

An Application-Based CBT Program Tailored for College Students: A Randomized Controlled Trial

Min Hee Kim, Kyong-Mee Chung

Submitted to: JMIR mHealth and uHealth
on: September 12, 2024

Disclaimer: © The authors. All rights reserved. This is a privileged document currently under peer-review/community review. Authors have provided JMIR Publications with an exclusive license to publish this preprint on its website for review purposes only. While the final peer-reviewed paper may be licensed under a CC BY license on publication, at this stage authors and publisher expressly prohibit redistribution of this draft paper other than for review purposes.

Table of Contents

Original Manuscript..... 5

Supplementary Files..... 31

 Figures 32

 Figure 1..... 33

 Figure 2..... 34

 Figure 3..... 35

CONSORT (or other) checklists..... 36

 CONSORT (or other) checklist 0..... 37

 CONSORT (or other) checklist 0..... 37

An Application-Based CBT Program Tailored for College Students: A Randomized Controlled Trial

Min Hee Kim¹ MA; Kyong-Mee Chung¹ PhD

¹ Department of Psychology Yonsei University Seoul KR

Corresponding Author:

Min Hee Kim MA

Department of Psychology
Yonsei University
50, Yonsei-ro, Seodaemun-gu
Seoul
KR

Abstract

Background: Technology-based Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (t-CBT) programs are increasingly recognized as accessible interventions for addressing mental health challenges, particularly among college students. Despite their potential, low adherence rates remain a key challenge that needs to be resolved to maximize the impact of such interventions.

Objective: To assess the effects of the tailored content and gamification elements of Mind Booster Green on reducing depressive symptoms and improving college life adjustment.

Methods: A randomized, unblinded controlled trial was conducted among college students. All study procedures, including recruitment and assessments, were conducted entirely online. Participants were randomly assigned to either the intervention group or a waitlist control group. The intervention group used Mind Booster Green, an app-based self-help CBT program for one month, which incorporated tailored case stories and gamification elements such as point and level systems to enhance user engagement and adherence. All outcomes were self-assessed via online questionnaires, including changes in depressive symptoms, college life adjustment, and both negative and positive automatic thoughts. Application usability was also evaluated. These outcomes were measured at three time points: pre-intervention, post-intervention, and at a two-month follow-up, using validated and standardized tools.

Results: A total of 170 participants (mean age 22.60, SD 3.37, 80% female) were participated in the study. A chi-square analysis revealed no significant differences between the intervention and waitlist control groups at baseline in terms of age, gender, or class year ($p > .05$). Generalized Estimating Equation (GEE) analysis revealed significant time $\times$ group interactions for all variables. The intervention group showed larger reductions in depressive symptoms (PHQ-9: Pre to Post, Cohen's $d = 1.12$; Post to F/U, Cohen's $d = 1.15$; BDI-II: Pre to Post, Cohen's $d = .90$; Post to F/U, Cohen's $d = 1.04$) and negative automatic thoughts (ATNQ-SF: Pre to Post, Cohen's $d = .36$; Post to F/U, Cohen's $d = .58$), as well as greater increases in adjustment to college life (SACQ-R: Pre to Post, Cohen's $d = -.87$) and positive automatic thoughts (ATPQ-SF: Pre to Post, Cohen's $d = -.45$) compared to the control group (Cohen's d for all variables = $-.22$ to $.04$). Adherence rates were 89% for the intervention group and 99% for the control group. Usability test results, assessed using the MARS, showed an overall score of 3.88, with scores above the medium level in the categories of entertainment, functionality, aesthetics, and information.

Conclusions: Mind Booster Green demonstrates substantial potential as a complementary or alternative intervention to traditional psychological counseling services for college students, providing a cost-effective and scalable solution for mental health issues. Future research should explore the applicability of this program in diverse populations. Clinical Trial: Korean Clinical Trial Registry (Clinical Research Information Service: CRIS) number KCT0009758 (retrospectively registered); https://cris.nih.go.kr/cris/search/detailSearch.do?seq=27868&search_page=L

(JMIR Preprints 12/09/2024:50006)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2196/preprints.50006>

Preprint Settings

1) Would you like to publish your submitted manuscript as preprint?

Please make my preprint PDF available to anyone at any time (recommended).

✓ **Please make my preprint PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that my title and abstract will remain visible to all u**

Only make the preprint title and abstract visible.

No, I do not wish to publish my submitted manuscript as a preprint.

2) If accepted for publication in a JMIR journal, would you like the PDF to be visible to the public?

✓ **Yes, please make my accepted manuscript PDF available to anyone at any time (Recommended).**

Yes, but please make my accepted manuscript PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that the title and abstract will remain v

Yes, but only make the title and abstract visible (see Important note, above). I understand that if I later pay to participate in <http://www.jmir.org>

No. Please do not make my accepted manuscript PDF available to anyone. I understand that if I later pay to participate in <https://www.jmir.org>

Original Manuscript

An Application-Based CBT Program Tailored for College Students: A Randomized Controlled Trial

Min Hee Kim • Kyong-Mee Chung

Abstract

Background: Technology-based cognitive behavioral therapy (t-CBT) programs are accessible interventions to address mental health challenges, particularly among college students. Despite their potential, low adherence rates remain a key challenge.

Objective: To assess the effects of the tailored content and gamification elements of the Mind Booster Green program on reducing depressive symptoms and improving college life adjustment.

Methods: A randomized, unblinded controlled trial was conducted among college students. All study procedures were conducted online. The participants were randomly assigned to the intervention or waitlist control groups. The intervention group used Mind Booster Green, an application-based self-help CBT program that incorporated tailored case stories and gamification elements, such as point and level systems, for one month to enhance user engagement and adherence. Outcomes were self-assessed using online questionnaires and included changes in depressive symptoms, college life adjustment, and negative and positive automatic thoughts. The usability of the application was also evaluated. Outcomes were measured at three time points: pre-intervention, post-intervention, and at a two-month follow-up (F/U), using validated and standardized tools.

Results: A total of 170 individuals (mean age = 22.60, standard deviation = 3.37, 80% female) participated in the study. A chi-square analysis revealed no significant differences between the two groups at baseline in terms of age, sex, or class year ($p > .05$). A generalized estimating equation analysis revealed significant time $\times$ group interactions for all variables. The intervention group showed larger reductions in depressive symptoms (Patient Health Questionnaire-9: pre to post, Cohen's $d = 1.12$; post to F/U, Cohen's $d = 1.15$; Beck Depression Inventory-II: pre to post, Cohen's $d = .90$; post to F/U, Cohen's $d = 1.04$) and negative automatic thoughts (Automatic Thought Questionnaire–Negative, Short Form: pre to post, Cohen's $d = .36$; post to F/U, Cohen's $d = .58$) as well as greater increases in adjustment to college life (Student Adaptation to College Questionnaire–Revised: pre to post, Cohen's $d = -.87$) and positive automatic thoughts (Automatic Thought Questionnaire–Positive, Short Form: pre to post, Cohen's $d = -.45$) compared with the control group (Cohen's d for all variables = $-.22$ to $.04$). Adherence rates were 89% and 99% for the intervention and control groups, respectively. The usability test results, assessed using the Mobile App Rating Scale, showed an overall score of 3.88, with scores above the medium level in the entertainment, functionality, aesthetics, and information categories.

Conclusions: Mind Booster Green demonstrated substantial potential as a complementary or alternative intervention to traditional psychological counseling services for college students, providing a cost-effective and scalable solution for mental health issues. Future research should explore the applicability of this program in diverse populations.

Trial Registration: Korean Clinical Trial Registry (Clinical Research Information Service)

number KCT0009758 (retrospectively registered);

https://cris.nih.go.kr/cris/search/detailSearch.do?seq=27868&search_page=L

Keywords: t-CBT; College students; depressive symptoms; Randomized controlled trial



Introduction

Cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) is an evidence-based treatment with substantial evidence supporting its effectiveness in alleviating depressive symptoms [1]. However, delivery constraints, such as requiring 12–16 weeks of face-to-face treatment by a professional, have hindered its widespread dissemination [2]. Recent advances in digital technology, including smartphones, have led to the development of technology-based CBT (t-CBT) programs that utilize digital tools. Several studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of these programs in reducing depressive symptoms [3-6]. With its advantages, such as high accessibility, low cost, and minimal stigmatization, t-CBT is gaining attention as an innovative treatment delivery method that can supplement or even provide an alternative to traditional face-to-face CBT [7]. However, participation in t-CBT interventions relies on users' willingness and motivation, resulting in the need to address issues such as low engagement and high dropout rates. For example, meta-analyses have reported user adherence rates of only 17%–26% [8, 9], and utilization surveys of real-world open-access unguided programs have reported much lower rates of approximately 4% [10]. The degree of adherence to an intervention program can be linked to its effectiveness [11]; therefore, the need to consider and research strategies to improve usability, user engagement, and adherence is increasing [12].

