

Perinatal distress during COVID-19: a thematic analysis of an online parenting forum.

Bonnie R Chivers, Rhonda M Garad, Jacqueline A Boyle, Helen Skouteris, Helena J Teede, Cheryce L Harrison

Submitted to: Journal of Medical Internet Research
on: July 01, 2020

Disclaimer: © The authors. All rights reserved. This is a privileged document currently under peer-review/community review. Authors have provided JMIR Publications with an exclusive license to publish this preprint on its website for review purposes only. While the final peer-reviewed paper may be licensed under a CC BY license on publication, at this stage authors and publisher expressly prohibit redistribution of this draft paper other than for review purposes.

Table of Contents

Original Manuscript..... 5
Supplementary Files..... 17
 Figures 18



Perinatal distress during COVID-19: a thematic analysis of an online parenting forum.

Bonnie R Chivers MD, Rhonda M Garad PhD, Jacqueline A Boyle PhD, Helen Skouteris PhD, Helena J Teede PhD, Cheryce L Harrison PhD

Corresponding Author:

Cheryce L Harrison PhD

Monash Centre for Health Research and Implementation, School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Monash University
Monash University

Clayton

AU

Phone: +613 8572 2662

Email: cheryce.harrison@monash.edu

Abstract

Background: The novel coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) global pandemic has impacted the whole of society, requiring rapid implementation of individual, population and system level public health responses to contain and reduce the spread of infection. Women in the perinatal period (pregnant, birthing and postpartum) have unique and timely needs for directives on health, safety and risk aversion during periods of isolation and physical distancing for themselves, their child or children and other family members. In addition, they are a vulnerable group, at increased risk of psychological distress that may be exacerbated in the context of social support deprivation and a high risk external environment.

Objective: Examination of the public discourse of a perinatal cohort to understand unmet health information and support needs and impacts on mothering identity and social dynamics, in the context of COVID-19.

Methods: A leading Australian online support forum for women pre- through to post-birth was used to interrogate all posts related to COVID-19 from Jan 27 to May 12 2020 inclusive. Key search terms included: 'COVID', 'corona' and 'pandemic'. A three-phase analysis was conducted including: thematic analysis, sentiment analysis and word frequency calculations.

Results: The search yielded 960 posts, of which 831 were included in our analysis. Qualitative thematic analysis demonstrated reasonable understanding, interpretation and application of relevant restrictions in place, with five emerging themes identified. These were: 1) heightened distress related to a high-risk external environment; 2) despair and anticipatory grief due to deprivation of social and family support, and bonding rituals; 3) altered family and support relationships; 4) guilt tampered happiness; and, 5) family future postponed. Sentiment analysis revealed content was predominantly negative (very negative: 537 and moderately negative: 443 compared to very positive: 236 and moderately positive: 340). Negative words were frequently used with associated derivatives including 'worried' (19.9%); 'risk' (17.2%); 'anxiety' (11.8%); 'concerns' (8.8%) and 'stress' (8.3%).

Conclusions: Women in the perinatal period are uniquely impacted by the current pandemic. General information on COVID-19 safe behaviors did not meet the particular needs of this cohort. The lack of nuanced and timely information may exacerbate risk of psychological and psychosocial distress in this vulnerable, high-risk group. State and federal public health departments need to provide a central repository of information that is targeted, consistent, accessible, timely and reassuring. Compensatory social and emotional support should be considered, using alternative measures to mitigate the risk of mental health disorders in this cohort.

(JMIR Preprints 01/07/2020:22002)

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2196/preprints.22002>

Preprint Settings

1) Would you like to publish your submitted manuscript as preprint?

✓ Please make my preprint PDF available to anyone at any time (recommended).

Please make my preprint PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that my title and abstract will remain visible to all users.
Only make the preprint title and abstract visible.

No, I do not wish to publish my submitted manuscript as a preprint.

2) If accepted for publication in a JMIR journal, would you like the PDF to be visible to the public?

✓ **Yes, please make my accepted manuscript PDF available to anyone at any time (Recommended).**

Yes, but please make my accepted manuscript PDF available only to logged-in users; I understand that the title and abstract will remain visible to all users.

Yes, but only make the title and abstract visible (see Important note, above). I understand that if I later pay to participate in <http://www.jmir.org/preprint/22002>, my manuscript will be made available to all users.



