

# **An Affordable, User-Friendly Telerehabilitation System Assembled Using Existing Technologies for Individuals Isolated Due to COVID-19: A Feasibility Study**

Masahiko Mukaino, Tsuyoshi Tatemoto, Nobuhiro Kumazawa, Shigeo Tanabe,  
Masaki Katoh, Eiichi Saitoh, Yohei Otaka

Submitted to: JMIR Rehabilitation and Assistive Technologies  
on: October 13, 2020

**Disclaimer:** © The authors. All rights reserved. This is a privileged document currently under peer-review/community review. Authors have provided JMIR Publications with an exclusive license to publish this preprint on its website for review purposes only. While the final peer-reviewed paper may be licensed under a CC BY license on publication, at this stage authors and publisher expressly prohibit redistribution of this draft paper other than for review purposes.

Table of Contents

Original Manuscript..... 5

Supplementary Files..... 17

    Figures ..... 18

        Figure 1..... 19

        Figure 2..... 20

# An Affordable, User-Friendly Telerehabilitation System Assembled Using Existing Technologies for Individuals Isolated Due to COVID-19: A Feasibility Study

Masahiko Mukaino<sup>1\*</sup> MD, PhD; Tsuyoshi Tatemoto<sup>2\*</sup> PT, PhD; Nobuhiro Kumazawa<sup>2</sup> PT, MSc; Shigeo Tanabe<sup>2</sup> PT, PhD; Masaki Katoh<sup>3</sup> PT; Eiichi Saitoh<sup>1</sup> MD, PhD; Yohei Otaka<sup>1</sup> MD, PhD

<sup>1</sup>Department of Rehabilitation Medicine I School of Medicine, Fujita Health University Toyoake JP

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Rehabilitation School of Health Sciences, Fujita Health University Toyoake JP

<sup>3</sup>Department of Rehabilitation Fujita Health University Hospital Toyoake JP

\* these authors contributed equally

## Corresponding Author:

Yohei Otaka MD, PhD

Department of Rehabilitation Medicine I  
School of Medicine, Fujita Health University  
1-98 Dengakugakubo, Kutsukake-cho  
Toyoake  
JP

## Abstract

**Background:** Isolation due to coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection can limit activities and cause physical and mental decline, especially in the elderly and disabled. However, due to limited contact, adequate rehabilitation is difficult to provide for quarantined patients. Telerehabilitation technology could be a solution; however, the low digital literacy of these vulnerable populations could hinder their use. We have combined existing resources to create a telerehabilitation system that allows for easy remote rehabilitation of individuals who are unfamiliar with digital devices.

**Objective:** This study aimed to investigate the feasibility of a telerehabilitation system developed for quarantined patients due to COVID-19 by combining existing commercial devices and computer applications.

**Methods:** Patients diagnosed with COVID-19 (N=10; mean age of  $60 \pm 18$ ) and quarantined in a university hospital were included in this study. A single session of telerehabilitation using a system developed for quarantined patients was conducted. The system included a tablet computer, a pulse oximeter, a video conferencing software, and a remote-control software, which were combined to be safely and easily used by elderly or disabled patients who may be unfamiliar with digital devices. The feasibility of the system was evaluated using the Telemedicine Satisfaction Questionnaire (TSQ; 14 items) and an additional questionnaire on the telerehabilitation system (5 items), which were administered after the end of the program. Each item was rated from "1 = strongly disagree" to "5 = strongly agree".

**Results:** Out of 10 patients, 9 were able to use the telerehabilitation system without any on-site help. On the TSQ, the mean score for each item was  $4.7 \pm 0.7$ , and in the additional items regarding telerehabilitation, the mean score for each item was  $4.3 \pm 1.0$ .

**Conclusions:** These findings support the overall feasibility of this simple telerehabilitation system in quarantined patients with COVID-19, encouraging further development and implementation of telerehabilitation in clinical practice.

(JMIR Preprints 13/10/2020:24960)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2196/preprints.24960>

## Preprint Settings

1) Would you like to publish your submitted manuscript as preprint?

