

Mobile-delivered mindfulness intervention on Anxiety Level among College Athletes: A Randomized Controlled Trial

Yu Gao, Lu Shi, Ning Fu, Nan Yang, Tracy Weeks-Gariepy, Yuping Mao

Submitted to: Journal of Medical Internet Research
on: June 20, 2022

Disclaimer: © The authors. All rights reserved. This is a privileged document currently under peer-review/community review. Authors have provided JMIR Publications with an exclusive license to publish this preprint on its website for review purposes only. While the final peer-reviewed paper may be licensed under a CC BY license on publication, at this stage authors and publisher expressly prohibit redistribution of this draft paper other than for review purposes.

Table of Contents

Original Manuscript..... 5

Supplementary Files..... 22

0..... 22

Preprint
JMIR Publications

Mobile-delivered mindfulness intervention on Anxiety Level among College Athletes: A Randomized Controlled Trial

Yu Gao^{1*} MA; Lu Shi² PhD; Ning Fu¹ PhD; Nan Yang¹; Tracy Weeks-Gariepy²; Yuping Mao^{3*} PhD

¹Shanghai University of Finance and Economics Shanghai CN

²Clemson University Clemson US

³California State University Long Beach Long Beach US

*these authors contributed equally

Corresponding Author:

Lu Shi PhD
Clemson University
209 Wescott Dr
Clemson
US

Abstract

Background: College athletes are a group often affected by anxiety. Few interventional studies have been conducted to address the anxiety issues for this population.

Objective: We conduct a mobile-delivered mindfulness intervention among college athletes to study its feasibility and efficacy in lowering their anxiety level.

Methods: We recruited 290 college athletes and randomized them into the intervention group and the control group, with the former receiving a therapist-guided, smartphone-delivered mindfulness-based intervention and the latter receiving mental health promotion messages. A mindfulness therapist led a 43-day guided use of the mindfulness app with daily check-ins and discussions of the experience of using the mindfulness app. We conducted qualitative theme analysis of the check-in messages to study the participant feedback about the intervention. We used the intent-to-treat (ITT) and generalized linear models (GLM) to compare the two groups' outcome in dispositional anxiety, pre-tournament anxiety and mid-tournament anxiety, plus the five dimensions of mindfulness (measured by Five-facet Mindfulness Questionnaire).

Results: Qualitative feedback to the intervention provided mostly positive assessment of our mobile-delivered program. The daily check-in record of our app shows that those in the intervention checked in 53.4% of the time throughout the 43-day intervention. Participants shared the following five common experiences during the meditation sessions: sleepiness, calmness, relaxation, body sensation, and de-centering. Participants also reflected on factors that might compromise the positive meditation including distraction during the meditation session and lack of time available for consistent participation. Our ITT analysis and GLM found no significant difference in dispositional anxiety, pre-tournament anxiety and mid-tournament anxiety. Only the "observation" facet of mindfulness measures had some notable difference between the changes experienced by the two groups, whereby the intervention group had a net gain of 0.214 with marginal level of statistical significance ($p=0.089$). Participants who specialized in group sports had a higher level of anxiety ($\beta=0.187$, $SE=0.077$), lower level of "nonjudgemental inner experience" in FFMQ ($\beta=-0.067$, $SE=0.03$), and lower level of "non-reactivity" ($\beta=-0.138$, $SE=0.052$) than those specialized in individual sports.

Conclusions: While the qualitative feedback and daily check-in record signal the acceptability and feasibility of a smartphone-delivered mindfulness intervention among college athletes, no significant benefit in anxiety reduction was detected in our study. Based upon the participant feedback that the time availability for mindfulness practice and session attendance for these student athletes in an elite college could compromise the intervention effectiveness, future interventions among this population could explore more student-friendly time schedule (e.g., to avoid final exam time) or attempt to improve cognitive and scholastic outcomes. Clinical Trial: Chinese Clinical Trial Registry with trial registration No. ChiCTR1900024449

(JMIR Preprints 20/06/2022:40406)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2196/preprints.40406>

Preprint Settings

1) Would you like to publish your submitted manuscript as preprint?

✓ **Please make my preprint PDF available to anyone at any time (recommended).**

Please make my preprint PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that my title and abstract will remain visible to all users.

Only make the preprint title and abstract visible.

No, I do not wish to publish my submitted manuscript as a preprint.

2) If accepted for publication in a JMIR journal, would you like the PDF to be visible to the public?

✓ **Yes, please make my accepted manuscript PDF available to anyone at any time (Recommended).**

Yes, but please make my accepted manuscript PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that the title and abstract will remain visible to all users.

Yes, but only make the title and abstract visible (see Important note, above). I understand that if I later pay to participate in <http://www.jmir.org>, my full manuscript will be available to all users.

Original Manuscript

Abstract

Background: College athletes are a group often affected by anxiety. Few interventional studies have been conducted to address the anxiety issues for this population. **Objective:** We conducted a mobile-delivered mindfulness intervention among college athletes to study its feasibility and efficacy in lowering their anxiety level and improving their mindfulness (measured by Five-facet Mindfulness Questionnaire). **Method:** In May 2019, we recruited 290 college athletes from a public university in Shanghai, China and randomized them into an intervention group and control group, with the former receiving a therapist-guided, smartphone-delivered mindfulness-based intervention and the latter receiving mental health promotion messages. We offered in-person instructions during the orientation session for the intervention group in a classroom, with the therapist interacting with the participants on the smartphone platform later during the intervention. We used generalized linear modeling (GLM) and the intent-to-treat (ITT) approach to compare the two groups' outcomes in dispositional anxiety, pre-competition anxiety and anxiety during competition, plus the five dimensions of mindfulness (measured by Five-facet Mindfulness Questionnaire). **Results:** Our ITT analysis and GLM found no significant difference in dispositional anxiety, pre-competition anxiety and anxiety during competition. Only the "observation" facet of mindfulness measures had a notable difference between the changes experienced by the two groups, whereby the intervention group had a net gain of .214 yet falling short of reaching statistical significance ($p=.09$). Participants who specialized in group sports had a higher level of anxiety ($\beta=.19$, $SE=.08$), lower level of "nonjudgemental inner experience" in FFMQ ($\beta=-.07$, $SE=.03$), and lower level of "non-reactivity" ($\beta=-.138$, $SE=.052$) than those specialized in individual sports. **Conclusion:** No significant benefit in anxiety reduction was detected in our study. Based upon the participant feedback that the time availability for mindfulness practice and session attendance for these student athletes in an elite college could compromise the intervention effectiveness, future interventions among this population could explore more student-friendly time schedule (e.g., avoid final exam time) or attempt to improve cognitive and scholastic outcomes.

