

# **Social Media and the Surge: Emergency physician Twitter use in the Covid-19 pandemic as a potential predictor of impending surge.**

Colton Margus, Natasha Brown, Attila Hertelendy, Michelle R. Safferman,  
Alexander Hart, Gregory R. Ciotto

Submitted to: Journal of Medical Internet Research  
on: March 08, 2021

**Disclaimer:** © The authors. All rights reserved. This is a privileged document currently under peer-review/community review. Authors have provided JMIR Publications with an exclusive license to publish this preprint on its website for review purposes only. While the final peer-reviewed paper may be licensed under a CC BY license on publication, at this stage authors and publisher expressly prohibit redistribution of this draft paper other than for review purposes.

Table of Contents

Original Manuscript..... 5

Supplementary Files..... 22

Figures ..... 23

Figure 1..... 24

Figure 2..... 25

Figure 3..... 26

Figure 4..... 27

Figure 5..... 28

Figure 6..... 29

Figure 7..... 30

Figure 8..... 31

# Social Media and the Surge: Emergency physician Twitter use in the Covid-19 pandemic as a potential predictor of impending surge.

Colton Margus<sup>1,2</sup> MD; Natasha Brown<sup>1,2</sup> MD; Attila Hertelendy<sup>1,3</sup> PhD; Michelle R. Safferman<sup>4,5</sup> MD; Alexander Hart<sup>1,2</sup> MD; Gregory R. Ciotto<sup>1,2</sup> MD

<sup>1</sup>Division of Disaster Medicine Department of Emergency Medicine Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Boston US

<sup>2</sup>Department of Emergency Medicine Harvard Medical School Boston US

<sup>3</sup>Department of Information Systems and Business Analytics College of Business Florida International University Miami US

<sup>4</sup>Department of Emergency Medicine Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai New York US

<sup>5</sup>Department of Emergency Medicine Mount Sinai Morningside-West New York US

## Corresponding Author:

Colton Margus MD

Division of Disaster Medicine

Department of Emergency Medicine

Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center

Rosenberg Bldg. 2nd Floor

One Deaconess Road

Boston

US

## Abstract

**Background:** Early conversations on social media by emergency physicians offer a window into the ongoing response to the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic.

**Objective:** This retrospective observational study of emergency physician Twitter use details how the healthcare crisis has influenced emergency physician discourse online, and may have use as a harbinger of ensuing surge.

**Methods:** Followers of the three main emergency physician professional organizations were identified using Twitter's application programming interface. They and their followers were included in the study if identifying explicitly as United States-based emergency physicians. Statuses or 'tweets' were obtained between January 4th, 2020, when the new disease was first reported, and December 14th, 2020, when vaccinations first began. Original tweets underwent sentiment analysis using the previously validated Valence Aware Dictionary and sEntiment Reasoner (VADER) tool as well as topic modeling using Latent Dirichlet Allocation unsupervised machine learning. Sentiment and topic trends were then correlated with daily change in new Covid-19 cases and inpatient bed utilization.

**Results:** 3,463 emergency physicians produced 334,747 unique English tweets during the study period. 910 (26.3%) stated that they were in training, and 446 (51.7%) of those who provided a gender identified as a man. Overall tweet volume went from a pre-March mean of  $481.9 \pm 72.7$  daily tweets to  $1,065.5 \pm 257.3$  daily thereafter. Parameter and topic number tuning led to 20 tweet topics, with a topic coherence of 0.49. Except for a week in June and four days in November, discourse was dominated by the healthcare system (45,570, 13.6%). Discussion of pandemic response, epidemiology, and clinical care were jointly found to moderately correlate with COVID-19 hospital bed utilization (Pearson's  $r = 0.41$ ), as was the occurrence of 'covid', 'coronavirus', or 'pandemic' in tweet text (0.47). Momentum in COVID-19 tweets, as demonstrated by a sustained crossing of 7 and 28-day moving averages, was found to have occurred  $45.0 \pm 12.7$  days before peak COVID-19 hospital bed utilization across the country and four most contributory states.

**Conclusions:** COVID-19 Twitter discussion among emergency physicians correlates with and may precede rising hospital burden. This study therefore begins to depict the extent to which the ongoing pandemic has affected the field of emergency medicine discourse online and suggests a potential avenue for improving understanding of the predictors of surge.

(JMIR Preprints 08/03/2021:28615)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2196/preprints.28615>

## Preprint Settings

1) Would you like to publish your submitted manuscript as preprint?

✓ **Please make my preprint PDF available to anyone at any time (recommended).**

Please make my preprint PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that my title and abstract will remain visible to all users.

Only make the preprint title and abstract visible.

No, I do not wish to publish my submitted manuscript as a preprint.

2) If accepted for publication in a JMIR journal, would you like the PDF to be visible to the public?

✓ **Yes, please make my accepted manuscript PDF available to anyone at any time (Recommended).**

Yes, but please make my accepted manuscript PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that the title and abstract will remain visible to all users.

Yes, but only make the title and abstract visible (see Important note, above). I understand that if I later pay to participate in <http://www.jmir.org>, my title and abstract will remain visible to all users.

## Original Manuscript

## Introduction

The contagiousness, fatality rate, and long-term sequelae thus far attributed to SARS-CoV-2 disease (COVID-19) have led to significant strain on the healthcare system. Since the World Health Organization (WHO) first reported “a cluster of pneumonia cases” in Wuhan, China on January 4, 2020,<sup>1</sup> the social media platform Twitter has become a source of both official health information and unofficial medical discourse regarding the ongoing pandemic. Boasting 180 million daily active users,<sup>2</sup> not only does the service allow account holders to share links, media, and brief strings of text, but it has evolved into a public forum for unvetted information that can augment if not supersede more traditional dissemination methods.

On December 11th, 2020, the United States (US) Food and Drug Administration (FDA) used Twitter to announce its authorization for immediate emergency use of the COVID-19 vaccine developed by Pfizer and BioNTech.<sup>3</sup> In its Twitter message or ‘tweet’ about the decision, the FDA (@US\_FDA) reiterated its aim to “assure the public and medical community that it has conducted a thorough evaluation of the available safety, effectiveness, and manufacturing quality information.”<sup>4</sup> Directly addressing Twitter’s medical community in this way was intentional: throughout the COVID-19 pandemic, many physicians turned to social media rather than traditional medical information channels to discuss the merits and de-merits of possible treatments, prior to the availability of formal clinical guidance. Myriad treatment modalities and prevention strategies have been proposed at all levels, and Twitter has served as a means of disseminating everything from guidelines and data to anecdotes and opinion.<sup>5</sup>

Utilizing social media to aid in the mapping of an ongoing crisis is not new, and Twitter use has previously been linked to, among others, the H1N1 and Zika virus epidemics.<sup>6,7</sup> Yet even with unparalleled international effort, formal forecasting models of COVID-19 have largely failed,<sup>8</sup> and many geopolitical comparisons in popular media now in hindsight appear to have been premature.<sup>9–12</sup> As the front and, for many Americans, only door into the US healthcare system, emergency departments continue to be looked to for public health surveillance and treatment strategies, as a kind of ear on the epidemiological pulse of their communities.<sup>13,14</sup>

Emergency physicians in particular have long been at the forefront of physician engagement with social media, relying on a budding network of fellow clinicians collaborating on what has become known as free online medical education (FOAM).<sup>15</sup> The COVID-19 pandemic only further accentuates the unique role of the emergency physician community online, as frontline providers who not only take on substantial risk but who may also be able to provide substantial insight. Facing changed admission criteria, expanded alternate care sites, and recycled equipment, emergency physicians have been forced into the unenviable position of making difficult triaging and resource allocation decisions. This study therefore seeks to characterize the sentiment and topic trends in emergency physician discourse on Twitter throughout the pre-vaccination pandemic, as a potential harbinger of the surge needs that followed.