✓ Please make my preprint PDF available to anyone at any time (recommended).

Please make my preprint PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that my title and abstract will remain visible to all users.

Only make the preprint title and abstract visible.

No, I do not wish to publish my submitted manuscript as a preprint.

2) If accepted for publication in a JMIR journal, would you like the PDF to be visible to the public?

✓ **Yes, please make my accepted manuscript PDF available to anyone at any time (Recommended).**

Yes, but please make my accepted manuscript PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that the title and abstract will remain visible to the public.

Yes, but only make the title and abstract visible (see Important note, above). I understand that if I later pay to participate in <http://www.jmir.org/>, I will be able to make my manuscript PDF available to the public.



## Original Manuscript

## Short paper

Masahiko Mukaino\*, M.D., Ph.D.,<sup>1</sup> Tsuyoshi Tatemoto\*, P.T., Ph.D.,<sup>2</sup> Nobuhiro Kumazawa, P.T., M.S.,<sup>2</sup> Shigeo Tanabe, P.T., Ph.D.,<sup>2</sup> Masaki Katoh, P.T.,<sup>3</sup> Eiichi Saitoh, M.D., Ph.D.,<sup>1</sup> and Yohei Otaka, M.D., Ph.D.<sup>1</sup>

\*contributed equally

<sup>1</sup>Department of Rehabilitation Medicine I, School of Medicine, Fujita Health University, Aichi, Japan

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Rehabilitation, School of Health Sciences, Fujita Health University, Aichi, Japan

<sup>3</sup>Department of Rehabilitation, Fujita Health University Hospital

### Financial support:

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

### Corresponding author

Yohei Otaka, M.D., Ph.D.

Department of Rehabilitation Medicine I, Fujita Health University School of Medicine

1-98 Dengakugakubo, Kutsukake, Toyoake, Aichi 470-1192, Japan

Tel: +81-562-93-2167

Fax: +81-562-95-2906

Email: otaka119@mac.com

# An Affordable, User-Friendly Telerehabilitation System Assembled Using Existing Technologies for Individuals Isolated Due to COVID-19: A Feasibility Study

## Abstract

**Background:** Isolation due to coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) infection can limit activities and cause physical and mental decline, especially in the elderly and in people with disabilities. However, due to limited contact, adequate rehabilitation is difficult to provide for quarantined patients. Telerehabilitation technology could be a solution; however, issues specific to COVID-19 should be taken into consideration, such as strict quarantine and respiratory symptoms, as well as accessibility to deal with rapid increases in need due to the pandemic.

**Objective:** This study aimed to develop and to investigate the feasibility of a telerehabilitation system for quarantined patients due to COVID-19 by combining existing commercial devices and computer applications.

**Methods:** A multidisciplinary team have identified the requirements for a telerehabilitation system for COVID-19 and developed the system to satisfy those requirements. In the subsequent feasibility study, patients diagnosed with COVID-19 (N=10; mean age of  $60 \pm 18$  years) were included. A single session of telerehabilitation consisted of stretching exercises, a 15-minute exercise program, and a video exercise program conducted under real-time guidance by a physical therapist through video call. The system included a tablet computer, a pulse oximeter, video conferencing software, and remote-control software. The feasibility of the system was evaluated using the Telemedicine Satisfaction Questionnaire (TSQ; 14 items) and an additional questionnaire on the telerehabilitation system (5 items). Each item was rated from "1 = strongly disagree" to "5 = strongly agree."

**Results:** The telerehabilitation system was developed by combining existing devices and applications, including a pulse oximeter and remote-control mechanism, to achieve user-friendliness, affordability, and safety, which are determined as the system requirements. In the feasibility study, 9 out of 10 patients were able to use the telerehabilitation system without any on-site help. On the TSQ, the mean score for each item was  $4.7 \pm 0.7$ , and in the additional items regarding telerehabilitation, the mean score for each item was  $4.3 \pm 1.0$ .