Tailoring has recently been proposed as a strategy for enhancing user engagement and adherence. This strategy involves personalizing an intervention's content and layout based on users' demographic and psychological characteristics and usage context [13]. Tailoring is particularly suitable for diagnostic groups with heterogeneous symptoms or demographic factors, such as individuals with depression, and is achieved by clearly defining the treatment target group based on specific factors (e.g., age, occupation, socioeconomic status, and ethnicity) and developing appropriate treatment strategies accordingly [14, 15]. Tailored content can provide personally relevant information directly to users, thereby increasing the initial uptake and use of an application and facilitating changes in user attitudes or behaviors [16]. In the context of t-CBT, tailoring strategies can be approached in several ways, including (1) providing content that reflects the characteristics and experiences of the target population [17-20], (2) developing personalized treatment plans according to individuals' diagnoses or symptoms [21, 22]; and (3) enabling users to customize features such as menus, tools, and notifications [23]. Tailored mental health intervention programs have been generally reported to positively affect user adherence, thereby enhancing program effectiveness and user satisfaction [16, 24, 25].

Among the aspects of tailoring, providing content that reflects the characteristics and experiences of the target population is suggested to facilitate users' acquisition of emotional, cognitive, and behavioral skills without expert assistance [26, 27]. In practice, t-CBT uses targeted audience-specific examples, language, and various multimedia formats (e.g., text, video, and pictures) to deliver psychoeducational content, providing the necessary information and modeling of skills they need to learn to be delivered to users more effectively [24]. For example, Strecher et al. [20] found that providing tailored fictional success stories reflecting user demographics such as gender, age, race, marital status, and smoking-related factors increased long-term engagement in a smoking cessation program, which was linked to program effectiveness.

Gamification, which involves applying game elements to non-game interactive systems [28], is another strategy for encouraging user engagement and adherence. Gamification has been reported to increase user motivation and engagement, make interactions with application-based programs enjoyable, and positively influence behavioral changes related to physical health and psychological well-being [29, 30]. In technology-based mental health interventions,

gamification is suggested to play a role in increasing application usage and user engagement and supporting the delivery of the intervention's active ingredients, thereby enhancing therapeutic outcomes [31]. In a previous meta-analysis, Cheng and Ebrahimi [32] showed that gamified interventions are more effective than non-gamified ones in reducing depressive and anxiety symptoms. In the context of t-CBT for mental health, gamification has been implemented using avatars [33, 34], storytelling, and reward systems [35, 36]. Specifically, several self-management program studies have shown that positive reinforcement such as points, badges, digital rewards, and tangible prizes have been shown to effectively promote health-related target behaviors [37]. These strategies must be considered for active application in t-CBT to encourage users to log in regularly, use the application, and complete in-application activities [38].

Although t-CBT has primarily been developed and deployed in populations with specific psychiatric conditions, interest in its application in younger populations, particularly college students, is growing. Depression is a common mental health concern among college students [39, 40] and can interfere with academic retention [41], career development [42], and social relationships [43], ultimately affecting their overall quality of life [44]. The increasing prevalence of depression among college students has become a social concern for clinicians and mental health professionals [45, 46]. Institutional efforts, such as student counseling services, aim to address students' mental health needs; however, actual utilization rates are low because of long wait times and concerns about social stigma [47-50]. In this context, t-CBT may serve as a useful means of addressing such difficulties. Given college students' high smartphone penetration and favorable attitudes toward application-based programs [51, 52], t-CBT holds significant potential for improving access to mental health care and addressing the unmet needs of this population.

Building on the existing research, two strategies can be applied to t-CBT programs aimed at reducing depressive symptoms among college students. First, programs should be tailored to the cognitive, behavioral, and emotional characteristics of depressive symptoms experienced by college students as well as stressors related to college life. Second, positive reinforcement strategies should be incorporated through gamification to encourage college students to use t-CBT programs voluntarily. This is based on research findings that younger digital natives [53], such as current college students, are more likely to find gamified products useful and enjoyable [54]; moreover, achievement-related gamification features, including badges and upgrades, have a more positive impact on this group than on older groups [55].

Despite its potential, relatively few studies have focused on developing and validating t-CBT programs that incorporate tailoring or gamification to alleviate depression among college students. While several studies have adapted interventions originally designed for the general adult populations [56-58], such efforts often lack sufficient consideration for the distinctive psychological and contextual needs of college students. Nevertheless, some studies have indicated the potential of tailored t-CBT programs for this population. Mullin et al. [18] demonstrated significant reductions in depressive and anxiety symptoms among college students using a tailored therapist-guided CBT program (UniWellbeing). Similarly, Lattie et al. [59] reported increased mental health literacy and high usage rates among students who used a tailored, unguided CBT program (IntelliCare). Recent evidence from an Asian context supports the effectiveness of Internet-based CBT programs tailored for local college students, further suggesting their broader applicability [60]. In Korea, some CBT programs originally developed for adults have been evaluated among college students [61]; however, such studies remain limited. Furthermore, studies incorporating gamification elements that are explicitly designed to enhance voluntary engagement and sustained usage are scarce, indicating a notable gap and underscoring the need for additional research.

To address this gap, the present study developed and evaluated the effectiveness of Mind Booster Green, a tailored, application-based self-help CBT program for reducing depressive symptoms among college students. Changes in depressive symptoms and adaptation to college life were assessed to verify the effectiveness of the program. Additionally, changes in negative and positive automatic thoughts were examined to verify the applicability of CBT mechanisms to students. Application usability was evaluated using standardized measures of engagement, functionality, aesthetics, and information quality.

Methods

Recruitment

Participants were recruited through advertisements on social media (e.g., Facebook and Naver) and online communities popular among college students and young adults (e.g., Everytime and job search cafés). Individuals had to meet the following inclusion criteria: (1) undergraduate or graduate students; (2) native Korean speakers with no difficulty using smartphones; (3) used a smartphone with iOS 12 or higher or Android 8 or higher; (4) scored 5 or higher on the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9), indicating mild depression, and less than 1 on the suicide item, indicating a low risk of suicide; and (5) were not currently receiving other mental health services (e.g., medication, psychotherapy, or counseling). The participants received an explanation of the study through an online video and signed an electronic consent form. Additionally, under Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval, they submitted a copy of their ID to verify their identity.

Study Setting

The study was conducted online in South Korea from June 2021 to March 2022. All study procedures, including recruitment, screening, consent, intervention delivery, and outcome assessments, were conducted remotely owing to the impact of COVID-19. Data were collected through Qualtrics, and survey links were delivered individually via a dedicated SNS channel. All participant communications, including survey timing notifications, survey links, reminders, inquiries, and risk issues, were managed through this channel. All procedures were performed by trained researchers as listed in the IRB-approved protocol.

Measures

Patient Health Questionnaire-9

The Korean version of the PHQ-9, developed by Kroenke et al. [62] and validated by Park et al. [63], was used to screen participants and measure changes in depression severity. The PHQ-9 consists of nine items that assess depressive symptoms experienced over the past two weeks, rated on a 4-point Likert scale from 0 (not at all) to 3 (nearly every day). It was administered during the screening to identify participants with mild or higher levels of depression ($\text{PHQ-9} \geq 5$) and no moderate or higher suicidal ideation ($\text{PHQ-9 item 9} \leq 1$). Given the time gap between screening and baseline assessments, the PHQ-9 was also included in the pre-, post-, and follow-up assessments. The internal consistency (Cronbach's α) in the Korean standardization study [64] was .95, and in this study, it was .79.

Korean Beck Depression Inventory-II

The Korean version of the Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II), developed by Beck et al. [65] and validated by Kim et al. [66], was used to assess changes in depression severity. The BDI-II is a 21-item standardized questionnaire that is widely used to evaluate depressive symptoms. Participants rated their level of difficulty with depressive symptoms such as sadness, lethargy, feelings of worthlessness, and changes in sleep and appetite over the past two weeks on a 4-point Likert scale ranging from 0 (not at all) to 3 (severely). Regarding internal consistency, the Cronbach's α was .83 in the Korean standardization study [67] and .89 in this study.

Automatic Thought Questionnaire–Negative/Positive, Short Form

The Automatic Thought Questionnaire–Negative, Short Form (ATQN-SF) and the Automatic Thought Questionnaire–Positive, Short Form (ATQP-SF) were used to assess participants' patterns of automatic thoughts—both negative and positive. The ATQN-SF, developed by Hollon and Kendall [68], adapted into Korean by Kwon and Yoon [69], and further refined by Heo and Kim [70], comprises nine items rated on a 5-point Likert scale (0 = not at all to 4 = always). It measures negative automatic thoughts associated with depression and assesses changes in thought patterns in alignment with CBT mechanisms. The ATQP-SF, a 10-item scale adapted and validated in Korean by Heo and Kim [71] from the original ATQ-R by Kendall et al. [72], evaluates the frequency of positive automatic thoughts, which are often lacking in individuals vulnerable to depression. Both subscales demonstrated excellent internal consistency, with Cronbach's α values of .96 (ATQN-SF) and .93 (ATQP-SF) reported in previous studies and .89 (ATQN-SF) and .91 (ATQP-SF) in the present study.