Original Manuscript

Original Paper

(Joint first authorship) Bonnie R Chivers MHumNutr ¹, Rhonda Garad PhD ¹, Jacqueline A Boyle PhD ^{1,2}, Helen Skouteris ¹, Helena J Teede PhD ^{1,3} and Cheryce L Harrison PhD* ^{1,2}

1 Monash Centre for Health Research and Implementation, School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Monash University, Clayton VIC 3168, Australia

2 Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Monash Health, Clayton VIC 3168, Australia

3 Diabetes and Vascular Medicine Unit, Monash Health, Clayton VIC 3168, Australia

* Correspondence: Cheryce.harrison@monash.edu; Tel.: +61 3 8572 2662; +61 3 9594 7550 (F.L.).

Perinatal distress during COVID-19: a thematic analysis of an online parenting forum

Abstract

Background: The novel coronavirus 2019 (COVID-19) global pandemic has impacted the whole of society, requiring rapid implementation of individual, population and system level public health responses to contain and reduce the spread of infection. Women in the perinatal period (pregnant, birthing and postpartum) have unique and timely needs for directives on health, safety and risk aversion during periods of isolation and physical distancing for themselves, their child or children and other family members. In addition, they are a vulnerable group, at increased risk of psychological distress that may be exacerbated in the context of social support deprivation and a high risk external environment.

Objective: Examination of the public discourse of a perinatal cohort to understand unmet health information and support needs and impacts on mothering identity and social dynamics, in the context of COVID-19.

Methods: A leading Australian online support forum for women pre- through to post-birth was used to interrogate all posts related to COVID-19 from Jan 27 to May 12 2020 inclusive. Key search terms included: 'COVID', 'corona' and 'pandemic'. A three-phase analysis was conducted including: thematic analysis, sentiment analysis and word frequency calculations.

Results: The search yielded 960 posts, of which 831 were included in our analysis. Qualitative thematic analysis demonstrated reasonable understanding, interpretation and application of relevant restrictions in place, with five emerging themes identified. These were: 1) heightened distress related to a high-risk external environment; 2) despair and anticipatory grief due to deprivation of social and family support, and bonding rituals; 3) altered family and support relationships; 4) guilt tampered happiness; and, 5) family future postponed. Sentiment analysis revealed content was predominantly negative (very negative: 537 and moderately negative: 443 compared to very positive: 236 and moderately positive: 340). Negative words were frequently used with associated derivatives including 'worried' (19.9%); 'risk' (17.2%); 'anxiety' (11.8%); 'concerns' (8.8%) and 'stress' (8.3%).

Conclusions: Women in the perinatal period are uniquely impacted by the current pandemic. General information on COVID-19 safe behaviors did not meet the particular needs of this cohort. The lack of nuanced and timely information may exacerbate risk of psychological and psychosocial

distress in this vulnerable, high-risk group. State and federal public health departments need to provide a central repository of information that is targeted, consistent, accessible, timely and reassuring. Compensatory social and emotional support should be considered, using alternative measures to mitigate the risk of mental health disorders in this cohort.

Keywords: Pregnancy; perinatal; maternal; COVID-19; communication; social support; qualitative research; mental health

Introduction

COVID-19, a novel strain of Coronavirus, is an acute, highly infectious virus that has affected tens of millions of people and caused close to one million deaths as of August 2020 [1]. The disease is spread directly through respiratory droplets from the mouth or nose. It is estimated up to 40% of transmission is pre-symptomatic, with an average incubation period of 5-6 days [2]. The vast majority of those who are infected with COVID-19 experience mild to moderate illness arising from a cluster of mild symptoms including fever, dry cough and lethargy [3]. Currently, there is no effective COVID-19 medical prophylaxis and limited treatment, mandating a rigorous individual, population and system level public health policy and behavioural change response, to minimise transmission [4].

COVID-19 originated in Wuhan, China with first cases reported in December 2019 [5] and was officially declared as a public health emergency of international concern on 30 January 2020 by the World Health Organization (WHO). The first Australian confirmed case of COVID-19 was on 25 January 2020 in Victoria, originating from Wuhan, China, subsequently resulting in the closing of Australian borders to all non-residents in March [6]. Physical distancing rules were imposed on 21 March 2020, with the associated closure of all "non-essential" services including retail outlets, cafés, restaurants, schools, recreational facilities and playgrounds [7]. To assist in physical distancing, additional measures including working, studying or completing school from home were imposed, social gatherings were banned and stringent restrictions on individual movement were put in place [7]. These public health policies profoundly impacted individual and population level health, disrupted normal social interactions and contributed to economic insecurity.

