activity
- Positive Mindsets

Experiential Session:
Guided Affirmations
Breathing with Awareness and Purpose

Part 4: Goal Setting

- Self-Reflection
- Attitude and Mindsets
- Heartful Communication
- Goal Setting

Experiential Session:
Guided P.E.M.S

Figure 2. CONSORT Flowchart of Participants Enrolment





Figure 3. Mean scores for Students and Staff across Time by Group



Multimedia Appendix 1. Demographic Data of Students (N=101)

<i>Variable</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>Percent</i>
Gender		
Female	77	76.24
Male	19	18.81
Prefer not to say	5	4.95
Groups		
Control	49	48.51
Heartfulness	52	51.49
Grade Levels		
9	11	10.89
10	23	22.77
11	44	43.56
12	23	22.77

Multimedia Appendix 2. Demographic Data of Staff (N=78)

<i>Variable</i>	<i>Frequency</i>	<i>Percent</i>
Gender		
F	62	79.49
M	16	20.51
Groups		
Control	37	47.44
Heartfulness	41	52.56
Profession		
Admin	7	8.97
Coach	1	1.28
Counselor	3	3.85
Librarian	1	1.28
Media Center Paraprofessional	1	1.28
Media Paraprofessional	1	1.28
Paraprofessional	1	1.28
Social Worker	1	1.28
Special Education Aides	1	1.28
Success Coach	5	6.41
Teacher	55	70.51
Warden	1	1.28

Multimedia Appendix 3. Comparison results for GAD, SWLS and UCLA scores in Students

Comparison	Estimate	p-value	95% C.I.
GAD measuring Anxiety			
School 1: Control Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 0	-4.27	.99	(-12.98, 4.44)
School 1: Heartfulness Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 4	11.06	.000**	(5.26, 16.86)
School 1: Heartfulness Week 4 - Heartfulness Week 8	-0.81	.99	(-6.61, 4.99)
School 1: Heartfulness Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 8	10.25	.000**	(3.06, 17.44)
School 1: Control Week 0 - Control Week 4	0.73	.99	(-5.26, 6.72)
School 1: Control Week 4 - Control Week 8	5.40	.1	(-0.59, 11.39)
School 1: Control Week 0 - Control Week 8	6.13	.19	(-1.29, 13.55)
School 2: Control Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 0	0.25	.99	(-9.64, 10.14)
School 2: Heartfulness Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 4	11.25	.000**	(4.55, 17.95)
School 2: Heartfulness Week 4 - Heartfulness Week 8	-0.58	.99	(-7.28, 6.11)
School 2: Heartfulness Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 8	10.67	.000**	(2.37, 18.96)
School 2: Control Week 0 - Control Week 4	0.00	.99	(-6.7, 6.7)
School 2: Control Week 4 - Control Week 8	20.50	.000**	(13.8, 27.2)
School 2: Control Week 0 - Control Week 8	20.50	.000**	(12.2, 28.8)
School 3: Control Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 0	-1.30	.99	(-8.45, 5.86)
School 3: Heartfulness Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 4	4.92	.025*	(0.18, 9.65)
School 3: Heartfulness Week 4 - Heartfulness Week 8	-0.88	.99	(-5.61, 3.86)
School 3: Heartfulness Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 8	4.04	.6	(-1.83, 9.91)
School 3: Control Week 0 - Control Week 4	2.77	.99	(-2.17, 7.72)
School 3: Control Week 4 - Control Week 8	6.09	.003*	(1.14, 11.04)
School 3: Control Week 0 - Control Week 8	8.86	.000**	(2.74, 14.99)
SWLS measuring Satisfaction with Life			
School 1: Control Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 0	-0.09	.99	(-5.63, 5.46)
School 1: Heartfulness Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 4	-5.19	.000**	(-8.23, -2.14)
School 1: Heartfulness Week 4 - Heartfulness Week 8	0.25	.99	(-2.8, 3.3)
School 1: Heartfulness Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 8	-4.94	.002*	(-8.89, -0.99)
School 1: Control Week 0 - Control Week 4	0.27	.99	(-2.88, 3.41)
School 1: Control Week 4 - Control Week 8	-2.60	.21	(-5.75, 0.55)
School 1: Control Week 0 - Control Week 8	-2.33	.99	(-6.41, 1.74)
School 2: Control Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 0	1.50	.99	(-4.8, 7.8)
School 2: Heartfulness Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 4	-4.92	.000**	(-8.43, -1.4)
School 2: Heartfulness 4 - Heartfulness Week 8	-0.25	.99	(-3.77, 3.27)
School 2: Heartfulness Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 8	-5.17	.008*	(-9.73, -0.61)
School 2: Control Week 0 - Control Week 4	-0.50	.99	(-4.02, 3.02)
School 2: Control Week 4 - Control Week 8	-10.33	.000**	(-13.85, -6.82)
School 2: Control Week 0 - Control Week 8	-10.83	.000**	(-15.39, -6.27)
School 3: Control Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 0	0.44	.99	(-4.12, 4.99)
School 3: Heartfulness Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 4	-2.04	.21	(-4.53, 0.45)
School 3: Heartfulness Week 4 - Heartfulness Week 8	0.58	.99	(-1.9, 3.07)
School 3: Heartfulness Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 8	-1.46	.99	(-4.68, 1.77)
School 3: Control Week 0 - Control Week 4	-1.82	.6	(-4.42, 0.78)
School 3: Control Week 4 - Control Week 8	-5.64	.000**	(-8.23, -3.04)