Student Adaptation to College Questionnaire–Revised

The Student Adaptation to College Questionnaire–Revised (SACQ-R) was used to measure participants' adaptation to college life. Developed by Baker and Siryk [73] and revised and adapted into Korean by Lee [74], the SACQ-R assesses academic, social, emotional, and physical adjustment as well as attachment to the institution. The 25-item measure is rated on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (not at all) to 5 (very much). Regarding internal consistency, the Cronbach's α was .85 in previous research [74] and in this study.

Mobile App Rating Scale

To evaluate the usability of the application, the Mobile App Rating Scale (MARS) developed by Stoyanov et al. [75] was translated into Korean and used according to the guidelines of the International Test Commission [76]. The scale comprises 33 items across 5 factors: engagement, functionality, aesthetics, information quality, and application-specific items (subjective satisfaction). Participants rated each item on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (not at all) to 5 (very much). Additionally, an open-ended question was included to gather unstructured subjective feedback: "What did you like about using the application?" Usability was assessed post-intervention in the intervention group ($n = 80$).

Design and Procedure

All study procedures were conducted in accordance with the CONSORT-EHEALTH guidelines.

This was a two-arm, parallel-group, randomized controlled trial with a waitlist control (WLC) design. An a priori power analysis using G*Power indicated a minimum required sample size of 42 participants based on an expected effect size of $F^2 = .25$, $P = .05$, and power = .80, derived from prior t-CBT efficacy studies.

Participants who provided informed consent were randomly assigned to the intervention or WLC group. Randomization was conducted using a pre-generated random number table created in Microsoft Excel by a research assistant registered in the IRB-approved protocol. The assistant used the =RAND() function to generate random values, which were sorted to assign the participants to groups. As this was an open-label trial, both the participants and investigators were aware of the group assignments.

Participants who met the inclusion criteria underwent the following steps: (1) pre-intervention assessment; (2) intervention or waiting period depending on group assignment; (3) post-intervention assessment; and (4) follow-up assessment. The pre-intervention assessment was conducted immediately after participants provided informed consent. The post-intervention assessment was conducted after the intervention group completed the 28-session Mind Booster Green training and 30 days post-baseline for the WLC group. A follow-up assessment was conducted two months after the post-intervention assessment. Both groups completed the PHQ-9, BDI-II, SACQ-R, ATQN-SF, and ATQP-SF at all the assessment points. Additionally, the MARS was administered to the intervention group during the post-intervention assessment for usability evaluation.

After completing the two-month follow-up assessment, participants in the WLC group were granted access to the application. Application access was provided only after all required assessments were completed. No symptom data or application usage records were collected from the WLC group following intervention, and post-intervention data were not included in the outcome analyses.

Intervention Condition: Mind Booster Green Group

Participants assigned to the intervention group downloaded and installed the application from Apple's app store (iOS) or the Google Play store (Android) via a URL provided by the researchers. Upon registration, the researchers approved the use of the application on the admin page. The participants began training independently without additional guidance or support and were advised to complete one session per day, with the researchers monitoring their progress via the admin page. Participants who did not log in or complete sessions for more than three days received prompt text messages, and those who did not engage for more than 10 days were considered withdrawn. Other than the text message prompts to resume the use of the application, participants received no feedback on their performance from the researchers, who were only available to answer questions about the study via an SNS channel.

Mind Booster Green

Mind Booster Green is a self-help CBT application adapted from "HaruToday," a CBT application initially developed for cancer patients [17, 77] with the support of the Korean Ministry of Health and Welfare (HA16C0021). HaruToday has three modules (depression or anxiety, sleep, and pain issues) and has been validated for its effectiveness on depression or anxiety [17] and sleep [77]. The Mind Booster Green program was modified to address depression in undergraduate and graduate students by reducing the number of sessions from 48 to 28 based on user feedback. Tailored CBT content was developed through focus group interviews with undergraduate and graduate students to gather specific case stories related to their

experiences with depression. A pilot test involving CBT experts and college students was conducted, and the final version was completed through revision and supplementation. The program used in this study was not updated during the experimental period [78]. The main training program comprises 5 zones with 28 sessions targeting effective CBT techniques for managing depressive symptoms: (1) psychoeducation, (2) cognitive restructuring, (3) behavioral activation, (4) relaxation training, and (5) problem solving. Each zone includes 4–10 sessions, each lasting approximately 10–15 minutes and consisting of 50–70 illustrated slides with interactive pages where users can input answers, complete quizzes, or fill out worksheets. Audio narration is available for each slide and can be customized by the user.

Six characters representing college students are featured in the story, and the text and illustrations detail how they work with experts to explore their depressive symptoms, learn cognitive-behavioral skills to manage their symptoms, and overcome challenges (Figure 1). Users also engage in interactive activities such as quizzes and activity planning to learn skills. Table 1 summarizes the specific characteristics of each zone. The application includes mood-monitoring features, allowing users to track their mood visually and providing thought records and relaxation audio or video to apply the learned techniques in real life.

Figure 1. Example screen from Mind Booster Green




Table 1. Session outline of Mind Booster Green

Training zone	No. of sessions	Content
Psychoeducation	4	Characteristics of depressive symptoms Definitions of CBT concepts Self-observation methods

Behavioral activation	5	Explore feel-good activities Plan feel-good activities
Cognitive restructuring	10	Explore automatic thoughts and cognitive distortions Cognitive restructuring techniques Introduction of the thought record
Problem solving	5	Five-step problem-solving strategies Time management Self-assertiveness skills Anger management strategies
Relaxation	4	Progressive muscle relaxation Guided imagery

Mind Booster Green uses point-and-level systems based on positive reinforcement. Participants earned points for attendance and completion of mood checks, sessions, training zones, and thought records. Accumulating points led to leveling, with five levels requiring progressively more points. As the participants leveled up, the main character's potted plant grew, providing encouragement and emotional support.

Waitlist Control Condition

Participants in the WLC group were instructed to maintain their usual activities for 30 days without additional guidance or support from the researchers. Similar to the intervention group, they were able to contact the research team through the SNS channel for study-related inquiries.

Statistical Analysis

The analyses included data from 91 participants in the intervention group and 79 in the control group for the intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis and 78 participants in both groups for the per-protocol (PP) analysis. One-way analyses of variance (ANOVAs) and chi-square analyses were conducted to examine demographic characteristics and ensure group homogeneity in the pre-assessment. To evaluate the effectiveness of the intervention, an ITT analysis [79] was performed using the entire dataset, including dropouts, and a PP analysis was conducted with participants who completed all procedures. A generalized estimating equation (GEE) was used to test for group differences in changes over time [80]. As all dependent variables were non-normally distributed, the GEE analysis used an unstructured working correlation structure and gamma distribution with a log-link response scale. Time and group were the predictor variables, and the dependent variables included the PHQ-9, BDI-II, SACQ-R, ATQN-SF, and ATQP-SF, with pre-assessment scores as covariates. Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS version 27. Within- and between-group effect sizes (Cohen's *d*) were calculated using absolute scores at each time point.

Ethical Considerations

This study was reviewed and approved by the IRB of Yonsei University (IRB No. 7001988-

202202-HR-1127-10) in accordance with the institutional and national ethical guidelines for research involving human participants. All participants were fully informed about the purpose, procedures, and voluntary nature of the study through an online explanation and provided written informed consent by electronically signing a digital consent form prior to participation. They were also informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any time, without penalty. All collected data were deidentified to ensure the participants' privacy and confidentiality. No personally identifiable information was stored, and all data were securely managed on encrypted servers with access limited to authorized research staff.

Participants received monetary compensation equivalent to 50,000 KRW (approximately 40 USD) after completing the follow-up assessment, with prorated compensation for partial participation. Participants in the WLC group were granted access to the application after the follow-up assessment, along with compensation. These measures were implemented to ensure fairness and acknowledge the participants' time and contributions to the study.

Trial Registration


This study was approved by the IRB prior to its initiation. However, the clinical trial was retrospectively registered in the Korean Clinical Trial Registry (Clinical Research Information Service) under registration number KCT0009758. At the time of the study, the necessity of prospective clinical trial registration was not fully recognized, particularly because trial registration is not a legal requirement in Korea. However, we promptly addressed this oversight and retrospectively completed the registration. The research procedures reported in the registration are fully consistent with the protocol approved by the IRB. It was ensured that all research procedures adhered to the ethical standards approved by the IRB and that retrospective registration did not impact the integrity or outcomes of the study.

