

Building Pandemic-Resilient Primary Care Systems: Lessons Learned From COVID-19

Yejin Jeong, Trevor Crowell, Anna Devon-Sand, Theadora Sakata, Amelia Sattler,
Shreya Shah, Timothy Tsai, Steven Lin

Submitted to: Journal of Medical Internet Research
on: March 30, 2023

Disclaimer: © The authors. All rights reserved. This is a privileged document currently under peer-review/community review. Authors have provided JMIR Publications with an exclusive license to publish this preprint on its website for review purposes only. While the final peer-reviewed paper may be licensed under a CC BY license on publication, at this stage authors and publisher expressly prohibit redistribution of this draft paper other than for review purposes.

Table of Contents

Original Manuscript..... 4

Supplementary Files..... 17

..... 17

Preprint
JMIR Publications

Building Pandemic-Resilient Primary Care Systems: Lessons Learned From COVID-19

Yejin Jeong^{1*} BA; Trevor Crowell¹ BA; Anna Devon-Sand^{1*} MPH; Theadora Sakata^{1*} MD; Amelia Sattler¹ MD; Shreya Shah^{1*} MD; Timothy Tsai^{1*} DO, MMCI; Steven Lin^{1*} MD

¹Division of Primary Care and Population Health Department of Medicine Stanford University School of Medicine Redwood City US

*these authors contributed equally

Corresponding Author:

Timothy Tsai DO, MMCI
Division of Primary Care and Population Health
Department of Medicine
Stanford University School of Medicine
585 Broadway
Suite 800
Redwood City
US

Abstract

On January 30, 2023, the Biden Administration announced its intention to end the existing COVID-19 public health emergency declaration. The transition to a “post-pandemic” landscape presents a unique opportunity to sustain and strengthen pandemic-era changes in care delivery. With this in mind, we present three critical lessons learned from a primary care perspective during the COVID-19 pandemic. Firstly, clinical workflows must support both in-person and virtual care delivery. Secondly, the integration of asynchronous care delivery is critical. Thirdly, planning for the future means planning for everyone, including those with potentially limited access to health care due to barriers in technology and communication. While these lessons are neither unique to primary care settings nor all-encompassing, they establish a grounded foundation on which to construct higher quality, more resilient, and more equitable health systems.

(JMIR Preprints 30/03/2023:47667)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2196/preprints.47667>

Preprint Settings

1) Would you like to publish your submitted manuscript as preprint?

✓ **Please make my preprint PDF available to anyone at any time (recommended).**

Please make my preprint PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that my title and abstract will remain visible to all users.

Only make the preprint title and abstract visible.

No, I do not wish to publish my submitted manuscript as a preprint.

2) If accepted for publication in a JMIR journal, would you like the PDF to be visible to the public?

✓ **Yes, please make my accepted manuscript PDF available to anyone at any time (Recommended).**

Yes, but please make my accepted manuscript PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that the title and abstract will remain visible to all users.

Yes, but only make the title and abstract visible (see Important note, above). I understand that if I later pay to participate in [http](#)

Original Manuscript

TITLE PAGE

Title: Building Pandemic-Resilient Primary Care Systems: Lessons Learned From COVID-19

Authors: Yejin Jeong, BA¹; Trevor Crowell, BA¹; Anna Devon-Sand, MPH¹; Theadora Sakata, MD¹; Amelia Sattler, MD¹; Shreya Shah, MD¹; Timothy Tsai, DO, MMCI¹; Steven Lin, MD¹

¹ Division of Primary Care and Population Health, Department of Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, California

Corresponding author: Timothy Tsai, DO MMCI

Address: 585 Broadway Suite 800, Redwood City, CA 94063

Telephone: 408.688.9720

Fax: 408.688.9730

Email: timothy.tsai@stanford.edu

Word count (main text): 1269

Word count (abstract): 132

Number of tables/figures: 1

Number of references: 14

Number of appendices: N/A

Financial support: N/A.

Prior presentations: N/A.

Conflict of interest: None.

Others: N/A.