As COVID-19 continues to disrupt human interactions, published data on the risk, transmission and health outcomes in specific populations, including women in the perinatal period and their neonate, is evolving, yet currently inconclusive [8-10]. Women within the perinatal period are a vulnerable population, both physiologically, with changes during pregnancy that reduce immunity [11], and psychologically, with increased risk of psychological distress, including stress, anxiety and depression [12-15], all of which may increase maternal and neonatal morbidity [16]. Consequently, it is recognised that women during this period require unique and specific health, support and information needs to avoid stress [17]. Currently, the impact of COVID-19 on such needs and associated level of distress is poorly understood, yet is critical in ascertaining adverse implications, as well as in identifying strategies to protect and optimise women's psychosocial health during this time. Therefore, this study aimed to understand the sentiment and impacts to emotional wellbeing as well as the unmet information and support needs arising from changes to social dynamics and support in a perinatal cohort during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Methods

Overview

We conducted an observational, qualitative analysis of online discussions within a leading Australian

forum for new or expecting parents. The most popular Australian pre-and-post birth forum was identified by searching the term 'new mum forum' in Google. The top 10 (first page) results were assessed and all websites with publicly available forums (n=7) were analysed using a website analytics tool (Alexa, Amazon.com, Seattle, Washington). This software was used to determine the global page views, global rank and Australian rank of the seven websites with publicly available forums. The highest ranked website for Australian users was identified and used as the sampling platform for this study. To confirm this website's suitability for the current study, member requirements were assessed to ensure forum users were new or expecting mothers.

Within the selected forum, the search function was utilised to identify user generated content (UGC) relating to COVID-19. No date restrictions were applied in the search with posts collected on 12 May 2020. We searched for website content using key search terms including 'COVID', 'corona' or 'pandemic.' The search identified articles, comments and posts; which was then narrowed to posts. All posts were extracted in a de-identified format into a word document which included the post title, date and content. Inclusion criteria: posts relating to COVID-19, up till May 12, 2020 (inclusive). Exclusion criteria: posts that included a title only (content had been deleted), that did not relate to COVID-19 and duplicated posts (original post was collected once).

Analysis

Data was processed using NVivo Pro 12 Software [18]. Analysis comprised three phases including thematic analysis, sentiment analysis and word frequency calculations of stemmed words. Thematic analysis was undertaken using a modified grounded theory approach that was informed by Braun and Clarke's six phase approach [19]. A single researcher (BC) became familiar with the data, generated initial codes and searched for themes. The team then collaborated to discuss themes and a >25% check of themes was conducted by two additional researchers (CH and RG). To support the themes identified, NVivo Pro 12 automatic sentiment analysis and a text frequency search were run to identify emotional indicators. NVivo searched for expressions of sentiment in the source material then used pre-defined scores for words classified as containing sentiment [20]. Words are considered in isolation and the program then determines the sentiment of the paragraph as a calculation of each word containing sentiment. Sentiment results include the number of references (paragraphs with sentiment) which are categorised as: Very Positive (VP), Moderately Positive (MP), Moderately Negative (MN) and Very Negative (VN). A single researcher (BC) conducted a >10% cross check of the sentiment results. Word frequency calculations were used to identify all stemmed words (minimum three letters) used 50 times or more. These words were screened by a single researcher (BC) to identify negative words. The frequency of key terms was used was divided by the number of total posts to derive an overall percentage, and a weighted percentage on the total word count was calculated by NVivo Pro 12.

Ethics

Ethics approval for this study was granted by the Monash Health (RES-19-0000-291A) and Monash University (Project no. 20196) Human Research Ethics Committees. Whilst ethical oversight of publicly available data is not strictly required, the authors sought approval as per Monash University protocol.

Results

Overview

A total of 960 posts were identified using the search terms (corona n=589, COVID n=257, pandemic n=114). One hundred and fourteen (114) were excluded as per exclusion criteria, resulting in a final

sample of 831 unique posts. The first relevant post identified was dated 27 January 2020.

Thematic Analysis

We identified five themes from the analysed content: 1) heightened distress related to a high-risk external environment; 2) despair and anticipatory grief due to deprivation of social and family support, and bonding rituals; 3) altered family and support relationships; 4) guilt tampered happiness; and, 5) family future postponed.