Results

Participant Flow and Sample Description

Of the 506 undergraduate and graduate students who volunteered to participate, 172 met the inclusion criteria and were enrolled. A pre-generated random number table in Microsoft Excel was used to randomly assign participants to the intervention ($n = 91$) or WLC ($n = 81$) group. Before the baseline assessment, two participants in the WLC group ceased contact and were excluded from the study. During the intervention period, 11 participants were excluded owing to loss of contact (intervention group, $n = 9$) or voluntary withdrawal (intervention group, $n = 2$). Between the post-intervention and follow-up assessments, contact with two participants from the intervention group was lost, and one participant from the WLC group was excluded because they used other psychological services. Consequently, 156 participants (78 in each group) completed all three assessments. For the ITT analysis, data from 91 and 79 participants in the intervention and control groups, respectively, were included. For the PP analysis, data from 78 participants in both groups were included. Figure 2 presents a detailed flowchart of the participant selection process.

Figure 2. CONSORT diagram of participant flow



The mean age of the study participants ($n = 170$) was 22.60 years (standard deviation [SD] = 3.37, range = 18–38 years, median = 22 years), with 80% (136/170) female and 20% (34/170) male participants. Of the total participants, 17.1% (29/170) were freshmen, 17.6% (30/170) sophomores, 24.1% (41/170) juniors, 22.9% (39/170) seniors or above, and 18.2% (31/170) graduate students. Table 2 shows the demographic characteristics of participants in both groups. The chi-square analysis showed no differences between the intervention and WLC groups in terms of age, sex, or class year (Table 2; all $P > .05$).

Table 2. Participant characteristics by group

Characteristics		Application ($n = 91$)	Control ($n = 79$)	F / X^2	P
Age, mean (SD)		22.31 (3.34)	22.91 (3.41)	$F = 1.31$	.25
Sex, n (%)	Female	73 (80.2)	63 (79.7)	$X^2 = .01$	.94
	Male	18 (19.8)	16 (20.3)		
Year, n (%)	Freshman	14 (15.4)	15 (19.0)	$X^2 = .328$	.51
	Sophomore	20 (22.0)	10 (12.7)		
	Junior	19 (20.9)	22 (27.8)		
	Senior level or above	21 (23.1)	18 (22.8)		
	Graduate student	17 (18.7)	14 (17.7)		

Table 3 presents the observed means and standard deviations of the PHQ-9, BDI-II, SACQ-R, ATPQ-SF, and ATNQ-SF scores by group at pre-assessment and the results of the between-group

analyses of variance. No significant differences were observed between the groups for any variable at pre-assessment ($P > .05$).

Table 3. Observed means and standard deviations for scores of each variable by group

Measures	Time	Intervention Pre $n = 91$ Post $n = 80$ F/U $n = 78$	Control Pre $n = 79$ Post $n = 79$ F/U $n = 78$	F	P
		$M (SD)$	$M (SD)$		
PHQ-9	Pre	10.75 (4.12)	10.13 (4.00)	.98	.40
	Post	6.09 (4.19)	8.51 (4.57)		
	F/U	5.81 (4.43)	7.46 (4.68)		
BDI-II	Pre	22.59 (9.51)	20.23 (9.01)	2.55	.14
	Post	13.38 (10.80)	17.90 (9.73)		
	F/U	12.26 (10.65)	16.21 (10.62)		
SACQ-R	Pre	68.13 (12.40)	69.91 (12.97)	.84	.33
	Post	79.16 (13.05)	71.76 (16.98)		
	F/U	79.38 (14.17)	73.27 (16.83)		
ATQP-SF	Pre	12.67 (6.23)	13.85 (6.76)	1.40	.40
	Post	15.85 (7.83)	14.28 (7.56)		
	F/U	16.18 (9.52)	14.71 (9.36)		
ATQN-SF	Pre	11.51 (7.77)	9.75 (6.60)	2.49	.10
	Post	8.66 (7.89)	9.75 (8.48)		
	F/U	7.24 (6.99)	9.42 (7.98)		

Note. PHQ-9: Patient Health Questionnaire 9 items; BDI-II: Beck Depression Inventory-II; SACQ-R: Student Adaptation to College Questionnaire-Revised; ATQP-SF: Automatic Thought Questionnaire-Positive, Short Form; ATQN-SF: Automatic Thought Questionnaire-Negative, Short Form.

Effectiveness of Mind Booster Green

Intention-to-Treat Analysis

The estimated marginal means and standard errors of the PHQ-9, BDI-II, SACQ-R, ATPQ-SF, and ATNQ-SF scores at the pre-, post-, and follow-up assessments are presented in Table 4, and the trends in score changes across time points are illustrated in Figure 3. The GEE analysis revealed a significant time $\times$ group interaction for all variables, and the main effects of group and time were significant for all five variables. These findings indicated significant differences between the intervention and control groups in terms of changes in depressive symptoms, adjustment to college life, and positive and negative automatic thoughts over time. The paired comparisons showed that the intervention group had lower scores for depressive symptoms (PHQ-9 and BDI-II) and negative automatic thoughts (ATNQ-SF) and higher scores for adjustment to college life (SACQ-R) and positive automatic thoughts (ATPQ-SF) after the intervention than before the use of Mind Booster Green. An examination of the effect size of the change from pre-to post-assessment and follow-up assessment scores in the intervention group showed a large decrease in depressive symptom scores after using the application (pre to post Cohen's $d =$ PHQ-9 1.12, BDI-II .90), followed by a small but sustained decrease in scores up to two months after the post-assessment (post to F/U Cohen's $d =$ PHQ-9 1.15, BDI-II 1.04). Negative

automatic thoughts followed a pattern similar to that of depressive symptoms; however, the magnitude of change was smaller (pre to post Cohen's $d = .36$; post to F/U Cohen's $d = .58$). Although scores for adjustment to college life and positive automatic thoughts increased at post-assessment compared with pre-assessment (pre to post Cohen's $d = \text{SACQ-R } -.87$, $\text{ATQP-SF } -.45$), little difference was found in these scores between the post- and follow-up assessments (pre to F/U Cohen's $d = \text{SACQ-R } -.85$, $\text{ATQP-SF } -.44$). Table 5 presents the effect sizes of the mean score changes at each time point.

The control group showed a decrease in depressive symptoms from pre-to post-assessment and follow-up; however, the magnitude was smaller than that in the intervention group, and the group mean scores were higher than those in the intervention group when comparing the PHQ-9 and BDI-II scores for the post- and follow-up assessments (Table 5). When comparing scores for the pre-, post-, and follow-up assessments, the effect sizes (Cohen's d) for changes in adjustment to college life and positive and negative automatic thoughts ranged from $-.22$ to $.04$, indicating no change or a small change.

Table 4. Results of the GEE analysis with covariate adjustment for the pre-assessment scores of each variable

Measures		Intention to treat		Per protocol	
		Wald X^2	P	Wald X^2	P
PHQ-9	Group x Time	28.73	< .001	29.54	< .001
	Group	16.95	< .001	18.05	< .001
	Time	118.10	< .001	117.95	< .001
BDI-II	Group x Time	28.54	< .001	35.82	< .001
	Group	23.11	< .001	30.55	< .001
	Time	81.78	< .001	95.98	< .001
SACQ-R	Group x Time	30.93	< .001	31.98	< .001
	Group	26.22	< .001	27.46	< .001
	Time	93.26	< .001	94.87	< .001
ATQP-SF	Group x Time	7.61	.02	7.84	.02
	Group	6.02	.02	.5.89	.02
	Time	35.93	< .001	35.79	< .001
ATQN-SF	Group x Time	9.28	.01	9.00	< .01
	Group	10.25	.001	9.99	.002
	Time	2.82	.24	2.79	.25

Note. Intervention pre $n = 91$, post $n = 80$, F/U $n = 78$; Control pre $n = 79$, post $n = 79$, F/U $n = 78$; PHQ-9: Patient Health Questionnaire 9 items; BDI-II: Beck Depression Inventory-II; SACQ-R: Student Adaptation to College Questionnaire-Revised; ATQP-SF: Automatic Thought Questionnaire-Positive, Short Form; ATQN-SF: Automatic Thought Questionnaire-Negative, Short Form.