**Conclusion:** These findings support the feasibility of this simple telerehabilitation system in quarantined patients with COVID-19, encouraging further investigation on the merit of the use of the system in clinical practice.

**Keywords:** Telerehabilitation; COVID-19; Telemedicine; isolation

## Introduction

Despite global efforts to thwart the spread of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), which was declared a global pandemic by the World Health Organization on March 12, 2020 [1], the virus is showing no signs of slowing down. To counteract the rapidly spreading infection, many individuals, including those already infected by the virus as well as the elderly and **people with disabilities** who are at high risk of severe pneumonia due to COVID-19, are quarantined to avoid contact. However, isolation limits activities and may cause physical and mental decline, particularly among the elderly and **people with disabilities** [2].

Providing rehabilitation by alleviating the functional decline experienced by individuals in isolation due to COVID-19 should be a solution to this issue [3]. However, there have been concerns that rehabilitation typically involves human interaction at close proximity and physical contact and therefore increases the risk of infection transmission [4].

Telerehabilitation may potentially address this problem. Recent developments in digital technology have made it possible to conduct telerehabilitation using real-time communication technology [5]. However, there are several issues in applying telerehabilitation for COVID-19 patients. For example, there is an issue around operation of the systems by the quarantined patients. In applying telerehabilitation to patients, proficiency in the operation of videoconferencing systems, monitoring devices, and applications is necessary, because the patients have to operate them by themselves when using the system in their own room. In many previous studies into telerehabilitation, patients were given an opportunity to practice the exercise and operation under the supervision of therapists prior to the start of telerehabilitation [6-8]. However, this method cannot be applied to patients with COVID-19, because they are already quarantined when they start the telerehabilitation program.

Another issue may be the cost for the system. Previous studies have shown the feasibility and effectiveness of telerehabilitation with elderly patients and patients with disabilities using dedicated videoconferencing systems prepared for telerehabilitation [6-8]. Although those dedicated telerehabilitation systems have been shown to be effective, the initial investment for such systems may not be prioritized in the face of demands to respond to the rapid expansion in demand for medical resources caused by the COVID-19 pandemic. Therefore, there may be demand for a system that is affordable and accessible for immediate response to this pandemic.

With the aim of solving these problems and providing exercise opportunities for isolated patients, we developed and tested the feasibility of a simple telerehabilitation system using common commercially available devices and applications.

## Methods

### Participants

Patients who were diagnosed with COVID-19, admitted to a university hospital, and agreed to participate in this study were included. The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) requirement of oxygen therapy, 2) existence of hearing loss, 3) existence of severe orthopedic or neurologic disease, and 4) inability to understand instructions. **The patients' demographics, including the days after onset, the severity of the pneumonia at its worst (Severe: requiring incubation;**



Moderate: requiring oxygen; Mild: presenting signs of pneumonia but do not require oxygen; and Asymptomatic), and comorbidities, were collected from the medical record. The levels of functioning of the patients were evaluated using the Functional Independence Measure (FIM) [9]. This study was approved by the institutional review board. All patients provided written informed consent prior to study participation.

### Telerehabilitation System

The telerehabilitation system was prepared in private rooms where the patients were quarantined in the university hospital. The telerehabilitation system was designed and built by a team consisting of two physicians, an engineer, and two physical therapists. The preliminary version of the system was introduced previously [10]. The team first discussed and defined the requirements for the telerehabilitation system for COVID-19, and then developed the system to satisfy the requirements. The hardware of the system consists of a desktop computer for the health professionals who are providing the service, a tablet computer for patients, and a pulse oximeter to monitor vital signs. The pulse oximeter is a commercially available product (RingO2, Neuroceutical Inc., Tokyo, Japan) that can be connected to a tablet via Bluetooth. On the tablet, the remote-controlled software TeamViewer (TeamViewer GmbH, Goettingen, Germany), conferencing softwares Zoom (Zoom Video Communications Inc., San Jose, USA) and Skype (Microsoft Corp., Microsoft, Redmond, USA), and a pulse oximeter control application were installed. A video file of an exercise program was also preinstalled on the tablet computer in the patients' rooms in case of an unstable internet connection. The cost for implementation is the price in Japan and the value converted into US dollars (USD) and Euros (EUR) at the exchange rate on May 8, 2020, when the study started.