## Methods

### *Sampling and data collection*

This work was approved as exempt human subjects research through the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Institutional Review Board. In order to access Twitter’s application programming interface (API), a developer account was applied for and obtained. Python (3.8.5) and the Tweepy library (Python Software Foundation, Wilmington, Delaware, USA)<sup>16</sup> then made it possible to acquire all unique followers of the three major physician professional societies in emergency medicine: the American College of Emergency Physicians ([ACEP] @ACEPNow), the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine ([SAEM] @SAEMonline), and the American Academy of Emergency Medicine ([AAEM] @aaeminfo).<sup>17–21</sup> Because sex and gender are not directly recorded by Twitter but have previously been shown to influence social media engagement and even clinical diagnosis and management,<sup>22–24</sup> gendered nouns and pronouns stated in user bios were considered in their place. Those users with privacy settings that would render tweets protected from analysis were removed. Each user bio was then initially screened by textual search for including any of 157 text strings decided by the research team as connoting a public acknowledgement of one’s role as an emergency physician, such as ‘emergency medicine physician’, ‘emergency D.O.’, or ‘ER doc’.

Exclusion criteria included aspiring emergency physicians and students, organizations, physicians from other specialties, as well as users belonging to other professions, living outside the US, or without a clear location at the state level. Emergency physicians still in training, whether described as interns or residents, were not excluded, however. Exclusion for any of these reasons was determined by two practicing emergency physicians each reviewing and sorting all users manually and independently, with any discrepancies decided by consensus.

A chain-referral sampling method was then employed in order to expand the study group to include those US-based emergency physicians on Twitter not following one of the major professional organization accounts.<sup>25</sup> Followers of already included participants were then aggregated to create a composite list of potential additional participants. After

applying the same exclusions, this new group of users was then appended to the original as a more comprehensive sampling of US emergency physicians on Twitter.

All available tweets up to Twitter's own limit of 3,200 per user was acquired for each study participant. Tweets were removed if reposted as a 'retweet' from a different post, if non-English, or if falling outside the study period from and including January 4th, 2020, based on date of the initial WHO announcement, to and including December 14th, 2020, based on the date of first FDA-approved vaccination in the US.<sup>26</sup>

#### *Sentiment and topic generation*

Several different methods have previously been employed to conduct sentiment analysis of tweets specific to the healthcare field, with 46% of such tweets demonstrating sentiment of some kind.<sup>27</sup> Here, the open-source Valence Aware Diction and sENTiment Reasoner (VADER) analysis tool was used to determine both the direction and extent of tweet sentiment polarity, based on a lexicon of sentiment-related words. VADER has been shown to outperform human raters and, in handling emoji and slang, is particularly suited for social media text.<sup>28,29</sup> Sentiment polarity ratings were summed and standardized as a compound score between -1 and 1. By convention, tweets with a compound score between -0.05 and 0.05 were classified as neutral.<sup>29,30</sup>

Using the gensim Python package, all tweets were tokenized and pre-processed including removal of punctuation, special characters, mentions of other users, 'stop words' of little topic value, and links to external websites. Hashtags, which users sometimes use to denote a contextual theme,<sup>31,32</sup> were converted to text. Because frequently co-occurring words can exist with unique meaning, two- or three-word phrases were also considered as independent tokens, as in 'healthcare\_workers'. These preprocessed tweets then underwent unsupervised topic modeling in order to discern meaningful content themes. Latent Dirichlet allocation (LDA) is a common method for topic modeling that has previously been utilized to analyze healthcare-related tweets.<sup>33,34</sup> Topic coherence, as proposed by Röder et al. due to its higher correlation with human topic ranking,<sup>35</sup> was then maximized by iteratively modeling over a range of topic numbers as well as  $\alpha$  parameters. The resulting topic model was then used to assign a dominant topic to each tweet included in the sample.

In order to contextualize these sentiment and topic trends within the ongoing pandemic, daily COVID-19 case counts and COVID-19 inpatient bed utilization (CIBU) rates were acquired from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and US Department of Health & Human Services.<sup>36,37</sup> These data were converted to 7-day simple moving averages to account for lower weekend reporting and other daily fluctuations.<sup>38,39</sup> Tweet volume, sentiment, and dominant topic trends were then correlated with new COVID-19 cases and CIBU through Prism (Version 9.0.2, GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA).

Further comparison between public health and Twitter data was made possible by plotting the 7- and 28-day simple moving averages and observing their intersection as a potential indicator for momentum using Excel (Version 16.47.1, Microsoft, Redmond, MA). Similarly, a moving average convergence/divergence oscillator (MACD) was generated by subtracting the 28-day exponential moving average from the 7-day exponential moving average. This MACD was then monitored for both (1) turning positive and (2) crossing above its own 7-day exponential moving average. These cross signals based on simple moving averages and on the MACD are both loosely derived from lagging indicators of historical price patterns that are commonly used in finance to guide investment decisions and have been applied directly to SARS-CoV-2 infection data previously.<sup>40,41</sup>

#### **Results**

The three key US emergency physician organizations had a collective 42,918 followers of their primary Twitter accounts as of December 11th, 2020. When those following more than one professional organization were only counted once, there were 27,022 unique followers, with 10,905 (40.4%) belonging to at least two of the three groups (figure 1). As an approximation for cohesion, the overlap coefficient of the three handles was 0.43, calculated as the ratio of the intersection over the maximum possible intersection ( $[A \cap B \cap C] / \min[|A|, |B|, |C|]$ ).<sup>42</sup> After exclusions, 2,073 US-based emergency physicians were identified, with high inter-rater reliability ( $\kappa = 0.96$ ). There were 1,510,802 followers of the initial cohort acquired December 12-13th, 2020, of which 734,644 were unique. Applying the same inclusion and exclusion criteria resulted in 1,433 more US-based emergency physicians ( $\kappa = 0.94$ ). Combining the two groups, there were 3,463 individuals included in the study.

There were 1,510,802 followers of the initial cohort acquired December 12-13, 2020, with 734,644 found to be internally unique as well as distinct from the original user list assessed. Applying the same inclusion and exclusion criteria resulted in 3,110 emergency physicians, 1,433 of whom could clearly be identified as located in specific US states, territories, or districts through their public Twitter location and description ( $\kappa = 0.94$ ). Combining the two groups, there were 3,463

located US emergency physicians included in the study.

