

Use of video in telephone triage in out-of-hours primary care: a register-based study

Mette Amalie Nebbjerg, Claus Høstrup Vestergaard, Katrine Bjørnshave
Bomholt, Morten Bondo Christensen, Linda Huibers

Submitted to: JMIR Medical Informatics
on: March 10, 2023

Disclaimer: © The authors. All rights reserved. This is a privileged document currently under peer-review/community review. Authors have provided JMIR Publications with an exclusive license to publish this preprint on its website for review purposes only. While the final peer-reviewed paper may be licensed under a CC BY license on publication, at this stage authors and publisher expressly prohibit redistribution of this draft paper other than for review purposes.

Table of Contents

Original Manuscript..... 5

Supplementary Files..... 24

 Figures 25

 Figure 1..... 26

Use of video in telephone triage in out-of-hours primary care: a register-based study

Mette Amalie Nebsbjerg¹ MD; Claus Høstrup Vestergaard¹; Katrine Bjørnshave Bomholt¹ MD; Morten Bondo Christensen¹ MD, PhD; Linda Huibers¹ MD, PhD

¹Research Unit for General Practice Department for Public Health Aarhus University Aarhus DK

Corresponding Author:

Mette Amalie Nebsbjerg MD
Research Unit for General Practice
Department for Public Health
Aarhus University
Bartholins Allé 2
Aarhus
DK

Abstract

Background: Out-of-hours primary care (OOH-PC) is challenged by high workload, workforce shortage, and long waiting and transportation time for patients. Use of video enables triage professionals to visually assess the patients, potentially ending more contacts by telephone instead of referring patients to more resource-demanding clinic consultations or home visits. Thereby, video use may help reduce the workload in OOH-PC.

Objective: This study aimed to investigate video use in telephone contacts to OOH-PC in Denmark by studying usage rate, and potential associations between video use and patient- and contact-related characteristics and between video use and triage outcomes and follow-up contacts. We hypothesized that video use could serve to reduce the workload in OOH-PC.

Methods: This register-based study included all telephone contacts to OOH-PC in four of the five Danish regions from 15 March 2020 to 1 December 2021. We linked data from the OOH-PC electronic registration systems to national registers and identified telephone contacts with video use (video contact) and without video use (telephone contact). Calculating crude and adjusted incidence rate ratios (adj. IRR), we investigated the association between patient- and contact-related characteristics and video contacts and measured the frequency of different triage outcomes and follow-up contacts after video contact compared to telephone contact.

Results: Of 2,900,566 identified telephone contacts to OOH-PC, 9.49% were conducted as video contacts. The frequency of video contacts was unevenly distributed across patient- and contact-related characteristics; it was used more often for employed young patients without comorbidities who contacted OOH-PC at more than four hours before the opening hours of daytime general practice. Compared to telephone contacts, significantly more video contacts ended with advice and self-care (adj. IRR=1.21, 95%CI=1.21-1.21) and no follow-up contact (adj. IRR=1.08, 95%CI=1.08-1.09).

Conclusions: This study supports our hypothesis that video use in telephone triage could reduce the workload in OOH-PC. Video use lowered the frequency of referrals to a clinic consultation or a home visit and also lowered the frequency of follow-up contacts. However, the results may be masked by confounding by indication.

(JMIR Preprints 10/03/2023:47039)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2196/preprints.47039>

Preprint Settings

1) Would you like to publish your submitted manuscript as preprint?

✓ Please make my preprint PDF available to anyone at any time (recommended).

Please make my preprint PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that my title and abstract will remain visible to all users.
Only make the preprint title and abstract visible.

No, I do not wish to publish my submitted manuscript as a preprint.

2) If accepted for publication in a JMIR journal, would you like the PDF to be visible to the public?

✓ **Yes, please make my accepted manuscript PDF available to anyone at any time (Recommended).**

Yes, but please make my accepted manuscript PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that the title and abstract will remain visible to the public.

Yes, but only make the title and abstract visible (see Important note, above). I understand that if I later pay to participate in <http://www.jmir.org/preprint/47039>, the full text will be available to the public.



Original Manuscript

Use of video in telephone triage in out-of-hours primary care: a register-based study

Mette Amalie Nebsbjerg (ORCID: 0000-0003-3934-3433)^{1, 2}, Claus Høstrup Vestergaard (ORCID: 0000-0003-2916-1548)¹, Katrine Bjørnshave Bomholt (ORCID: 0000-0001-8645-7885)^{1, 2}, Morten Bondo Christensen (ORCID: 0000-0002-8342-7418)^{1,2} and Linda Huibers (ORCID: 0000-0002-5048-3026)¹

¹Research Unit for General Practice, Bartholins Allé 2, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

²Department of Public Health, Aarhus University, Bartholins Allé 2, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

Abstract

Background: Out-of-hours primary care (OOH-PC) is challenged by high workload, workforce shortage, and long waiting and transportation time for patients. Use of video enables triage professionals to visually assess the patients, potentially ending more contacts in a telephone triage contact instead of referring patients to more resource-demanding clinic consultations or home visits. Thereby, video use may help reduce healthcare resources in OOH-PC.