This study was registered at Chinese Clinical Trial Registry with trial registration No. ChiCTR1900024449.

Introduction

It has been observed that anxiety disorders are not uncommon among college athletes[1], a group of young individuals who typically need to balance daily sports training, major competitive tournaments, and college coursework. These workloads could mean substantial stress and anxiety for these young students, many of whom have just left home to a new location without local support from family or social network. Unique challenges such as the long-term impact of concussions (traumatic brain injury) on neural system could also elevate the mental health risk for the college athlete population[2, 3]. Therefore, more academic attention is needed to address the mental health challenges among the college athletes during and after their college years.

Clinical resources such as mental health counseling, psychotherapy and student services centers are common healthcare resources on campus that college athletes could turn to for their mental health issues. Common challenges for college athletes attempting to use mental health resources include perceived stigma associated with seeking mental healthcare [4] and service availability [5], barriers that are unlikely to disappear soon. As such, lifestyle interventions, which could be sustained by the college athletes themselves after active intervention programs, could play complementary roles to clinical interventions such as counseling and outpatient visits.

Mindfulness-based interventions (MBIs), a type of lifestyle intervention aimed at developing a nonjudgmental awareness and experiential acceptance of present experiences (often through meditation practice) [6], have been shown to be effective in reducing stress and anxiety among adolescents and college-age young adults [7-9]. Its non-pharmacological, non-clinical approach makes it feasible to nonclinical populations such as college athletes. Recent evidence has shown MBIs' feasibility and acceptability when delivered through mobile apps such as Headspace [10, 11]. On the other hand, the traditional eight-week time frame of standardized MBIs such as mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) and mindfulness behavioral cognitive therapy (MBCT) [12] might be too challenging for college students, who reported time available as the most common barrier to meditation practice [13, 14]. For college athletes who have to maintain rigorous sports training and academic coursework simultaneously, the time constraint might be even more salient than other college students. Therefore, a smartphone-based MBI with more flexible session scheduling (e.g., the content is delivered with the option of asynchronous attendance) could be more accessible for college athletes. However, while smartphone-based MBIs have been assessed among the adult population [15, 16] as well as university students [17], very few such interventions have been tested among college athletes. To fill this research gap, we conducted a therapist-guided, asynchronously delivered mHealth MBI among college athletes to study its feasibility and efficacy in lowering their anxiety level and improving their mindfulness (measured by Five-facet Mindfulness Questionnaire [18]).

Method

Design

We designed and conducted a randomized controlled trial whereby college athletes were randomized to receive a smartphone-based, therapist-led mindfulness-based intervention, with anxiety measures as our primary outcome of interest.

Setting

In May and June 2019 (Spring semester in Chinese universities), we offered in-person instructions during the orientation session for the intervention group in a classroom at Shanghai University of Finance and Economics in Shanghai, China. After the orientation session, a therapist interacted with the participants on a smartphone platform to address their day-to-day feedback about the video-delivered MBSR sessions.

Participants

Using the software of G*Power 3 [19] and prior meta-analyzed effect size of mindfulness interventions on anxiety [20], we estimated that we would need a sample size of 290 cases for the study.

Inclusion criteria are the following: 1) currently enrolled as college student athletes; 2) willing to download a WeChat application on their cellphone, conduct fifteen minutes of mindfulness meditation following the courses in the application, and report their feelings subsequently on a daily basis; 3) able to participate routine school activities including study, exams, athletic training and competitions.

Ethical considerations

Upon enrollment, we provided the participants with an overview of the mindfulness anxiety reduction experiment program plus a copy of consent form for every participant to sign. We obtained informed consent before randomization.

The study was approved by the Office of Scientific Research at Shanghai University of Finance and Economics and was retrospectively registered at Chinese Clinical Trial Registry with trial registration No. ChiCTR1900024449. Due to the college schedule of the final exam week and summer break (when the students would be unavailable for intervention), the approval of our clinical trial registration occurred after the initiation of participant enrollment, since we were unable to logistically defer the enrollment to accommodate an unexpected delay in trial registration approval. Our study data were de-identified after data entry. Every participant received a participation incentive of a Shanghai Disneyland ticket worth 399 *yuan*.

Randomization and intervention

A total of 290 college student athletes were enrolled. Before our random allocation, participants were asked to fill out the baseline survey about their mental health status, health behavior and social demographic characteristics. Two students (.69%) chose to leave the study at the point of baseline survey without giving a reason. After the baseline phase, the research team randomized the 288 participants into control and intervention groups using block randomization method [21], with 150 assigned to the intervention group and 138 to the control group. The allocation list was stored in a password-protected computer at Clemson University in Clemson, SC (United States).