Keywords: COVID-19, Telehealth, Telemedicine

ABSTRACT

On January 30, 2023, the Biden Administration announced its intention to end the existing COVID-19 public health emergency declaration. The transition to a “post-pandemic” landscape presents a unique opportunity to sustain and strengthen pandemic-era changes in care delivery. With this in

mind, we present three critical lessons learned from a primary care perspective during the COVID-19 pandemic. Firstly, clinical workflows must support both in-person and virtual care delivery. Secondly, the integration of asynchronous care delivery is critical. Thirdly, planning for the future means planning for everyone, including those with potentially limited access to health care due to barriers in technology and communication. While these lessons are neither unique to primary care settings nor all-encompassing, they establish a grounded foundation on which to construct higher quality, more resilient, and more equitable health systems.

MAIN TEXT

Alongside testing and vaccinations, telehealth was the third leg of the three-legged stool representing the United States' primary care strategy against COVID-19. Telehealth use surged early in the pandemic as patients and providers sought ways to safely access and deliver care. Improved attitudes toward telehealth coupled with regulatory changes to reimbursements enabled investments in virtual care and digital health to skyrocket [1,2]. With the announcement that the United States plans to end the public health emergency for COVID-19 in May 2023 [3], patients, providers, and health systems

are entering a new chapter and a critical question remains: how will primary care systems in the U.S. sustain and optimize the positive changes that resulted from pandemic response efforts while continuing to address the challenges? In this article, we describe three important lessons learned from the shift to virtual care during COVID-19 that will facilitate the implementation of high-quality, resilient primary care systems.

Lesson 1: Clinical Workflows Must Support Both In-Person and Virtual Care Delivery

At the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, public health mandates abruptly shifted the basic structure of how patients interfaced with the healthcare system at a time when access was paramount. Necessary infection prevention measures, such as shelter-in-place and social distancing, spurred a remarkable transformation in which new processes for telehealth were designed and implemented rapidly to meet emerging demands [4]. Going forward, clinical workflows must support both in-person and virtual care delivery, with special attention paid to ensuring consistent preventive care and chronic disease management across all care contexts. For example, traditional in-clinic rooming procedures such as preventive care reminders could be conducted asynchronously via electronic pre-visit questionnaires or phone calls.

Accounting for a broad spectrum of care delivery models when designing clinical workflows provides flexibility, allowing health systems to be nimble and provide high-quality care even when faced with unanticipated constraints. To accomplish this, systems must outline the essential components of care delivery and explore how to make each component accessible, taking into consideration patient factors. Systems should build pathways that efficiently connect patients with the most appropriate type of encounter (e.g., clinic, telehealth, group, e-visit, e-consult, home visit, or referral), hosted by the most relevant team members (e.g., physician, advance practice provider, registered nurse, clinical pharmacist, behavioral health provider, social worker, case manager, or

medical assistant). Systems should recognize and address tasks that limit flexibility and convenience, such as patient forms that need to be completed by paper, scanned, and faxed. Resilient systems will use structured quality improvement methodologies to identify opportunities for innovation and implementation of new workflows and technologies that enhance both in-person and virtual care delivery.

Lesson 2: The Importance of Asynchronous Care Delivery Integration Within Primary Care

Another trend that has significant implications on health care delivery for primary care is the rise in asynchronous care modalities. Methods of asynchronous care include patient portals, remote patient monitoring (RPM), and mobile health applications (mHealth). Patient portals enable patients to view and schedule appointments, view medical test results, view and request medications, and communicate with their providers through a secure platform [5]. Asynchronous care may also leverage patient-generated health data (PGHD), examples of which include health history, biometric data, and patient-reported outcome measures. PGHD can be transmitted through mHealth applications and connected health devices including blood pressure cuffs, glucometers, and fitness trackers that utilize an application programming interface (API), which allows information to be sent back and forth between an application and the primary care team. Some of our costliest chronic diseases – such as hypertension, diabetes, and behavioral health – can benefit from increased number of telehealth visits with the health care system [6]. RPM applications can be the platform that provides those frequent interactions, improved access to care, and improved health equity [7].