Objective: This study aimed to investigate video use in telephone triage contacts to OOH-PC in Denmark by studying usage rate, and potential associations between video use and patient- and contact-related characteristics and between video use and triage outcomes and follow-up contacts. We hypothesized that video use could serve to reduce healthcare resources in OOH-PC.

Methods: This register-based study included all telephone triage contacts to OOH-PC in four of the five Danish regions from 15 March 2020 to 1 December 2021. We linked data from the OOH-PC electronic registration systems to national registers and identified telephone triage contacts with video use (video contact) and without video use (telephone contact). Calculating crude and adjusted incidence rate ratios (adj. IRR), we investigated the association between patient- and contact-related characteristics and video contacts and measured the frequency of different triage outcomes and follow-up contacts after video contact compared to telephone contact.

Results: Of 2,900,566 identified telephone triage contacts to OOH-PC, 9.5% were conducted as video contacts. The frequency of video contacts was unevenly distributed across patient- and contact-related characteristics; it was used more often for employed young patients without comorbidities who contacted OOH-PC at more than four hours before the opening hours of daytime general practice. Compared to telephone contacts, significantly more video contacts ended with advice and self-care (adj. IRR=1.21, 95%CI=1.21-1.21) and no follow-up contact (adj. IRR=1.08, 95%CI=1.08-1.09).

Conclusions: This study supports our hypothesis that video contacts could reduce healthcare resources in OOH-PC. Video use was associated with a lower frequency of referrals to a clinic consultation or a home visit and lower frequency of follow-up contacts. However, the results could be biased due to confounding by indication, reflecting that triage GPs use video for a specific set of reasons for encounter.

Keywords

Primary health care; after-hours care; referral and consultation; general practitioners; triage; remote consultation; telemedicine

Introduction

General practice serves as gatekeeper to secondary care in many countries [1]. However, the services in out-of-hours primary care (OOH-PC) are challenged by high workload, workforce shortage, and long waiting and transportation time for patients. This development has received much political attention and has caused public debate and reorganization [2, 3].

The existing healthcare systems are currently undergoing a digital transformation, which was pushed by the COVID-19 pandemic [4-9]. As a central part of this digitalisation, video consultations have been implemented broadly in general practice [5, 8-12]. Many countries have introduced video as part of telephone triage in OOH-PC [12-14]. Video use enables the triage professionals to visually assess the patients, which may imply that more contacts can be ended in a telephone triage contact instead of referring patients to clinic consultations or home visits, which are more resource-demanding. We hypothesized that video use could serve to reduce healthcare resources in OOH-PC related to clinic consultations and home visits.

Research has shown that patients welcome the use of video in general practice in the daytime and also after hours [4, 14-16]. However, in daytime general practice, general practitioners (GP) experience both benefits of (eg care delivery) and barriers to (eg technical difficulties, varying suitability for different health problems and patient groups) video use [6, 10, 16-19]. Two qualitative studies indicated that video use in OOH-PC is beneficial to both triage professionals (eg improved patient assessment and reassurance) [13, 14] and patients (eg better reassurance and higher satisfaction) [14]. Two register-based studies found that video use in OOH-PC increased during the COVID-19 pandemic [12, 20]. However, little is still known about video use and its effects. This study aimed to investigate video use in telephone triage contacts to OOH-PC in Denmark by studying usage rate, and potential associations between video use and patient- and contact-related characteristics and between video use and triage outcomes and follow-up contacts.

Methods

Design and population

We conducted a register-based study of video use in telephone triage contacts to OOH-PC in four of the five Danish regions (North Denmark Region, Central Denmark Region, Region of Southern Denmark, and Region Zealand), covering 68% of the entire Danish population. As the Capital Region of Denmark runs a different OOH-PC system than the other four regions, this region was not included in this study. We included all telephone contacts from 15 March 2020 to 1 December 2021 and followed each patient for seven days to record the outcomes. In Region Zealand, telephone contacts were included from 1 March 2021 since this region started using video from this date.