The average study participant had been using Twitter for  $6.6 \pm 3.5$  years, with  $183.8 \pm 491.0$  total tweets (table 1). Only 910 (26.3%) participants explicitly described themselves as a resident or intern currently in training. The most common states represented were New York (433, 12.5%) and California (395, 11.4%), and the most contributory US region was the Northeast (1,057, 30.5%). Self-identified gender was infrequent (902, 26.0%), with 466 (51.7%) of those identifying as a man.

Tweets collected for the study group totaled 1,941,894 as of December 24th, with 630,915 (32.5%) of those obtained falling between January 4th through December 14th inclusive, at 1,823.5 tweets per day (figure 2). Because of a cap on the number of tweets able to be pulled through the official Twitter API, 44 (1.3%) users appeared to have exceeded the limit such that not all tweets would have been captured. Despite truncation, these avid users still contributed 140,938 (7.3%) of all collected tweets. Overall, 256,636 (40.7%) retweets and 39,532 (6.3%) non-English tweets were removed, leaving 334,747 (53.1%) unique English language tweets for analysis. Daily volume went from a pre-March mean of  $481.9 \pm 72.7$  tweets to  $1,065.5 \pm 257.3$  thereafter.

After pre-processing, 1,958,230 semantic units or ‘tokens’ were found within the corpus of tweets, with a total vocabulary of 12,401. LDA modeling over a range of topic numbers and parameters settled on a total of 20 content topics for this study. Two physicians then worked together to manually and jointly label these 20 topics based on discussion of key terms and representative tweets. For example, the topic with the top five terms of ‘resident’, ‘student’, ‘residency’, ‘learn’, and ‘year’ was labeled as ‘medical training’. In this way, the most prevalent topics were found to relate to the healthcare system (45,570, 13.6%), collaboration (20,112, 6.0%), and politics (18,186, 5.4%) (table 2). Notably, the healthcare system was the dominant topic throughout the study period, with two exceptions: it was supplanted from June 2nd through 9th by race relations and from November 6th through 10th by politics.

Daily change in 7-day moving averages for specific tweet topics and sentiment polarity demonstrated small Pearson correlation coefficient for the topics of pandemic response ( $r=0.26$  [95%CI 0.15-0.36]), epidemiology (0.25 [0.14-0.35]), and clinical care (0.23 [0.13-0.33]) with reported COVID-19 cases (all  $p<0.0001$ ) (table 2). There was greater correlation for these topics with hospital bed utilization (all  $p<0.0001$ ). While the three topics considered jointly were even more correlated ( $r=0.41$  [95%CI 0.31-0.50]), they still fell short of that seen with the 9.4% of included tweets with an occurrence of ‘covid’, ‘coronavirus’, ‘corona virus’, ‘cov-2’, ‘cov2’, or ‘pandemic’ within the tweet text (0.47 [0.38-0.56]) (both  $p<0.0001$ ). A proportional stacked area chart reveals an early overall increase in Twitter use as daily case counts rose, particularly among COVID-19 related topics (figure 3). Aggregated sentiment scores reached a nadir on June 6th, when ‘race relations’ was the dominant topic in the sample, and again on October 6th, the day after US President Donald Trump was discharged from his COVID-19 hospital admission.<sup>43</sup>

Over the full study period, three peaks emerged in both COVID-19 related discussion and COVID-19 inpatient bed utilization (CIBU), with the rise in tweets appearing to precede the corresponding rise in CIBU. This may be better appreciated with attention directed to where the 7-day moving average crosses above the 28-day moving average as a signal of topic momentum (figure 4). After the first recorded domestic COVID-19 case on January 22nd, February 25th was the first such cross and preceded a period of sustained increase in CIBU from February 28th to an April 9th peak. The next occurrence was on June 22nd, occurring alongside the second period of sustained increase in CIBU from June 15th to a peak on July 21st. A brief cross on July 31st was short-lived, but the subsequent September 13th cross was maintained and corresponded to a rise in COVID-19 hospital burden that started September 24th and continued through the remainder of the study period, with several episodic crosses seen thereafter. When the MACD oscillator is also considered, February 22nd (figure 4, point A) marks a cross above both the zero centerline as well as its 7-day moving average, 46 days before the April 9th peak in CIBU. The next such cross occurred on June 24th (point B), 27 days before the second peak, while the third surge in CIBU appears to coincide with a first crossing on September 30th (point C).

Because the breadth and diversity of the US may obfuscate local trends, the four most contributory states of California, New York, Pennsylvania, and Texas were similarly plotted (figures 5-8). All four experienced a spring signal and subsequent surge, although New York has been recognized among them as an early epicenter.<sup>44</sup> Only Texas appears to have had a sustained cross of the 7-day moving average above the 28-day moving average from June 18th to July 15th. This notably preceded the only significant summer peak among these states, reaching a maximum CIBU of 20.5% on July 20th (in comparison, California reached a second peak of 14.3% on July 25th while neither New York nor Pennsylvania exceeded 10% again before November). The mean time from the preceding cross of moving averages in COVID-19 related emergency physician tweets to peak CIBU across the four states and the nation was  $45.0 \pm 12.7$  days.

## Discussion



Emergency physician engagement on Twitter has grown considerably since the start of the COVID-19 pandemic in both the topics raised and the sentiments conveyed. Furthermore, the analysis described here demonstrates conversation with increasing focus on pandemic response, clinical care, and epidemiology. That these topics correlate better with inpatient bed utilization than simple case counts supports the idea that they may well serve as a kind of barometer of healthcare system strain. Momentum in these conversations in fact, as shown by crossings of tweet count moving averages, were shown to occur before key rises in inpatient bed utilization, which may with future research lend itself to the larger effort of predicting surge based on multiple data-streams.

The COVID-19 pandemic has required emergency physicians to adapt continually to an extraordinary inadequacy of resources. While strains on the ventilator supply received much attention,<sup>45</sup> early limitations in testing and bed availability also created significant clinical challenges,<sup>46</sup> let alone the mental health effects that are likely to be far-reaching.<sup>47</sup> In the case of personal protective equipment (PPE) shortages, many frontline providers resorted to individual means to acquire makeshift supplies, and some even turned to social media, as in the case of the #GetMePPE Twitter hashtag, in order to spur necessary action.<sup>48–50</sup> Despite that potential good, such public distress and debate from the medical frontline has at times spurred controversy and even real world, professional repercussions.<sup>51</sup>

With overwhelming caseloads and without clinical consensus, frontline physicians have been forced to decide between various treatment modalities based on unclear and, at times, contradictory information, with significant moral distress.<sup>52</sup> The effort to maintain appropriate patient care despite these unknowns, when faced with a need for resource rationing,<sup>53</sup> is a *de facto* implementation of crisis standards of care. While contingency planning is situational and incorporates some aspects of triage practiced routinely in overcrowded emergency departments across the country, the formal triggering of crisis standards, and implicit divergence from conventional standards, enacts systematic change in protocols and care plans during a sustained period of large-scale strain.<sup>54–56</sup>

Taken together, this retrospective look at emergency physician Twitter use suggests a new way of considering the pandemic surge, as emergency physician utilization of Twitter reached unprecedented highs. There are likely several reasons for this. The online community has been shown to provide psychological benefit, potentially exacerbated by the isolation faced in providing crisis care, and by a perceived collapse in trust in the existing infrastructure and policy guidance.<sup>57,58</sup> That ‘collaboration’ was the only topic among twenty to have a compound sentiment range which did not cross zero may relate to this yearning for support. Still, positive mean sentiment scores among the vast majority of topics were unexpected given recent work pertaining to general public perceptions of the pandemic.<sup>59</sup> There may well be some sway to a self-perceived personal and professional connection to the dominant issue of the day. While the root cause is undoubtedly complex and multifaceted, the increase in emergency physician engagement with social media is likely here to stay.