Theme 1: Heighted distress related to a high-risk external environment

Women expressed concerns and unease due to a range of factors such as; lack of access to particular information on risk (e.g. risk during pregnancy, risk to baby in utero, risk to a new born baby, risk from the hospital environment, risk to mental health from reduced social supports). They asked questions within the discussion forum such as; should pregnant women cease working? What is the risk of COVID-19 to unborn or newly born babies? Should working partners isolate from their pregnant spouse or from new babies? Are pregnant women at increased risk? Should I be leaving the house? Many women were unable to locate information to fully answer these concerns and sought confirmation of both their concerns and their risk reduction actions from their peers within the forum.

Women who stated they were close to giving birth demonstrated significant levels of worry in relation to the safety of antenatal appointments and the hospital environment. Some indicated they were considering a home birth and others stated they were considering not attending antenatal visits due to fear of contracting COVID-19. "I am due to get my NT scan done [...] I am getting nervous about having to travel there from a small rural town to have it done. I am considering whether I should get it done or not worry." One woman stated that although she did not want to be 'alarmist' the lack of information on hospital safety made her feel 'vulnerable.' Others stated that "being pregnant during this time is so scary. [...] it's certainly not how I envisioned it to be going or to end," while another woman said "I almost don't want to give birth right now."

Women said they found it difficult to disengage with the constant worry and concern about COVID-19 with one woman stating that "[...] all I'm thinking and dreaming about is COVID-19! I'm super paranoid, I feel like even getting groceries, I have anxiety." Many women said they had disengaged with mainstream news sources as they found these to be fear inducing. Posts reflected heightened levels of worry and stress in this cohort. One woman stated "I feel the most anxious, overwhelmed, isolated, out of control as I've ever felt before."

Theme 2: Despair and anticipatory grief due to deprivation of social and family support, and bonding rituals

Due to the unforeseen restrictions from the pandemic, usual perinatal social rituals such as baby showers, celebrations or gender reveals were not possible. Also, areas in which women heavily rely on social support such as: multiple support persons during birth and post-birth and family and/or social support providing physical, psychological and social care, were denied to this group of women. When one woman was told she was not allowed to have her partner with her during a routine scan she said, "I am really sad as it's our first pregnancy and these scans are small ways where our husbands can take part in our pregnancy." Many expressed a range of feelings such as sadness, anger and a sense of loss. One woman stated "the happiest time in our lives is being over shadowed by this virus." Another said "I've played many times over, my siblings and parents coming to hospital to meet my first child and that was a once in a life time moment for me; something I think very special that I won't get anymore." Upon reading about the COVID-19 related grief, one woman said; "totally

made me realise that I'm grieving the loss of a normal pregnancy [...] I checked out the five stages of grief, and I'm definitely going through the emotions they list! Anger and bargaining - hopefully I'll move on to true acceptance soon."

Theme 3: Altered family and support relationships

Customary ways of strengthening relationships with family and social networks during pregnancy and early motherhood were denied to this group of women due to the demands of isolation. Many of the women discussed conflicts with family members over interpretations of physical distancing rules. This conflict was expressed by one woman as; "I don't understand how they whinge that they can't see their grandson yet continue to do all the things THAT ARE THE REASON we don't want our son around them [...] Has anyone else had to deal with family not taking this virus seriously?" The impact of COVID-19 isolating new mothers from their support and family networks, and the unique need to protect the health of grandparents, reduced social support for new mothers and resulted, in some cases, in unanticipated interfamily conflict. This had the effect of dividing some families rather than the bonding experiences that many may have anticipated.

Theme 4: Guilt tampered happiness

Many women expressed feelings of guilt due to the contrast between the positivity and happiness they were experiencing at the news of their pregnancy or arrival of their child, and the difficult situation of many others in the community. "I feel a bit guilty about wanting to share my good news. I [...] have been looking forward to sharing about our baby especially after I was told I would not be able to have kids at all." Other women identified guilt relating to their wishes to experience baby showers or events that their peers have formerly enjoyed; "this might sound a little selfish but it's our first and I was looking forward to the gifts and games etc. I hope by August maybe we can have a small gathering." While another woman stated she was feeling guilty for thinking about her pregnancy plans, "I hope everyone is coping with the current covid crisis - I feel a bit guilty thinking about IVF."


Theme 5: A family future postponed

Many women discussed their concerns about family planning and of wanting to postpone plans to extend their family. "I've heard so much information (and misinformation) the last few weeks it's really made me fearful about trying to conceive with all the madness around." Another posing the questions; "[is anyone] temporarily pausing trying to conceive whilst our world is being rattled by corona? Has it changed your timeline in terms of when you want baby to born? Have you decided to wait or maybe not even have anymore?"