Setting

Denmark has free public healthcare for its residents. The healthcare system is centrally regulated, but most services are provided by the local governments of the five regions. Outside office hours, Danish GPs, and GP trainees cover shifts in the regional OOH-PC service, which is open on weekdays from 4 pm to 8 am and 24 hours during weekends and holidays. GPs and GP trainees in their last year of specialist training (hereinafter referred to jointly as triage GPs) perform telephone triage and determine the triage outcome: telephone triage with video use (video contacts) or telephone triage without video use (telephone contacts), clinic consultation, home visit, or hospital admission. The triage GPs assesses whether the problem is suitable for a video contact. If so and if the patient approves, a video link is sent to the patient via text message. When the link is activated, the triage GP can see the patient, but the patient cannot see the triage GP. Triage GPs are paid a fee-for-service using remuneration codes.

Outcome measures

The following outcome measures were defined:

- Proportion of video contacts (number of video contacts per 100 telephone contacts).
- Association between video contact and patient- and contact-related characteristics (sex and age of the patient, cohabitation, comorbidity, educational level, ethnicity, income, urbanization, employment status, region, and time of contact).
- Frequency of triage outcomes (advice and self-care, referral to clinic consultation, home visit, or hospital admission) and their association with video contacts.

- Frequency of follow-up contacts in daytime general practice or OOH-PC within seven days or a hospital admission within one day and their association with video contacts.

Data collection

We used data from the OOH-PC electronic registration system, which provided information on date, time, region, type of contact (telephone contact or video contact), and triage outcome (advice and self-care, referral to clinic consultation, home visit, or hospital admission). We constructed a “time of contact” variable, which was defined by its relation to the next opening time of daytime general practice and dichotomized into >4 hours or ≤ 4 hours, as the option to refer a patient to their regular GP may influence the triage decision.

To investigate follow-up contacts, we linked data from the OOH-PC registration system to two Danish national registers using the patient's unique personal identification number [21]. The Danish National Health Service Register [22] provided information on date and type of contacts to daytime general practice (telephone contacts, video contacts, clinic consultations, or home visits). The Danish National Patient Registry [23] provided information on date of contacts to the hospital (emergency department visits and unscheduled hospital admissions) and comorbidity. Comorbidity was defined as the number of diagnoses from the Charlson Comorbidity Index that were recorded as diagnosis codes in hospital charts. Data on socioeconomic characteristics of the patient (sex, age, cohabitation, educational level, ethnicity, income, urbanization, and employment status) was obtained from Statistics Denmark [24]. All covariates (except for age, sex, and comorbidity) were reported at household level. For example, household educational level was determined by the member with the longest education. Hence, it was possible to avoid excluding contacts involving children because of missing values. We included only persons with registered socioeconomic characteristics.

Data analyses

People with more than 25 contacts to OOH-PC during the study period (98,126 contacts; 3.4%) were excluded from the data analyses since they were considered outliers. Likewise, people aged >104 years (162 contacts; 0.0%) and patients with missing covariates (18,740 contacts; 0.7%) were excluded. Descriptive analyses were used to describe the study population. To ensure convergence

of the regressions, we used poisson regression models to measure the association between patient- and contact-related characteristics and video contacts, and we calculated incidence rate ratios (IRR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) [25]. Results are presented in a forest plot (Figure 1). Using a poisson regression model, we also calculated crude and adjusted IRRs of triage outcomes and follow-up contacts after a video contact compared to after a telephone contact. IRRs were adjusted for patient- and contact-related characteristics. Stata, version 17, was used to analyse all data. Reporting of results was conducted in accordance with the STROBE statement.

Ethics approval

The Committee on Health Research Ethics in the Central Denmark Region approved the data collection from the electronic patient records in the OOH-PC registration system. The study was listed in the record of processing activities at the Research Unit for General Practice in Aarhus in accordance with the provisions of the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). Finally, conduction of the study was endorsed by the regional association of GPs.

Results

Study population

During the study period, 2,900,566 telephone triage contacts to OOH-PC were identified (Table 1). Patient- and contact-related characteristics varied between telephone and video contacts; the largest variation was seen for patient age, comorbidity, employment status, region, and time of contact.

Table 1. Distribution of patient- and contact-related characteristics (in percentages)

