

# Think-aloud testing of a novel safer drinking app for college students during COVID-19: Usability Study

Jessica Gomez Smith, Nour Sami Alamiri, Grace Biegger, Christina Frederick,  
Jennifer P. Halbert, Karen S. Ingersoll

Submitted to: JMIR Formative Research  
on: August 06, 2021

**Disclaimer:** © The authors. All rights reserved. This is a privileged document currently under peer-review/community review. Authors have provided JMIR Publications with an exclusive license to publish this preprint on its website for review purposes only. While the final peer-reviewed paper may be licensed under a CC BY license on publication, at this stage authors and publisher expressly prohibit redistribution of this draft paper other than for review purposes.

Table of Contents

Original Manuscript..... 4

Supplementary Files..... 26

    Figures ..... 27

        Figure 1..... 28

        Figure 2..... 29

        Figure 3..... 30

        Figure 4..... 31

# Think-aloud testing of a novel safer drinking app for college students during COVID-19: Usability Study

Jessica Gomez Smith<sup>1</sup> BA; Nour Sami Alamiri<sup>2</sup> MPH; Grace Biegger<sup>1</sup> BA; Christina Frederick<sup>1</sup> BSc; Jennifer P. Halbert<sup>2</sup> MSPH; Karen S. Ingersoll<sup>1</sup> PhD

<sup>1</sup>University of Virginia School of Medicine Department of Psychiatry and Neurobehavioral Sciences Center for Behavioral Health & Technology Charlottesville US

<sup>2</sup>University of Virginia School of Medicine Department of Public Health Sciences Charlottesville US

## Corresponding Author:

Karen S. Ingersoll PhD  
University of Virginia School of Medicine  
Department of Psychiatry and Neurobehavioral Sciences  
Center for Behavioral Health & Technology  
560 Ray C Hunt Dr  
Charlottesville  
US

## Abstract

**Background:** Hazardous alcohol consumption, and binge drinking in particular, continues to be common among college students, posing the greatest risk for their health and safety. Despite widespread exposure to evidence-based preventive interventions among U.S. undergraduates, only modest and temporary effects on risky drinking occur. Formative studies have demonstrated that students want a more engaging intervention tool for risky drinking that can be used “just in time.”

**Objective:** The purpose of this study was to test the appeal, relevance, and perceived utility of a draft mobile app for safer student drinking.

**Methods:** Undergraduate student participants tested the draft mobile app via an interactive online prototype with hot spots that responded to their taps to mimic app functionality. They narrated their impressions, navigation, and comments in a standardized Think-Aloud procedure. After each round of Think-aloud interviews, researchers debriefed the investigators and developers to discuss findings and brainstorm app modifications.

**Results:** Data indicated that minor changes to the functionality and aesthetics would improve usability of the app. Student testers recommended tailoring the app to the needs of college students and to aspects of the local university’s drinking culture.

**Conclusions:** Findings from this study will be synthesized with information gained from other formative work to determine the final app features. We will test the app in a pilot randomized trial to assess app usage and the impact of the app on college student drinking behavior over several months.

(JMIR Preprints 06/08/2021:32716)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2196/preprints.32716>

## Preprint Settings

1) Would you like to publish your submitted manuscript as preprint?

✓ **Please make my preprint PDF available to anyone at any time (recommended).**

Please make my preprint PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that my title and abstract will remain visible to all users.  
Only make the preprint title and abstract visible.

No, I do not wish to publish my submitted manuscript as a preprint.

2) If accepted for publication in a JMIR journal, would you like the PDF to be visible to the public?

✓ **Yes, please make my accepted manuscript PDF available to anyone at any time (Recommended).**

Yes, but please make my accepted manuscript PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that the title and abstract will remain visible to all users.  
Yes, but only make the title and abstract visible (see Important note, above). I understand that if I later pay to participate in

## Original Manuscript

## Abstract

**Background:** Hazardous alcohol consumption, and binge drinking in particular, continues to be common among college students, posing the greatest risk for their health and safety. Despite widespread exposure to evidence-based preventive interventions among U.S. undergraduates, only modest and temporary effects on risky drinking occur. Formative studies have demonstrated that students want a more engaging intervention tool for risky drinking that can be used “just in time.”

**Objective:** The purpose of this study was to test the appeal, relevance, and perceived utility of a draft mobile app for safer student drinking at a public university in Virginia.

**Methods:** Undergraduate student participants tested the draft mobile app via an interactive online prototype with hot spots that responded to their taps to mimic app functionality. They narrated their impressions, navigation, and comments in a standardized Think-Aloud procedure. After each round of Think-aloud interviews, researchers debriefed the investigators and developers to discuss findings and brainstorm app modifications.

**Results:** Minor changes to the functionality and aesthetics would improve usability of the app (e.g., option for light mode in app settings). Student testers recommended tailoring the app to the needs of college students and to aspects of the local university’s drinking culture.

**Conclusions:** Findings from this study will be synthesized with information gained from other formative work to determine the final app features. We will test the app in a pilot randomized trial to assess app usage and the impact of the app on college student drinking behavior over several months.