Table 5. Estimated marginal means and effect size


	Estimated marginal means (SE) [95% CI]			Within-group Cohen's d from pre scores [95% CI]		Between-group Cohen's d [95% CI]	
	Pre Intervent	Post Intervent	F/U Intervent	Post	F/U	Post	F/U

	ion $n = 91$ Control $n = 79$	ion $n = 80$ Control $n = 79$	ion $n = 78$ Control $n = 78$				
PHQ-9							
Intervention	9.84 (.08) [9.68, 10.00]	5.68 (.32) [5.09, 6.34]	5.96 (.49) [5.08, 7.00]	1.12 [.82, 1.42]	1.15 [.85, 1.46]	-.78 [-1.22, -.34]	-.36 [-.68, -.05]
Control	9.71 (.10) [9.51, 9.91]	8.32 (.42) [7.53, 9.2]	7.38 (.41) [6.61, 8.23]	.38 [.07, .69]	.61 [.30, .93]		
BDI-II							
Intervention	19.87 (.18) [19.52, 20.23]	11.87 (.80) [10.4, 13.55]	11.18 (.89) [9.57, 13.06]	.90 [.60, 1.2]	1.04 [.71, 1.37]	-.44 [-.75, -.13]	-.37 [-.69, -.06]
Control	19.66 (.32) [19.04, 20.29]	17.62 (.75) [16.21, 19.16]	16.26 (.90) [14.60, 18.12]	.25 [-.06, .56]	.41 [.10, .72]		
SACQ-R							
Intervention	67.64 (.28) [67.09, 68.20]	79.54 (1.31) [77.01, 82.14]	80.21 (1.57) [77.2, 83.34]	-.87 [-1.17, -.57]	-.85 [-1.15, -.54]	.49 [.18, .80]	.39 [.08, .71]
Control	67.82 (.33) [67.17, 68.48]	70.68 (.93) [68.87, 72.53]	71.94 (1.55) [68.96, 75.05]	-.12 [-.43, .19]	-.22 [-.54, .09]		
ATQP-SF							
Intervention	11.81 (.22) [11.39, 12.25]	15.68 (.68) [14.4, 17.08]	16.04 (.86) [14.43, 17.81]	-.45 [-.75, -.15]	-.44 [-.74, -.13]	.20 [-.11, .51]	.16 [-.16, .47]
Control	11.83 (.21) [11.42, 12.24]	13.00 (.73) [11.64, 14.52]	13.52 (.82) [12.01, 15.21]	-.06 [-.37, .25]	-.10 [-.42, .21]		
ATQN-SF							
Intervention	9.01 (.26) [8.51, 9.55]	7.56 (.55) [6.55, 8.71]	6.86 (.64) [5.72, 8.23]	.36 [.06, .66]	.58 [.28, .88]	-.13 [-.44, .18]	-.29 [-.60, .02]

Control	9.04 (.27) [8.53, 9.58]	9.41 (.59) [8.33, 10.63]	9.85 (.76) [8.46, 11.47]	0 [-.31, .31]	.04 [-.27, .36]		
----------------	----------------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------------	------------------	--------------------	--	--

Note. PHQ-9: Patient Health Questionnaire 9 items; BDI-II: Beck Depression Inventory-II; SACQ-R: Student Adaptation to College Questionnaire-Revised; ATQP-SF: Automatic Thought Questionnaire-Positive, Short Form; ATQN-SF: Automatic Thought Questionnaire-Negative, Short Form

Figure 3. Means and 95% intervals for the groups across the assessment time points



Per-Protocol Analysis

A PP analysis was conducted on the data of participants who completed all 28 sessions of the Mind Booster Green training program and all three assessments. Adherence rates were 89% and 99% in the intervention and WLC groups, respectively. The analysis was conducted using the GEE, as in the ITT analysis. The results showed significant time $\times$ group interactions for the PHQ-9, BDI-II, SACQ-R, ATPQ-SF, and ATNQ-SF scores and significant main effects of group and time for all variables. These findings were consistent with those of the ITT analysis. The results of the PP analysis are presented in Table 4.

Usability Test Results

Table 6 presents the results of the usability test using MARS in the intervention group ($n = 80$). When evaluated on a 5-point Likert scale and averaged across the categories of scores checked for each item, entertainment, functionality, aesthetics, and information application-specific domains were all rated above the medium level. The overall usability score for Mind Booster Green was 3.88.

Table 6. Means and standard deviations for each category in the usability test

MARS	Entertainment	Functionality	Aesthetic	Information	Application-specific
Mean (SD)	3.57 (.66)	4.17 (.76)	3.40 (.75)	4.36 (.56)	3.92 (.67)

Note. $n = 80$; MARS: Mobile App Rating Scale, score range = 1–5.

Discussion

Mind Booster Green is an application-based CBT program designed to alleviate depressive symptoms in college students. To enhance the program's effectiveness and encourage continuous and independent use, the following strategies were applied: (1) tailoring the content using case stories reflecting the experiences college students are likely to have and (2) incorporating gamification elements, such as point and level systems, to promote application use. To verify the effectiveness of the program, we examined the reduction in depressive symptoms and improvement in college life adjustment and assessed the application's usability using a standardized measure. The key findings and implications of this study were as follows. First, the self-help t-CBT program Mind Booster Green was found to be effective in alleviating depressive symptoms in college students immediately after completing the training provided by the application, and the effect was sustained for at least two months post-intervention. Additionally, the intervention group that used Mind Booster Green reported improved academic, social, emotional, and health-related adjustment to college life. These results are encouraging as they demonstrate that application-based CBT programs can effectively reduce depressive symptoms through cognitive-behavioral skills training and extend these improvements to students' real-life functioning. The results of this study suggest that Mind Booster Green can complement or serve as an alternative to existing psychological counseling services, providing a cost-effective and efficient solution to mental health issues among college students.

Second, based on a detailed examination of the program's effectiveness, the intervention group exhibited reductions in depressive symptoms and changes in automatic thoughts, specifically a decrease in negative automatic thoughts and an increase in positive thoughts. Although this study did not conduct a formal mediation analysis to determine whether changes in thought patterns directly mediated symptom improvement, these findings are consistent with the theoretical underpinnings of CBT that emphasize the role of cognitive restructuring in alleviating emotional distress. Cognitive behavioral therapy assumes that emotions and behaviors are influenced by individuals' cognitive appraisals and seeks to reduce psychological symptoms by identifying and modifying negatively biased thoughts through cognitive and behavioral strategies [81]. Acquiring skills to challenge and replace maladaptive automatic

thoughts is considered a core component of CBT treatment [82, 83]. Researchers have emphasized the importance of embedding these core mechanisms into digital CBT interventions and delivering them in ways that maintain therapeutic integrity [12]. The observed changes in automatic thoughts suggest that the program successfully incorporated the key elements of CBT and may have contributed to symptom improvement. Although relatively few studies have directly examined therapeutic mechanisms in t-CBT interventions, existing evidence reflects cognitive changes that are in line with CBT theory, suggesting potential applicability across different interventions [84-87]. These findings support the fundamental theoretical model of CBT and demonstrate its effectiveness across digital formats. They also provide empirical support for the active implementation of t-CBT as a practical and scalable approach for treating depression.

Third, despite being a self-help program, Mind Booster Green showed a relatively high adherence rate. Mind Booster Green was designed for students to use independently without the help of therapists or experts. Although self-help programs maximize the economic and accessibility advantages of t-CBT programs, low adherence rates remain a challenge. The adherence rate of 89% in the intervention group was significantly higher than the reported adherence rate of approximately 26% for technology-based self-help interventions but similar to the 85% adherence rate reported for traditional face-to-face interventions [9]. Although adherence rates in randomized controlled trials are generally higher than those in observational studies [88, 89], the rate observed in this study is notable. Enhancing user engagement and participation in intervention programs is closely linked to effectiveness [11], making adherence an important issue to address when developing self-help t-CBT programs. Thus, this study actively applied two strategies—tailoring and gamification—and the high adherence rate indirectly suggests their effectiveness.

The tailoring strategy in Mind Booster Green was designed based on social learning theory and intended to effectively model the cognitive and behavioral strategies of CBT. Although tailoring can be broadly applied to provide information that fits user characteristics and needs, the tailoring strategy in Mind Booster Green focuses on program content composition. The program features college students who share their experiences related to depression and learn CBT skills to overcome their problems, thereby modeling how users in similar situations can apply these skills in their lives. Specific narratives reflecting the characteristics of a particular population facilitate effective modeling, a technique widely used in various psychological therapy contexts [90] and reported to enhance user engagement [91]. The changes in automatic thoughts observed alongside the reduction in depressive symptoms in this study indicated that the cognitive skills targeted by the program were appropriately conveyed to the participants. Additionally, approximately 20% of the study participants mentioned that “real college student cases” in the content helped them relate to the program and learn CBT skills. The gamification strategy in Mind Booster Green was designed based on behavioral theory to ensure that users continuously engaged in and completed the application’s treatment activities. Mind Booster Green clarified the tasks that the participants needed to accomplish each day (goal setting), provided feedback on progress (progress and feedback), and implemented point and level systems (rewards) based on task completion. These are the key gamification strategies used in technology-based mental health interventions [92]. Notably, the gamification strategy used in this study was based on behavioral theory and aimed to help users learn and engage in new behaviors. Specifically, we analyzed the tasks that users needed to complete in Mind Booster Green and designed positive reinforcement strategies to increase the performance of those tasks. Points were awarded for engaging in and completing the training tasks, and a level system was introduced that showed the main character evolving as the points accumulated. Behavioral theory scientifically explores the factors that elicit and maintain

human behavior, and strategies based on this theory have been reported to be effective in increasing application use behaviors [30, 93]. As demonstrated in this study, using task analysis and reinforcement schedules based on established behavioral theories in application-based mental health programs can effectively encourage and teach desired behaviors.

