

Electronic Health Information Exchange among Adult Day Services: Findings from the National Study of Long-Term Care Providers

Yawen Li, Jay Chok, Geoffery Cui, Don Roosan, Kenneth Shultz

Submitted to: JMIR Aging on: December 18, 2023

Disclaimer: © **The authors. All rights reserved.** This is a privileged document currently under peer-review/community review. Authors have provided JMIR Publications with an exclusive license to publish this preprint on it's website for review purposes only. While the final peer-reviewed paper may be licensed under a CC BY license on publication, at this stage authors and publisher expressively prohibit redistribution of this draft paper other than for review purposes.

Table of Contents

Original Manuscript.......4

Electronic Health Information Exchange among Adult Day Services: Findings from the National Study of Long-Term Care Providers

Yawen Li^{1*} PhD, MSW; Jay Chok^{2*} PhD; Geoffery Cui³ BA; Don Roosan⁴ PharmD, PhD; Kenneth Shultz⁵

Corresponding Author:

Yawen Li PhD, MSW California State University San Bernardino School of Social Work Center on Aging 5500 University Pkwy San Bernardino US

Abstract

Our study provides the first robust empirical evidence on the use of electronic health information exchange in Adult Day Services Centers using nationally representative survey data.

(JMIR Preprints 18/12/2023:55620)

DOI: https://doi.org/10.2196/preprints.55620

Preprint Settings

- 1) Would you like to publish your submitted manuscript as preprint?
- ✓ Please make my preprint PDF available to anyone at any time (recommended).

Please make my preprint PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that my title and abstract will remain visible to all users. Only make the preprint title and abstract visible.

No, I do not wish to publish my submitted manuscript as a preprint.

- 2) If accepted for publication in a JMIR journal, would you like the PDF to be visible to the public?
- ✓ Yes, please make my accepted manuscript PDF available to anyone at any time (Recommended).

¹California State University San Bernardino School of Social Work Center on Aging San Bernardino US

²Westcliff University College of Business Irvine US

³California State University, San Bernardino Department of Psychology San Bernardino US

⁴Western University of Health Sciences College of Pharmacy Pomona US

⁵California State University Department of Psychology Center on Aging San Bernardino US

^{*}these authors contributed equally

Original Manuscript

Electronic Health Information Exchange among Adult Day Services: Findings from the National Study of Long-Term Care Providers

Yawen Li, PhD^{1*}, Jay Chok, PhD², Geoffrey Cui, BA³, Don Roosan, PharmD., PhD⁴, Kenneth Shultz, PhD⁵

- 1. School of Social Work, California State University, San Bernardino, CA
- 2. College of Business, Westcliff University, Irvine, CA
- 3. Department of Psychology, California State University, San Bernardino, CA
- 4. College of Pharmacy, Western University of Health Sciences, Pomona, CA
- 5. Department of Psychology and Center on Aging, California State University, San Bernardino,
 CA

Word Count for Main Text: 750

No specific funding was received for this work.

Summary Statement

Our study provides the first robust empirical evidence on the use of electronic health information

^{*}Corresponding author: Yawen Li, PhD, School of Social Work, California State University, San Bernardino, CA. 5500 University Parkway, San Bernardino, CA 92407-2318 Email: yawen.li@csusb.edu, Telephone: 909-537-5584

exchange in Adult Day Services Centers using nationally representative survey data.

Electronic Health Information Exchange among Adult Day Services: Findings from the National Study of Long-Term Care Providers

Keywords: Electronic Health Information Exchange, Adult Day Services, Organizational characteristics

INTRODUCTION

Electronic Health Information Exchange (HIE) plays a pivotal role in enhancing patient safety and care coordination through the accurate and timely sharing of patients' health records¹. Since the introduction of the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health (HITECH) Act in 2009, there has been a marked increase in the adoption of HIE technologies across hospitals² and physician clinics³. However, the long-term care sector has been slow in adopting HIE practices^{4,5}. While the adoption of HIE in hospitals and physician offices is monitored on a national level^{2,3}, the extent of its implementation in the long-term care sector, including residential nursing homes and home care services, remains poorly understood⁵. This gap is especially evident in Adult Day Services (ADS)⁶, community-based long-term care services playing a crucial role in providing post-acute care and managing chronic conditions among older adults in community settings. This study aims to assess the current state of HIE in ADS, using nationally representative data, and to identify key organizational characteristics that influence its adoption.