**Keywords:** app development, college binge drinking, think aloud testing, formative research

## Introduction

### Binge Drinking

Binge drinking, defined as consuming 5 (for men) or 4 (for women) standard drinks within approximately two hours on a single occasion [1], continues to be a public health concern, particularly among college students [1]. In this millennium, there has been an increase of over 200%

in alcohol related deaths, and a 25% increase in alcohol-overdose related hospitalizations in the United States [2]. Binge drinking can result in short-term effects such as poor academic performance, but more seriously, can lead to detrimental health outcomes such as Alcohol Use Disorder and other health risks [3]. College binge drinking habits can predict the course of alcohol dependence and problems over a lifetime [4,5]. Developing effective interventions to reduce binge drinking among college students has the potential to improve lifetime drinking outcomes and prevent alcohol-related health and psychosocial problems among college students across the United States.

### **Alcohol Consumption among Undergraduates**

Undergraduate students are commonly exposed to evidence-based binge drinking preventative and clinical interventions that aim to promote drinking safety [6–8]. An example of a common intervention across universities in the U.S. is the Brief Alcohol Screening and Intervention for College Students (BASICS) program, which provides a harm reduction intervention that uses personalized normative feedback and structured prompts to guide behavior change for students who have risky drinking habits or alcohol-related consequences [9]. Despite universities continually improving alcohol programming, these efforts yield minimal change and rates of dangerous alcohol consumption have not changed significantly; worse, the effects of these programs diminish over time [10–13]. Therefore, novel interventions that engage students and promote lower risk drinking behavior by college students are still needed. A tailored mobile app for students could provide personalized alcohol safety information in real time, either before or during a drinking episode.

### **Current Study**

With extensive student involvement, and based on findings from other formative work [14–16], we designed a draft mobile app for safer student drinking. The aim of this study was to determine college students' perspectives on the appeal, relevance, and perceived utility of the draft app.

## Methods

### Basis of Features and Functions in the App

The setting was a public university in Virginia with extensive alcohol programming, alcohol interventions, and student involvement in governance, which has experienced several high-profile alcohol-related injuries and deaths of students in the past decades. We sought to identify new ways to reduce hazardous drinking among college students. We conducted two studies prior to this one. First, we conducted in-depth interviews and focus groups with students and university stakeholders to understand the culture of the university, the role of drinking in student and alumni life, the history and impact of various alcohol interventions, the continuing ubiquity of binge drinking despite deployment of numerous interventions, and students' impressions of the impact of alcohol interventions to which they had been exposed [17]. Second, we conducted a review of public social media posts to understand how alcohol use and its consequences at the university were documented online by students and bystanders [18]. These formative studies demonstrated that: 1) risky drinking is a prominent feature of student life, 2) most students have participated in risky drinking behavior, 3) students report minimal change in their own drinking in response to existing alcohol programming, 4) mobile app use by students is ubiquitous, and 5) students wanted flexible intervention tools that are accessible during drinking episodes (their own, or when witnessing excessive drinking by their peers). Students requested a novel intervention with evidence-based and student-preferred features and functions. An estimated blood alcohol content calculator (eBAC calculator to estimate the percentage of alcohol in a person's bloodstream [15]) was the feature students were most interested in when the concept was presented during the focus groups and surveys. Taken together, the formative findings indicated that novel interventions must be highly relevant to drinking behaviors, and be usable during drinking.

### App Prototype

Study team members created a draft prototype app based on prior experience in mobile app

development and prior research on alcohol intervention development. Previous research indicates the benefits of self-monitoring for behavior change [19,20]. Logging risky behaviors, such as drinking, helps reduce those behaviors [14], leading us to include a drink tracker. To boost engagement, the app included personalized encouragement [21,22] to users who tracked several days in a row (streak). We used push notifications [23] to provide safety messages during heavy drinking episodes to alert the user of potential danger and provide recommended actions. In-app messaging, called the “message center,” [23] provided ‘testimonials’ from real students about drinking situations. To appeal to college students users’ needs, we added a secondary behavior (hydration and/or sleep) to track that could prompt users to engage with the app even when not drinking alcohol to keep users engaged [24]. The settings feature within the app allowed users to turn off push-notifications and adjust their weight to reflect a more accurate eBAC. Once the prototype app was developed, two classes of undergraduate and graduate students in a mobile engineering class provided initial feedback on the draft app and some features were slightly redesigned. Thus, the final prototyped app contained eight features and a home screen (See Figure 1): alcoholic drink tracker (See Figure 2), additional behavior tracker (hydration or sleep), self-monitoring feedback of days tracked, push messages about drinking and safety, eBAC calculator that provided an estimated BAC based on weight, sex, and timing or specific drinks logged, learning topics (See Figure 3), a message center containing student testimonials (See Figure 4), and settings.

## Study Design

The study included a single one-hour qualitative interview of each undergraduate student participant using standardized Think-Aloud methods [25], described below. This study was approved by the Social and Behavioral Science IRB of the University of Virginia (UVA SBS IRB protocol #3282).

## Eligibility Criteria

To be eligible, a study applicant had to be: 1) a student at the university, 2) able to read and

speak English, and 3) 18 years of age or older. Participants reviewed an information form before completing any study activities, and provided verbal consent that was audio recorded for documentation. The first round of interviews consisted of students who were not members of Greek organizations nor student athletes. Participants in the second round included students who were fraternity and sorority members and/or student athletes. These groups tested the prototype app separately because previous research has shown that student athletes or who are in Greek organizations are at a higher risk for dangerous drinking episodes than their non-Greek peers [26–30], and we sought to gather feedback from groups who may have different alcohol use patterns.