Whether emergency physicians online can truly act as an early indicator for policymakers remains to be seen, but the community is undoubtedly a subset of the broader pandemic response worth looking at more closely. Moving averages have been used to indicate movement in financial markets but are not true predictors of future trends. Given the potential for false signaling and challenge in determining what constitutes a sustained or meaningful cross prospectively, derived crosses of the kind shown here will likely need corroboration from a variety of other metrics as well as comparison to other samples and controls. Even so, the idea that an indicator of a physician behavioral trend online may also signal momentum in real world hospital bed utilization has clear implications for the future. This is particularly relevant when it is considered that the study group itself is sourced directly from followers of major professional organizations for whom early recognition of surge would empower a more coordinated and efficacious policy response.

To make such a tool operationally relevant, collaboration between government and private sector partners will likely be necessary to build adequate data pathways allowing for public health surveillance in real-time. While emergent topic generation from a retrospective corpus of tweets is not a feasible option for rapid and predictive modeling, this work suggests that even simple collection of tweets containing disease-specific text strings can nonetheless yield important, potentially meaningful information to inform resource allocation and other policy decisions. Ultimately, all disasters are media events, affecting both how and what information is conveyed. There is therefore no great leap of faith in acknowledging that social media, too, may have an important part to play. Future research must delve further into how such tools can one day be used in early recognition of and response to healthcare strain of such magnitude.

## Limitations

In holding to strict inclusion criteria, this study overlooked Twitter users who were not explicit in their self-identification as practicing emergency physicians. Emergency physicians were made the narrow focus of this work based on their key role as clinical decision-makers overseeing department throughput, but inclusion of nurses, technicians, and non-physician midlevel providers may add breadth. Additionally, follower referral from within the sample may have

introduced bias that could have been avoided by subsampling with some individuals selected for study participation and others only for referral.<sup>25</sup> Even so, a comprehensive list of emergency physicians on Twitter compiled in 2016 concluded that there were only 2,234 such users around the globe.<sup>60</sup> Social media use has undoubtedly risen since, particularly with the influx of a growing number of emergency medicine residents,<sup>61</sup> but the sample provided here does appear to be appropriately sized for this purpose.

Even so, this sample size was insufficient in both participant number and geographic spread to allow for more granular geographic analysis by city or county, although public health surveillance often occurs at this level.<sup>62,63</sup> Both demographic characteristics and spatiotemporal effects at the level of the individual participant have previously been shown to bias Tweet sentiment and content, but these were not controlled for in this study.<sup>64,65</sup>

Reliance on Twitter may itself limit generalizability, given its comparatively higher representation of young, urban, and minority users when compared with the general US population.<sup>66</sup> Only one social network platform was analyzed, and, insofar as it serves as a public forum, what medical professionals say online does not necessarily correlate with what they think or feel.<sup>67</sup> The study group however was not aware of its participation in this research, thereby avoiding that influence on behavior.<sup>68</sup> Excluding reposted tweets may have overlooked certain sentiments and reactions. Additionally, LDA topic modeling depends not only on the size of the overall corpus but on the length of individual documents themselves. Although methods such as aggregation into larger documents have been proposed in order to overcome tweet brevity,<sup>69</sup> doing so would not have allowed for the temporal and user-specific analysis intended. Finally, care must be taken in interpreting relationships between variables such as physician tweets and COVID-19 bed utilization when both variables have undergone averaging or smoothing, which can sometimes suggest correlation where none exists.

## Conclusion

This work reveals both the opportunity and the pressing need to explore social media use by the emergency physician community as a means of anticipating surge needs. By acting as gatekeepers to the hospital, emergency physicians are uniquely positioned to act as early indicators of hospital surge, and finding methods such as Twitter usage which can track and analyze these indicators could be vital to future pandemic planning and response.

**Table 1.** Descriptive statistics of included US-based emergency physicians on Twitter.

	study group
<b>Gender</b>	
Identified, n (%)	902 (26.0)
Man, n (% identified)	466 (51.7)
Woman, n (% identified)	436 (48.3)
Unidentified, n (%)	2,561 (74.0)
<b>Usage</b>	
Verified account, n (%)	27 (0.8)
Duration, mean years $\pm$ SD (n)	6.6 $\pm$ 3.5 (3,463)
Tweets, mean $\pm$ SD (n)	183.8 $\pm$ 491.0 (3,463)
Followers, mean $\pm$ SD (n)	664.6 $\pm$ 5,326.3 (3,463)
Since 2007-2009 (%)	519 (15.0)
Since 2010-2014 (%)	1471 (42.5)
Since 2015-2019 (%)	1235 (35.7)
Since 2020 (%)	238 (6.9)
<b>Organization(s) followed</b>	
American Academy of Emergency Medicine (AAEM) only, n (%)	144 (4.2)
American College of Emergency Physicians (ACEP) only, n (%)	351 (10.1)

Society of Academic Emergency Medicine (SAEM) only, n (%)	275 (7.9)
AAEM & ACEP, n (%)	114 (3.3)
AAEM & SAEM, n (%)	148 (4.3)
ACEP & SAEM, n (%)	343 (9.9)
All three organizations, n (%)	655 (18.9)
None, n (%)	1,433 (41.4)
<b>Training</b>	
Identified as in-training, n (%)	910 (26.3)
<b>Region</b>	
Midwest, n (%)	789 (22.8)
Northeast, n (%)	1,057 (30.5)
South, n (%)	884 (25.5)
West, n (%)	724 (20.9)
Territory, n (%)	9 (0.3)
<b>Top five states</b>	
New York, n (%)	433 (12.5)
California, n (%)	395 (11.4)
Pennsylvania, n (%)	249 (7.2)
Texas, n (%)	235 (6.8)
Illinois, n (%)	212 (6.1)

**Table 2.** Topic descriptive statistics including the percent of total tweets within the sample, the mean compound sentiment, the Pearson's product-moment correlation and 95% confidence interval with the 7-day moving average in confirmed US cases, the Pearson's product-moment correlation and 95% confidence interval with the 7-day moving average in US Covid-19 inpatient bed utilization, the key terms contributing to the topic classification, and an example tweet for each.