	Telephone contacts n=2,625,363 (90.5%)	Video contacts n=275,203 (9.5%)	Total n=2,900,566 (100%)
Sex			
Female	54.4	51.1	54.1
Male	45.6	48.9	45.9
Age(years)			
0-4	11.9	30.4	13.6
5-10	5.3	9.2	5.7
11-20	11.8	14.8	12.1
21-40	26.2	23.5	26.0
41-60	19.4	14.9	18.9
61-80	16.5	5.8	15.5
≥ 81	8.9	1.4	8.2
Cohabitation			
Single	35.9	25.5	34.9
Cohabiting	18.6	25.4	19.3
Married	45.5	49.1	45.8
Comorbidity(n)			
None	74.1	87.6	75.4
1	16.3	9.9	15.7
2	5.9	1.7	5.5
≥3	3.7	0.8	3.4
Educational level(years)			
<10	22.9	15.6	22.2
10-15	44.8	45.2	44.8
>15	30.8	38.2	31.5
Unknown	1.5	1.0	1.5
Ethnicity			
Non-western	7.4	7.9	7.5
Western	2.1	2.1	2.1
Native	90.5	90.0	90.4
Income(quintiles)			
1 st	18.6	18.5	18.6
2 nd	22.8	16.4	22.2
3 rd	22.3	22.8	22.4
4 th	20.6	23.7	20.9
5 th	15.5	18.4	15.7
Negative or zero	0.2	0.2	0.2
Urbanization			
>100,000	19.4	19.7	19.4
20,000–100,000	25.0	24.5	24.9
1000–20,000	33.0	31.0	32.9
<1000	22.6	24.8	22.8
Unknown	0.0	0.0	0.0
Employment status			
Unemployed	12.7	9.9	12.4
Retired	20.0	4.3	18.5
Employed	67.3	85.8	69.1
Time of contact(hours until opening time of daytime general practice)			

>4	96.3	97.6	96.4
≤4	3.7	2.4	3.6
Region			
North Denmark Region	15.5	12.5	15.2
Central Denmark Region	37.7	32.3	37.2
Region of Southern Denmark	36.1	48.7	37.3
Region Zealand	10.7	6.5	10.4


Proportion of video contacts

During the study period, 9.5% of telephone triage contacts to OOH-PC were video contacts. After the introduction of video, a range of 5-15% video contacts was achieved within weeks across all regions. This level remained stable throughout the study period (data not shown).

Association between video contact and patient- and contact-related characteristics

The frequency of video contacts was unevenly distributed across patient- and contact-related characteristics. The strongest association was seen for age, comorbidity, employment status, region, and time of contact. Patients aged <20 years had a significantly higher frequency of video contacts than patients aged 21-40 years (adjusted (adj.) IRR range=2.39-1.31). This was also the case for employed compared to unemployed patients (adj. IRR=1.21). The frequency of a video contact was significantly higher for contacts to OOH-PC at more than 4 hours before the opening of daytime general practice (adj. IRR=1.40, ref. ≤4 hours), and was more frequent in the Southern Denmark Region (adj. IRR=1.55, ref. Northern Denmark Region). In contrast, the frequency of video contacts was significantly lower for patients >40 years (adj. IRR range=0.40-0.90, ref. 21-40 years), patients with comorbidities (adj. IRR range= 0.59-0.90, ref. no comorbidities), and retired patients (adj. IRR=0.66, ref. unemployed). The frequency of video contacts was also significantly lower in Region Zealand (adj. IRR=0.73, ref. Northern Denmark Region). (Figure 1)

Figure 1. Forest plot presenting the association between patient- and contact-related characteristics and the likelihood of having a video contact (incidence rate



ratios with 95% confidence intervals)

An IRR >1 meaning a higher use of video contacts compared to the reference group marked in the right column of the figure. Opposite, an IRR <1 meaning a lower use of video contacts compared to the reference group marked in the right column of the figure.

Triage outcomes

Patients receiving a video contact had a significantly higher frequency of ending the contact with advice and self-care compared to patients receiving a telephone contact (adj. IRR=1.21, 95%CI=1.21-1.21). Conversely, patients receiving a video contact had a significant lower frequency of being referred to a clinic consultation (adj. IRR=0.59, 95%CI=0.59-0.60) or a home visit compared to patients receiving a telephone contact (adj. IRR=0.31, 95%CI=0.29-0.32). The frequency of being admitted to a hospital was significantly higher after a video contact compared to a telephone contact (adj. IRR=1.20, 95%CI 1.17-1.23). (Table 2)

Table 2. Frequency of triage outcomes (in percentages) and their association with video contacts (incidence rate ratio)

	Frequency			Incidence rate ratio (95%CI)	
	Telephone contacts (n=2,625,363)	Video contacts (n=275,203)	Total (n=2,900,566)	Crude	Adjusted ^a
Advice and self-care	63.4	78.3	64.8	1.24 (1.23-1.24)	1.21 (1.21-1.21)
Clinic consultation	27.1	17.9	26.2	0.66 (0.66-0.67)	0.59 (0.59-0.60)
Home visit	6.3	0.7	5.8	0.12 (0.11-0.12)	0.31 (0.29-0.32)
Hospital admission	3.2	3.1	3.2	0.95 (0.93-0.97)	1.20 (1.17-1.23)

^aAdjusted for patient sex, age, cohabitation, comorbidity, educational level, ethnicity, income, urbanization, employment status, region, and time of contact.