## Procedures

Recruitment. Research Coordinators and undergraduate Research Assistants (RAs) at the Center for Behavioral Health and Technology and the Center for Addiction & Prevention Research conducted the study. Participants were 10 university students 18 to 30 years old. The sample was small due to disruption in recruitment as a result of the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic. Participants were recruited from undergraduate and graduate classes, via flyers posted on bulletin boards in academic buildings around campus, digital flyers posted on social media (e.g., *GroupMe* and *Facebook* class pages), and by word-of-mouth referral from students working at the Center for Behavioral Health and Technology. The flyers contained a scannable QR code that linked directly to a Qualtrics interest form. The interest form collected applicant contact information, year in university, age, availability, and willingness to be contacted.

Think-Aloud Procedure. The “Think Aloud” process is widely used for app development, as a common usability tool [25,31]. However, unlike a formal qualitative coding process that involves a thematic analysis to discover main findings [32], we used the Think Aloud process to gather feedback on the app. Researchers ask participants to use a prototype mobile app, prompting them to use specific features, while continuously speaking their thoughts and actions out loud [25]. Participants ( $N_{total}=10$ ,  $N_{in-person}=5$ ,  $N_{virtual}=5$ ) reviewed an interactive online mock-up of the app

with hot spots that responded to taps to mimic app functionality. Participants used a QR code they scanned on their personal smartphones to access the app mock-up on *InVision*, a collaborative digital design platform [33]. Participants navigated through the prototyped app, speaking aloud what they were doing and noticing, and providing feedback about app features, navigation, and perceived utility as they used them. When necessary, researchers reminded study participants to maintain a running commentary of their thoughts and noted the student's navigation process as they used all aspects of the app. Researchers audio-recorded the interviews and took thorough notes on a word document while the participant was providing feedback. After completing the think-aloud procedure, participants received a \$10 Amazon gift card.

Modifications to the protocol due to COVID-19. The study was conducted in two rounds between March 3, 2020 and April 17, 2020. The first round of interviews occurred in-person from March 3, 2020 to March 6, 2020. The first round of interviews was conducted in person in early 2020, before the emerging COVID-19 pandemic required pausing in-person research. For in-person Think Alouds, research assistants watched the participant use the prototype while standing nearby, and recorded their observations of student interactions and spoken thoughts of specific app pages on a notetaking sheet populated with the app screenshots. We developed a note-taking framework with screenshots of each page of the app grouped by App location (Home, Activity, Log, Message, Learn). Each screenshot provided space for think aloud notes. RAs audio-recorded the session using a handheld audio recorder or phone to supplement their written notes; when possible, more than one person in the room took notes or listened to the audio tape and took notes [31]. RAs reviewed the recordings after the trials and added further notes that were missing from the original notes to the summary document which was finalized for review.

Between rounds: App changes made in response to Feedback After the first round, the researchers debriefed the developers and investigators. Feedback showed that some labeling was unclear, users wanted more options for personalization, and wanted colors and iconography to make

data entry easier and more intuitive. Investigators and developers discussed which changes were needed immediately and prioritized changes (e.g., adding and removing testimonials from the message center was prioritized lower than improving the labels and usability of the drink tracker). The development team fixed the functionality and clarity concerns reported in the round 1 trials.

Changed features included the eBAC, alternate behavior tracking, and calendar day view on the activity home screen. The eBAC was perceived as too small in the original mockups and was made more prominent on the dashboard. Additionally, its colors were changed to correspond to which ‘zone’ of drinking risk the user is in, based on estimated blood alcohol concentration (e.g., “golden zone,” etc.) [34]. Hydration was intended to be an alternative or secondary behavior that students could track, so they could track something other than alcoholic beverages. However, testing showed that the concept of Hydration was confusing to users because they didn’t know if they should enter it once at the end of the day, or update it multiple times throughout the day. The team switched to an alternate behavior that can be rated based on the previous full day, such as sleep quality. Additionally, the calendar day view became more and more informative. For example, in the first version of the app, the day view showed only the number of drinks, but developers added the ability to record each individual drink, to compute of a standard drink total, the ability to duplicate or mark drinks as a favorite, and added the alternative behavior tracking feature to the calendar day view. Within the weekly/monthly views of behavioral metrics calculated by the app, graphs evolved to show metrics for the alternate behavior over those time periods.

Students were sent home from the university in mid-March 2020, and in-person research was temporarily halted. To adapt to the situation, the second round of interviews between April 9, 2020 to April 17, 2020 were conducted via Zoom video calls and used the second-round version of the app. The interviewer shared the screen, showing each of the app features one at a time. The participant installed the InVision mockup on their personal device to enable navigation as if on an app, and navigated simultaneously as the RA showed each screen. The notetaking and recording procedures

were unchanged except for conducting them remotely. Information saturation was reached during the second round of interviews. Second round participants' comments and ideas were redundant with data from the first round. Major themes emerged naturally as the researchers summarized their notes. The research team determined that coding using qualitative software was not necessary.