On April 9th, 2019, the intervention group met and was provided with an orientation session about Mindfulness-based Stress Reduction [22]. The session provided knowledge about the origin and development of meditation practice, and the whole group practiced a twenty-minute mindfulness meditation under instructions. During this session, participants downloaded a mindfulness app on their cellphones, an application that include a series of instructional videos about mindfulness practice in daily life plus guidance from certified mindfulness therapists. This app was developed on the social media platform of WeChat, the most popular social media platform in mainland China [23, 24]. Following the orientation session, the intervention group practiced daily mindfulness meditation for 15 minutes following the daily video update in the app, while documenting their reflections about the meditation experience in the shared virtual space. The intervention lasted 43 days between April 9th and May 21st, 2019. It was implemented by two healthcare professionals (one primary care physician and one licensed counselor) who had extensive experience with mindfulness training. We adapted the standardized MBSR protocol for this smartphone-based intervention to fit the mobile platform.

For the six week duration of the intervention, the experimental group listened to 15 minute audio clips of guided meditation (mindful yoga, an integral part of MBSR protocol [25, 26]), while providing their questions and feedback about the intervention to the mobile platform. The participants were expected to submit a daily check-in message to briefly update their mindfulness practice experience. Common feedback from the student participants included the difficulty for the mind to stay quiet and the inability to control their own breath. The licensed mindfulness therapist then provided her real-time answers and advice on questions and feedback during a daily 15-minute live session. The therapist reminded student participants that it was important not to let the intervention program interfere with their routine curriculum and daily life.

We provided the control group with informational pamphlets about college students' mental health and physical health, with no active behavioral intervention conducted. They were not required to meet during the intervention. We only contacted this group again once at the end of the study to fill the endpoint survey.

The third day after the treatment finished, our research assistants administered the post-treatment survey among both the intervention and control groups. Two research assistants independently entered the participants' questionnaire responses to build Excel-format datasets and then cross-checked the accuracy of data entry to ensure the quality of survey data. By the end of the experiment, 20 and 18 participants were lost to follow-up from the intervention and control groups respectively.

Blinding

While participants and instructors were not blinded to intervention, statistical data analysts were blinded to the group assignment when conducting the analyses using STATA/MP 14.0 [27] (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

Outcome measures

The primary outcome of interest in this study is assessed by three anxiety measures: participants' level of dispositional anxiety, pre-competition, and anxiety during competition. These were measured by a Chinese version of three validated psychometric scales among the athlete population [28]: "Athlete Trait Anxiety Scales", "Athlete State Anxiety Scale (before competition)", and "Athlete State Anxiety Scales (in competition)". These three instruments comprised a total of 28 items rated using a Likert scale with 5 options ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). See the questionnaire questions in Table A1. These scales were based on Spielberger's State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI)[29] and Sport Competition Anxiety Test (SCAT)[30] and were adopted to the Chinese athlete population. They were chosen based on validity and reliability. All scales had adequate construct validity and reliability to assess mental health in sports. All scales had adequate construct validity and reliability to assess mental health in sports. Wu and Lin [28] reported Cronbach's α values of each of the three scales: .868 of athlete trait anxiety, .833 of pre-competition anxiety, and .848 of anxiety during competition.

The secondary outcomes in this study include five facets of mindfulness: observation, description, mindful actions, non-judgmental inner experience, and non-reactivity [18] (the Chinese version of FFMQ[31]).

The student participants' reflections and feedback were submitted in simplified Mandarin Chinese, the official language in mainland China. Their comments were selected and translated into English to be included in this paper. To ensure the English translation accurately captures the meaning in the statements in simplified Mandarin Chinese, the translation followed by back-translation procedure was applied by the China-born US-based researchers who are fluent in both English and Chinese and have rich experience of conducting transnational research.

Statistical Analysis

Baseline characteristics were described by the intervention groups and compared for homogeneity using Student's t-test for continuous and Chi-square test for noncontinuous variables. An intent-to-treat approach was used for all effectiveness analyses. All participants were analyzed according to the groups to which they are originally randomized, accounting for loss to follow up. Descriptive summary statistics of outcome variables were presented for the pre-post and between group differences. We also used generalized linear mixed models adjusting for baseline characteristics [32]. Treatment condition, time and the interaction of treatment-by-time were included, treatment-by-time as fixed factors and time as a random factor. The treatment*time interaction term captured the effectiveness of the treatment. As treated analysis was conducted by excluding untreated participants who were assigned to treatment group. In addition, we performed sensitivity analyses to study if the treatment was more effective for participants who reported high anxiety levels at the baseline by running two separate analyses for participants with high vs. low anxiety levels.

The statistically significant level was set at $p < .05$ based on two tail tests. Data were analyzed using STATA 14.0 MP.

Qualitative Analysis

There are 3446 records of qualitative comments made by 102 unique participants. In analyzing the qualitative

comments provided by participants, we adopted an interdisciplinary approach. Three researchers, who specialize in sports education, public health, and communication, read the comments independently and identified key themes. They discussed disagreements and reached consensus on major themes. Nvivo 12 [33] was used to perform qualitative analysis based on the frequencies of key words. Researchers drew key themes based on frequency and relevance. After the key themes were agreed upon, representative comments were selected to demonstrate each theme.

Results

At baseline, our total sample of 288 participants included men (63%) and women (37%), with an age range between 17 and 25 ($M=19.4$; $SD=1.5$) (Table 1). 29% of them participated in group/team sports including soccer, volleyball, cheerleading and basketball; 71% participated in individual sports including badminton, bridge, chess, fencing, golf, pingpong/table tennis, skipping rope, swimming, taekwondo, and tennis. In our data, the Cronbach's α was .923 for anxiety of trait, .906 for pre-competition anxiety and .861 for anxiety during competition. The reliability coefficient for each scale was high, indicating the questionnaires were reliable. We used independent t-tests and Chi-square tests to compare the baseline characteristics, anxiety outcomes and FFMQ outcomes between intervention and control groups, and found that for these variables there was no statistically significant difference between the two groups (Table 1).