Topic label	Percent of total tweets	Compound sentiment score, mean $\pm$ SD	Case Pearson's correlation	COVID-19 inpatient bed utilization Pearson's correlation	COVID-19 inpatient bed utilization Spearman correlation	Key terms	Example tweet
healthcare system	13.6%	0.16 $\pm$ 0.36	0.03 (-0.07-0.14)	0.12 (0.00-0.23)	0.07 (-0.05-0.18)	care, health, physician, medicine, practice, system, medical, important, community,	I'm not the one to ask about nursing. Nursing has always defined itself. The problem is the definition used to define "advanced

						change, issue, lead, work, support, research, address, focus, policy, create, improve	nursing" is the same definition used to define medicine. That is not the same definition that was used years ago, it changed. Common sense dictates one
collaboration	6.0%	0.58 ±0.34	0.01 (-0.10-0.12)	0.09 (-0.03-0.20)	0.11 (-0.01-0.23)	work, great, amazing, team, love, proud, congrat, congratulation, colleague, job, awesome, friend, support, part, good, hard, share, incredible, today, honor	Honored to receive this award from @TXChildrensPEM section. Thank you all for being such a great group of mentors, colleagues, and friends! Also, winning the Fellow's Award means so much. Happy for such a great group of fellows and mentees!
pandemic care	4.0%	0.14 ±0.50	0.23 (0.13-0.33)	0.26 (0.15-0.37)	0.18 (0.06-0.29)	patient, care, hospital, covid, doctor, nurse, emergency, doc, physician, call, sick, staff, visit, admit, treat, ed, icu, medical, work, room	Physician-owned hospitals can increase the number of licensed beds, operating rooms, and procedure rooms by converting observation beds to inpatient beds, among other means, to accommodate patient surge
Research	4.9%	0.02 ±0.47	0.07 (-0.04-0.17)	0.06 (-0.06-0.17)	0.07 (-0.05-0.19)	patient, study, treatment, high, give, low, risk, drug, pain, show, dose, trial, present, treat, disease, early,	Take-homes from 2020 ACEP Opioids Clinical Policy: 1. Treat opioid withdrawal with buprenorphine. 2. Preferentially prescribe non-opioids for acute

						diagnosis, benefit, med, effect	pain. 3. Avoid prescribing opioids for chronic pain. 4. Do not prescribe sedatives to patients taking opioids
race relations	4.5%	-0.17 ±0.51	0.00 (-0.10-0.12)	0.03 (-0.09-0.14)	-0.02 (-0.14-0.09)	people, black, man, kill, woman, call, speak, matter, police, stand, white, stop, racism, word, racist, history, protest, happen, die, wrong	"Black lives matter means Black queer lives matter, Black trans lives matter, Black non-binary lives matter, Black femme lives matter, Black incarcerated lives matter, and Black disabled lives matter....
pandemic response	4.2%	0.05 ±0.50	0.26 (0.15-0.36)	0.38 (0.28-0.47)	0.27 (0.16-0.38)	covid, pandemic, coronavirus, vaccine, response, protect, health, virus, fight, ppe, crisis, die, continue, country, worker, spread, leadership, expert, action, state	#COVID. COVID COVID COVID COVID COVID 183,000+ Americans dead, and counting... Care for your neighbors. #WearAMask
reading	5.3%	0.27 ±0.41	0.06 (-0.05-0.17)	0.20 (0.09-0.31)	0.13 (0.01-0.25)	read, great, check, write, thread, article, post, book, list, follow, find, share, good, send, add, paper, twitter, email, tweet, link	Please read the first paragraph of the new image again. It literally is saying what I originally replied with. Google searches do no good if you won't read the text of what you find, not just the header.
schedule	4.7%	0.15 ±0.41	0.04 (-0.07-0.15)	0.10 (-0.02-0.21)	0.07 (-0.05-0.19)	day, time, week, today, hour, start,	The length of shifts of studies in this paper started

						work, shift, year, long, wait, month, back, night, spend, end, run, sleep, minute, morning	at 13 hours. Time off during day hours not post-night is obviously not the same as working 13 hours and having a few hours off before bed.
public safety	3.5%	0.19 ±0.45	0.05 (-0.06-0.16)	0.26 (0.15-0.36)	0.12 (0.00-0.24)	people, school, safe, open, home, work, close, place, stay, follow, mask, risk, live, order, family, plan, community, back, person, kid	Every single store we went into on Michigan Ave required a mask. Our hotel requires a mask anywhere inside. Even Millenium Park requires a mask to enter and walk around outside. And on the streets plenty of people are masked outside. I think compliance is excellent
politics	5.4%	-0.01 ±0.49	0.00 (-0.11-0.11)	-0.01 (-0.13-0.10)	-0.05 (-0.17-0.07)	vote, trump, election, lie, country, state, people, lose, president, win, debate, biden, stop, count, call, support, political, campaign, american, fact	Trump's personal lawyer: Guilty. Trump's campaign manager: Guilty. Trump's deputy campaign manager: Guilty. Trump's National Security Advisor: Guilty. Trump's political advisor: Guilty.
entertainment	5.4%	0.17 ±0.47	0.03 (-0.07-0.14)	0.05 (-0.07-0.16)	0.09 (-0.03-0.20)	watch, good, play, love, guy, game, thing, time, bad, video, pretty, show, give, favorite, big, real, season, fan, idea, listen	I only watched pro sports and news for decades, never watching any of the popular TV shows; now I've actually started watching Downton Abbey instead. I guess Breaking Bad or GOT is next. I

							haven't seen a single episode of either. Any other suggestions?
epidemiology	4.2%	0.01 ±0.48	0.25 (0.14-0.35)	0.36 (0.25-0.45)	0.17 (0.05-0.28)	covid, test, case, death, number, testing, people, high, positive, report, rate, day, virus, infection, risk, coronavirus, symptom, spread, increase, rise	Q: what if I traveled to high risk area/ contact w known #COVID19 case) & HAVE symptoms? A: Isolate yourself. U meet testing criteria but do not HAVE to get tested. If u test negative for everything, please isolate yourself until symptoms resolve as for any contagious illness.
scientific inquiry	4.0%	0.13 ±0.46	-0.04 (-0.15-0.07)	0.12 (0.00-0.23)	0.12 (0.01-0.24)	question, agree, datum, point, answer, science, study, base, evidence, fact, show, understand, opinion, true, wrong, important, good, correct, information, clear	Many, including @realDonaldTrump, have abandoned science, logic and common sense. Don't take medical advice from charlatans. Listen to real experts. Hydroxychloroquine data shows no benefit + significant potential harms.
protective equipment	4.5%	0.14 ±0.42	0.07 (-0.04-0.18)	0.15 (0.03-0.26)	0.14 (0.03-0.26)	mask, wear, put, hand, face, line, eye, time, head, find, leave, back, hold, room, pull, run, clean, cover, hair, remove	A woman on the subway just pulled her mask down to blow her nose. Feeling like somehow people still don't get it...
business of medicine	3.6%	0.12 ±0.48	0.07 (-0.04-0.18)	0.06 (-0.06-0.17)	0.12 (0.00-0.24)	pay, money, system, physician, cost, make,	Benchmarking to INW rates or lower, based on a antiquated federal