### Data Review and Analysis

Once all of the notes were captured, the RAs deleted the audio recording. Researchers reviewed notes and presented the major findings to the app development team for discussion and identification of features and functions to be modified. Reporting was in aggregate only (i.e., "5 of the 20 participants said x, while 12 said y and 3 said z"). The app development team continuously iterated and updated the look and feel of the mockup based on the feedback. Data included audio recordings of the think-aloud protocol process, notes taken by researchers observing participants, and usability questionnaire responses. All participants were assigned a unique ID unrelated to their identity. Stored data was linked only to this unique ID. All data were stored behind the HIPAA firewall of an IRB-approved School of Medicine highly secure data drive.

## Results

Demographic characteristics of the overall study sample and by condition are presented in Table 1. Participants were mostly female (70.00%), 20 years old (50.00%) and 80.00% were enrolled in the most populous school within the university, containing departments in the Arts and Sciences.

### Round One

The feedback provided by the participants in-person during round one is presented in Table 2. These students provided feedback on the eight essential features of the app: drink tracker, alternate behavior tracker (water/hydration or sleep), days tracked, messages, settings, eBAC calculator, learn topics, and a message center containing student testimonials. During the first round, RAs asked participants to focus on usability, rather than aesthetics of the app. Participants expressed confusion about the purpose of the features and provided useful feedback about how they were displayed. For

example, the drink tracker had drink choices listed that the students wanted to rename (e.g., they recommended renaming ‘seltzer’ or ‘cider,’ to ‘hard seltzer’ and ‘hard cider,’ to ensure that future users would log alcoholic versions of these drinks) and indicated that such changes would increase utility and relevance. Participants reported they would prefer having brand name examples (e.g., *Corona*, *Coors Lite*, *White Claw*, etc.) for each of the choices (i.e., beer, liquor, seltzer, mixed drink, cider, wine) to help clarify the different types of alcoholic drinks, again increasing utility and relevance as well as appeal. They reported that this would help future student users accurately track their drinks, and that they believed many students do not know the alcohol by volume percentages for typical drinks.

Participants also provided feedback on whether they were interested in and would use certain features. For example, students were not interested in a hydration tracker feature and considered its utility and appeal low. The hydration tracker asks students to rate how hydrated they are on a scale of one to five. Participants expressed confusion about the hydration tracking since it was not as specific as the [alcoholic] drink tracker. Students questioned how the hydration tracker related to the app, failing to see any connection between hydration and drinking alcohol. Thus, the hydration tracker showed low relevance.

## Round Two

Participants in Round Two met with the RAs online using video meeting technology, but other than, all other procedures remained similar. In the second round of Think Aloud testing, RAs guided participants to focus on the look and feel (e.g., aesthetics and design) more than the functionality of the app. For example, the app’s default setting is in ‘dark mode,’ with a black background; a participant expressed preference for a ‘light mode’ option to increase its appeal. Additionally, participants expressed a preference for symbols for sex rather than the terms ‘male’ and ‘female’ for personal data entry screens. Participant feedback in round two is presented in Table 3. Overall, participants expressed interest in several of the features of the app, but not in others. The

look and feel of the app were reported to be important to the students. Student navigation across app features indicated that the improved look and feel helped to guide them to the features they were most interested in using.

## Discussion

The objective of this study was to assess the appeal, relevance, and perceived utility of a draft mobile app for college students that could intervene at or around the time of alcohol use. We sought to determine if students would want the app, would use the app, and that it would be perceived as useful and engaging. Participants reported that minor changes to the functionality of the initial versions of the app would improve the usability of the app (e.g., having examples of each alcoholic drink for clarification; stating whether they believe certain features would be used or not). Additionally, participants reported that minor changes to the aesthetics would increase the usability (e.g., incorporating the logo colors throughout the app to make it more vibrant; having an option to turn off push notifications). The changes were made between rounds of Think aloud user testing to enact modifications based on user feedback.

This process was an iterative step within a series of formative studies to create and improve an app to reduce college binge drinking. Feedback provided by college students assisted the investigators and development team in designing features that were useful and appealing. The purpose was to test usability, and student feedback helped the team modify the app so that it would perform as students hoped in 'real life' scenarios to address binge drinking and alcohol consumption. This process was designed as a feedback loop beginning with the 'science' that drafted features about learning what a standard drink is, self-monitoring tools with immediate and longer-term feedback about risks when drinking, options to get help in an emergency, and vetted information about sex differences in alcohol processing in the body. The features were conceptualized then mocked up by developer team members with expertise in user experience (UX) and user interface design (UI)). Finally, the team obtained qualitative feedback on relevance and

usability from students regarding aesthetics and appeal of the app, and its ability to engage them.

### **Limitations: Modifications due to COVID-19**

While the practical insights from students about the app proved valuable and guided us to make important app modifications, there are several limitations to be considered. Due to COVID-19 students had to participate in the second round of think aloud trials virtually over Zoom using an adapted protocol. While participants navigated through the app with ease, the researchers could not always see the participants' phone screen, and sometimes had difficulty determining which features the participants were commenting on. RAs asked what feature the participant was viewing, where in the app they were navigating to, and prompted the participant to turn their phone screen towards the camera. These moments of clarification seemed to interrupt the natural rhythm and flow of the think aloud format and may have resulted in reduced information. In person, the researcher could easily see the participant's phone screen without prompting, which allowed for the conversation to flow uninterrupted while the RA could take notes more inconspicuously. During Round One, the participants' comments were prominent in the conversation, while researchers listened and asked fewer questions. Conversely, researchers asked more questions during Round Two, while participants provide less feedback, and their comments were either positive or more superficial in nature.