The usability evaluation results for Mind Booster Green also reflected the effectiveness of these strategies. In this study, the MARS [75], a standardized assessment tool, was used to measure usability, allowing for comparisons with other programs. Lau et al. [94] used MARS to assess the released application-based mental health interventions and reported average overall scores of 3.52 ($SD = .71$). The overall score for Mind Booster Green was 3.88, which was slightly higher than the previously reported results obtained using MARS, with notably higher scores for functionality (4.17) and information quality (4.36). These positive user evaluations suggested that the intervention program development strategy, which considers user characteristics and preferences, positively influenced the user experience. This study clearly defined the target behaviors that users need to acquire to alleviate depressive symptoms and guided these behaviors by developing specific elements of tailoring and gamification based on psychological theory. The effectiveness of Mind Booster Green, the high adherence rate, and positive usability evaluation results highlight the importance of developing theoretically grounded strategies for therapeutic mechanisms and application-use behavior.

Limitations and Future Directions

This study was limited to one country, the Republic of Korea, and primarily included students from four-year universities in Seoul, despite recruiting participants from various universities and graduate schools nationwide. The participant demographics showed a sex imbalance, with significantly fewer males than females. Additionally, the study included a broad range of participants, from freshmen to graduate students. Future studies should include a more diverse range of school types and recruit a larger number of participants of different genders and class-year groups for repeated verification. Expanding the verification to an international scope to explore whether the program's effectiveness can be generalized to college students in other countries could also provide valuable data for developing tailored t-CBT programs for the target population.

This study applied tailoring and gamification features based on behavioral theories such as social learning and positive reinforcement to a t-CBT program and showed positive results in reducing depressive symptoms and improving adherence rates and usability. However, future research must investigate how the individual factors of tailoring and gamification mediate these positive outcomes. Existing studies analyzing the impact of these features on program effectiveness and adherence have produced conflicting results [95] owing to the limited number of studies and differences in how these features are defined. Thus, the continuous development of t-CBT programs incorporating tailoring and gamification is needed, along with research on how these effects are mediated by the specific designs and theoretical underpinnings of these strategies.

Conclusion

This study found that the self-help t-CBT program Mind Booster Green can be effective in reducing depressive symptoms and improving adjustment to college life among college students. These results suggested the potential of self-help t-CBT programs in alleviating and preventing depression in this target group. Future research should test the effectiveness and usability of the strategies applied in this study, including tailoring and gamification, across

diverse populations.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Technology Innovation Program (RS-2024-00487049, Development and Demonstration of Complex Emotion Recognition On-device AI Technology for In-vehicle Driver Emotional Services) funded by the Ministry of Trade, Industry and Energy (Korea) and by a Yonsei University Research Grant (2021).

Conflicts of Interest

The corresponding author is the founder of the company that commercializes the intervention described in this manuscript. The other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Abbreviations

ATQN-SF: Automatic Thought Questionnaire-Negative, Short Form

ATQP-SF: Automatic Thought Questionnaire-Positive, Short Form

CBT: cognitive behavioral therapy

GEE: generalized estimating equation

ITT: intention-to-treat

MARS: Mobile App Rating Scale

PHQ-9: Patient Health Questionnaire-9

PP: per-protocol

SACQ-R: Student Adaptation to College Questionnaire-Revised

t-CBT: technology-based cognitive behavioral therapy

References

1. Cuijpers P, Smit F, Bohlmeijer E, Hollon SD, Andersson G. Efficacy of cognitive-behavioural therapy and other psychological treatments for adult depression: meta-analytic study of publication bias. *Br J Psychiatry*; 2010 Mar;196(3):173-8. PMID:20194536, doi:10.1192/bjp.bp.109.066001.
2. Williams C, Martinez R. Increasing access to CBT: stepped care and CBT self-help models in practice. *Behav Cogn Psychother*; 2008;36(6):675-83. doi:10.1017/s1352465808004864.
3. Firth J, Torous J, Nicholas J, Carney R, Prata A, Rosenbaum S, et al. The efficacy of smartphone-based mental health interventions for depressive symptoms: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *World Psychiatry*; 2017 Oct;16(3):287-98. PMID:28941113, doi:10.1002/wps.20472.
4. Karyotaki E, Riper H, Twisk J, Hoogendoorn A, Kleiboer A, Mira A, et al. Efficacy of self-guided internet-based cognitive behavioral therapy in the treatment of depressive symptoms: a meta-analysis of individual participant data. *JAMA Psychiatry*; 2017 Apr;74(4):351-9. PMID:28241179, doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2017.0044.
5. Saad A, Bruno D, Camara B, D'Agostino J, Bolea-Alamanac B. Self-directed technology-based therapeutic methods for adult patients receiving mental health services: systematic review. *JMIR Ment Health*; 2021 Nov;8(11):e27404. PMID:34842556, doi:10.2196/27404.
6. Edge D, Watkins ER, Limond J, Mugadza J. The efficacy of self-guided internet and

mobile-based interventions for preventing anxiety and depression - a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Behav Res Ther*; 2023 May;164:104292. PMID:37003138, doi:10.1016/j.brat.2023.104292.

7. Webb CA, Rosso IM, Rauch SL. Internet-based cognitive-behavioral therapy for depression: current progress and future directions. *Harv Rev Psychiatry*; 2017 May-Jun;25(3):114-22. PMID:28475503, doi:10.1097/HRP.0000000000000139.

8. Karyotaki E, Kleiboer A, Smit F, Turner DT, Pastor AM, Andersson G, et al. Predictors of treatment dropout in self-guided web-based interventions for depression: an 'individual patient data' meta-analysis. *Psychol Med*; 2015 Oct;45(13):2717-26. PMID:25881626, doi:10.1017/S0033291715000665.

9. Richards D, Richardson T. Computer-based psychological treatments for depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Psychol Rev*; 2012 Jun;32(4):329-42. PMID:22466510, doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2012.02.004.

10. Baumel A, Edan S, Kane JM. Is there a trial bias impacting user engagement with unguided e-mental health interventions? A systematic comparison of published reports and real-world usage of the same programs. *Transl Behav Med*; 2019 Nov;9(6):1020-33. PMID:31689344, doi:10.1093/tbm/ibz147.

11. Donkin L, Christensen H, Naismith SL, Neal B, Hickie IB, Glozier N. A systematic review of the impact of adherence on the effectiveness of e-therapies. *J Med Internet Res*; 2011 Aug;13(3):e52. PMID:21821503, doi:10.2196/jmir.1772.

12. Mohr DC, Burns MN, Schueller SM, Clarke G, Klinkman M. Behavioral intervention technologies: evidence review and recommendations for future research in mental health. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*; 2013 Jul-Aug;35(4):332-8. PMID:23664503, doi:10.1016/j.genhosppsy.2013.03.008.

13. Dugas M, Gao GG, Agarwal R. Unpacking mHealth interventions: a systematic review of behavior change techniques used in randomized controlled trials assessing mHealth effectiveness. *Digit Health*; 2020 Jan-Dec;6:2055207620905411. PMID:32128233, doi:10.1177/2055207620905411.

14. Cuijpers P, Karyotaki E, Eckshtain D, Ng MY, Corteselli KA, Noma H, et al. Psychotherapy for depression across different age groups: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Psychiatry*; 2020 Jul;77(7):694-702. PMID:32186668, doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2020.0164.

15. Toyomoto R, Sakata M, Yoshida K, Luo Y, Nakagami Y, Uwatoko T, et al. Prognostic factors and effect modifiers for personalisation of internet-based cognitive behavioural therapy among university students with subthreshold depression: a secondary analysis of a factorial trial. *J Affect Disord*; 2023 Feb;322:156-62. PMID:36379323, doi:10.1016/j.jad.2022.11.024.

16. Morrison LG. Theory-based strategies for enhancing the impact and usage of digital health behaviour change interventions: a review. *Digit Health*; 2015 Jan-Dec;1:2055207615595335. PMID:29942544, doi:10.1177/2055207615595335.

17. Ham K, Chin S, Suh YJ, Rhee M, Yu ES, Lee HJ, et al. Preliminary results from a randomized controlled study for an app-based cognitive behavioral therapy program for depression and anxiety in cancer patients. *Front Psychol*; 2019;10:1592. PMID:31402881, doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01592.

18. Mullin A, Dear BF, Karin E, Wootton BM, Staples LG, Johnston L, et al. The UniWellbeing course: a randomised controlled trial of a transdiagnostic internet-delivered cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) programme for university students with symptoms of anxiety and depression. *Internet Interv*; 2015;2(2):128-36. doi:10.1016/j.invent.2015.02.002.

19. Weaver A, Zhang A, Xiang X, Felsman P, Fischer DJ, Himle JA. Entertain me well: an entertaining, tailorable, online platform delivering CBT for depression. *Cogn Behav Pract*; 2023 Feb;30(1):96-115. PMID:36741472, doi:10.1016/j.cbpra.2021.09.003.