						free, health, state, give, problem, care, medical, job, insurance, company, hospital, healthcare, cut, plan	fee scheduling system, is a non-starter for most physician owned and operated practices. Incentivize competition in the marketplace. Offer better reimbursement rates than CMGs or large groups. Break monopolies.
family	4.3%	0.20 ±0.46	0.12 (0.01-0.23)	0.12 (0.00-0.23)	0.15 (0.03-0.26)	year, kid, child, friend, family, good, call, give, time, talk, feel, parent, young, today, make, back, mom, remember, wife, baby	Same with my wife and her parents back in the day.younger sister got everything she wanted. We married young and never asked for anything. Only her mother came to our wedding (teen marriage never lasts) 44 years ago...no wedding gifts.
lifestyle	5.3%	0.18 ±0.42	-0.02 (-0.13-0.09)	0.07 (-0.05-0.18)	0.07 (-0.05-0.19)	make, eat, food, car, good, run, water, dog, drive, walk, buy, love, drink, bring, hot, coffee, nice, thing, cool, enjoy	Stuffed peppers: Cut 4 bell peppers in half lengthwise. In a skillet saute 2 cups spinach, 1/3 white onion and garlic. Add 1lb ground chicken. Season to taste. Add 1 cup cauliflower rice. Stuff the "rice" into the peppers. Top peppers w/ cheese & bake for 20mins on 375 degrees.
medical training	4.8%	0.36 ±0.42	0.08 (-0.03-0.19)	0.13 (0.01-0.24)	-0.03 (-0.15-0.09)	resident, student, residency, learn, year, program,	Thankful for my residency family today! Had a great week of shifts and an awesome



						medical, medtwitter, great, join, today, virtual, mede, attend, interview, teach, school, talk, match, conference	virtual conference last week! My faculty and co-residents have been so amazing these last few months!
emotional reaction	3.7%	0.14 ±0.49	-0.03 (-0.14-0.08)	0.10 (-0.01-0.22)	0.11 (-0.01-0.23)	make, thing, good, feel, time, bad, people, hard, lot, happen, agree, change, easy, hear, decision, part, point, find, real, sense	Are you nervous? Lots of people feel nervous when they come here That's normal What are you nervous about? Are you nervous that something may hurt? A lot of people worry about that Nothing is going to hurt right now If that changes I'll tell you & we'll get thru it
Inspirational	4.1%	0.30 ±0.50	0.05 (-0.06-0.16)	0.14 (0.03-0.25)	0.17 (0.05-0.28)	life, love, feel, hope, true, live, world, word, human, story, time, share, save, real, experience, moment, heart, family, find, change	Thought of the day: I can share my earthly riches like peace, joy, time, talents, giftings, physical helps, hope, wisdom, emotional strength, encouragement, etc.

1. World Health Organization (WHO) on Twitter: “#China has reported to WHO a cluster of #pneumonia cases —with no deaths— in Wuhan, Hubei Province 🇨🇳 . Investigations are underway to identify the cause of this illness.” *Twitter, Inc.* 4 Jan 2020. <https://twitter.com/WHO/status/1213523866703814656>. Accessed 4 Aug 2020.
2. Q3 2020 Letter to Shareholders. *Twitter, Inc.* 29 Oct 2020. [https://s22.q4cdn.com/826641620/files/doc\\_financials/2020/q3/Q3-2020-S Shareholder-Letter.pdf](https://s22.q4cdn.com/826641620/files/doc_financials/2020/q3/Q3-2020-S Shareholder-Letter.pdf). Accessed 1 Mar 2020.
3. Hinton DM. Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 Vaccine Letter of Authorization. *Food and Drug Administration (FDA)*. 11 Dec 2020. <https://www.fda.gov/media/144412/download>. Accessed 11 Dec 2020.

4. US Food and Drug Administration (US\_FDA) on Twitter: "In making this determination, the FDA can assure the public and medical community that it has conducted a thorough evaluation of the available safety, effectiveness and manufacturing quality information." *Twitter, Inc.* [https://twitter.com/US\\_FDA/status/1337588052391292936](https://twitter.com/US_FDA/status/1337588052391292936). 11 Dec 2020. Accessed 11 Dec 2020.
5. Liu M, Caputi TL, Dredze M, Kesselheim AS, Ayers JW. Internet Searches for Unproven COVID-19 Therapies in the United States. *JAMA Intern Med.* 2020 Aug 1;180(8):1116-1118.
6. Chew C, Eysenbach G. Pandemics in the Age of Twitter: Content Analysis of Tweets during the 2009 H1N1 Outbreak. *PloS One.* 2010;5(11):e14118-e14118.
7. Fu K-W, Liang H, Saroha N, Tse ZTH, Ip P, Fung IC-H. How people react to Zika virus outbreaks on Twitter? A computational content analysis. *Am J Infect Control.* 2016;44(12):1700-1702.
8. Ioannidis JPA, Cripps S, Tanner MA. Forecasting for COVID-19 has failed. *Int J Forecast.* 25 Aug 2020.
9. Yang O by J. Opinion: California and New York were both hit by Covid-19 early, but the results are very different. *CNN.com.* 16 Apr 2020. <https://www.cnn.com/2020/04/14/opinions/california-new-york-covid-19-coronavirus-yang/index.html>. Accessed 17 Jan 2021.
10. Secon H. England's coronavirus death rate is nearly 3 times higher than Ireland's. Proactive social-distancing measures made the difference. *Business Insider.* 18 Apr 2020. <https://www.businessinsider.com/england-ireland-coronavirus-response-compared-2020-4>. Accessed 17 Jan 2021.
11. Wells P. California surpasses New York as centre of US Covid crisis. *FT.com.* 16 Jan 2021. <https://www.ft.com/content/8013b83a-84ab-4a8e-b39a-2f28c4d710f4>. Accessed 17 Jan 2021.
12. Carswell S. How did Ireland jump from low Covid base to world's highest infection rate? The Irish Times. 17 Jan 2021. <https://www.irishtimes.com/news/health/how-did-ireland-jump-from-low-covid-base-to-world-s-highest-infection-rate-1.4459429>. Accessed 17 Jan 2021.
13. Stanley K, Lora M, Merjavy S, et al. HIV Prevention and Treatment: The Evolving Role of the Emergency Department. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2017;70(4):562-572.e3. doi:10.1016/j.annemergmed.2017.01.018
14. Cochrane DG. *Perspective of an Emergency Physician Group as a Data Provider for Syndromic Surveillance.* Vol 53. U.S. Center for Disease Control; 2004:209-214. Accessed April 9, 2021.
15. Greene J. Social media and physician learning: is it all twitter? *Ann Emerg Med.* 2013;62(5):11A-13A.
16. Roesslein J. Tweepy: Twitter for Python! *Github.* 2020. <https://github.com/tweepy/tweepy>.
17. Joint Statement on Mask Excuses in the ED. The American College of Emergency Physicians (ACEP). 28 Jul 2020. <https://www.acep.org/corona/COVID-19-alert/covid-19-articles/joint-statement-on-mask-excuses-in-the-ed/>. Accessed 11 Dec 2020.
18. EM Organizations. *West Virginia University Libraries.* 5 Nov 2020. <https://libguides.wvu.edu/EmergencyMedicine/ProfOrgs>. Accessed 12 Dec 2020.
19. Moore JC. Professional Organizations- Emergency Medicine. *University of Minnesota.* <https://lists.umn.edu/cgi-bin/wa?A3=ind0702&L=EMIG-LIST&E=BASE64&P=5394&B=---559023410-758783491-1170640165%253D%253A13754&T=application%252Foctet-stream%3B%2520name=%2522EM%2520Professional%2520Organizations.doc%2522&N=EM%2520Professional%2520Organizations.doc&attachment=q>. Accessed 12 Dec 2020.
20. Emergency Medicine Specialty Description. American Medical Association (AMA). <https://www.ama-assn.org/specialty/emergency-medicine-specialty-description>. Accessed 12 Dec 2020.
21. Counselman FL, Beeson MS, Marco CA, et al. Evolution of the Model of the Clinical Practice