### Procedure

The exercise program was performed in the following manner (Figure 1). A physical therapist with 12 years of professional experience provided the service. First, at a pre-scheduled time, the physical therapist called the patient via Skype and instructed them to start the TeamViewer software to enable remote control of the tablet from the therapist's side. The therapist then launched the apps (Zoom and the app for controlling pulse oximeter) to start the exercise. The vital signs and basic motor ability were checked, and then, a video exercise program was performed. After ending the program, the vital signs were checked again. The total length of the session was 20 minutes including preparation. The patients received the survey on the feasibility of the program after a single session.

Figure 1. Exercise program delivered using the telerehabilitation system.

Upper panel: A physical therapist instructs the patient about an exercise program using the telerehabilitation system.

Lower panel: Screen of the tablet computer installed in the participant's room.

### A Survey for Feasibility of the Program

A survey using the Telemedicine Satisfaction Questionnaire (TSQ) [11] was administered after the end of the program. TSQ was developed by Yip et al. to assess satisfaction with telemedicine, and it consists of 14 items and a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree).

In this study, the following five questions were added to further assess satisfaction with the delivery of exercise programs using the system: "I can easily understand how to move," "I feel

safe performing the exercise,” “The room environment is appropriate for performing the exercise programs,” “The devices used are appropriate for performing the exercise programs,” and “Telecommunication with medical experts during exercise is helpful.” The changes in SpO<sub>2</sub> levels before and after exercise were also evaluated.

## Results

### *Development of the system*

As a result of the discussion on system requirements by a multidisciplinary team, three requirements were set for the system: 1) user-friendliness, to be used without prior practice because COVID-19 patients are isolated from the beginning; 2) affordability, to be quickly implemented in response to the rapid spread of COVID-19 infection; and 3) safety, achieved through the real-time monitoring of vital signs to be able to respond to a possible rapid deterioration in respiratory function during exercise. The system specifications were determined and developed as follows to satisfy each of the predefined requirements:

- 1) User-friendliness, to be used without prior practice because COVID-19 patients are isolated from the beginning

The lack of practicing in advance was expected to cause significant problems in operating the devices in patients with low digital literacy. Therefore, the system was developed to let the service provider operate most of the functions on behalf of the patient using a remote-control application (TeamViewer). Since the content of the exercises could not be practiced in advance, we prepared a video for a 15-minute exercise program as a tool to assist in teaching the exercises. The video of the exercise program was pre-installed on the patient's tablet PC to avoid the quality of playback being affected by the quality of the internet connection. During the exercise, the video was started remotely by the service provider and the exercises were performed along with the video with real-time advice by the therapists.

- 2) Affordability, to be quickly implemented in response to the rapid spread of COVID-19 infection

In this regard, the system was created using existing devices and applications, with an emphasis on being inexpensive and readily available. The hardware was simple, consisting of a PC on the service provider's side and a tablet PC on the patient's side. Zoom and Skype, which are common video-conferencing applications, and TeamViewer, a remote-control application, were installed on the tablet. As a monitoring device of vital signs, pulseoximeter (RingO2), which can be connected to the tablet PC via Bluetooth, was prepared. The initial cost for preparation of the system for a single set of hardware and software was 287,800 yen (2,705.90 USD; 2,489.41 EUR) for the service provider and 39,800 yen (383.41 USD; 344.27 EUR) for a single patient. The details of the cost are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Detailed the initial cost for the devices and applications

Items		Products		Provider	JPY	USD	EUR
Service provider kit	Laptop PC	MacBook inches	Pro 13	Apple Inc.	188,800	1,775.10	1,633.08
	Remote control software	TeamViewer license	Business	TeamViewer GmbH	59,400 (per year)	558.48	513.80