Sentiment and Word Frequency Analysis

There were 1,556 references of sentiment. Of these, most were found to be within the negative range, with 980 references (63.0%) classified as negative (VN: 537 and MN: 443) compared with 576 positive references (VP: 236 and MP: 340, see Figure 1). Cross check of sentiment results found that less than 10% of sentiment references were coded to incorrect categories.

Figure 1. Sentiment Results



A list of words used 50 times or more ($n=189$) was examined to screen for negative words. Multiple negative emotive stem words ($n=7$) were identified (Table 1). Percentages derived from the total number of posts, provide an indication of the spread of these words within the dataset.

Table 1. Word Frequency

Word	Similar Word	Count	Percentage (%)	Weighted Percentage (%)
Worrying	Worried, worries, worry	165	19.9	0.32
Risk	Risking, risks	143	17.0	0.27
Anxiety	Anxieties, anxious, anxiousness	98	11.7	0.19
Concerns	Concern, concerned, concerning	74	8.8	0.14
Stress	Stressed, stressful, stressing	69	8.2	0.13
Struggling	Struggle, struggled	59	7.0	0.11
Scare	Scares, scared, scaring	55	6.6	0.11

Percentage calculations were derived based on the word count in context of the total posts (identifying an approximate number of posts including these words – this cannot account for words used multiple times in one post however), and as a weighted percentage of the total word count.

Discussion

Principal Results

The rapidly evolving COVID-19 pandemic is a global emergency, requiring unprecedented public health policy changes and behavioural adherence to limit viral spread. This vital public health response comes at significant health, societal and economic cost, and is anticipated to have a profound effect on emotional wellbeing and mental health. During the perinatal period women have specific healthcare and emotional needs and are vulnerable to mental health challenges. Understanding the unique perinatal information needs and impact of the loss of support networks, is therefore critical in informing public health and health care systems interventions for the wellbeing of women and their families.

Our results demonstrate a significant response to the pandemic within this cohort, with an average of 275 posts per month directly related to COVID-19 across the evaluation period. Thematic analysis captured five themes: heightened distress related to a high-risk external environment; despair and anticipatory grief due to deprivation of social/family support and bonding rituals; altered family/support relationships; guilt tampered happiness; and a family future postponed. Sentiment

analysis showed heightened negativity with high frequency of use of negative terms, including 'stress', 'anxious', 'worry' and 'risk', with emergent qualitative themes identified related to anxiety, grief, guilt, social support and disrupted family planning.

To enable rapid evaluation and dissemination of findings, we chose to examine a leading online Australian perinatal forum, accessed broadly by reproductive aged women across preconception, pregnancy, postpartum and into early parenting and childhood. Online support groups have previously been shown to be commonly accessed by women during this period. They provide an accessible, peer-to-peer opportunity for information sharing, individualised information seeking, and social and emotional support from women in a comparable life-stage [21,22]. Accessibility and anonymity provided by online forums facilitates disinhibited expression of feelings without concern of consequences or conflict from close connections. Engagement with, and, preference for interacting with peers in an online forum may reduce engagement with other forms of media. Indeed, this is supported by our thematic analysis showing many women reporting disengagement with mainstream news sources as they found these fear provoking.

Anticipatory grief for loss of social and family affirming opportunities during the perinatal period was emphasised as a consequence of physical distancing measures in this cohort. Pregnancy and birth are often a celebratory period for women, and are associated with joy experienced by both the parents and close family members. Traditional rituals and milestones including the early pregnancy growth and development scans, baby shower celebrations and hospital visits by family and grandparents were all effectively denied in accordance with physical distancing and personal safety measures. Such milestones present significant opportunities for social support and likely play a role in strengthening family and partner relationships, in turn increasing well-being, self-efficacy and coping during this time. Disruption or removal of these milestones is therefore likely to impact on well-being, potentially increasing feelings of isolation and consequently driving conflict due to anger and frustration, exacerbating pre-existing negativity within the wider external environment.

Conversely, many women also expressed guilt as a result of feelings of joy and happiness related to their pregnancy or impending birth. This "paradox of guilt" reported in the context of COVID-19, is reportedly similar to the phenomenon of survivor's guilt, an experience of immense guilt towards surviving a traumatic event that others in a given population did not [23]. Here, women felt happiness, yet felt exclaiming such feelings were inappropriate in the context of wide spread illness, grief, loss and suffering at a population level. While this may not be directly termed as survivor's guilt, a correlation is plausible. Women may also be disproportionately affected by feelings of guilt in this context, with research showing increased concern for the health of others including older family members and children, rather than the health and well-being of themselves [24].