Previous studies have used apps in order to help reduce dangerous drinking episodes among college students. However, these apps did not have or include features that tailor to individual personalized feedback such as logging drinks and providing an eBAC [35–37]. One of these previous interventions, although, have justified the user of a digital platform as users did indicate that it offered help and easy to use [37]. Other alcohol app interventions have reported to significantly reduce drinking [35,36].

### **Future Research**

Data and conclusions from this study will be synthesized with information gained from other

qualitative and quantitative formative work, to determine the final features to be tested. We plan a pilot randomized trial to assess usage and impact of the app on drinking behavior over several months; we plan to conduct a prospective brief observational and feasibility study with about 255 students in order to 1) measure student demographics, attitudes, experiences, and behaviors related to drinking, 2) evaluate the acceptability, feasibility, relevance, and actual usage of the app over the intervention period among students, 3) measure student attitudes, experiences, and behaviors related to drinking in a final survey, 4) determine the usability of the app and desired improvements using short interviews after about 4 weeks of app use, and 5) test the impact of incentives on app usage, to provide crucial information for implementation of a larger subsequent trial. If the pilot of this mobile app intervention shows acceptable usage and reporting of frequency, and volume of binge drinking, we will consider testing it further for impact on drinking behaviors.

## Conclusions

Student feedback clearly indicated preferences for the eBAC calculator and days tracked features. In contrast, student feedback showed a dislike of, or lower interest in using the water or hydration tracker, learn topics, and messages or testimonials. Based on student ratings of appeal, relevance, and utility, we revised the app, and the finalized app included the drink tracker, days tracked, eBAC calculator/eBAC level, sleep quality tracker, learn topics, message center, testimonials. By asking student participants to navigate through, and comment on, the app's features using standard Think Aloud procedures, the researchers gained real-time perspective on the appeal, relevance, and utility of the app that could be translated into a refined version of the app.

## Acknowledgements

**The authors thank the Board of Visitors and the President of the University of Virginia for funding this project through the Strategic Investment Fund. We also appreciate the support and guidance of the Executive Committee for the project “Reducing the Burden of Addictions in Virginia”: Dr. Robert Klesges, Dr. Lee Ritterband, Dr. Nassima Ait-Daoud Tiouririne, Dr. Karen Ingersoll, Dr. Christopher Holstege, and Dr. Margaret Shupnik. Finally, we thank the undergraduate research assistants for this study: Grace Biegger, Aasritha Natarajan, David Vann, Jessica G. Smith, and Shruti Vachhani.**

## Notes

Nour Sami Alamiri is now at George Mason University in Fairfax, VA.

Grace Biegger is now at Red Ventures in Charlotte, NC.

## Conflicts of Interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest.

## Abbreviations

BASICS: Brief Alcohol Screening and Intervention for College Students

eBAC: Estimated BAC (blood alcohol content)

SIF: Strategic Investment Fund



## References

1. College Drinking | National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism (NIAAA). Accessed February 17, 2021. <https://www.niaaa.nih.gov/publications/brochures-and-fact-sheets/college-drinking>
2. Hingson R, Zha W, Smyth D. Magnitude and Trends in Heavy Episodic Drinking, Alcohol-Impaired Driving, and Alcohol-Related Mortality and Overdose Hospitalizations Among Emerging Adults of College Ages 18–24 in the United States, 1998–2014. *J Stud Alcohol Drugs*. 2017;78(4):540-548. doi:10.15288/jsad.2017.78.540
3. Underage Drinking | CDC. Published October 28, 2020. Accessed February 17, 2021. <https://www.cdc.gov/alcohol/fact-sheets/underage-drinking.htm>
4. Hasin DS, Stinson FS, Ogburn E, Grant BF. Prevalence, Correlates, Disability, and Comorbidity of DSM-IV Alcohol Abuse and Dependence in the United States: Results From the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2007;64(7):830. doi:10.1001/archpsyc.64.7.830
5. Grant BF, Dawson DA. Age at onset of alcohol use and its association with DSM-IV alcohol abuse and dependence: results from the National Longitudinal Alcohol Epidemiologic Survey. *J Subst Abuse*. 1997;9:103-110. doi:10.1016/s0899-3289(97)90009-2
6. DeJong W, Larimer ME, Wood MD, Hartman R. NIAAA's Rapid Response to College Drinking Problems Initiative: Reinforcing the Use of Evidence-Based Approaches in College Alcohol Prevention. *J Stud Alcohol Drugs Suppl*. 2009;(16):5-11.
7. Brief Alcohol Screening and Intervention of College Students (BASICS) | Youth.gov. Accessed January 7, 2022. <https://youth.gov/content/brief-alcohol-screening-and-intervention-college-students-basics>
8. College Drinking, Changing the Culture. Accessed January 7, 2022. <https://www.collegedrinkingprevention.gov/>
9. Baer JS, Kivlahan DR, Blume AW, McKnight P, Marlatt GA. Brief Intervention for Heavy-Drinking College Students: 4-Year Follow-Up and Natural History. *Am J Public Health*. 2001;91(8):1310-1316. doi:10.2105/AJPH.91.8.1310
10. Cole HA, Prassel HB, Carlson CR. A meta-analysis of computer-delivered drinking interventions for college students: a comprehensive review of studies from 2010 to 2016. *J Stud Alcohol Drugs*. 2018;79(5):686-696.
11. Huh D, Mun EY, Larimer ME, et al. Brief motivational interventions for college student drinking may not be as powerful as we think: an individual participant-level data meta-analysis. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*. 2015;39(5):919-931.
12. Krieger H, Young CM, Anthenien AM, Neighbors C. The Epidemiology of Binge Drinking Among College-Age Individuals in the United States. *Alcohol Res Curr Rev*. 2018;39(1):23-30.
13. Mitka M. College Binge Drinking Still on the Rise. *JAMA*. 2009;302(8):836-837. doi:10.1001/jama.2009.1154