Follow-up contacts

In general, patients receiving a video contact had a significantly higher frequency of no follow-up contact compared to patients receiving a telephone contact (adj. IRR=1.09, 95%CI=1.08-1.09). For those who had a follow-up contact, the patients who received a video contact had a significantly higher frequency of having a follow-up contact with their regular GP compared to those receiving a telephone contact (adj. IRR=1.02, 95%CI=1.01-1.03). Conversely, the patients receiving a video contact had a significantly lower frequency of a follow-up contact in OOH-PC (adj. IRR=0.96,

95%CI=0.95-0.97) or at the hospital (adj. IRR=0.75, 95%CI=0.74-0.76) compared to patients receiving a telephone contact. (Table 3)

Table 3. Frequency of follow-up contacts (in percentages) and association between use of video contacts and subsequent follow-up contacts (incidence rate ratio)

Type of follow-up contacts	Frequency			Incidence rate ratio (95%CI)	
	Telephone contacts (n=2,625,363)	Video contacts (n=275,203)	Total (n=2,900,566)	Crude	Adjusted ^a
No follow-up	41.8	50.0	42.5	1.20 (1.19-1.20)	1.09 (1.08-1.09)
Daytime general practice^b	27.4	25.7	27.3	0.94 (0.93-0.94)	1.02 (1.01-1.03)
OOH PC^c	15.1	13.7	15.0	0.90 (0.90-0.91)	0.96 (0.95-0.97)
Hospital^d	15.7	10.6	15.2	0.68 (0.67-0.69)	0.75 (0.74-0.76)

^aAdjusted for patient's sex and age, cohabitation, comorbidity, educational level, ethnicity, income, urbanization, employment status, region, and time of contact.

^bContacts (telephone contacts, video contacts, clinic consultations, or home visits) to daytime general practice within seven days from the index contact to OOH-PC.

^cAll telephone triage contacts to OOH-PC within seven days from the index contact to OOH-PC.

^dAll non-scheduled hospital contacts (emergency department visits and hospital admissions) within one day from the index contact to OOH-PC.

Discussion

Principal results

Video was used in 9.5% of all telephone triage contacts to OOH-PC. Video contacts were unevenly distributed across patient- and contact-related characteristics; video contacts were more often used for patients who were employed, young, without comorbidities, and contacting OOH-PC at more than 4 hours before the opening hours of daytime general practice. Compared to telephone contacts, significantly more video contacts ended with advice and self-care and significantly fewer had follow-up contacts.

Strengths and limitations

This study was based on a large dataset, including codes for remuneration by GPs. The economic incentive for GPs to register all services provided contributed to completeness of data, though validity has not been studied [22].

Our study also had some limitations. First, we had no information on the reason for encounter (RFE),

as this is not systematically registered in OOH-PC contacts. In each telephone triage contact, the triage GP assessed the relevance of video use based on the current RFE balanced against the specific patient- and contact-related characteristics. Therefore, telephone contacts and video contacts had different diagnostic scope, which could have influenced the differences found in triage outcome and follow-up contacts through confounding by indication. Second, we followed each patient for seven days to record follow-up contacts to OOH-PC and to daytime general practice, as previously described in the literature [26]. This led to an overestimation of follow-up contacts, as we could not link these follow-up contacts to the index contact in OOH-PC using RFE. However, any overestimation of follow-up contacts to OOH-PC and to daytime general practice would be independent of type of contact. Finally, we used the Charlson Comorbidity Index to define comorbidity based on hospital diagnosis codes. This approach might have led to an underestimation of comorbidity [27], as patients with mild chronic diseases are often treated solely in general practice.

Several factors must be considered when generalizing the results from this study. First, the study period was defined according to the date of initiation of video contacts in each of the regions. Therefore, the regions were included in different periods of the COVID-19 pandemic, and they had different contact patterns to primary care both inside and outside office hours [8, 12, 20, 28] and probably also different distributions of triage outcomes. Second, the triage GPs perform telephone triage with no decision support tool in Danish OOH-PC; this is unlike most countries with comparable OOH-PC services as they often use other health care professionals with decision support systems [3]. Compared to other triage professionals, triage GPs may be able to finish more patients via a video contact. Lastly, Danish triage GPs were remunerated on a fee-for-service basis. As the fee for a video contact was higher than the fee for a telephone contact, this could have been an incentive to aim for a higher share of video contacts in this setting compared to countries with other payment instruments.