METHODS

The data for this study were obtained from the 2018 National Post-acute and Long-term Care Study (NPALS), a cross-sectional repeated survey by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS)⁷. The survey was administered to directors or knowledgeable staff members at Adult Day Services Centers (ADSCs). Out of the initial 6,361 ADSCs in the sampling framework, 1,367 were determined to be eligible, and 672 of them completed the provider questionnaire, resulting in a weighted response rate of 50%. After adjusting for eligibility and non-response, the final estimated national representative sample consisted of 4,200 ADSCs.

HIE was assessed as whether the adult day services center's computerized system support electronic health information exchange with either physicians or pharmacists. Various organizational

characteristics were evaluated as potential factors associated with HIE adoption, including ownership status, Medicaid licensure, Medicaid reimbursement percentage, ADSCs location, capacity, occupancy rate, years of establishment, and the availability of computer capabilities for functions such as patient information recording, or whether ADSC used Electronic Health Records (EHR) beyond accounting and billing purposes.

Logistic regression analyses were conducted to assess the likelihood of ADSCs adopting HIE, utilizing two sequential models to examine their association with adoption. The goodness-of-fit for the models was assessed using the Nagelkerke pseudo R-square. The statistical software used for analysis was SPSS version 28, which incorporated complex survey weights to account for the sampling design. Missing data, which were minimal (less than 3% across all variables), were handled using listwise deletion, and no issues of multicollinearity were identified. Statistical significance was set at p < .05 for two-tailed tests.

RESULTS

In the fully weighted sample, among 4,164 ADSCs, 711 (17.1%) had adopted HIE practices. The logistic regression analyses, as presented in Table 1, revealed several significant predictors of HIE adoption within ADSCs. In Model 1, these predictors included ADSCs' capacity, proximity to other healthcare providers, years of establishment, for-profit status, and the percentage of Medicaid patients they served. The introduction of computer capabilities into Model 2 bolstered the model's goodness-of-fit, indicated by Nagelkerke pseudo R-squared increasing from 0.075 to 0.331. Notably, the ability to view imaging reports was associated with a more than nine-fold increase in the likelihood of HIE adoption. Similarly, the capacity to order prescriptions and record clinical notes was linked to more than a three-fold increase in the likelihood of adoption. Additionally, recording medications and allergies, being in proximity to other healthcare providers, and using EHR were associated with more than a two-fold increase in the likelihood of HIE adoption. Interestingly,

ADSCs with higher capacity, Medicaid authorization, and the ability to record participant problems and view lab results exhibited a slightly reduced likelihood of adopting HIE practices.

Table 1: Ordinal Logistic Regression of HIE Exchange among ADSC (n=4,164)

	Model 1				Model 2			
	95% C.I.for			Exp(95% C.I.for			
	Exp(B)	EXP(B)		Sig.	В)	EXP(B)		Sig.
ADSC Capacity								
25 or fewer participants				<.001				<.001
26-50 participants	0.85	0.66	1.11	0.23	0.56	0.41	0.76	<.001
51 or more participants	1.37	1.08	1.74	0.01	0.64	0.47	0.86	0.00
Center location near other								
health services (yes)	2.22	1.84	2.68	<.001	2.26	1.81	2.82	<.001
Years of establishment								
Less than 10 years				<.001				0.16
10-19 years	0.67	0.54	0.83	<.001	0.79	0.62	1.01	0.06
More than 20 years	0.51	0.41	0.65	<.001	0.83	0.63	1.08	0.17
Occupancy rate (85 percent								
or higher)	1.12	0.92	1.36	0.26	0.91	0.73	1.13	0.39
ADSC Status (For-profit)	0.71	0.58	0.86	<.001	1.00	0.79	1.26	1.00
Medicaid authorization or								
set-up (Yes)	0.83	0.66	1.03	0.09	0.66	0.51	0.85	0.00
Medicaid Payment								
Percentage	1.01	1.01	1.01	<.001	1.01	1.00	1.01	<.001
Computer Capability								
Record participant demographics					1.36	1.02	1.81	0.04
Record clinical notes					3.14	2.34	4.22	<.001
Record participant medications and allergies					1.98	1.41	2.77	<.001
Record participant problem					0.52	0.38	0.71	<.001
Record individual service								
plan					1.17	0.86	1.59	0.31
View lab results					0.48	0.28	0.84	0.01
View imaging yeneyte							16.2	
View imaging reports					9.39	5.42	8	<.001
Order prescription					3.68	2.56	5.30	<.001
Electronic Health Record					1.62	1.27	2.05	<.001
Constant	0.13			<.001	0.51			<.001
R	0.075				0.331			

DISCUSSION

Our results offer valuable insights into HIE practices within ADSCs and the organizational factors

associated with them. Utilizing nationally representative data, we observed that 17.1% of ADSCs had HIE practices in 2018. Significant organizational predictors uncovered in this study offer insights to policymakers and healthcare stakeholders in formulating strategies to enhance HIE adoption in community-based long-term care services.