School 3: Control Week 0 - Control Week 8	-7.45	.000**	(-10.82, -4.09)
UCLA Loneliness measuring Loneliness			
School 1: Control Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 0	-4.16	.99	(-18.33, 10.01)
School 1: Heartfulness Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 4	21.19	.000**	(12, 30.37)
School 1: Heartfulness Week 4 - Heartfulness Week 8	0.19	.99	(-9, 9.37)
School 1: Heartfulness Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 8	21.38	.000**	(9.91, 32.84)
School 1: Control Week 0 - Control Week 4	0.13	.99	(-9.35, 9.62)
School 1: Control Week 4 - Control Week 8	10.20	.015*	(0.71, 19.69)
School 1: Control Week 0 - Control Week 8	10.33	.13	(-1.51, 22.18)
School 2: Control Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 0	-2.75	.99	(-18.85, 13.35)
School 2: Heartfulness Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 4	13.00	.003*	(2.39, 23.61)
School 2: Heartfulness 4 - Heartfulness Week 8	2.00	.99	(-8.61, 12.61)
School 2: Heartfulness Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 8	15.00	.008*	(1.76, 28.24)
School 2: Control Week 0 - Control Week 4	0.08	.99	(-10.52, 10.69)
School 2: Control Week 4 - Control Week 8	36.33	.000**	(25.73, 46.94)
School 2: Control Week 0 - Control Week 8	36.42	.000**	(23.17, 49.66)
School 3: Control Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 0	1.35	.99	(-10.29, 12.99)
School 3: Heartfulness Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 4	9.71	.001*	(2.21, 17.21)
School 3: Heartfulness Week 4 - Heartfulness Week 8	-1.58	.99	(-9.08, 5.92)
School 3: Heartfulness Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 8	8.13	.13	(-1.24, 17.49)
School 3: Control Week 0 - Control Week 4	4.55	.99	(-3.29, 12.38)
School 3: Control Week 4 - Control Week 8	21.18	.000**	(13.35, 29.02)
School 3: Control Week 0 - Control Week 8	25.73	.000**	(15.95, 35.51)

GAD Generalized Anxiety Disorder, *SWLS* Satisfaction With Life Scale

Multimedia Appendix 4. Intervention effects on Students

The overall results of the Bonferroni sequentially rejective multiple comparison procedure for the students' anxiety, satisfaction with life and loneliness from the three scores are presented in Figure 3 presents a visual of how all students did for the schools together.

Anxiety

In the Heartfulness group, there was strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in GAD between Week 0 and Week 4 at School 1 ($p < .0001$; estimated mean difference was 11.06 points lower at Week 4; 95% CI of (5.26, 16.86)), at School 2 ($p < 0.0001$; estimated mean difference was 11.25 points lower at Week 4; 95% CI of (4.55, 17.95)), and at School 3 ($p = .0253$; estimated mean difference was 4.92 points lower at Week 4; 95% Confidence Interval (CI) of (0.18, 9.65)). There was also strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in GAD between Week 0 and Week 8 at School 1 ($p = .0002$; estimated mean difference was 10.25 points lower at Week 8; 95% CI of (3.06, 17.44)), and at School 2 ($p = .0012$; estimated mean difference was 10.67 points lower at Week 8; 95% CI of (2.37, 18.96)).