Social Media Messages

Qualitative feedback from participants, saved as social media messages, showed positive feedback from the participants on the content of the experimental intervention. Overall, these messages showed that it was feasible to promote the meditation intervention through the mobile platform among these college athletes. At the end of the course, many expressed the willingness to repeat the course: "I hope I can repeat this course, I feel used to listening to the content of the positive meditation for a period of time every day, it can make me feel very relaxed." While those in the intervention group were told to leave a daily check-in message to give a one-sentence note about their meditation experience of the day (as a way of keeping the participants engaged as well as a way of providing instant feedback for the therapist), on average a participant in the intervention group only left his or her message for 53.4% of the time throughout the 43-day intervention.

Among the 3446 social media messages, around 58% are simple "check-in" messages without additional comments. The qualitative analysis of the social media messages with additional comments shows participants reported five main effects of the meditation: sleepiness, calmness, relaxation, body sensation, and decentering. Although these effects are distinctively different from each other, they are interconnected. Therefore, it is common that some participants could share more than one effect in one social media message.

Sleepiness emerged as a major effect participants experienced and shared. Forty-one comments indicated a "drowsy" or "sleepy" feeling during or after the meditation session. For example, participant F commented: "My spine feels relaxed, my headache is soothed, and my anxiety is reduced. I have the feeling of falling sleep." Participants also believe falling asleep is likely to be a common experience as participant E expressed: "I fell asleep during meditation.....I should not be the only one though....."

Sleepiness and calmness sometimes are experienced simultaneously in meditation, as participant W reflected: "Ah, it is close to exam time again. The meditation makes me calm and sleepy, then I don't feel so nervous". Participants also reported the calmness that the meditation brings to them helps their performance in competitive and high anxiety level situations. Participant E provided the following personal example:

My participation in the meditation program helps a lot. In the championship competition of the university's basketball teams, our rope skipping team was invited to perform before the competition. In the rehearsal, I felt extremely nervous, then I suddenly remembered the techniques to control breath in the meditation, and this helped me to calm down gradually. In the formal performance, although I still felt a bit nervous, it was much better than before, and I had few mistakes.

Participant A described "feel extremely calm, sense the blood flowing in my body, and most importantly, forget the annoying things".

Relaxation also emerged as a common experience among participants with 51 comments including the key word “relaxation”. Participant J shared:

After meditation, I feel much relaxed, and all the pressure disappeared suddenly. I have been very busy with reviewing for exams, and squeezing some time for meditation is very helpful.

Some participants identify relaxation as the major benefits they get from the multiple meditation sessions. Participant S’s social media message well summarizes the short- and long-term effects of relaxation:

1. After this morning’s meditation exercise, I felt much relaxed. I have so many different thoughts lately and want to do different things well. However, the more thoughts, the more I feel my ability falls short of my wishes. Today’s meditation made me feel more relaxed.

2. After 20-day meditation, I feel good. I feel more relaxed every morning and my emotions are more stable.

Decentering is defined as one’s ability to observe his/her thoughts and feelings in a detached manner[34]. Relaxation sometimes helps participants to decenter themselves, as participant J shared:

I felt very relaxed, like after a deep sleep, my body also felt more relaxed. I learned how to experience things around me such as sound, light, and texture.....I don’t think too much, but focus on the experience in the moment, feel immersed in this atmosphere.

Body sensation as reported by the participants included sleepiness, relaxation, and calmness. Participant M’s message shows the process of how body sensation connects with relaxation and calmness:

My body feelings changed from tense to relaxed, then relaxed to tense, then tense to relaxed. My brain thought about the upcoming exam, and I noticed the audio in the meditation, and I thought about things happened today and in the past. My emotion shifted from nervous to relaxed and calm, then from calm to anxious, and then from anxious to calm.

Although participants mainly commented on the positive effects of the meditation sessions, they also shared insights on factors that could compromise the effects of the meditation. In particular, they identified the following factors: distraction in the environment, lack of time, lack of an incentive and supervision system, and lack of consistent long-term participation. Participant M elaborated on the concerns:

This meditation activity takes away my time for reviewing study materials, so I don’t think I will be able to keep participating. There are so many exams and other things during this period. I don’t think many people will be able to finish the entire meditation program if there isn’t a good system of incentives and supervision. Everyone has so many things, and it is not a simple task to spend more than ten minutes per day to watch the meditation video. Even for those who check in everyday, it is hard to tell whether they listened with attention.

Statistical Analysis Results

As Table 2 shows, for the three anxiety scales and the five facets of mindfulness, none of the within-group changes from the baseline were statistically significant. Additionally, the between-group difference-in-difference analyses did not reveal significant between-group differences in their changes from the baseline. As a sensitivity analysis, our intent-to-treatment analysis revealed similar patterns whereby no significant effect of the intervention was revealed.

In the GLM analysis (Table 3), indicator variables are included for group/individual sports and urban/rural residency. The overall patterns of interventional effectiveness remain statistically insignificant (dispositional anxiety: $\beta = -.043$, $p = .714$; pre-tournament anxiety: $\beta = .013$, $p = .888$; mid-tournament anxiety: $\beta = -.01$, $p = .908$). There is no significant role from urban/rural residency on anxiety levels or FFMQ outcomes. Only the “observation” facet of mindfulness measures had some notable difference between the changes experienced by

the two groups, whereby the intervention group had a net gain of .214 without reaching the common threshold of statistical significance ($p=.089$).

As for the covariates used in the GLM model, our results show that participants who specialized in group sports had a higher level of anxiety ($\beta=.19$, $SE=.08$, $p=0.02$), lower level of “nonjudgemental inner experience” in FFMQ ($\beta=-.07$, $SE=.03$, $p=0.03$), and lower level of “non-reactivity” ($\beta=-.138$, $SE=.052$, $p=0.01$).