Comparison with prior work

We found a 9.5% usage rate of video contacts to OOH-PC. To our knowledge, no previous studies used a data collection period of this length to report on video use in OOH-PC. Studies on the changing contacts patterns in OOH-PC during the COVID-19 pandemic have found an overall increase in telehealth consultations (e-mail, video, or telephone) [8, 12, 28]. Video use in daytime general practice has previously been reported to range from 1% to 6.4% [15, 29-32]. However, as

patient populations and RFE are known to differ between daytime general practice and OOH-PC [33], these results cannot be compared with our findings. Furthermore, video contacts in OOH-PC guide triage professionals in the assessment of patients and to improve patient reassurance [13, 14]. In contrast, video contacts has often been used to substitute clinic consultations in daytime general practice for practical reasons, for example to reduce travel time or limit the risk of contamination, but both patients and GPs seem to prefer in-person consultations in the post-pandemic era [10, 13, 18].

Our study showed that video contacts were used more often for employed young patients without comorbidities. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to report on associations between patient- and contact-related characteristics and video contacts in OOH-PC. Studies conducted in daytime general practice have found higher video usage rates during COVID-19 lockdown periods [34] and among people from socioeconomically advantaged areas [34, 35]. Previous studies have shown inconsistent results on the association between patient age and video use as higher use has been reported for both young [32, 35] and older patients [30]. Moreover, daytime video use seems to be associated with patients with high morbidity [36] compared to patients with lower morbidity. These findings are not in line with our study results, which could be due to differences in patient populations between daytime general practice and OOH-PC [33]. Furthermore, some of the previous studies were conducted during the peak of the COVID-19 pandemic, and the countries had different healthcare systems and different approaches to tackling the pandemic.

We found that video contacts more often ended with advice and self-care and no follow-up contacts compared to telephone contacts. Two qualitative studies investigating the effect of video contacts on the patient flow in daytime general practice found that GPs experience uncertainties when referring the patient to secondary care after a video contact [9, 37]. A UK study on follow-up contacts after using a video contact service (used by hospital, daytime general practice, and other services) found no significant difference in the number of subsequent referrals compared to telephone contacts [38]. However, these studies focused on video use in the daytime rather than in telephone triage in OOH-PC.

Implications for practice and future research

Our study suggests that video contacts could help reduce healthcare resources in the OOH-PC setting by lowering the number of subsequent clinic consultations and home visits. More studies are needed on the effect of video contacts on the patient flow. First, further research is needed to

investigate the impact of video contacts in relation to different RFEs. Second, future studies should explore if the findings of this study are consistent post-pandemic and across different OOH-PC organizations. Third, future studies should investigate if the video option might generate more contacts to OOH-PC overall. Fourth, our study indicates an association between video contacts and specific patient characteristics; video was more often used for employed young patients without comorbidities. This finding contrasts with most studies in daytime general practice and should be further investigated. Finally, it is important to note that we did not study costs associated with video use and its effects on resource use. Therefore, future studies should investigate the costs as well.

Conclusion

This study supports our hypothesis that video contacts could reduce healthcare resources in OOH-PC. Video use was associated with a lower frequency of referrals to a clinic consultation or home visit and lower frequency of follow-up contacts. However, the results could be biased due to confounding by indication, reflecting that triage GPs use video for a specific set of reasons for encounter.

Acknowledgements

Authors' contributions

All authors contributed to the study design, interpretation of results, drafting and revising of the manuscript. MN and CV conducted the data management and the statistical analysis. All authors have agreed to the final submitted version of the manuscript.

Funding

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support for this study provided by the Danish health insurance foundation ("*Sygeforsikringen danmark*"), the General Practice Research Foundation of the Central Denmark Region ("*Praksisforskningsfonden*"), and the Department of Public Health, Aarhus University. The funding bodies had no role in the study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, writing of the manuscript, or submission of the final article.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