Digital health tools such as RPM and health apps are changing how patients interact with primary care. Patients are relying more on their smartphones and devices for prevention, diagnosis, and disease management. This provides a significant opportunity as these tools can lead to improved quality of care and cost savings [8]. Specific examples in primary care include improving

hemoglobin A1C control in patients with diabetes and improving blood pressure control in patients with hypertension [9]. RPM care management and transitional care programs for congestive heart failure can reduce hospitalization days and death [10]. A multidisciplinary team-based approach is an important part of a successful RPM program, with a shift from the role of a single provider-patient relationship to a care team-patient relationship. Care team members can include primary care physicians, advance practice providers, registered nurses, clinical pharmacists, behavioral health providers, social workers, case managers, or medical assistants. For example, with hypertension, comparative effectiveness of eight implementation strategies found that team-based care models including a non-physician team member who titrates medications are the most effective for blood pressure control [11]. As the volume of available tools continues to rise, it is increasingly important for care teams to determine which technologies to recommend for their patients [12]. Table 1 outlines these clinical, usability, and technical considerations.

Table 1. Key Considerations for Integration of Asynchronous Digital Health Tools Within Primary Care

Categories	Considerations
Clinical	• Does the tool align with evidence-based medicine? • Does the tool improve clinical care? • Is the tool safe? • How will the tool be integrated in the clinical workflow? • Are there protocols for urgent issues or out-of-range remote patient monitoring measurements? • Is there evidence of closed-loop communication with the patient?
Usability	• Are there training and education needs for patients and staff? • Is the tool easy to use for patients and staff? • Does the tool provide knowledgeable insights to patients and staff? • Can the tool help mitigate increasing health care team burden and burnout? • Are there any reimbursement considerations?
Technical	• What technology is used? • What input data is required? • If artificial intelligence is used, is it explainable? • Is the tool accurate?

- Is the tool secure with reliable user authentication?
- Does the tool meet industry data security standards?

Lesson 3: Planning for the Future Means Planning for Everyone

A final lesson learned during the pandemic is that while a transition to digital health platforms improved access for some, it exacerbated and created new barriers for others. Patients without a stable internet connection or appropriate hardware to support a telehealth visit faced a “tech gap” that left them without any way of seeing their medical providers if they belonged to one of the many health systems that suspended in-person visits. While the underpinnings of this gap stem from several channels ranging from affordability to infrastructural shortcomings to global supply chain delays, addressing issues of digital health access before the next pandemic will minimize the number of patients who get left behind. Already, some healthcare systems, such as the U.S. Veterans Administration, have incorporated a “Digital Divide Consult” into their cadre of services [13]. Through this program, veterans are able to access tablets and cellular data plans at no cost. Though not every system will be able to intervene at this level, including a baseline technological assessment as part of a social history might at least alert providers to which patients will need additional outreach.

Language and communication barriers that already created inequities in health care before the pandemic played an even larger role during the pandemic [14]. As procedures for accessing care evolved rapidly, many clinics alerted patients to new scheduling and visit requirements via audio recordings, websites, and signs on clinic doors. More often than not, these notices were provided in only English, which presented difficulties for patients with limited English proficiency to access and use telehealth services. Additionally, as visits moved into the virtual space, some providers had limited access to video platforms able to support more than two parties, thus barring the ability to use third-party interpretation services to communicate with non-English speaking or hard-of-hearing

patients. In order to optimize our current delivery system, we must not only be able to communicate changes to our non-English speaking patients or patients with disabilities in real-time, but we must also ensure that interpretation is integrated into the digital platform with additional support before, during, and after their virtual visits. As efforts are being made to address these barriers, there should be a concurrent effort to gather quality metrics to measure the impact of these efforts.

Conclusion

While these lessons are neither unique to primary care nor all-encompassing, they generate insights into the work set before us. A combination of in-person and virtual care, adaptable workflows with integrated asynchronous patient care, and an awareness of threats to health equity could address existing gaps and provide opportunities for building better systems. As the public health emergency for COVID-19 ends, let us not waste these valuable lessons in creating a future of primary care built to withstand future pandemics and other crises yet to come.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Contributors: All authors contributed equally

Funders: N/A.

Prior presentations: N/A.

Conflict of interest: None declared.

Abbreviations:

API: Application program interface

mHealth: mobile health applications

PGHD: Patient generated health data

RPM: Remote patient monitoring

Generative AI: Generative AI was not used in any portion of the manuscript writing.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no data sets were generated or analyzed during this

study.