In the control group, there was strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in GAD between Week 4 and Week 8 at School 2 ($p < .0001$; estimated mean difference of 20.5 points lower at Week 8; 95% CI of (13.8, 27.2)), and at School 3 ($p = .0025$; estimated mean difference of 6.09 points lower at Week 8; 95% CI of (1.14, 11.04)). There was also strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in GAD between Week 0 and Week 8 at School 2 ($p < .0001$; estimated mean difference was 20.5 points lower at Week 8; 95% CI of (12.2, 28.8)), and at School 3 ($p = 0.0001$; the estimated mean difference was 8.86 points lower at Week 8; 95% CI of (2.74, 14.99)).

Satisfaction with Life

In the Heartfulness group, there was strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in SWLS between Week 0 and Week 4 at School 1 ($p < .0001$; estimated mean difference was 5.19 points higher at Week 4; 95% CI of (-8.23, -2.14)), and at School 2 ($p = .0003$; estimated mean difference was 4.92 points higher at Week 4; 95% CI of (-8.43, -1.40)). There was also strong

evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in SWLS between Week 0 and Week 8 at School 1 ($p=.0019$; the estimated mean difference was 4.94 points lower at Week 8; 95% CI of $(-8.89, -0.99)$), and at School 2 ($p=.008$; estimated mean difference was 5.17 points higher at Week 8; 95% CI of $(-9.73, -0.61)$).

In the Control group, there was strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in SWLS between Week 4 and Week 8 at School 2 ($p<.0001$; the estimated mean difference was 10.33 points higher at Week 8; 95% CI of $(-13.85, -6.82)$), and at School 3 ($p<.0001$; the estimated mean difference was 5.64 points higher at Week 8; 95% CI of $(-8.23, -3.04)$). There was also strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in SWLS between Week 0 and Week 8 at School 2 ($p<.0001$; estimated mean difference was 10.83 points higher at Week 8; 95% CI of $(-15.39, -6.27)$), and at School 3 ($p<.0001$; the estimated mean difference was 7.45 points higher at Week 8; 95% CI of $(-10.82, -4.09)$).

Loneliness

In the Heartfulness group, there was strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in UCLA between Week 0 and Week 4 at School 1 ($p<.0001$); estimated mean difference was 21.19 points lower at Week 4; 95% CI of $(12, 30.37)$), at School 2 ($p=.0025$; estimated mean difference was 13 points higher at Week 4; 95% CI of $(2.39, 23.61)$), and at School 3 ($p=.0011$; estimated mean difference was 9.71 points lower at Week 4; 95% CI of $(2.21, 17.21)$). There was also strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in UCLA between Week 0 and Week 8 at School 1 ($p<.0001$; estimated mean difference was 21.38 points lower at Week 8; 95% CI of $(9.91, 32.84)$), and at School 2 ($p=.0076$; estimated mean difference was 15 points higher at Week 8; 95% CI of $(1.76, 28.24)$).

In the control group, there was strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in UCLA between Week 4 and Week 8 at School 1 ($p=.0015$; estimated mean difference was 10.2 points lower at Week 8; 95% CI of $(0.71, 19.69)$), at School 2 ($p<.0001$); estimated mean difference was 36.33 points lower at Week 8; 95% CI of $(25.73, 46.94)$), and at School 3 ($p<.0001$; estimated mean

difference is 21.18 points lower at Week 8; 95% CI of (13.35, 29.02)). There was also strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in UCLA between Week 0 and Control Week 8 at School 2 ($p < .0001$; estimated mean difference was 36.42 points lower at Week 8; 95% CI of (23.17, 49.66)), and at School 3 ($p < .0001$; estimated mean difference was 25.73 points lower at Week 8; 95% CI of (15.95, 35.51)).