14. Milward J, Deluca P, Drummond C, Watson R, Dunne J, Kimergård A. Usability Testing of the BRANCH Smartphone App Designed to Reduce Harmful Drinking in Young Adults. *JMIR MHealth UHealth*. 2017;5(8):e109. doi:10.2196/mhealth.7836
15. Carrà G, Crocamo C, Bartoli F, et al. Impact of a Mobile E-Health Intervention on Binge Drinking in Young People: The Digital-Alcohol Risk Alertness Notifying Network for Adolescents and Young Adults Project. *J Adolesc Health Off Publ Soc Adolesc Med*. 2016;58(5):520-526. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2016.01.008
16. Haug S, Schaub MP, Venzin V, Meyer C, John U, Gmel G. A pre-post study on the appropriateness and effectiveness of a Web- and text messaging-based intervention to reduce problem drinking in emerging adults. *J Med Internet Res*. 2013;15(9):e196. doi:10.2196/jmir.2755
17. Alamiri NS, Smith JG, Halbert JP, Ingersoll KS. Drivers of Binge Drinking and Implications for Novel Interventions: Perspectives of College Students and Professionals. *Am J Co*. Under review.
18. #BingeDrinking: Using Social Media to Understand College Binge Drinking. JMIR Preprints. Accessed January 13, 2022. <https://preprints.jmir.org/preprint/36239>
19. Cho H. Influences of self-monitoring and goal-setting on drinking refusal self-efficacy and drinking behavior. *Alcohol Treat Q*. 2007;25(3):53-65. doi:10.1300/J020v25n03\_05
20. Crane D, Garnett C, Michie S, West R, Brown J. A smartphone app to reduce excessive alcohol consumption: Identifying the effectiveness of intervention components in a factorial randomised control trial. *Sci Rep*. 2018;8(1):4384. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-22420-8
21. How to Rapidly Grow Engagement With Your New App. Published October 26, 2021. Accessed January 12, 2022. <https://appsamurai.com/how-to-rapidly-grow-engagement-with-your-new-app/>
22. 5 Practical Ways to Boost Mobile App Engagement & User Retention Rate | Covetus Technologies Pvt Ltd. Accessed January 12, 2022. <https://www.covetus.com/blog/5-practical-ways-to-boost-mobile-app-engagement-user-retention-rate>
23. nishan\_clrbridge. 5 Methods For Increasing App Engagement & User Retention. Clearbridge Mobile. Published March 4, 2020. Accessed January 7, 2022. <https://clearbridgemobile.com/5-methods-for-increasing-app-engagement-user-retention/>
24. Molina MD, Sundar SS. Can Mobile Apps Motivate Fitness Tracking? A Study of Technological Affordances and Workout Behaviors. *Health Commun*. 2020;35(1):65-74. doi:10.1080/10410236.2018.1536961
25. Experience WL in RBU. Thinking Aloud: The #1 Usability Tool. Nielsen Norman Group. Accessed April 22, 2021. <https://www.nngroup.com/articles/thinking-aloud-the-1-usability-tool/>
26. American Psychological Association. Study explores the relationship between drinking and fraternities. <https://www.apa.org>. Accessed January 10, 2022. <https://www.apa.org/monitor/jun01/fraternities>