Stratified GLM analyses by baseline dispositional anxiety (Table 4) do not identify notable between-group differences in changes from baseline (for participants with high level of dispositional anxiety at baseline, dispositional anxiety: $\beta=.05$, $p=.73$; pre-competition anxiety: $\beta=.03$, $p=.69$; anxiety during competition: $\beta=.02$, $p=.82$; for participants with low level of dispositional anxiety at baseline, dispositional anxiety: $\beta=.003$, $p=.97$; pre-competition anxiety: $\beta=.02$, $p=.80$; anxiety during competition: $\beta=.004$, $p=.94$).

Harms

Given the qualitative feedback we checked, no harms were detected as a result of this intervention.

Table1
Baseline Characteristics.

Mean (SD)		Total (n=288)	Intervention Group (n= 150)	Control Group (n=138)	p
Age		19.40 (1.46)	19.50 (1.56)	19.30 (1.36)	.26
n (%)					
Gender					.76
	Female	181 (37%)	93 (51%)	88 (49%)	
	Male	107 (63%)	57 (53%)	50 (47%)	
Residency					.99
	Urban	238 (83%)	124 (52%)	114 (48%)	
	Rural	50 (17%)	26 (52%)	24 (48%)	
Group Sports					.65
	Group Sports	83 (29%)	45 (54%)	38 (46%)	
	Individual Sports	205 (71%)	105 (51%)	100 (49%)	
Mean (SD)					
Dispositional anxiety		2.22[.76]	2.26 (.75)	2.18 (.77)	.35
Pre-competition Anxiety		1.85[.68]	1.86 (.67)	1.83 (.7)	.75
Anxiety During Competition		2.09[.60]	2.10 (.57)	2.10 (.61)	.96
FFMQ-Observe		3.08[.71]	3.06 (.71)	3.11 (.71)	.62
FFMQ-Describe		3.34[.63]	3.32 (.64)	3.36 (.62)	.59
FFMQ-Aware		3.60[.60]	3.59 (.59)	3.60 (.63)	.76
FFMQ-Nonjudge		3.02[.62]	3.01 (.64)	3.04 (.60)	.72
FFMQ-Nonreactivity		2.91[.57]	2.91 (.60)	2.90 (.55)	.81


Figure 1 Study Design Flow Chart

Table 2
Outcome measures at baseline and post-treatment by groups

Outcome	Total Mean (SE)	Intervention Group Mean (SE)	Control group Mean (SE)	Between- Treatment Differences in Improvement From Baseline	p- value
Dispositional anxiety					
Baseline	2.22 (.04)	2.26 (.06)	2.18 (.07)	-.08 (.09)	.35
Posttreatment	2.11 (.04)	2.13 (.07)	2.09 (.07)	-.04 (.09)	.64
Difference in difference				-.04 (.13)	.77
Pre-competition anxiety					
Baseline	1.85 (.04)	1.86 (.05)	1.83 (.06)	.02 (.08)	.79
Posttreatment	1.96 (.04)	1.98 (.06)	1.94 (.06)	.04 (.09)	.64
Difference in difference				.02 (.12)	.87
Anxiety during competition					
Baseline	2.10 (.03)	2.10 (.05)	2.10 (.05)	-.01 (.07)	.86
Posttreatment	2.19 (.04)	2.19 (.05)	2.20 (.06)	-.01 (.08)	.94
Difference in difference				-.01 (.10)	.93
FFMQ-observe					
Baseline	3.08 (.04)	3.06 (.06)	3.11 (.06)	-.05 (.08)	.56
Posttreatment	3.04 (.04)	3.10 (.06)	2.99 (.06)	.11 (.09)	.23
Difference in difference				.16 (.12)	.20
FFMQ-describe					
Baseline	3.34 (.04)	3.32 (.05)	3.36 (.05)	-.04 (.07)	.55
Posttreatment	3.32 (.04)	3.33 (.05)	3.30 (.05)	.03 (.07)	.74
Difference in difference				.07 (.11)	.52
FFMQ-aware					
Baseline	3.60 (.04)	3.60 (.05)	3.60 (.05)	-.001 (.07)	.89
Posttreatment	3.50 (.04)	3.51 (.06)	3.49 (.06)	.03 (.08)	.76
Difference in difference				.04 (.11)	.75
FFMQ-nonjudgmental					
Baseline	3.02 (.04)	3.01 (.05)	3.04 (.05)	-.03 (.07)	.71
Posttreatment	3.04 (.04)	3.01 (.05)	3.07 (.05)	-.06 (.07)	.41
Difference in difference				-.03 (.10)	.75
FFMQ-nonreactivity					
Baseline	2.91 (.03)	2.91 (.05)	2.90 (.05)	.016 (.07)	.81
Posttreatment	3.08 (.04)	3.10 (.05)	3.05 (.05)	.05 (.71)	.51
Difference in difference				.03 (.10)	.75

Table 3: Generalized Linear Mixed Models about the Intervention Effectiveness

Outcome	Time Effect		Group Effect		Time*Group Effect	
	b	p-value	b	p-value	b	p-value
Dispositional anxiety	-.09	.36	.08	.37	-.04	.71
Pre-competition anxiety	.11	.10	.03	.70	.01	.89
Anxiety during competition	.11	.09	-.01	.90	-.01	.91
FFMQ-Observe	-.18	.07	-.04	.08	.21	.10
FFMQ-Describe	-.06	.44	-.03	.66	.06	.37
FFMQ-Aware	-.13	.11	-.02	.75	.05	.67
FFMQ-Nonjudge	.03	.62	-.04	.57	-.03	.69
FFMQ-Nonreactivity	.11	.01	.02	.73	.03	.78