Multimedia Appendix 5. Comparison results for GAD, SWLS and UCLA scores in Staff

Comparison	Estimate	p-value	95% C.I.
GAD measuring Anxiety			
Week 0: Control - Heartfulness	-0.95	.89	(-3.75, 1.86)
Heartfulness: Week 0- Week 4	3.61	.000**	(1.68, 5.54)
Heartfulness: Week 4 - Week 8	0.49	.89	(-1.44, 2.42)
Heartfulness: Week 0 - Week 8	4.10	.000**	(1.74, 6.46)
Control: Week 0 - Week 4	0.76	.89	(-1.27, 2.79)
Control: Week 4 - Week 8	4.14	.000**	(2.11, 6.16)
Control: Week 0 - Week 8	4.89	.000**	(2.41, 7.37)
SWLS measuring Satisfaction with Life			
School 1: Control Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 0	-5.21	.95	(-9.88, -0.55)
School 1: Heartfulness Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 4	-3.29	.99	(-6.54, -0.04)
School 1: Heartfulness Week 4 - Heartfulness Week 8	-0.29	.99	(-3.54, 2.96)
School 1: Heartfulness Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 8	-3.57	.99	(-7.52, 0.38)
School 1: Control Week 0 - Control Week 4	3.33	.99	(-0.18, 6.84)
School 1: Control Week 4 - Control Week 8	-12.83	.000**	(-16.34, -9.32)
School 1: Control Week 0 - Control Week 8	-9.50	.001*	(-13.77, -5.23)
School 2: Control Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 0	-0.07	.99	(-3.13, 3)
School 2: Heartfulness Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 4	-5.73	.000**	(-7.95, -3.51)
School 2: Heartfulness 4 - Heartfulness Week 8	0.40	.99	(-1.82, 2.62)
School 2: Heartfulness Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 8	-5.33	.005*	(-8.03, -2.64)
School 2: Control Week 0 - Control Week 4	-0.87	.99	(-3.09, 1.35)
School 2: Control Week 4 - Control Week 8	-3.60	.06	(-5.82, -1.38)
School 2: Control Week 0 - Control Week 8	-4.47	.049*	(-7.16, -1.77)
School 3: Control Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 0	-1.42	.99	(-4.26, 1.43)
School 3: Heartfulness Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 4	-1.26	.99	(-3.24, 0.71)
School 3: Heartfulness 4 - Heartfulness Week 8	-0.05	.99	(-2.03, 1.92)
School 3: Heartfulness Week 0 - Heartfulness Week 8	-1.32	.99	(-3.71, 1.08)
School 3: Control Week 0 - Control Week 4	-0.06	.99	(-2.21, 2.09)
School 3: Control Week 4 - Control Week 8	-4.06	.011*	(-6.21, -1.91)
School 3: Control Week 0 - Control Week 8	-4.13	.07	(-6.74, -1.51)
UCLA Loneliness measuring Loneliness			
Week 0: Control- Heartfulness	0.20	.99	(-6.78, 7.17)
Heartfulness Week 0 - Week 4	6.44	.001**	(1.74, 11.14)
Heartfulness: Week 4 - Week 8	1.02	.99	(-3.68, 5.73)
Heartfulness: Week 0 - Week 8	7.46	.002*	(1.67, 13.25)
Control: Week 0 - Week 4	1.86	.87	(-3.08, 6.81)
Control: Week 4 - Week 8	11.57	.000**	(6.62, 16.52)
Control: Week 0 - Week 8	13.43	.000**	(7.34, 19.53)

GAD Generalized Anxiety Disorder, *SWLS* Satisfaction With Life Scale

Multimedia Appendix 6. Intervention effects on Staff

The overall results of the Bonferroni sequentially rejective multiple comparison procedure for the

Group*Time interaction from the three scores are presented in Figure 3 presents a visual of how all staff did for the schools together.

Anxiety

In the Heartfulness group, there was strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in GAD between Week 0 and Week 4 at all schools ($p<.0001$; estimated mean difference was 3.61 points lower at Week 4; 95% CI of (1.68, 5.54)). There was also strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in GAD between Week 0 and Week 8 at all schools ($p<.0001$; estimated mean difference was 4.1 points lower at Week 8; 95% CI of (1.74, 6.46)).

In the control group, there was strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in GAD between Week 4 and Week 8 for all schools ($p<.0001$; estimated mean difference was 4.14 points lower at Week 8; 95% CI of (2.11, 6.16)). There was also strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in GAD between Week 0 and Week 8 at all schools ($p<.0001$; estimated mean difference was 4.89 points lower at Week 8; 95% CI of (2.41, 7.37)).

Satisfaction with Life

In the Heartfulness group, there was strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in SWLS between Week 0 and Week 4 at School 2 ($p<.0001$; estimated mean difference was 5.73 points higher at Week 4; 95% CI of (-7.95, -3.51)). There was also strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in SWLS between Week 0 and Week 8 at School 2 ($p=.0054$; estimated mean difference was 5.33 points higher at Week 8; 95% CI of (-8.03, -2.64)).