		TeamViewer mobile device support option	TeamViewer GmbH	39,600 (per year)	372.32	342.53
	Conferencing software	Zoom Meetings (Basic license)	Zoom Video Communications Inc.	Free		
		Skype	Microsoft Corporation	Free		
	<i>Total (Service provider kit)</i>			287,800	2,705.90	2,489.41
Patients kit	Tablet PC	LAVIE tablet PC	NEC Corporation	20,000	188.04	173.00
	Pulse oxymeter	RingO2 (with viewer application)	Neuroceuticals Inc.	19,800	186.16	171.27
	<i>Total (Patients kit)</i>			39,800	374.20	344.27

USD was calculated from JPY at the market price as of May 8, 2020: 106.36 JPY / USD

EUR was calculated from JPY at the market price as of May 8, 2020: 115.61 JPY / EUR

3) Safety, achieved through the real-time monitoring of vital signs to be able to respond to a possible rapid deterioration in respiratory function during exercise.

To address this point, a pulseoximeter was prepared for real-time monitoring during the exercise to respond to possible difficulty in respiratory function. A pulse oximeter (RingO2) that can be connected to a tablet PC via Bluetooth was used. The service provider could check the blood oxygen saturation and heart rate of the patients through the screen during the session.

### Feasibility study

Ten patients with COVID-19 participated in this study. The demographic variables of the patients are summarized in the Table 2. The mean age of the patients was  $60 \pm 18$  years; four were men and six were women.  $SpO_2$  levels at the start and end of the study were  $94.4 \pm 2.6$  and  $95.1 \pm 2.0$ , respectively. Three of the patients experienced moderate pneumonia, five had mild signs of pneumonia and the others were asymptomatic. The average FIM of the patients was  $122.4 \pm 4.5$  (motor score:  $87.7 \pm 4.3$ ; cognitive score:  $34.4 \pm 1.1$ ). Four out of the 10 patients had no experience of using tablet PC nor smartphone.

Table 2. Patients demographics

Age	$60 \pm 18$ years
Gender (Male/Female)	4/6
Pneumonia at its worst	
Moderate	3
Mild	5
Asymptomatic	2
Days after diagnosis	$9.9 \pm 8.0$
Comorbidities	

Hypertension	4
Diabetes	2
Stroke	1
Mild cognitive impairment	1
Depression	1
Lumbar compression fracture	1
FIM	
total score (18-126)	122.4 ± 4.5
motor score (13-91)	87.7 ± 4.3
cognitive score (5-35)	34.4 ± 1.1
Experience in using tablet PC or smartphone (yes/no)	6/4

FIM: Functional Independent Measure

All the patients successfully completed the session. The averaged time required for preparation after the first Skype call to the start of the exercise was  $3.0 \pm 1.1$  minutes. In a single case out of 10, on-site support for handling tablet computers was required at the start of the session. The patient had no cognitive disorder, had been treated for depression, and had no experience using a tablet PC. The patient asked for on-site help because of an unexpected movement of the application at the start of the session. After the initial set up, the patient required no further on-site help.

The results of the TSQ and the additional questions are shown in Figure 2. On the TSQ, the mean score for each item was  $4.7 \pm 0.7$ , and the mean total score of the TSQ (total score: 70) was  $65.2 \pm 6.9$  ( $93.1 \pm 9.8\%$ ). In the additional items regarding telerehabilitation, the mean score for each item was  $4.3 \pm 1.0$ . Additionally, there were several feedbacks from the participants regarding difficulty experienced while handling tablets and the limited visibility of video calls and video exercise programs. The TSQ was also administered to physical therapists who were service providers, with a total score of 61 (87.1%) and a mean score of  $4.4 \pm 0.6$ .

Figure 2. Telemedicine Satisfaction Questionnaire and additional questionnaire for the telerehabilitation system.

The percentage of response options for each question is shown. Response options and their designated colors are as follows: red = strongly disagree; orange = disagree; purple = undecided; light blue = agree; and deep blue = strongly agree.