- of Emergency Medicine: 1979 to Present. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2017;24(2):257-264.
22. Shillcutt SK, Silver JK. Social Media and Advancement of Women Physicians. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;378(24):2342-2345.
  23. Safdar B, Ona Ayala KE, Ali SS, et al. Inclusion of Sex and Gender in Emergency Medicine Research—A 2018 Update. *Acad Emerg Med*. 2019;26(3):293-302.
  24. Zhu JM, Pelullo AP, Hassan S, Siderowf L, Merchant RM, Werner RM. Gender Differences in Twitter Use and Influence Among Health Policy and Health Services Researchers. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2019 Oct 14;179(12):1726-1729.
  25. Avrachenkov K, Neglia G, Tuholukova A. Subsampling for Chain-Referral Methods. *International Conference on Analytical and Stochastic Modeling Techniques and Applications*. 2016 Aug 24;9845:17-31.
  26. Otterman S. 'I Trust Science,' Says Nurse Who Is First to Get Vaccine in U.S. *The New York Times*. 14 Dec 2020. <https://www.nytimes.com/2020/12/14/nyregion/us-covid-vaccine-first-sandra-lindsay.html>. Accessed 18 Dec 2020.
  27. Gohil S, Vuik S, Darzi A. Sentiment Analysis of Health Care Tweets: Review of the Methods Used. *JMIR Public Health Surveill*. 2018;4(2):e43.
  28. Hutto CJ, Gilbert E. VADER: A Parsimonious Rule-Based Model for Sentiment Analysis of Social Media Text. In *Proceedings of the eighth international AAAI conference on weblogs and social media*. 16 May 2014. <https://www.scinapse.io/papers/2099813784>. Accessed 1 Mar 2021.
  29. Raghupathi V, Ren J, Raghupathi W. Studying Public Perception about Vaccination: A Sentiment Analysis of Tweets. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(10).
  30. Chandrasekaran R, Mehta V, Valkunde T, Moustakas E. Topics, Trends, and Sentiments of Tweets About the COVID-19 Pandemic: Temporal Inveigillance Study. *J Med Internet Res*. 2020;22(10):e22624.
  31. How to use hashtags. *Twitter, Inc*. <https://help.twitter.com/en/using-twitter/how-to-use-hashtags>. Accessed 14 Jan 2021.
  32. Parker A. Twitter's Secret Handshake. *The New York Times*. 10 Jun 2011. <https://www.nytimes.com/2011/06/12/fashion/hashtags-a-new-way-for-tweets-cultural-studies.html>. Accessed January 14, 2021.
  33. Paul MJ, Dredze M. Discovering Health Topics in Social Media Using Topic Models. *PLoS ONE*. 2014;9(8).
  34. Xue J, Chen J, Chen C, Zheng C, Li S, Zhu T. Public discourse and sentiment during the COVID 19 pandemic: Using Latent Dirichlet Allocation for topic modeling on Twitter. *PLoS ONE*. 2020;15(9).
  35. Röder M, Both A, Hinneburg A. Exploring the Space of Topic Coherence Measures. In: *Proceedings of the Eighth ACM International Conference on Web Search and Data Mining*. WSDM '15. Association for Computing Machinery; 2015:399-408.
  36. CDC Case Task Force. United States COVID-19 Cases and Deaths by State over Time. *Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)*. 22 Dec 2020. <https://data.cdc.gov/Case-Surveillance/United-States-COVID-19-Cases-and-Deaths-by-State-o/9mfq-cb36>. Accessed 23 Dec 2020.
  37. COVID-19 Reported Patient Impact and Hospital Capacity by State Timeseries. *HealthData.gov*. <https://healthdata.gov/dataset/covid-19-reported-patient-impact-and-hospital-capacity-state-timeseries>. Accessed 18 Jan 2021.
  38. Population, Population Change, and Estimated Components of Population Change: April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2020. *Census.gov*. <https://www.census.gov/programs-surveys/popest/technical-documentation/research/evaluation-estimates.html>. Accessed 22 Dec 2020.
  39. Central America :: Puerto Rico — The World Factbook - Central Intelligence Agency. *CIA World Factbook*. <https://www.cia.gov/library/publications/the-world-factbook/geos/rq.html>.

Accessed 23 Dec 2020.


40. Chong TT-L, Ng W-K. Technical analysis and the London stock exchange: testing the MACD and RSI rules using the FT30. *Appl Econ Lett*. 2008;15(14):1111-1114.
41. Paroli M, Sirinian MI. Predicting SARS-CoV-2 Infection Trend Using Technical Analysis Indicators. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep*. 2020 Jul 17:1-5.
42. Mishori R, Singh LO, Levy B, Newport C. Mapping Physician Twitter Networks: Describing How They Work as a First Step in Understanding Connectivity, Information Flow, and Message Diffusion. *J Med Internet Res*. 2014;16(4):e107.
43. Sprunt B. “Don’t Be Afraid Of It”: Trump Dismisses Virus Threat As He Returns To White House. *NPR.org*. 5 Oct 2020. <https://www.npr.org/sections/latest-updates-trump-covid-19-results/2020/10/05/920412187/trump-says-he-will-leave-walter-reed-medical-center-monday-night>. Accessed 20 Feb 2021.
44. Thompson CN, Baumgartner J, Pichardo C. COVID-19 Outbreak — New York City, February 29–June 1, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2020;69(46):1725-1729.
45. Ranney ML, Griffith V, Jha AK. Critical Supply Shortages - The Need for Ventilators and Personal Protective Equipment during the Covid-19 Pandemic. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382(18):e41.
46. Margus C, Sondheim SE, Peck NM, et al. Discharge in pandemic: Suspected Covid-19 patients returning to the Emergency Department within 72 hours for admission. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2020 Aug 18;S0735-6757(20)30721-X.
47. Muller AE, Hafstad EV, Himmels JPW, et al. The mental health impact of the covid-19 pandemic on healthcare workers, and interventions to help them: A rapid systematic review. *Psychiatry Res*. 2020;293:113441.
48. Artenstein AW. In Pursuit of PPE. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382(18):e46.
49. He S, Ojo A, Beckman AL, et al. The Story of #GetMePPE and GetUsPPE.org to Mobilize Health Care Response to COVID-19 : Rapidly Deploying Digital Tools for Better Health Care. *J Med Internet Res*. 2020;22(7):e20469.
50. Lee E, Loh W, Ang I, Tan Y. Plastic Bags as Personal Protective Equipment During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Between the Devil and the Deep Blue Sea. *J Emerg Med*. 2020;58(5):821-823.
51. Doubek J. ER Doctor Says He Walks Into A “War Zone” Every Day. *NPR.org*. 17 Dec 2020. <https://www.npr.org/sections/coronavirus-live-updates/2020/12/17/946910354/er-doctor-says-he-walks-into-a-war-zone-every-day>. Accessed 30 Dec 2020.
52. Hertelendy AJ, Ciotto GR, Mitchell CL, Gutberg J, Burkle FM. Crisis Standards of Care in a Pandemic: Navigating the Ethical, Clinical, Psychological, and Policy-making Maelstrom. *Int J Qual Health Care*. 2020 Aug 14;mzaa094.
53. Wynia MK. Crisis Triage—Attention to Values in Addition to Efficiency. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2020;3(12):e2029326-e2029326.
54. Leider JP, DeBruin D, Reynolds N, Koch A, Seaberg J. Ethical Guidance for Disaster Response, Specifically Around Crisis Standards of Care: A Systematic Review. *Am J Public Health*. 2017;107(9):e1-e9.
55. Hick JL, Barbera JA, Kelen GD. Refining surge capacity: conventional, contingency, and crisis capacity. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep*. 2009;3(2 Suppl):S59-67.
56. Margus C, Sarin RR, Molloy M, Ciotto GR. Crisis Standards of Care Implementation at the State Level in the United States. *Prehospital Disaster Med*. 2020;35(6):599-603.
57. Mira JJ, Carrillo I, Guilabert M, et al. Acute stress of the healthcare workforce during the COVID-19 pandemic evolution: a cross-sectional study in Spain. *BMJ Open*. 2020;10(11):e042555.
58. Bachem R, Tsur N, Levin Y, Abu-Raiya H, Maercker A. Negative Affect, Fatalism, and Perceived Institutional Betrayal in Times of the Coronavirus Pandemic: A Cross-Cultural