27. Borsari B, Hustad JTP, Capone C. Alcohol Use in the Greek System, 1999–2009: A Decade of Progress. *Curr Drug Abuse Rev.* 2009;2(3):216-255.
28. Huchting KK, Lac A, Hummer JF, LaBrie JW. Comparing Greek-Affiliated Students and Student Athletes: An Examination of the Behavior-Intention Link, Reasons for Drinking, and Alcohol-Related Consequences. *J Alcohol Drug Educ.* 2011;55(3):61-81.
29. Miley B, Chalk H. Fitting the mold: alcohol use and body image disturbances in athletes and greek-affiliated undergraduates. *Mod Psychol Stud.* 2015;21(1). <https://scholar.utc.edu/mps/vol21/iss1/7>
30. Relationship between high-risk drinking and team cohesion among college athletes - ProQuest. Accessed January 10, 2022. <https://www.proquest.com/docview/1696935533?pq-origsite=gscholar&fromopenview=true>
31. Experience WL in RBU. Group Notetaking for User Research. Nielsen Norman Group. Accessed January 7, 2022. <https://www.nngroup.com/articles/group-notetaking/>
32. Experience WL in RBU. *Thematic Analysis of Qualitative User Research Data (Video)*. Accessed January 7, 2022. <https://www.nngroup.com/videos/thematic-analysis-qualitative-user-research-data/>
33. InVision | Digital product design, workflow & collaboration. InVision. Accessed April 22, 2021. <https://www.invisionapp.com>
34. Strong J. BAC to Basics. Salit M, Karandikar N, eds. *Stall Seat J.* 2019;18(3). <https://www.studenthealth.virginia.edu/sites/studenthealth.virginia.edu/files/SSJ41.pdf>
35. Tofighi B, Chemi C, Ruiz-Valcarcel J, Hein P, Hu L. Smartphone apps targeting alcohol and illicit substance use: systematic search in in commercial app stores and critical content analysis. *JMIR MHealth UHealth.* 2019;7(4):e11831.
36. Fucito LM, DeMartini KS, Hanrahan TH, Yaggi HK, Heffern C, Redeker NS. Using Sleep Interventions to Engage and Treat Heavy-Drinking College Students: A Randomized Pilot Study. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res.* 2017;41(4):798-809. doi:10.1111/acer.13342
37. Crane D, Garnett C, Brown J, West R, Michie S. Factors Influencing Usability of a Smartphone App to Reduce Excessive Alcohol Consumption: Think Aloud and Interview Studies. *Front Public Health.* 2017;5:39. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2017.00039

## Tables and Figures

**Table 1.** Sociodemographic characteristics of participants

| Characteristic     |                    | Total<br>( N=10 ) | Round 1 Students<br>( N=5 ) | Round 2 Students<br>( N=5 ) |
|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Gender             | Male               | 3 (30.00%)        | 2 (40.00%)                  | 1 (20.00%)                  |
|                    | Female             | 7 (70.00%)        | 3 (60.00%)                  | 4 (80.00%)                  |
| Age                | 18                 | 1 (10.00%)        | 1 (20.00%)                  | 0 (0.00%)                   |
|                    | 19                 | 2 (20.00%)        | 0 (0.00%)                   | 2 (40.00%)                  |
|                    | 20                 | 5 (50.00%)        | 3 (60.00%)                  | 2 (40.00%)                  |
|                    | 21                 | 1 (10.00%)        | 0 (0.00%)                   | 1 (20.00%)                  |
|                    | 22                 | 1 (10.00%)        | 1 (20.00%)                  | 0 (0.00%)                   |
| Year in University | 1                  | 3 (30.00%)        | 1 (20.00%)                  | 2 (40.00%)                  |
|                    | 2                  | 3 (30.00%)        | 1 (20.00%)                  | 2 (40.00%)                  |
|                    | 3                  | 3 (30.00%)        | 2 (40.00%)                  | 1 (20.00%)                  |
|                    | 4                  | 1 (10.00%)        | 1 (20.00%)                  | 0 (0.00%)                   |
| School             | Arts and Sciences  | 8 (80.00%)        | 4 (80.00%)                  | 4 (80.00%)                  |
|                    | Engineering        | 1 (10.00%)        | 1 (20.00%)                  | 0 (0.00%)                   |
|                    | Undergrad Business | 1 (10.00%)        | 0 (0.00%)                   | 1 (20.00%)                  |

**Table 2.** Round 1: Summary of responses about features in prototype app

| <b>Feature</b>                 | <b>Feedback Provided</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                 | <b>n (%)</b> |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| <b>Drink Tracker</b>           |                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |              |
|                                | 'seltzer' and 'cider' renamed as 'hard seltzer' and 'hard cider' to convey that these are alcoholic drinks                                                                                                               | 3 (60.00%)   |
|                                | Want examples of each alcoholic drink (e.g., for beer, to have options such as Corona, Modelo, PBR, etc.) not only for clarification but to select since not everyone will know the alcohol by volume (ABV %) percentage | 3 (60.00%)   |
|                                | Confusion around the green fill bars on the dashboard/home screen; unclear whether this was displaying a proportion of drinks consumed to a limit that was set                                                           | 2 (40.00%)   |
|                                | Confusion as to whether there's an option to track zero drinks                                                                                                                                                           | 2 (40.00%)   |
|                                | Option to log a 'recent drink' for ease of use rather than having to tediously enter the information for the same drink again                                                                                            | 2 (40.00%)   |
| <b>Water/Hydration Tracker</b> |                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |              |
|                                | Thinks the metric is too subjective; the scale is too ambiguous (e.g., not sure what the difference, for example in ounces of water, that would be categorized as a '1' or '2')                                          | 5 (100.00%)  |
|                                | Feature not necessarily useful                                                                                                                                                                                           | 2 (40.00%)   |
|                                | Does not understand the purpose of this feature                                                                                                                                                                          | 1 (20.00%)   |
| <b>Days Tracked</b>            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |              |
|                                | Metric unclear for measuring days tracked (e.g., 10 days tracked since not tracking, or 10 days since downloading the app?)                                                                                              | 2 (40.00%)   |
|                                | Display next to the drink tracker, rather than the water/hydration tracker                                                                                                                                               | 2 (40.00%)   |
|                                | Add an option to share this with other app users in order to compare with friends                                                                                                                                        | 1 (20.00%)   |
| <b>Messages</b>                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |              |
|                                | Confusion around the 'thumbs up/down' buttons in the header                                                                                                                                                              | 4 (80.00%)   |
|                                | Confused as to what this feature is entirely                                                                                                                                                                             | 4 (80.00%)   |
|                                | Preference for having messages be set at the dock along the bottom with the home, activity, and learn buttons                                                                                                            | 1 (20.00%)   |
| <b>Settings</b>                |                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |              |
|                                | Profile data (i.e. biological sex, age, weight) accessible here                                                                                                                                                          | 4 (80.00%)   |