20. Strecher VJ, McClure JB, Alexander GL, Chakraborty B, Nair VN, Konkel JM, et al. Web-based smoking-cessation programs: results of a randomized trial. *Am J Prev Med*; 2008 May;34(5):373-81. PMID:18407003, doi:10.1016/j.amepre.2007.12.024.
21. Karyotaki E, Klein AM, Ciharova M, Bolinski F, Krijnen L, de Koning L, et al. Guided internet-based transdiagnostic individually tailored cognitive behavioral therapy for symptoms of depression and/or anxiety in college students: a randomized controlled trial. *Behav Res Ther*; 2022 Mar;150:104028. PMID:35066365, doi:10.1016/j.brat.2021.104028.
22. Batterham PJ, Caelear AL, Farrer L, McCallum SM, Cheng VWS. FitMindKit: randomised controlled trial of an automatically tailored online program for mood, anxiety, substance use and suicidality. *Internet Interv*; 2018 Jun;12:91-9. PMID:30135773, doi:10.1016/j.invent.2017.08.002.
23. Balaskas A, Schueller SM, Cox AL, Doherty G. The functionality of mobile apps for anxiety: systematic search and analysis of engagement and tailoring features. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth*; 2021 Oct 6;9(10):e26712. PMID:34612833, doi:10.2196/26712.
24. Mukhiya SK, Wake JD, Inal Y, Pun KI, Lamo Y. Adaptive elements in internet-delivered psychological treatment systems: systematic review. *J Med Internet Res*; 2020 Nov 27;22(11):e21066. PMID:33245285, doi:10.2196/21066.
25. Stawarz K, Preist C, Tallon D, Wiles N, Coyle D. User experience of cognitive behavioral therapy apps for depression: an analysis of app functionality and user reviews. *J Med Internet Res*; 2018 Jun;20(6):e10120. PMID:29875087, doi:10.2196/10120.
26. Cuijpers P, Munoz RF, Clarke GN, Lewinsohn PM. Psychoeducational treatment and prevention of depression: the "Coping With Depression" course thirty years later. *Clin Psychol Rev*; 2009 Jul;29(5):449-58. PMID:19450912, doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2009.04.005.
27. Rigabert A, Motrico E, Moreno-Peral P, Resurreccion DM, Conejo-Ceron S, Cuijpers P, et al. Effectiveness of online psychological and psychoeducational interventions to prevent depression: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Clin Psychol Rev*; 2020 Dec;82:101931. PMID:33137611, doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2020.101931.
28. Deterding S, Dixon D, Khaled R, Nacke L, editors. From game design elements to gamefulness: defining "gamification." *The 15th International Academic MindTrek Conference: Envisioning Future Media Environments*; 2011 Sep 28-30; Tampere, Finland. New York: Association for Computing Machinery; 2011. p. 9–15. doi:10.1145/2181037.2181040.
29. Cheng VWS, Davenport T, Johnson D, Vella K, Hickie IB. Gamification in apps and technologies for improving mental health and well-being: systematic review. *JMIR Ment Health*; 2019 Jun;6(6):e13717. PMID:31244479, doi:10.2196/13717.
30. Sardi L, Idri A, Fernandez-Aleman JL. A systematic review of gamification in e-Health. *J Biomed Inform*; 2017 Jul;71:31-48. PMID:28536062, doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2017.05.011.
31. Cheng VWS. Recommendations for implementing gamification for mental health and wellbeing. *Front Psychol*; 2020;11. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2020.586379.
32. Cheng C, Ebrahimi OV. A meta-analytic review of gamified interventions in mental health enhancement. *Comput Hum Behav*; 2023;141. doi:10.1016/j.chb.2022.107621.
33. Six SG, Byrne KA, Aly H, Harris MW. The effect of mental health app customization on depressive symptoms in college students: randomized controlled trial. *JMIR Ment Health*; 2022 Aug;9(8):e39516. PMID:35943788, doi:10.2196/39516.
34. Fleming TM, Stasiak K, Moselen E, Hermansson-Webb E, Shepherd M, Lucassen M, et al. Revising computerized therapy for wider appeal among adolescents: youth perspectives on a revised version of SPARX. *Front Psychiatry*; 2019;10:802. PMID:31824344, doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00802.
35. Bakker D KN, Rickwood D, Rickard N. Development and pilot evaluation of smartphone-delivered cognitive behavior therapy strategies for mood- and anxiety-related problems:

MoodMission. Cogn Behav Prac; 2018;25(4):496-514. doi:10.1016/j.cbpra.2018.07.002.

36. Miloff A, Marklund A, Carlbring P. The challenger app for social anxiety disorder: new advances in mobile psychological treatment. Internet Interv; 2015;2(4):382-91. doi:10.1016/j.invent.2015.08.001.

37. Devan H, Farmery D, Peebles L, Grainger R. Evaluation of self-management support functions in apps for people with persistent pain: systematic review. JMIR mHealth uHealth; 2019;7(2). doi:10.2196/13080.

38. Mullins JK, Sabherwal R. Gamification: a cognitive-emotional view. J Bus Res; 2020;106:304-14. doi:10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.09.023.

39. Auerbach RP, Mortier P, Bruffaerts R, Alonso J, Benjet C, Cuijpers P, et al. WHO World Mental Health Surveys International College Student Project: prevalence and distribution of mental disorders. J Abnorm Psychol; 2018 Oct;127(7):623-38. PMID:30211576, doi:10.1037/abn0000362.

40. Chang J-J, Ji Y, Li Y-H, Pan H-F, Su P-Y. Prevalence of anxiety symptom and depressive symptom among college students during COVID-19 pandemic: a meta-analysis. J Affect Disord; 2021;292:242-54.

41. Awadalla S, Davies EB, Glazebrook C. A longitudinal cohort study to explore the relationship between depression, anxiety and academic performance among Emirati university students. BMC Psychiatry; 2020;20:1-10.

42. Saunders DE, Peterson GW, Sampson Jr JP, Reardon RC. Relation of depression and dysfunctional career thinking to career indecision. J Vocat Behav; 2000;56(2):288-98.

43. Whitton SW, Whisman MA. Relationship satisfaction instability and depression. J Fam Psychol; 2010;24(6):791.

44. Mahmoud JSR, Staten RT, Hall LA, Lennie TA. The relationship among young adult college students' depression, anxiety, stress, demographics, life satisfaction, and coping styles. Issues Ment Health Nurs; 2012;33(3):149-56. doi:10.3109/01612840.2011.632708.

45. Lipson SK, Lattie EG, Eisenberg D. Increased Rates of mental health service utilization by U.S. college students: 10-year population-level trends (2007–2017). Psychiatr Serv; 2019 Jan;70(1):60-3. PMID:30394183, doi:10.1176/appi.ps.201800332.

46. Copeland WE, McGinnis E, Bai Y, Adams Z, Nardone H, Devadanam V, et al. Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on college student mental health and wellness. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry; 2021;60(1):134-41.

47. Auerbach RP, Alonso J, Axinn WG, Cuijpers P, Ebert DD, Green JG, et al. Mental disorders among college students in the World Health Organization world mental health surveys. Psychol Med; 2016;46(14):2955-70.

48. Xiao H, Carney DM, Youn SJ, Janis RA, Castonguay LG, Hayes JA, et al. Are we in crisis? national mental health and treatment trends in college counseling centers. Psychol Serv; 2017;14(4):407.

49. Hunt J, Eisenberg D. Mental health problems and help-seeking behavior among college students. J Adolesc Health; 2010 Jan;46(1):3-10. PMID:20123251, doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2009.08.008.

50. Raunic A, Xenos S. University counselling service utilisation by local and international students and user characteristics: a review. Int J Adv Couns; 2008;30:262-7.

51. Poushter J. Smartphone ownership and internet usage continues to climb in emerging economies. Pew Research Center; 2016;22(1):1-44.

52. Batterham PJ, Calear AL. Preferences for internet-based mental health interventions in an adult online sample: findings from an online community survey. JMIR Ment Health; 2017 Jun;4(2):e26. PMID:28666976, doi:10.2196/mental.7722.

53. Creighton TB. Digital natives, digital immigrants, digital learners: an international

empirical integrative review of the literature. *Educ Leadersh Rev*; 2018;19(1):132-40.

54. Bittner JV, Shipper J. Motivational effects and age differences of gamification in product advertising. *J Consum Mark*; 2014;31(5):391-400. doi:10.1108/jcm-04-2014-0945.

55. Zhang L, Shao Z, Li X, Feng Y. Gamification and online impulse buying: the moderating effect of gender and age. *Int J Inf Manag*; 2021;61. doi:10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102267.

56. Powell J, Hamborg T, Stallard N, Burls A, McSorley J, Bennett K, et al. Effectiveness of a web-based cognitive-behavioral tool to improve mental well-being in the general population: randomized controlled trial. *J Med Internet Res*; 2013;15(1):e2.

57. Fitzpatrick KK, Darcy A, Vierhile M. Delivering cognitive behavior therapy to young adults with symptoms of depression and anxiety using a fully automated conversational agent (Woebot): a randomized controlled trial. *JMIR Ment Health*; 2017 Jun;4(2):e19. PMID:28588005, doi:10.2196/mental.7785.