## Discussion

In this preliminary study, a telerehabilitation system for patients isolated due to COVID-19 was developed by combining commercially available devices and applications, and its feasibility was tested in ten patients with COVID-19. The exercise program was safely conducted, with no significant decrease in  $SpO_2$ . Patients' satisfaction with the telerehabilitation system was generally high.

Various telerehabilitation programs have been used in patients with orthopedic, neurological, respiratory, and cardiovascular diseases and have been shown to be effective [12-

15].Telerehabilitation is considered to have potential benefits for patients, such as better accessibility, reduced time burden, and cost effectiveness [16-18]. It has also been shown that it may be as effective as on-site rehabilitation [6-8]. The use of telerehabilitation systems in isolated patients due to infection has rarely been reported, but the rapid spread of COVID-19 infections has increased interest in this area. The capabilities required for a telerehabilitation system for patients in isolation due to infection may differ from those of previous telerehabilitation systems.

In this study, we first identified three prerequisites (user-friendliness, affordability, and safety) as necessary for the establishment of a telerehabilitation system for patients in isolation due to infection, and then we developed a system based on those prerequisites. User-friendliness is an important point in telerehabilitation [19], but it is particularly important in the case of telerehabilitation for infectious diseases. Many previous studies of telerehabilitation have consisted of prior practice and subsequent tele-sessions [6-8]. However, when practice is initiated in isolation from the beginning, the user needs to handle the device and applications and perform exercise without any on-site assistance. Therefore, a very high level of user-friendliness is necessary to successfully conduct the exercise sessions with patients with large variations in their levels of digital literacy.

Affordability and safety were also important, although they may conflict with user-friendliness. For example, the surest way to make the telerehabilitation system user-friendly is to create a customized system for the target patients. However, the development of customized systems may reduce affordability. In addition, monitoring is necessary for patient safety, which would complicate the system. To satisfy all these requirements, a new approach was taken in this study to combine existing devices and applications, including monitoring devices, and to minimize patient manipulation by the use of remote control.

The results of our study support the main assumed benefit of the system: user-friendliness. Nine out of 10 patients in the study were able to complete the session without on-site assistance, and 7 out of 10 patients agreed or strongly agreed with the item “no assistance is required while using the system.” Overall, a high level of satisfaction was observed, with TSQ scores averaging 93.1%, which is comparable to previous studies [20-22]. However, there was some feedback from patients about usability issues, and further improvement in the user-friendliness of the system would help for widespread clinical implementation in the future. The effectiveness of the system should also be tested to see if this telerehabilitation system can contribute to the maintenance of function in isolated patients.

Another advantage of the system demonstrated in this study is that it was built using existing commercial resources and requires minimal initial cost. In fact, the initial costs required in this study were less than in previous studies [22,23], with a total of US\$2781.12 for the service provider kit (including annual license of the applications) and US\$383.41 for the patient kit. Many commercialized devices and applications, such as PCs, tablet PCs, pulse oximeters, video conferencing applications, and remote-control applications used in this study already exist and can be prepared inexpensively. In addition, these resources are easily accessible, and therefore the system can be easily replicated and deployed. Such an approach also eliminates the need to spend time and cost on developing the dedicated devices or apps, supporting the rapid social response to changes in the rehabilitation practice due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

## Limitations

There are several limitations in this study. The present study was conducted as a feasibility study with a small sample. The merit of conducting the telerehabilitation with this system on the patients' functioning should be further investigated with a larger sample and a longer-term intervention.

Although the results of TSQ support the good feasibility of this telerehabilitation system, it can be affected by the environment for internet connection; these were, in fact, results obtained in a stable internet environment with a Wi-Fi connection. However, the system is designed to reduce the influence of unstable internet connection by pre-installing video program on the tablet, so a level of internet speed that is stable enough to make video calls should be sufficient to provide the same quality of intervention as in this study.

A survey of service providers was also conducted, but only one person provided the service in this study, and there was insufficient information on the user-friendliness of the service side. In particular, because the service provider took over all operations on the patient's side, the service provider's operations have become more complicated, and there is room for improvement of the operation method and consideration of how to operate the system, such as the development of robotic process automation software.