|                                                                                                                                                      |            |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Option to decide types of messages are received here                                                                                                 | 3 (60.00%) |
| Default setting have push notifications set to 'off'                                                                                                 | 2 (40.00%) |
| App FAQs would fit better if relocated here                                                                                                          | 1 (20.00%) |
| <b>eBAC Calculator</b>                                                                                                                               |            |
| Display statistics of 'Current BAC,' 'Maximum BAC Level,'                                                                                            | 4 (80.00%) |
| Remove 'Time until legal limit (0.08%)' in case it might be misleading or encourage driving while intoxicated                                        | 2 (40.00%) |
| Option to see an 'Average BAC' or BAC/drinking trends over time                                                                                      | 2 (40.00%) |
| Should be the first thing you see when you open the app                                                                                              | 2 (40.00%) |
| <b>Learn Topics</b>                                                                                                                                  |            |
| Information should be condensed so no scrolling is required                                                                                          | 3 (60.00%) |
| Confusion on the difference between this and other features in the app                                                                               | 3 (60.00%) |
| Do not think that this will be used/least used feature                                                                                               | 2 (60.00%) |
| <b>Message Center/Testimonials</b>                                                                                                                   |            |
| Pushing too much content with this feature; this is no different from previous features                                                              | 3 (60.00%) |
| Option to control the frequency of messages/notifications                                                                                            | 3 (60.00%) |
| Would like the 'thumbs up/down' button moved here so they could indicate preference on types of messages and rate the content for future app updates | 2 (40.00%) |


**Table 3.** Round 2: Look/feel and features in prototype app

| <b>Feature</b>                     | <b>Feedback Provided</b>                                                                                                        | <b>n (%)</b> |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| <b>Drink Tracker</b>               |                                                                                                                                 |              |
|                                    | Likes the log button and is easily accessible                                                                                   | 3 (60.00%)   |
|                                    | Likes that there's a 'recently added' shortcut for logging recent drinks                                                        | 2 (40.00%)   |
|                                    | Does not think that students will use the feature; thinks that students would forget to log drinks on a night out               | 1 (20.00%)   |
| <b>Sleep Tracker</b>               |                                                                                                                                 |              |
|                                    | Does not understand the connection or link between sleep and drinking                                                           | 5 (100.00%)  |
|                                    | Does not believe the feature be used                                                                                            | 2 (40.00%)   |
| <b>Days Tracked</b>                |                                                                                                                                 |              |
|                                    | Do not believe that this feature is necessary                                                                                   | 2 (40.00%)   |
|                                    | Rather than days tracked, would prefer a tracking streak of days not drinking                                                   | 1 (20.00%)   |
| <b>Messages</b>                    |                                                                                                                                 |              |
|                                    | Preference for having messages be set at the dock along the bottom with the home, activity, and learn buttons                   | 2 (40.00%)   |
|                                    | Likes the 'unread' symbol that alerts the user to open messages                                                                 | 1 (20.00%)   |
| <b>Settings</b>                    |                                                                                                                                 |              |
|                                    | Option for 'light mode' or a white interface                                                                                    | 1 (20.00%)   |
|                                    | Option for specific 'push' notification                                                                                         | 1 (20.00%)   |
|                                    | Option to share 'data' with friends to boost engagement                                                                         | 1 (20.00%)   |
| <b>eBAC Calculator</b>             |                                                                                                                                 |              |
|                                    | Increase in font size for the BAC statistics                                                                                    | 2 (40.00%)   |
|                                    | Prefer the sex symbols to change to words on home screen                                                                        | 1 (20.00%)   |
| <b>Learn Topics</b>                |                                                                                                                                 |              |
|                                    | Believed this section to be visually appealing                                                                                  | 2 (40.00%)   |
|                                    | Add images to help visualize the concept of 'standard drinks'                                                                   | 1 (20.00%)   |
| <b>Message Center/Testimonials</b> |                                                                                                                                 |              |
|                                    | Seems to be helpful                                                                                                             | 3 (60.00%)   |
|                                    | Would prefer graduating class over age (e.g., —Jessica, Class of 2021, UVA activities, instead of —Jessica, 21, UVA activities) | 2 (40.00%)   |
|                                    | Testimonials are too long and too dense; would prefer bullet points over long sentences                                         | 2 (40.00%)   |


## Supplementary Files

## Figures


Home screen of the intervention (bhoos app).



Drink tracker of the intervention (bhoos app).



Learn topics of the intervention (bhoos app).



Message center containing student testimonials of the intervention (bhoos app).