Abbreviations

adj. IRR: Adjusted incidence rate ratio

GDPR: General Data Protection Regulation

GP: General practitioner

ICPC-2: International Classification of Primary Care, second edition

IRR: Incidence rate ratios

n: Number

OOH-PC: Out-of-hours primary care

ref.: Reference

RFE: Reason for encounter

95% CI: 95% confidence intervals

References

1. Pedersen KM, Andersen JS, Søndergaard J. General practice and primary health care in Denmark. *J Am Board Fam Med*. 2012 Mar;25 Suppl 1:S34-8. PMID: 22403249.
2. Smits M, Rutten M, Keizer E, Wensing M, Westert G, Giesen P. The development and performance of after-hours primary care in the Netherlands: a narrative review. *Ann Intern Med*. 2017 May 16;166(10):737-42. PMID: 28418455.
3. Steeman L, Uijen M, Plat E, Huibers L, Smits M, Giesen P. Out-of-hours primary care in 26 European countries: an overview of organizational models. *Fam Pract*. 2020 Nov 28;37(6):744-50. PMID: 32597962.
4. Drerup B, Espenschied J, Wiedemer J, Hamilton L. Reduced no-show rates and sustained patient satisfaction of telehealth during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Telemed J E Health*. 2021 Mar 4. PMID: 33661708.
5. Green MA, McKee M, Katikireddi SV. Remote general practitioner consultations during COVID-19. *Lancet Digit Health*. 2022 Jan;4(1):e7. PMID: 34952678.
6. Johnsen TM, Norberg BL, Kristiansen E, Zanaboni P, Austad B, Krogh FH, et al. Suitability of video consultations during the COVID-19 pandemic lockdown: cross-sectional survey among

norwegian general practitioners. *J Med Internet Res*. 2021 Feb 8;23(2):e26433. PMID: 33465037.

7. Saint-Lary O, Gautier S, Le Breton J, Gilberg S, Frappé P, Schuers M, et al. How GPs adapted their practices and organisations at the beginning of COVID-19 outbreak: a French national observational survey. *BMJ Open*. 2020 Dec 2;10(12):e042119. PMID: 33268433.

8. Sigurdsson EL, Blondal AB, Jonsson JS, Tomasdottir MO, Hrafnkelsson H, Linnet K, et al. How primary healthcare in Iceland swiftly changed its strategy in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. *BMJ Open*. 2020 Dec 7;10(12):e043151. PMID: 33293329.

9. Wherton J, Greenhalgh T, Shaw SE. Expanding video consultation services at pace and scale in Scotland during the COVID-19 pandemic: national mixed methods case study. *J Med Internet Res*. 2021 Oct 7;23(10):e31374. PMID: 34516389.

10. Due TD, Thorsen T, Andersen JH. Use of alternative consultation forms in Danish general practice in the initial phase of the COVID-19 pandemic - a qualitative study. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2021 Jun 2;22(1):108. PMID: 34078281.

11. Joy M, McGagh D, Jones N, Liyanage H, Sherlock J, Parimalanathan V, et al. Reorganisation of primary care for older adults during COVID-19: a cross-sectional database study in the UK. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2020 Aug;70(697):e540-e7. PMID: 32661009.

12. Ramerman L, Rijpkema C, Bos N, Flinterman LE, Verheij RA. The use of out-of-hours primary care during the first year of the COVID-19 pandemic. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2022 May 21;22(1):679. PMID: 35597939.

13. Greenhalgh T, Ladds E, Hughes G, Moore L, Wherton J, Shaw SE, et al. Why do GPs rarely do video consultations? qualitative study in UK general practice. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2022 Feb 16. PMID: 35256385.

14. Gren C, Egerod I, Linderøth G, Hasselager AB, Frederiksen MS, Folke F, et al. "We can't do without it": Parent and call-handler experiences of video triage of children at a medical helpline. *PLoS One*. 2022;17(4):e0266007. PMID: 35421109.

15. Assing Hvidt E, Christensen NP, Grønning A, Jepsen C, Luchau EC. What are patients' first-time experiences with video consulting? A qualitative interview study in Danish general practice in times of COVID-19. *BMJ Open*. 2022 Apr 15;12(4):e054415. PMID: 35428624.

16. Mold F, Hendy J, Lai YL, de Lusignan S. Electronic consultation in primary care between providers and patients: systematic review. *JMIR Med Inform*. 2019 Dec 3;7(4):e13042. PMID: 31793888.

17. Koch S, Guhres M. Physicians' experiences of patient-initiated online consultations in primary care using direct-to-consumer technology. *Stud Health Technol Inform*. 2020 Jun

16;270:643-7. PMID: 32570462.

18. Meurs M, Keuper J, Sankatsing V, Batenburg R, van Tuyl L. "Get used to the fact that some of the care is really going to take place in a different way": general practitioners' experiences with E-Health during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022 Apr 22;19(9). PMID: 35564519.

19. Nordtug M, Assing Hvidt E, Lühchau EC, Grønning A. General practitioners' experiences of professional uncertainties emerging from the introduction of video consultations in general practice: qualitative study. *JMIR Form Res*. 2022 Jun 14;6(6):e36289. PMID: 35653607.

20. Huibers L, Bech BH, Kirk UB, Kallestrup P, Vestergaard CH, Christensen MB. Contacts in general practice during the COVID-19 pandemic: a register-based study. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2022 Nov;72(724):e799-e808. PMID: 36253113.

21. Pedersen CB. The Danish Civil Registration System. *Scand J Public Health*. 2011 Jul;39(7 Suppl):22-5. PMID: 21775345.