## Conclusions

The telerehabilitation system developed in this study may be applicable to individuals experiencing isolation related to the COVID-19 pandemic. The results of this study should prompt further investigation of the usefulness of telerehabilitation in clinical settings.

## Conflicts of Interest

none declared

## Abbreviations

COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019

TSQ: Telemedicine Satisfaction Questionnaire



## References

1. World Health Organization. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19): situation report, 144. 2020; Available from: [https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200612-covid-19-sitrep-144.pdf?sfvrsn=66ff9f4f\\_2](https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200612-covid-19-sitrep-144.pdf?sfvrsn=66ff9f4f_2).
2. Unger JB, Johnson CA, Marks G. Functional decline in the elderly: evidence for direct and stress-buffering protective effects of social interactions and physical activity. *Ann Behav Med*. 1997 Spring;19(2):152-160. PMID: 9603690. doi: 10.1007/bf02883332.
3. Sepúlveda-Loyola W, Rodríguez-Sánchez I, Pérez-Rodríguez P, Ganz F, Torralba R, Oliveira DV, et al. Impact of social isolation due to COVID-19 on health in older people: mental and physical effects and recommendations. *The journal of nutrition, health & aging*. 2020/09/25. doi: 10.1007/s12603-020-1469-2.
4. Jaffri A, Jaffri UA. Post-Intensive care syndrome and COVID-19: crisis after a crisis? *Heart Lung*. 2020 Jun 18;S0147-9563(20)30266-1. PMID: 32690219. doi: 10.1016/j.hrtlng.2020.06.006.
5. Winters JM. Telerehabilitation research: emerging opportunities. *Annu Rev Biomed Eng*. 2002;4:287-320. PMID: 12117760. doi: 10.1146/annurev.bioeng.4.112801.121923.
6. Piqueras M, Marco E, Coll M, Escalada F, Ballester A, Cinca C, et al. Effectiveness of an interactive virtual telerehabilitation system in patients after total knee arthroplasty: a randomized controlled trial. *J Rehabil Med*. 2013 Apr;45(4):392-396. PMID: 23474735. doi: 10.2340/16501977-1119.
7. Moffet H, Tousignant M, Nadeau S, Mérette C, Boissy P, Corriveau H, et al. In-Home Telerehabilitation Compared with Face-to-Face Rehabilitation After Total Knee Arthroplasty: A Noninferiority Randomized Controlled Trial. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2015 Jul 15;97(14):1129-1141. PMID: 26178888. doi: 10.2106/jbjs.N.01066.
8. Hwang R, Bruning J, Morris NR, Mandrusiak A, Russell T. Home-based telerehabilitation is not inferior to a centre-based program in patients with chronic heart failure: a randomised trial. *J Physiother*. 2017 Apr;63(2):101-107. PMID: 28336297. doi: 10.1016/j.jphys.2017.02.017.
9. Keith RA, Granger CV, Hamilton BB, Sherwin FS. The functional independence measure: a new tool for rehabilitation. *Adv Clin Rehabil*. 1987;1:6-18. PMID: 3503663.
10. Mukaino M, Tatemoto T, Kumazawa N, Tanabe S, Katoh M, Saitoh E, et al. Staying active in isolation: telerehabilitation for individuals with the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. 2020 Jun;99(6):478-479. PMID: 32282339. doi: 10.1097/phm.0000000000001441.
11. Yip MP, Chang AM, Chan J, MacKenzie AE. Development of the Telemedicine Satisfaction Questionnaire to evaluate patient satisfaction with telemedicine: a preliminary study. *J Telemed Telecare*. 2003;9(1):46-50. PMID: 12641893. doi: 10.1258/135763303321159693.
12. Pastora-Bernal JM, Martín-Valero R, Barón-López FJ, Estebanez-Pérez MJ. Evidence of Benefit of Telerehabilitation After Orthopedic Surgery: A Systematic Review. *J Med Internet Res*. 2017 Apr 28;19(4):e142. PMID: 28455277. doi: 10.2196/jmir.6836.
13. Khan F, Amatya B, Kesselring J, Galea M. Telerehabilitation for persons with multiple sclerosis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2015 Apr 9;2015(4):Cd010508. PMID: 25854331. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD010508.pub2.