- Investigation of Control Beliefs. *Front Psychiatry*. 2020;11:589914.
59. Boon-Itt S, Skunkan Y. Public Perception of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Twitter: Sentiment Analysis and Topic Modeling Study. *JMIR Public Health Surveill*. 2020;6(4):e21978.
  60. Riddell J, Brown A, Kovic I, Jauregui J. Who Are the Most Influential Emergency Physicians on Twitter? *West J Emerg Med*. 2017;18(2):281-287.
  61. Haas MRC, Hopson LR, Zink BJ. Too Big Too Fast? Potential Implications of the Rapid Increase in Emergency Medicine Residency Positions. *AEM Educ Train*. 2020;4(Suppl 1):S13-S21.
  62. Enanoria WTA, Crawley AW, Hunter JC, Balido J, Aragon TJ. The Epidemiology and Surveillance Workforce Among Local Health Departments in California: Mutual Aid and Surge Capacity for Routine and Emergency Infectious Disease Situations. *Public Health Rep*. 2014;129(Suppl 4):114-122.
  63. Lynch CJ, Gore R. Short-Range Forecasting of COVID-19 During Early Onset at County, Health District, and State Geographic Levels Using Seven Methods: Comparative Forecasting Study. *J Med Internet Res*. 2021;23(3):e24925.
  64. Gore RJ, Diallo S, Padilla J. You Are What You Tweet: Connecting the Geographic Variation in America's Obesity Rate to Twitter Content. *PLoS ONE*. 2015;10(9).
  65. Padilla JJ, Kavak H, Lynch CJ, Gore RJ, Diallo SY. Temporal and spatiotemporal investigation of tourist attraction visit sentiment on Twitter. *PLoS ONE*. 2018;13(6).
  66. Smith A, Brenner J. Twitter Use 2012. *Pew Research Center: Internet, Science & Tech*. May 31, 2012. <https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2012/05/31/twitter-use-2012/>. Accessed April 9, 2021.
  67. DeCamp M, Koenig TW, Chisolm MS. Social Media and Physicians' Online Identity Crisis. *JAMA J Am Med Assoc*. 2013;310(6):581-582.
  68. McCambridge J, Witton J, Elbourne DR. Systematic review of the Hawthorne effect: New concepts are needed to study research participation effects. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2014;67(3):267-277.
  69. Hong L, Davison BD. Empirical study of topic modeling in Twitter. In: *Proceedings of the First Workshop on Social Media Analytics*. SOMA '10. Association for Computing Machinery; 2010:80-88.

## Supplementary Files


## Figures

Overview of the methodology applied for study participant selection. Unprotected unique followers of the Twitter handles for three key US emergency physician professional organizations were sampled and included if referencing being an emergency medicine physician and excluded if not found to be an individual emergency physician located in a US state or territory. A referral sample of the original sample's followers underwent the same inclusion and exclusion criteria to contribute additional US-based emergency physicians to the study group.







Overview of the methodology applied for tweets selected for analysis. Tweets collected for study participants were included if falling within the January 4th through December 14th study period and excluded if found to be retweets, non-English tweets, and tweets of indeterminate language.




Stacked area plot of 7-day moving average daily counts of LDA derived topics, both those pertaining to COVID-19 (red area) and those not (blue area) (left axis), plotted against the 7-day moving average of daily compound sentiment scores nationally (right axis).




Time series plot of percent US Covid-19 inpatient bed utilization (CIBU, right axis) and its 7-day simple moving average (CIBU 7-SMA, right axis) against the 7- and 28-day simple moving averages (SMA) of Covid-19 related emergency physician tweets (left axis). Also plotted are the tweet exponential moving average convergence/divergence oscillator (MACD, left axis) and its own 7-day exponential moving average signal line (MACD 7-EMA, left axis). Labels A through C demonstrate sustained crossover points for tweet volume, where both the 7-day SMA overcomes the 28-day SMA and the MACD 7-EMA turns positive and overcomes the MACD as indicators of momentum.




California (CA) time series plots of the 7-day simple moving average in percent Covid-19 inpatient bed utilization (CIBU 7-SMA, right axis) against the 7-and 28-day simple moving averages of Covid-19 related emergency physician tweet count (left axis). Also plotted are the tweet exponential moving average convergence/divergence oscillator (MACD, left axis) and its own 7-day exponential moving average signal line (MACD 7-EMA, left axis).



New York (NY) time series plots of the 7-day simple moving average in percent Covid-19 inpatient bed utilization (CIBU 7-SMA, right axis) against the 7-and 28-day simple moving averages of Covid-19 related emergency physician tweet count (left axis). Also plotted are the tweet exponential moving average convergence/divergence oscillator (MACD, left axis) and its own 7-day exponential moving average signal line (MACD 7-EMA, left axis).



Pennsylvania (PA) time series plots of the 7-day simple moving average in percent Covid-19 inpatient bed utilization (CIBU 7-SMA, right axis) against the 7-and 28-day simple moving averages of Covid-19 related emergency physician tweet count (left axis). Also plotted are the tweet exponential moving average convergence/divergence oscillator (MACD, left axis) and its own 7-day exponential moving average signal line (MACD 7-EMA, left axis).



Texas (TX) time series plots of the 7-day simple moving average in percent Covid-19 inpatient bed utilization (CIBU 7-SMA, right axis) against the 7-and 28-day simple moving averages of Covid-19 related emergency physician tweet count (left axis). Also plotted are the tweet exponential moving average convergence/divergence oscillator (MACD, left axis) and its own 7-day exponential moving average signal line (MACD 7-EMA, left axis).