Our results show an interruption in family planning as a consequence of COVID-19. This may be due to a number of factors including economic and employment insecurity or fear of direct health impacts. The sudden closure of many sectors has profoundly impacted the economy, contributing to the largest rise in unemployment rate since the depression, with women disproportionately impacted [25]. Hesitancy related to health outcomes during pregnancy may also impact family planning. Whilst women are generally more resistant to viral infections than men, physiological changes that occur during pregnancy increase vulnerability to severe infections due to a reduced immune response. A recent systemic review of the first 108 pregnant women infected with COVID-19, found a majority of case reports occurred in the last trimester with associated severe maternal morbidity [8]. This included a cesarean section rate of 91% across cases, predominantly due to fetal distress, with one intrauterine and one neonatal death recorded. Despite the majority of women recovering without major complication, the authors concluded that mother to baby transmission of the COVID-19

remains unclear [8], with similar reports published elsewhere [9,10].

Our sentiment analysis revealed increased negativity during the evaluation period, reflected by ~60% of posts related to distress, including anxiety, worry and risk. These results are similar to recent research evaluating user sentiment on Twitter during the COVID-19 pandemic [26]. Following a brief analysis of daily tweets related to four key emotions, the authors concluded fear was most strongly represented, followed by anger and joy and lastly, sadness. Increased negativity on social media is likely to be indicative, in part, of the sentiments of the broader population overall. Indeed, this is supported by recent population based research by the UK Office of National Statistics, reporting a doubling in high levels of anxiety between October 2019 to April 2020, compared with an earlier reference period [27]. Notably women appear disproportionately affected, with 24% higher frequency of anxiety than men overall. Authors postulated that increased anxiety in women may be related to financial impacts, including a reduced likelihood of employment due to child rearing and gender inequities in salary. Increased anxiety and depressive symptoms in women are also common during pregnancy [15] and recent preliminary research reported a two-fold increase during COVID-19 [28]. The impact of social isolation was reported as a significant predictor of increased depression on regression analysis [28]. Pregnancy, birth and the post-partum periods are life stages requiring increased levels of social support, and reduced support at this time has been shown to have detrimental impacts on maternal mental health outcomes [29]. Women in this cohort frequently reported having reduced access to social support networks such as partners (i.e. essential workers or those requiring quarantine due to business related travel), family members, significant others, social networks, mothering groups and health care professionals. In turn, this may have increased engagement with the forum as a source of like-minded support and comfort.

The women using the discussion forum demonstrated reasonable understanding and knowledge of relevant public health restrictions. This is encouraging with respect to behaviour change in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, as it indicates successful public health communication to the general community. However, women in the perinatal period are highly motivated to seek nuanced information that reduces risk to the mother, unborn child or new baby. This includes information relating to self-isolation and safety whilst pregnant, employment implications if unable to work from home, the risks of COVID-19 infection at health facilities during perinatal medical visits, restrictions on birthing supports and isolation from working partners. Women indicated information was sought from multiple sources however, indicated that it did not meet their current needs and was either general in nature, ambiguous or inconsistent. It is critical that the information needs of this cohort are understood and met to reduce the risk of mental health disorders in an already high risk group and, to reduce the risk of avoidance of healthcare monitoring. This is particularly imperative during pregnancy with some women indicating they would postpone or avoid antenatal visits which may lead to negative health outcomes for both mother and baby in turn. State and federal public health departments need to provide a central repository of information for this cohort that is accessible, timely and reassuring. It is also important to understand that women are using discussion forums as their primary source of information in lieu of official sources.

Limitations

The following limitations should be considered when interpreting these findings. Anonymised data was interrogated and therefore demographical and geographical information about the user could not be obtained that may influence the user's engagement with the forum, as well as their perception and reaction to the COVID-19 pandemic. However, in accessing real-world non-identified data, information is less likely to be influenced by social desirability or recall bias. We cannot confirm all posts were written by women in the perinatal period, however forum users must enter an expected

due date, child's birth date or declare that they are trying to conceive to become a member of the forum.