Table 4:

Generalized Linear Mixed Model Analysis examining the Intervention Effectiveness, as Stratified by Baseline Anxiety

Outcome	Time Effect		Group Effect		Time*Group	
	Regression slope	p	Regression slope	p	Regression slope	p
<i>High Levels of Anxiety at baseline</i>						
Dispositional anxiety	-.06	.53	-.01	.89	.05	.73
Pre-competition anxiety	.01	.92	-.01	.95	.03	.69
Anxiety during competition	-.01	.95	-.13	.05	.02	.82
<i>Low Levels of Anxiety at baseline</i>						
Dispositional anxiety	.03	.49	.01	.86	.003	.97
Pre-competition anxiety	.002	.97	.02	.62	.02	.80
Anxiety during competition	.07	.27	-.03	.51	-.004	.94

Discussion

Main findings

Our 43-day intervention did not achieve statistically significant effect sizes on reducing students' anxiety levels. Additionally we did not find a significant improvement in the five mindfulness facets we measured (observe, describe, aware, nonreactivity, nonjudgement) as compared with the control group. In other words, our implementation of a mindfulness intervention in a mobile platform for athletes, a population among whom mental health and disorders were common [35], did not produce significant intervention effects.

Comparison with other studies

One possible reason for our insignificant results could be that our sample was recruited neither from clinically diagnosed anxiety patients nor from those who self-report high levels of anxiety. Previous evidence of MBIs' effect has been documented either among populations with elevated levels of anxiety [36-38] or during a time when anxiety tended to be high (e.g., during the initial phase of the Covid-19 pandemic [39]). The potential benefit of anxiety reductions from a mindfulness-based intervention might not be manifest for a nonclinical young adult population, while future interventions targeting nonclinical populations could have more salient benefits if the intervention program is targeted at those who are screened as having high risk for anxiety disorders upon enrollment. For example, an mHealth mindfulness intervention among university students who wished to lose weight found significant benefits in stress reduction [40], suggesting that the mindfulness app could provide mental health benefits for students who worry about their weight.. Our analyses identified those in group sports as having higher levels of anxiety, which indicates that future mindfulness interventions among student athletes might target these students as a high-priority subgroup.

It is important to note that a standardized eight-week MBSR achieved significant improvements in psychological well-being, subjective and objective sleep quality, athletic coping skills, and rowing performance among college athletes of rowing [7]. Similarly, a 10-session variant of MBSR (lasting approximately one hour for 2 sessions a week) achieved reduction in perceived stress and improvement in mindfulness among both athletes and recreationally active people [41]. Compared with these studies, it is possible that the relatively short duration of this study's intervention sessions (as compared to the traditional stress reduction intervention session that could last 120 minutes [42]) may explain the lack of measurable short-term effects, since researchers in the past have noted the possible link between shorter duration of mindfulness sessions and lack of significant mental health benefits in mindfulness interventions [43]. While our study demonstrates the feasibility of mobile platform-based mindfulness interventions in non-clinical college student population, a protocol with longer duration of active intervention might be needed for better outcomes.

The effect of MBIs has been observed to increase with the age of the participants [44]. Although there are previous examples of significant effects of MBIs in younger populations (e.g., pre-hypertensive adolescents [45]), the magnitude of blood pressure reduction examined was significantly less than for similar interventions in older populations [44]. Here, in addition to the factor of higher baseline blood pressure in the older population with more room for improvement, there is also the possibility that older adults have more time and more leisure to complete the daily exercises provided by the orthostatic instructor. Thus, the statistical insignificance of the intervention benefits documented in this study might be due to the fact that the college athletes did not have much time to practice mindfulness meditation given their busy schedule of sports training, tournament competition and academic curriculum. This indicates that it would be advisable for future interventions be implemented when the young participants have a more flexible schedule.

Limits

One limit of our study is that the participants were from a non-probability college student sample from the economically prosperous city of Shanghai, which might not be very representative of college athletes from other parts of China. Also, college athletes in different sports might have different competition seasons and training schedules, and therefore having a uniform intervention and evaluation schedule might not be optimal in terms of timing the intervention delivery and outcome assessment.

We understand that it would have been better to register this trial prospectively, as a standard research practice. A longer-term planning (e.g., starting trial registration six months earlier than the planned enrollment initiation) would have accommodated the unexpected delay in clinical trial registration approval and made this project a stronger study.

Conclusions

MBSR has been conducted by various China-based research groups to address mental health issues among different adult populations [46-50], including younger adults such as military recruits[49] and university

students[46]. The MBSR study among Chinese college students [46] found out that the reduction of fear of positive emotion was smaller in magnitude than decreases in fear of anger, depression and anxiety, which may be related with the “Eastern” dialectical cultural script: a hedonic emotion regulation pattern (savoring positive emotion more while dampening it less) has been observed to be less pronounced for Easterners than it was for Westerners [51]. Hence, future MBSR interventions conducted under East Asian context might find it helpful to emphasize the savoring component in its current curriculum to reduce the participants’ potential fear of positive emotion, a possible predictor of depression, anxiety and stress [52].

An area to explore future interventions among college athletes is the cognitive and scholastic outcomes of MBIs. Completing college in a timely manner while maintaining an athletic training schedule has been a common challenge for this student population [53]. MBIs have documented success in cognitive outcomes such as attention [54], working memory [55] and scholastic performance [56]. The feasibility and acceptance of our mobile-platform MBI among this college athlete population, therefore, lays ground for future interventions that target these additional outcomes beyond anxiety and stress.

Funding

This study was funded by the Shanghai Municipal Education Commission.