22. Andersen JS, Olivarius Nde F, Krasnik A. The Danish National Health Service Register. *Scand J Public Health*. 2011 Jul;39(7 Suppl):34-7. PMID: 21775348.

23. Schmidt M, Schmidt SA, Sandegaard JL, Ehrenstein V, Pedersen L, Sørensen HT. The Danish National Patient Registry: a review of content, data quality, and research potential. *Clin Epidemiol*. 2015;7:449-90. PMID: 26604824.

24. Thygesen LC, Daasnes C, Thaulow I, Brønnum-Hansen H. Introduction to Danish (nationwide) registers on health and social issues: structure, access, legislation, and archiving. *Scand J Public Health*. 2011 Jul;39(7 Suppl):12-6. PMID: 21898916.

25. Nguyen AD, Frensham LJ, Baysari MT, Carland JE, Day RO. Patients' use of mobile health applications: what general practitioners think. *Fam Pract*. 2019 Mar 20;36(2):214-8. PMID: 29873708.

26. van Uden CJ, Zwietering PJ, Hobma SO, Ament AJ, Wesseling G, van Schayck OC, et al. Follow-up care by patient's own general practitioner after contact with out-of-hours care. A descriptive study. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2005 Jun 9;6(1):23. PMID: 15946382.

27. Prior A, Fenger-Grøn M, Larsen KK, Larsen FB, Robinson KM, Nielsen MG, et al. The association between perceived stress and mortality among people with multimorbidity: a prospective population-based cohort study. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2016 Aug 1;184(3):199-210. PMID: 27407085.

28. Morreel S, Philips H, Verhoeven V. Organisation and characteristics of out-of-hours primary care during a COVID-19 outbreak: A real-time observational study. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(8):e0237629. PMID: 32790804.

29. Scott A, Bai T, Zhang Y. Association between telehealth use and general practitioner characteristics during COVID-19: findings from a nationally representative survey of Australian doctors. *BMJ Open*. 2021 Mar 24;11(3):e046857. PMID: 33762248.
30. Murphy M, Scott LJ, Salisbury C, Turner A, Scott A, Denholm R, et al. Implementation of remote consulting in UK primary care following the COVID-19 pandemic: a mixed-methods longitudinal study. *Br J Gen Pract*. 2021;71(704):e166-e77. PMID: 33558332.
31. Chang JE, Lindenfeld Z, Albert SL, Massar R, Shelley D, Kwok L, et al. Telephone vs. video visits during COVID-19: safety-net provider perspectives. *J Am Board Fam Med*. 2021 Nov-Dec;34(6):1103-14. PMID: 34772766.
32. Dai Z, Sezgin G, Hardie RA, McGuire P, Pearce C, McLeod A, et al. Sociodemographic determinants of telehealth utilisation in general practice during the COVID-19 pandemic in Australia. *Intern Med J*. 2023 Jan 9. PMID: 36624629.
33. Huibers LA, Moth G, Bondevik GT, Kersnik J, Huber CA, Christensen MB, et al. Diagnostic scope in out-of-hours primary care services in eight European countries: an observational study. *BMC Fam Pract*. 2011 May 13;12:30. PMID: 21569483.
34. Savira F, Orellana L, Hensher M, Gao L, Sanigorski A, Mc Namara K, et al. Use of general practitioner telehealth services during the COVID-19 pandemic in regional victoria, Australia: retrospective analysis. *J Med Internet Res*. 2023 Feb 7;25:e39384. PMID: 36649230.
35. Rodriguez JA, Betancourt JR, Sequist TD, Ganguli I. Differences in the use of telephone and video telemedicine visits during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Am J Manag Care*. 2021 Jan;27(1):21-6. PMID: 33471458.
36. Glazier RH, Green ME, Wu FC, Frymire E, Kopp A, Kiran T. Shifts in office and virtual primary care during the early COVID-19 pandemic in Ontario, Canada. *Cmaj*. 2021 Feb 8;193(6):E200-e10. PMID: 33558406.
37. Randhawa RS, Chandan JS, Thomas T, Singh S. An exploration of the attitudes and views of general practitioners on the use of video consultations in a primary healthcare setting: a qualitative pilot study. *Prim Health Care Res Dev*. 2019 Jan;20:e5. PMID: 29909798.
38. Smith C, Kubanova B, Ahmed F, Manickavasagam J. The effectiveness of remote consultations during the COVID-19 pandemic: a tool for modernising the National Health Service (NHS). *Cureus*. 2022 Dec;14(12):e32301. PMID: 36627990.

Supplementary Files

Figures

Forest plot presenting the association between patient- and contact-related characteristics and the likelihood of having a video contact (incidence rate ratios with 95% confidence intervals).