Additionally, the real-world implications of users' online posts are unclear and detrimental effects may be overemphasised in the absence of sufficient data pertaining to real-world behaviour and state of mind. Due to early inconsistency in pandemic-related terminology, users may have used other keywords to describe COVID-19 that were not collected in this study. Finally, due to site management and restrictions relating to the seeking or provision of medical advice via the forum, posts may have been deleted before data was collected. Also, the limitations of the software used in the sentiment analysis must be acknowledged, such as the inability to recognise sarcasm, double negatives, slang, dialect variations, idioms and ambiguity. However, to address this limitation, we cross checked the results and determined less than 10% were incorrectly classified. We believe this to be one of the first evaluations of the social impacts of COVID-19, in mothers or pregnant women in Australia [24,30-33]. This paper provides critical insights into the unanticipated impacts of this pandemic on a high need's perinatal cohort.

Conclusion

The perinatal period involves a major life transition requiring increased levels of social, emotional and health professional support. Our results demonstrate pregnant women and new mothers are uniquely impacted by the COVID-19 pandemic. General information on COVID-19 safe behaviors does not appear to meet the needs of this population. The lack of nuanced and timely information appears to have exacerbated the risk of psychological and psychosocial distress in this vulnerable group who demonstrate heightened distress, reduced social and emotional support, anticipatory grief, increasing interfamily conflicts and direct impacts on family planning behaviours. These findings suggest the need for targeted, consistent, accessible and timely information on risk and risk aversion strategies; and adoption of strategies to deescalate anxiety and concern. It also suggests that support strategies are needed to compensate for the loss of family and social support and health professional contact and, lastly that mental health interventions tailored to the unique needs of this cohort are likely important during the pandemic and for related public health policies.

Conflicts of Interest

None declared.

Abbreviations

COVID-19: Coronavirus 2019

UGC: user generated content

VP: Very Positive

MP: Moderately Positive

MN: Moderately Negative

VN: Very Negative

WHO: World Health Organization

References

1. Ritchie, H.; Ortiz-Ospina, E.; Beltekian, D.; Mathieu, E.; Hasell, J.; Macdonald, B.; Giattino, C.; Roser, M. Coronavirus Pandemic (COVID-19). Available online: <https://ourworldindata.org/how-to-use-our-world-in-data> [accessed 2020 Aug 17]
2. Australian Government Department of Health. Novel coronavirus (COVID-19), Information for Clinicians: Frequently Asked Questions - Version 1 (04/03/2020). <https://www.health.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/2020/03/coronavirus-covid-19-information->

for-clinicians.docx [accessed 2020 Jun 12]

3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19), Clinical Questions about COVID-19: Questions and Answers 2020. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/faq.html> [accessed 2020 Jun 12]
4. United Kingdom Government. Guidance, High consequence infectious diseases (HCID) 2020 <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/high-consequence-infectious-diseases-hcid> [accessed 2020 Jun 12]
5. Guo Y-R, Cao Q-D, Hong Z-S, Tan Y-Y, Chen S-D, Jin H-J, et al. The origin, transmission and clinical therapies on coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak—an update on the status. *Mil Med Res.* 2020;7(1):1-10.
6. Australian Government Department of Health. First confirmed case of novel coronavirus in Australia 2020. <https://www.health.gov.au/ministers/the-hon-greg-hunt-mp/media/first-confirmed-case-of-novel-coronavirus-in-australia> [accessed: 2020 Jun 12]
7. Australian Broadcasting Corporation. Australia's social distancing rules have been enhanced to slow coronavirus — here's how they work 2020. <https://www.abc.net.au/news/2020-03-20/coronavirus-covid-19-scott-morrison-enhanced-social-distancing/12075532> [accessed: 2020 Jun 13]
8. Zaigham M, Andersson O. Maternal and perinatal outcomes with COVID-19: A systematic review of 108 pregnancies. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand.* 2020.
9. Zhu H, Wang L, Fang C, Peng S, Zhang L, Chang G, et al. Clinical analysis of 10 neonates born to mothers with 2019-nCoV pneumonia. *Transl Pediatr.* 2020;9(1):51.
10. Chen H, Guo J, Wang C, Luo F, Yu X, Zhang W, et al. Clinical characteristics and intrauterine vertical transmission potential of COVID-19 infection in nine pregnant women: a retrospective review of medical records. *Lancet.* 2020;395(10226):809-15.
11. Robinson DP, Klein SL. Pregnancy and pregnancy-associated hormones alter immune responses and disease pathogenesis. *Horm Behav.* 2012;62(3):263-71.
12. Melville JL, Gavin A, Guo Y, Fan M-Y, Katon WJ. Depressive disorders during pregnancy: prevalence and risk factors in a large urban sample. *Obstet Gynaecol.* 2010;116(5):1064.
13. Rubertsson C, Hellström J, Cross M, Sydsjö G. Anxiety in early pregnancy: prevalence and contributing factors. *Arch Women Ment Hlth.* 2014;17(3):221-8.
14. Seyfried LS, Marcus SM. Postpartum mood disorders. *Int Rev Psychiatr.* 2003;15(3):231-42.
15. World Health Organization. Maternal mental health. https://www.who.int/mental_health/maternal-child/maternal_mental_health/en/ [accessed 2020 Jul 01]
16. Lobel M, Cannella DL, Graham JE, DeVincent C, Schneider J, Meyer BA. Pregnancy-specific stress, prenatal health behaviors, and birth outcomes. *Health Psychol.* 2008;27(5):604.
17. Yali AM, Lobel M. Stress-resistance resources and coping in pregnancy. *Anxiety Stress Copin.* 2002;15(3):289-309.
18. NVivo qualitative data analysis software; QSR International Pty Ltd. Version 12.
19. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qual Res Psychol.* 2006;3(2):77-101.
20. QSR International. How auto coding sentiment works. http://help-nv11.qsrinternational.com/desktop/concepts/How_auto_coding_sentiment_works.htm [accessed on 2020 Aug 17]
21. Ellis L, Roberts L. Exploring the use and quality of Internet discussion forums in pregnancy: A qualitative analysis. *Birth.* 2020;47(1):153-61.
22. Lagan BM, Sinclair M, George Kernohan W. Internet use in pregnancy informs women's decision making: a web-based survey. *Birth.* 2010;37(2):106-15.
23. Iyer P. The paradox of privilege: Guilt during COVID19 2020. <https://www.orfonline.org/expert-speak/the-paradox-of-privilege-guilt-during-covid19-65509/> [accessed 2020 Jul 01]