References

1. Wilson, G.S., J.S. Raglin, and M.E. Pritchard, *Optimism, Pessimism, and Precompetition Anxiety in College Athletes*. Personality and Individual Differences, 2002. **32**(5): p. 893-902.
2. Kerr, Z.Y., et al., *Association between concussion and mental health in former collegiate athletes*. Injury Epidemiology, 2014. **1**(1): p. 28.
3. Howlett, J.R., L.D. Nelson, and M.B. Stein, *Mental Health Consequences of Traumatic Brain Injury*. Biological Psychiatry, 2022. **91**(5): p. 413-420.
4. Kaier, E., et al., *Perceptions of Mental Illness Stigma: Comparisons of Athletes to Nonathlete Peers*. Journal of College Student Development, 2015. **56**(7): p. 735-739.
5. Moreland, J.J., K.A. Coxe, and J. Yang, *Collegiate athletes' mental health services utilization: A systematic review of conceptualizations, operationalizations, facilitators, and barriers*. Journal of Sport and Health Science, 2018. **7**(1): p. 58-69.
6. Ludwig, D.S. and J. Kabat-Zinn, *Mindfulness in Medicine*. JAMA, 2008. **300**(11): p. 1350-1352.
7. Jones, B.J., et al., *Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction Benefits Psychological Well-Being, Sleep Quality, and Athletic Performance in Female Collegiate Rowers*. Frontiers in Psychology, 2020. **11**.
8. Petterson, H. and B.L. Olson, *Effects of Mindfulness-Based Interventions in High School and College Athletes for Reducing Stress and Injury, and Improving Quality of Life*. Journal of Sport Rehabilitation, 2017. **26**(6): p. 578-587.
9. Gu, Y., G. Xu, and Y. Zhu, *A Randomized Controlled Trial of Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy for College Students With ADHD*. Journal of Attention Disorders, 2018. **22**(4): p. 388-399.
10. Rung, A.L., et al., *Feasibility and Acceptability of a Mobile Mindfulness Meditation Intervention Among Women: Intervention Study*. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth, 2020. **8**(6): p. e15943.
11. Taylor, H., et al., *Health Care Workers' Need for Headspace: Findings From a Multisite Definitive Randomized Controlled Trial of an Unguided Digital Mindfulness-Based Self-help App to Reduce Healthcare Worker Stress*. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth, 2022. **10**(8): p. e31744.
12. Fjorback, L.O., et al., *Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction and Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy – a systematic review of randomized controlled trials*. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica, 2011. **124**(2): p. 102-119.
13. Gryffin, P., W. Chen, and N. Erenguc, *Knowledge, attitudes and beliefs of meditation in college students: Barriers and opportunities*. American Journal of Educational Research, 2014. **2**(4): p. 189-192.
14. Ștefan, C.A., C. Căpraru, and M. Szilágyi, *Investigating Effects and Mechanisms of a Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction Intervention in a Sample of College Students at Risk for Social Anxiety*. Mindfulness, 2018. **9**(5): p. 1509-1521.
15. Howells, A., I. Ivtzan, and F.J. Eiroa-Orosa, *Putting the 'app' in Happiness: A Randomised Controlled Trial of a Smartphone-Based Mindfulness Intervention to Enhance Wellbeing*. Journal of Happiness Studies, 2016. **17**(1): p. 163-185.
16. Morrison Wylde, C., et al., *Mindfulness for Novice Pediatric Nurses: Smartphone Application Versus Traditional Intervention*. Journal of Pediatric Nursing, 2017. **36**: p. 205-212.
17. Lan, Y., et al., *A pilot study of a group mindfulness-based cognitive-behavioral intervention for smartphone addiction among university students*. Journal of Behavioral Addictions, 2018. **7**(4): p. 1171-1176.
18. de Bruin, E.I., et al., *Psychometric Properties of the Five Facets Mindfulness Questionnaire (FFMQ) in a Meditating and a Non-meditating Sample*. Assessment, 2012. **19**(2): p. 187-197.
19. Faul, F., et al., *G*Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences*. Behavior Research Methods, 2007. **39**(2): p. 175-191.
20. Hofmann, S.G., et al., *The effect of mindfulness-based therapy on anxiety and depression: A meta-analytic review*. Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 2010. **78**: p. 169-183.
21. Matts, J.P. and J.M. Lachin, *Properties of permuted-block randomization in clinical trials*. Controlled Clinical Trials, 1988. **9**(4): p. 327-344.
22. *Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction for Stress Management in Healthy People: A Review and Meta-Analysis*. The Journal of Alternative and Complementary Medicine, 2009. **15**(5): p. 593-600.
23. Yang, M., et al., *Effects of an Online Mindfulness Intervention Focusing on Attention Monitoring and Acceptance in Pregnant Women: A Randomized Controlled Trial*. Journal of Midwifery & Women's Health, 2019. **64**(1): p. 68-77.
24. Montag, C., B. Becker, and C. Gan, *The Multipurpose Application WeChat: A Review on Recent Research*. Frontiers in Psychology, 2018. **9**.
25. Carmody, J. and R.A. Baer, *Relationships between mindfulness practice and levels of mindfulness, medical and*