14. Selzler AM, Wald J, Seden M, Jourdain T, Janaudis-Ferreira T, Goldstein R, et al. Telehealth pulmonary rehabilitation: A review of the literature and an example of a nationwide initiative to improve the accessibility of pulmonary rehabilitation. *Chron Respir Dis*. 2018 Feb;15(1):41-47. PMID: 28786297. doi: 10.1177/1479972317724570.
15. Piotrowicz E, Piepoli MF, Jaarsma T, Lambrinou E, Coats AJ, Schmid JP, et al. Telerehabilitation in heart failure patients: The evidence and the pitfalls. *Int J Cardiol*. 2016 Oct 1;220:408-413. PMID: 27390963. doi: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2016.06.277.
16. Soopramanien A, Jamwal S, Thomas PW. Digital Health Rehabilitation Can Improve Access to Care in Spinal Cord Injury in the UK: A Proposed Solution. *Int J Telerehabil*. 2020 Jun 30;12(1):3-16. PMID: 32983365. doi: 10.5195/ijt.2020.6312.
17. Fatoye F, Gebrye T, Fatoye C, Mbada CE, Olaoye MI, Odole AC, et al. The Clinical and Cost-Effectiveness of Telerehabilitation for People With Nonspecific Chronic Low Back Pain: Randomized Controlled Trial. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth*. 2020 Jun 24;8(6):e15375. PMID: 32357128. doi: 10.2196/15375.
18. Nelson M, Russell T, Crossley K, Bourke M, McPhail S. Cost-effectiveness of telerehabilitation versus traditional care after total hip replacement: A trial-based economic evaluation. *J Telemed Telecare*. 2019 Sep 17;1357633x19869796. PMID: 31530065. doi: 10.1177/1357633x19869796.
19. Wentink MM, L VANB-V, Brouns B, Arwert HJ, Vlieland T, AJ DEK, et al. What is Important in E-health Interventions for Stroke Rehabilitation? A Survey Study among Patients, Informal Caregivers and Health Professionals. *Int J Telerehabil*. 2018 Spring;10(1):15-28. PMID: 30147840. doi: 10.5195/ijt.2018.6247.
20. Baillot A, Boissy P, Tousignant M, Langlois MF. Feasibility and effect of in-home physical exercise training delivered via telehealth before bariatric surgery. *J Telemed Telecare*. 2017 Jun;23(5):529-535. PMID: 27279467. doi: 10.1177/1357633x16653511.
21. Stubbings DR, Rees CS, Roberts LD, Kane RT. Comparing in-person to videoconference-based cognitive behavioral therapy for mood and anxiety disorders: randomized controlled trial. *J Med Internet Res*. 2013 Nov 19;15(11):e258. PMID: 24252663. doi: 10.2196/jmir.2564.
22. Marquis N, Larivée P, Saey D, Dubois MF, Tousignant M. In-Home Pulmonary Telerehabilitation for Patients with Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease: A Pre-experimental Study on Effectiveness, Satisfaction, and Adherence. *Telemed J E Health*. 2015 Nov;21(11):870-879. PMID: 26075928. doi: 10.1089/tmj.2014.0198.



## Supplementary Files

## Figures

Exercise program delivered using the telerehabilitation system. Upper panel: A physical therapist instructs the patient about an exercise program using the telerehabilitation system. Lower panel: Screen of the tablet computer installed in the participant's room.



Telemedicine Satisfaction Questionnaire and additional questionnaire for the telerehabilitation system. The percentage of response options for each question is shown. Response options and their designated colors are as follows: red = strongly disagree; orange = disagree; purple = undecided; light blue = agree; and deep blue = strongly agree.