In the control group, there was strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in SWLS between Week 4 and Week 8 at School 1 ($p<.0001$; estimated mean difference was 12.83 points higher at Week 8; 95% CI of (-16.34, -9.32)), and at School 3 ($p=.011$; estimated mean difference was 4.06 points higher at Week 8; 95% CI of (-6.21, -1.91)). There was also strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in SWLS between Week 0 and Week 8 at School 1 ($p=.0008$; estimated mean difference was 9.5 points higher at Week 8; 95% CI of (-13.77, -5.23)), and at School 2 ($p=.049$; estimated mean difference was 4.47 points higher at Week 8; 95% CI of (-7.16, -1.77)).

Loneliness


In the Heartfulness group, there was strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in UCLA between Week 0 and Week 4 at all schools ($p=.0012$; estimated mean difference was 6.44 points lower at Week 4; 95% CI of (1.74, 11.14)). There was also strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in UCLA between Week 0 and Week 8 at all schools ($p=.0023$; estimated mean difference was 7.46 points lower at Week 8; 95% CI of (1.67, 13.25)).

In the control group, there was strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in UCLA between Week 4 and Week 8 for all schools ($p<.0001$; estimated mean difference was 11.57 points lower at Week 8; 95% CI of (6.62, 16.52)). There was also strong evidence to suggest a significant mean difference in UCLA between Week 0 and Week 8 at all schools ($p<.0001$; estimated mean difference was 13.43 points lower at Week 8; 95% CI of (7.34, 19.53)).

Supplementary Files


Figures

Details of Self-Care Program.



edu@heartfulnessinstitute.org
www.heartfulnessschools.org

Self-Care Through Heartfulness



What is 'Self-Care through Heartfulness'?


This program, offered through Heartfulness Program for Schools, includes a 28-day portfolio with a variety of activities for self-care through Heartfulness to the whole school community. The 4-week program promotes stress-management, fosters positivity, builds alignment with circadian rhythms, and develops self-observational tools.

Heartfulness Program for Schools meets CASEL's promising designation for evidence-based programs, indicating that it could play an important role in a school's approach to promoting social and emotional learning. Please visit <https://pg.casel.org/heartfulness-program-for-schools/> for more details.


Program Outline

<h3>Part 1: Stress to De-Stress</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stress Statistics Stress to De-Stress Stress Curve <p><i>Experiential Session:</i> Guided Relaxation and Meditation</p>	<h3>Part 3: Circadian Rhythm</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What is Circadian Rhythm <ul style="list-style-type: none"> What does it affect? Why is it important? Circadian Rhythm Activity <p><i>Experiential Session:</i> Guided Rejuvenation</p>
<h3>Part 2: Fostering Positivity</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Statistics on Positivity Mind Mapping Activity Positive Mindsets <p><i>Experiential Session:</i> Guided Affirmations Breathing with Awareness and Purpose</p>	<h3>Part 4: Goal Setting</h3> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Self-Reflection Attitude and Mindsets Heartful Communication Goal Setting <p><i>Experiential Session:</i> Guided P.E.M.S</p>

CONSORT Flowchart of Participants Enrolment.



Mean scores for Students and Staff across Time by Group.



Multimedia Appendixes

Demographic Data of Students.

URL: <http://asset.jmir.pub/assets/0c74e0946fd4e56f7de01f5d7c8f67a8.docx>

Demographic Data of Staff.

URL: <http://asset.jmir.pub/assets/b844190d5eba1b864fc4fed78da22e8a.docx>

Comparison results for GAD, SWLS and UCLA scores in Students.

URL: <http://asset.jmir.pub/assets/217c2b5720bad14e7447e19f76110598.docx>

Intervention effects on Students.

URL: <http://asset.jmir.pub/assets/8b994fd85499baf0d3c559a956a8567e.docx>

Comparison results for GAD, SWLS and UCLA scores in Staff.

URL: <http://asset.jmir.pub/assets/e06e1f987c4764663b2179ef40f1a233.docx>

Intervention effects on Staff.

URL: <http://asset.jmir.pub/assets/32ac7586484394f63dbd66ac3269234b.docx>