- psychological symptoms and well-being in a mindfulness-based stress reduction program*. Journal of Behavioral Medicine, 2008. **31**(1): p. 23-33.
26. Haghshenas, M., et al., *Efficacy of Mindfulness-based Stress Reduction in Hemodialysis Patients with Anxiety and Depression: a randomized, double-blind, parallel-group trial*. Electronic physician, 2019. **11**(1).
 27. Baum, C.F., M.E. Schaffer, and S. Stillman, *Using Stata for applied research: reviewing its capabilities*. 2011.
 28. Wu, S. and J. Lin, *Developing Anxiety Scales for Athletes*. Tamsui Oxford Journal of Sports Knowledge, 2009. **6**: p. 13.
 29. Spielberger, C.D., *State-Trait Anxiety Inventory*, in *The Corsini Encyclopedia of Psychology*. p. 1-1.
 30. Dunn, J.G.H. and J.C. Dunn, *Relationships Among the Sport Competition Anxiety Test, the Sport Anxiety Scale, and the Collegiate Hockey Worry Scale*. Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 2001. **13**(4): p. 411-429.
 31. Deng, Y.-Q., et al., *The Five Facet Mindfulness Questionnaire: Psychometric Properties of the Chinese Version*. Mindfulness, 2011. **2**(2): p. 123-128.
 32. Stroup, W.W., *Generalized linear mixed models: modern concepts, methods and applications*. 2012: CRC press.
 33. Edhlund, B. and A. McDougall, *NVivo 12 essentials*. 2018: Lulu. com.
 34. Soler, J., et al., *Assessing Decentering: Validation, Psychometric Properties, and Clinical Usefulness of the Experiences Questionnaire in a Spanish Sample*. Behavior Therapy, 2014. **45**(6): p. 863-871.
 35. Reardon, C.L., et al., *Mental health in elite athletes: International Olympic Committee consensus statement (2019)*. British Journal of Sports Medicine, 2019. **53**(11): p. 667-699.
 36. Hölzel, B.K., et al., *Neural mechanisms of symptom improvements in generalized anxiety disorder following mindfulness training*. NeuroImage: Clinical, 2013. **2**: p. 448-458.
 37. Hoge, E.A., et al., *Randomized controlled trial of mindfulness meditation for generalized anxiety disorder: effects on anxiety and stress reactivity*. The Journal of clinical psychiatry, 2013. **74**(8): p. 786-792.
 38. Kim, Y.W., et al., *Effectiveness of mindfulness-based cognitive therapy as an adjuvant to pharmacotherapy in patients with panic disorder or generalized anxiety disorder*. Depression and Anxiety, 2009. **26**(7): p. 601-606.
 39. Sanilevici, M., et al., *Mindfulness-Based Stress Reduction Increases Mental Wellbeing and Emotion Regulation During the First Wave of the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Synchronous Online Intervention Study*. Frontiers in Psychology, 2021. **12**.
 40. Lyzwinski, L.N., et al., *The Mindfulness App Trial for Weight, Weight-Related Behaviors, and Stress in University Students: Randomized Controlled Trial*. JMIR Mhealth Uhealth, 2019. **7**(4): p. e12210.
 41. di Fronso, S., et al., *The Effects of Mindfulness-Based Strategies on Perceived Stress and Psychobiosocial States in Athletes and Recreationally Active People*. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 2022. **19**(12): p. 7152.
 42. Omid, A. and F. Zargar, *Effect of mindfulness-based stress reduction on pain severity and mindful awareness in patients with tension headache: a randomized controlled clinical trial*. Nursing and midwifery studies, 2014. **3**(3): p. e21136-e21136.
 43. Song, Y. and R. Lindquist, *Effects of mindfulness-based stress reduction on depression, anxiety, stress and mindfulness in Korean nursing students*. Nurse Education Today, 2015. **35**(1): p. 86-90.
 44. Shi, L., et al., *Meditation and blood pressure: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials*. Journal of Hypertension, 2017. **35**(4): p. 696-706.
 45. Brown Wright, L., et al., *Impact of Stress Reduction Interventions on Hostility and Ambulatory Systolic Blood Pressure in African American Adolescents*. Journal of Black Psychology, 2011. **37**(2): p. 210-233.
 46. Li, J. and X. Qin, *Efficacy of mindfulness-based stress reduction on fear of emotions and related cognitive behavioral processes in Chinese University students: A randomized controlled trial*. Psychology in the Schools, 2021. **58**(10): p. 2068-2084.
 47. Wang, M., et al., *Effects of a online brief modified mindfulness-based stress reduction therapy for anxiety among Chinese adults: A randomized clinical trial*. Journal of Psychiatric Research, 2023. **161**: p. 27-33.
 48. Zhang, J.-Y., et al., *Effects of mindfulness-based stress reduction on prenatal stress, anxiety and depression*. Psychology, Health & Medicine, 2019. **24**(1): p. 51-58.
 49. Guo, D., et al., *Mindfulness-based stress reduction improves the general health and stress of Chinese military recruits: A pilot study*. Psychiatry Research, 2019. **281**: p. 112571.
 50. Zhang, J.-Y., et al., *Randomized controlled trial of mindfulness-based stress reduction (MBSR) on posttraumatic growth of Chinese breast cancer survivors*. Psychology, Health & Medicine, 2017. **22**(1): p. 94-109.
 51. Miyamoto, Y. and X. Ma, *Dampening or savoring positive emotions: A dialectical cultural script guides emotion regulation*. Emotion, 2011. **11**: p. 1346-1357.
 52. Gilbert, P., et al., *Fears of happiness and compassion in relationship with depression, alexithymia, and attachment security in a depressed sample*. British Journal of Clinical Psychology, 2014. **53**(2): p. 228-244.

53. Eckard, E.W., *NCAA Athlete Graduation Rates: Less Than Meets the Eye*. Journal of Sport Management, 2010. **24**(1): p. 45-59.
54. Zeidan, F., et al., *Mindfulness meditation improves cognition: Evidence of brief mental training*. Consciousness and Cognition, 2010. **19**(2): p. 597-605.
55. Jha, A.P., et al., *Does mindfulness training help working memory 'work' better?* Current Opinion in Psychology, 2019. **28**: p. 273-278.
56. Franco, C., et al., *Exploring the effects of a mindfulness program for students of secondary school*. International Journal of Knowledge Society Research (IJKSR), 2011. **2**(1): p. 14-28.

Supplementary Files

Untitled.

URL: <http://asset.jmir.pub/assets/51f0e5ac3a0282dcba7e518caa38400c.docx>