The presence of advanced computer capabilities was closely linked to a notably higher probability for adopting HIE. Key functionalities contributing to this include the capacity to access imaging reports, prescribe medications electronically, and document clinical notes, medications, and allergies. Additionally, the utilization of EHR was also significantly correlated with the implementation of HIE practices. These capabilities suggest a holistic and integrated approach to managing electronic health data, reflecting the core objectives of HIE and emphasizes the crucial role of robust information technology infrastructure in the operations of ADSC, facilitating their engagement with HIE.

Another notable predictor was the location of ADSCs to other healthcare providers. ADSCs located near other healthcare facilities were more likely to adopt HIE practices. This could indicate the influence of peer networks and the opportunities for collaboration and care coordination that arise when ADSCs are situated in close proximity to other healthcare providers, highlighting the importance of geographic factors in the adoption of HIE.

ADSCs with greater capacity, for-profit status, with computer capability to record participant problems and view lab results exhibited a slightly reduced likelihood of adopting HIE practices. These unexpected results may warrant further investigation to understand the nuances of HIE adoption in different ADSCs contexts. It's important to acknowledge the limitations of this study, including its cross-sectional nature and the absence of cost considerations in HIE adoption. Further research is warranted to comprehensively explore the range of obstacles and impediments that ADSC encounter during the integration of Health Information Exchange (HIE) technologies. Conclusively, this investigation contributes significant insights into the organizational determinants that influence

the adoption of HIE systems among ADSCs. A deeper understanding of elements such as technological infrastructure and geographic proximity to fellow healthcare providers can be instrumental in formulating targeted strategies. These strategies are pivotal in augmenting the adoption of HIE technologies within ADSCs, thereby potentially elevating the standard of care provided to the elderly population in community settings.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors planned the study. Yawen Li, Jay Chok, Geoffrey Cui analyzed the data and verified statistical analyses. Yawen Li and Jay Chok wrote the manuscript, and Kenneth Shultz and Don Roosan provided feedback for substantial revisions.

FUNDING INFORMATION

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or notfor-profit sectors.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors have no conflicts.

SPONSOR'S ROLE

No sponsor.

REFERENCES

- 1. Williams C, Mostashari F, Mertz K, Hogin E, Atwal P. From the Office of the National Coordinator: the strategy for advancing the exchange of health information. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2012;31(3):527-536. doi:10.1377/hlthaff.2011.1314
- 2. Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology. Electronic Health Information Exchange by Hospitals. Accessed December 15, 2023. https://www.healthit.gov/data/quickstats/electronic-health-information-exchange-hospitals
- 3. Office of the National Coordinator for Health Information Technology. Electronic Health Information Exchange by Office-based Physicians. Accessed December 15, 2023. https://www.healthit.gov/data/quickstats/electronic-health-information-exchange-office-based-physicians
- 4. Kruse CS, Marquez G, Nelson D, Palomares O. The Use of Health Information Exchange to Augment Patient Handoff in Long-Term Care: A Systematic Review. *Appl Clin Inform*. 2018;9(4):752-771. doi:10.1055/s-0038-1670651
- 5. Devers K, Lallemand N, Napoles A, Spillman B, Blavin F, Ozanich G. *Health Information Exchange in Long-Term and Post-Acute Care Settings: Final Report*. Urban Institute & HealthTech Solutions; 2015.
- 6. Li Y, Chok J, Cui G, Roosan D, Shultz K. Electronic health record adoption among adult day services: Findings from the national study of long-term care providers. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2023;71(12):3941-3943. doi:10.1111/jgs.18549
- 7. National Center for Health Statistics. 2018 National Study of Long-Term Care Providers: Survey Methodology for the Residential Care Community and Adult Day Services Center Components. https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/npals/Survey-methodology-document03152021.pdf