REFERENCES

1. Malouff TD, TerKonda SP, Knight D, et al. Physician Satisfaction With Telemedicine During the COVID-19 Pandemic: The Mayo Clinic Florida Experience. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings: Innovations, Quality & Outcomes*. 2021;5(4):771-782. doi:10.1016/j.mayocpiqo.2021.06.006
2. Weigel G, Ramaswamy A, Sobel L, 2020. Opportunities and Barriers for Telemedicine in the U.S. During the COVID-19 Emergency and Beyond. KFF. Published May 11, 2020. Accessed March 9, 2023. <https://www.kff.org/womens-health-policy/issue-brief/opportunities-and-barriers-for-telemedicine-in-the-u-s-during-the-covid-19-emergency-and-beyond/>
3. LaFraniere S, Weiland N. U.S. Plans to End Public Health Emergency for Covid in May. *The New York Times*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2023/01/30/us/politics/biden-covid-public-health-emergency.html>. Published January 31, 2023. Accessed March 9, 2023.
4. Choi K, Gitelman Y, Leri D, et al. Insourcing and scaling a telemedicine solution in under 2 weeks: Lessons for the digital transformation of health care. *Healthcare*. 2021;9(3):100568. doi:10.1016/j.hjdsi.2021.100568
5. Avdagovska M, Menon D, Stafinski T. Capturing the Impact of Patient Portals Based on the Quadruple Aim and Benefits Evaluation Frameworks: Scoping Review. *J Med Internet Res*. 2020;22(12):e24568. doi:10.2196/24568
6. Bodenheimer T. Improving Primary Care for Patients With Chronic Illness. *JAMA*. 2002;288(14):1775. doi:10.1001/jama.288.14.1775
7. Omboni S, McManus RJ, Bosworth HB, et al. Evidence and Recommendations on the Use of Telemedicine for the Management of Arterial Hypertension: An International Expert Position

- Paper. *Hypertension*. 2020;76(5):1368-1383. doi:10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.120.15873
8. Iribarren SJ, Cato K, Falzon L, Stone PW. What is the economic evidence for mHealth? A systematic review of economic evaluations of mHealth solutions. Mihalopoulos C, ed. *PLoS ONE*. 2017;12(2):e0170581. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.017058
 9. Salehi S, Olyaeemanesh A, Mobinizadeh M, Nasli-Esfahani E, Riazi H. Assessment of remote patient monitoring (RPM) systems for patients with type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Diabetes Metab Disord*. 2020;19(1):115-127. doi:10.1007/s40200-019-00482-3
 10. Koehler F, Koehler K, Deckwart O, et al. Efficacy of telemedical interventional management in patients with heart failure (TIM-HF2): a randomized, controlled, parallel-group, unmasked trial. *The Lancet*. 2018;392(10152):1047-1057. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31880-4
 11. Mills KT, Obst KM, Shen W, et al. Comparative Effectiveness of Implementation Strategies for Blood Pressure Control in Hypertensive Patients: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med*. 2018;168(2):110. doi:10.7326/M17-1805
 12. Gordon WJ, Landman A, Zhang H, Bates DW. Beyond validation: getting health apps into clinical practice. *npj Digit Med*. 2020;3(1):14. doi:10.1038/s41746-019-0212-z
 13. Heyworth L, Kirsh S, Zulman D, Ferguson JM, Kizer KW. Expanding Access through Virtual Care: The VA's Early Experience with Covid-19. *NEJM Catalyst Innovations in Care Delivery*. Published online July 1, 2020. Accessed March 9, 2023. <https://catalyst.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/CAT.20.0327>
 14. Diamond LC, Jacobs EA, Karliner L. Providing equitable care to patients with limited dominant language proficiency amid the COVID-19 pandemic. *Patient Education and*

Counseling. 2020;103(8):1451-1452. doi:10.1016/j.pec.2020.05.028

Preprint
JMIR Publications

Supplementary Files

Untitled.

URL: <http://asset.jmir.pub/assets/13b958e5b940f52e74d8c3ef401af96e.docx>