58. Twomey C, O'Reilly G. Effectiveness of a freely available computerised cognitive behavioural therapy programme (MoodGYM) for depression: meta-analysis. *Aust N Z J Psychiatry*; 2017;51(3):260-9.

59. Lattie E, Cohen KA, Winkvist N, Mohr DC. Examining an app-based mental health self-care program, IntelliCare for college students: single-arm pilot study. *JMIR Ment Health*; 2020 Oct;7(10):e21075. PMID:33037874, doi:10.2196/21075.

60. Pan J-Y, Carlbring P, Lu L. Efficacy of internet-based cognitive behavioral therapy for hong kong university students: a randomized controlled trial. *Res Soc Work Pract*; 2024;10497315241252054.

61. Ha SW, Kim J. Designing a scalable, accessible, and effective mobile app based solution for common mental health problems. *Int J Hum-Comput Interact*; 2020;36(14):1354-67.

62. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB. The PHQ-9: validity of a brief depression severity measure. *J Gen Intern Med*; 2001 Sep;16(9):606-13. PMID:11556941, doi:10.1046/j.1525-1497.2001.016009606.x.

63. Park S-J, Choi H-R, Choi J-H, Kim K-W, Hong J-P. Reliability and validity of the Korean version of the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9). *Anxiety Mood*; 2010;6(2):119-24.

64. An JY, Seo ER, Lim KH, Shin JH, Kim JB. Standardization of the Korean version of screening tool for depression (Patient Health Questionnaire-9, PHQ-9). *J Korean Soc Biol Ther Psychiatry*; 2013;19(1):47-56.

65. Beck AT, Steer RA, Brown GK. Beck Depression Inventory—2nd Edition Manual. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation; 1996.

66. Kim JH, Lee EH, Hwang ST, Hong SH. Korean Version of the Beck Depression Inventory-II Manual. Seoul: Korean Psychology Co., Ltd.; 2015. ISBN:979-11-85662-12-1.

67. Sung HM, Kim JB, Park YN, Bae DS, Lee SH, Ahn HY. A study on the reliability and the validity of Korean Korean version of the Beck Depression Inventory-II(BDI-II). *J Korean Soc Biol Psychiatry*; 2008;14(2):201-212.

68. Hollon SD, Kendall PC. Cognitive self-statements in depression: development of an automatic thoughts questionnaire. *Cognit Ther Res*; 1980;4:383-95. doi:10.1007/BF01178214.

69. Kwon SM, & Yoon, H. K. Development and application of the Korean version of the Automatic Thoughts Questionnaire. *Stud Res*; 1994;29(1):10-25.

70. Heo S, Kim J. Development and validation of short form of the Korean version of the Automatic Thoughts Questionnaire–Negative. *HSS21*;2020;11(3):783-96. doi:10.22143/HSS21.11.3.56.

71. Heo S, Kim J. A validation of the Korean version of the positive subscale of the Automatic Thoughts Questionnaire–Revised (K-ATQ-RP). *HSS21*;11(5):751-64. doi:10.22143/HSS21.11.5.55.

72. Kendall PC, Howard BL, Hays RC. Self-referent speech and psychopathology: the balance

of positive and negative thinking. *Cognit Ther Res*; 1989;13:583-98.

73. Baker RW, Siryk B. Measuring adjustment to college. *J Couns Psychol*; 1984;31(2).

74. Lee YJ. The Non-Residential Students' Adaptation to College Life and Career Plans. South Korea: Ewha Womans University; 1999.

75. Stoyanov SR, Hides L, Kavanagh DJ, Zelenko O, Tjondronegoro D, Mani M. Mobile app rating scale: a new tool for assessing the quality of health mobile apps. *JMIR mHealth uHealth*; 2015;3(1):e3422.

76. ITC guidelines for translating and adapting tests (2nd edition). *Int J Test*; 2017;18(2):101-34. doi:10.1080/15305058.2017.1398166.

77. Chung K-M, Suh YJ, Chin S, Seo D, Yu E-S, Lee HJ, et al. A pilot study testing the efficacy of dCBT in patients with cancer experiencing sleep problems. *Front Psychol*; 2022;13:699168.

78. Mind Booster Green. URL: iOS <https://apps.apple.com/kr/app/id1557176314>; Android <https://play.google.com/store/>

79. Jacobson NS, Truax P. Clinical significance: a statistical approach to defining meaningful change in psychotherapy research. *J Consult Clin Psychol*; 1991 Feb;59(1):12-9. PMID:2002127, doi:10.1037//0022-006x.59.1.12.

80. Hubbard AE, Ahern J, Fleischer NL, Van der Laan M, Lippman SA, Jewell N, et al. To GEE or not to GEE: comparing population average and mixed models for estimating the associations between neighborhood risk factors and health. *Epidemiology*; 2010;21(4):467-74.

81. Beck JS. *Cognitive Behavior Therapy: Basics and Beyond*. New York, NY: Guilford Press; 2020. ISBN:1462544193.

82. Cristea IA, Kok RN, Cuijpers P. Efficacy of cognitive bias modification interventions in anxiety and depression: meta-analysis. *Br J Psychiatry*; 2015;206(1):7-16.

83. Forand NR, Barnett JG, Strunk DR, Hindiyeh MU, Feinberg JE, Keefe JR. Efficacy of guided iCBT for depression and mediation of change by cognitive skill acquisition. *Behav Ther*; 2018;49(2):295-307.

84. Mogoase C, David D, Koster EH. Clinical efficacy of attentional bias modification procedures: an updated meta-analysis. *J Clin Psychol*; 2014 Dec;70(12):1133-57. PMID:24652823, doi:10.1002/jclp.22081.

85. Wu A, Scult MA, Barnes ED, Betancourt JA, Falk A, Gunning FM. Smartphone apps for depression and anxiety: a systematic review and meta-analysis of techniques to increase engagement. *NPJ Digit Med*; 2021 Feb;4(1):20. PMID:33574573, doi:10.1038/s41746-021-00386-8.

86. Domhardt M, Steubl L, Boettcher J, Buntrock C, Karyotaki E, Ebert DD, et al. Mediators and mechanisms of change in internet-and mobile-based interventions for depression: a systematic review. *Clin Psychol Rev*; 2021;83:101953.

87. Mogoașe C, Cobeanu O, David O, Giosan C, Szentagotai A. Internet-based psychotherapy for adult depression: what about the mechanisms of change? *J Clin Psychol*; 2017;73(1):5-64.

88. Christensen H, Griffiths KM, Farrer L. Adherence in internet interventions for anxiety and depression. *J Med Internet Res*; 2009 Apr;11(2):e13. PMID:19403466, doi:10.2196/jmir.1194.

89. Kelders SM, Kok RN, Ossebaard HC, Van Gemert-Pijnen JE. Persuasive system design does matter: a systematic review of adherence to web-based interventions. *J Med Internet Res*; 2012 Nov;14(6):e152. PMID:23151820, doi:10.2196/jmir.2104.

90. Hamid A, Arshad R, Shahid S, editors. What are you thinking? using CBT and storytelling to improve mental health among college students. *Proceedings of the 2022 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*; 2022 Apr 29–May 5; New Orleans, LA, USA. New York: Association for Computing Machinery; 2022. Article No.: 441. doi:10.1145/3491102.3517603.

91. Busselle R, Bilandzic H. Measuring narrative engagement. *Media Psychol*;

2009;12(4):321-47. doi:10.1080/15213260903287259.

92. Brown M, O'Neill N, van Woerden H, Eslambolchilar P, Jones M, John A. Gamification and adherence to web-based mental health interventions: a systematic review. *JMIR Ment Health*; 2016 Aug;3(3):e39. PMID:27558893, doi:10.2196/mental.5710.

93. Xu L, Shi H, Shen M, Ni Y, Zhang X, Pang Y, et al. The effects of mHealth-based gamification interventions on participation in physical activity: systematic review. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth*. 2022 Feb;10(2):e27794. PMID:35113034, doi:10.2196/27794.


94. Lau N, O'Daffer A, Yi-Frazier JP, Rosenberg AR. Popular evidence-based commercial mental health apps: analysis of engagement, functionality, aesthetics, and information quality. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth*; 2021 Jul;9(7):e29689. PMID:34259639, doi:10.2196/29689.

95. Six SG, Byrne KA, Tibbett TP, Pericot-Valverde I. Examining the effectiveness of gamification in mental health apps for depression: systematic review and meta-analysis. *JMIR Ment Health*; 2021 Nov;8(11):e32199. PMID:34847058, doi:10.2196/32199.


Supplementary Files

Figures


Example screen from Mind Booster Green.



CONSORT diagram of participant flow.



Means and 95% confidence intervals for the groups across the assessment time points.



CONSORT (or other) checklists

CONSORT-EHEALTH (V 1.6.1).

URL: <http://asset.jmir.pub/assets/615bd0382a4e921ddd1bb1db5fc7ed8.pdf>

CONSORT checklist (Revision1).

URL: <http://asset.jmir.pub/assets/8fd77ea05e35c35765e31e304da59b75.pdf>