24. Corbett GA, Milne SJ, Hehir MP, Lindow SW, O'connell MP. Health anxiety and behavioural changes of pregnant women during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol.* 2020;249:96.
25. McLaren HJ, Wong KR, Nguyen KN, Mahamadachchi KND. Covid-19 and Women's Triple Burden: Vignettes from Sri Lanka, Malaysia, Vietnam and Australia. *Soc Sci.* 2020;9(5):87.
26. Lwin MO, Lu J, Sheldenkar A, Schulz PJ, Shin W, Gupta R, et al. Global sentiments surrounding the COVID-19 pandemic on Twitter: analysis of Twitter trends. *JMIR Public Health Surveill.* 2020;6(2):e19447.
27. Office for National Statistics. Personal and economic well-being in Great Britain: May 2020
Newport, South Wales 2020.
<https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/wellbeing/bulletins/personalandeconomicwellbeingintheuk/may2020#disproportionately-impacted-groups> [accessed 2020 Jun 23]
28. Durankuş F, Aksu E. Effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on anxiety and depressive symptoms in pregnant women: a preliminary study. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med.* 2020:1-7.
29. Balaji AB, Claussen AH, Smith DC, Visser SN, Morales MJ, Perou R. Social support networks and maternal mental health and well-being. *J Women Health.* 2007;16(10):1386-96.
30. Wu Y, Zhang C, Liu H, Duan C, Li C, Fan J, et al. Perinatal depressive and anxiety symptoms of pregnant women along with COVID-19 outbreak in China. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2020.
31. Chandra PS, Shiva L, Nagendrappa S, Ganjekar S, Thippeswamy H. COVID 19 related Psychosis as an interface of fears, socio-cultural issues and vulnerability-case report of two women from India. *Psych Res.* 2020.
32. Ahorsu DK, Imani, V., Lin, C. Y., Timpka, T., Broström, A., Updegraff, J. A., Årestedt, K., Griffiths, M. D., & Pakpour, A. H. Associations Between Fear of COVID-19, Mental Health, and Preventive Behaviours Across Pregnant Women and Husbands: An Actor-Partner Interdependence Modelling. *Int J Mental Health Addiction.* 2020:1–15.
33. Milne SJ, Corbett GA, Hehir MP, Lindow SW, Mohan S, Reagu S, et al. Effects of Isolation on Mood and Relationships in Pregnant Women during the Covid-19 Pandemic. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol.* 2020.

Supplementary Files

Figures